

A. YU. BODNARCHUK<sup>✉</sup>, M. R. KURYLIAK<sup>✉</sup>

## ON THE SHARPNESS OF THE HAYMAN-TYPE ANALOGUE OF THE WIMAN INEQUALITY FOR ENTIRE DIRICHLET SERIES

A. Yu. Bodnarchuk, M. R. Kuryliak. *On the sharpness of the Hayman-type analogue of the Wiman inequality for entire Dirichlet series*, Mat. Stud. **66** (2026), 38–42.

We prove the sharpness of Sheremeta's analog of the Wiman-type inequality for entire Dirichlet series. Consider  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n e^{z\lambda_n}$ ,  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_n \uparrow +\infty$  ( $1 \leq n \rightarrow +\infty$ ) and  $|n(t) - \Delta t^\rho| \leq \mathcal{D}$  ( $t \geq t_0$ ),  $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\rho \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $n(t) = \sum_{n: \lambda_n \leq t} 1$ . There exists (Sheremeta, 1978) a set  $E$  of finite measure and for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a number  $\sigma_k$  such that for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_k; +\infty) \setminus E$  we have

$$M(\sigma, F) \leq \mu(\sigma, F) \cdot \ln^{\rho - \frac{1}{2}} \mu(\sigma, F) \cdot u_{k-1}(\ln_2^\rho \mu(\sigma, F)) \ln_{k+1}^\delta \mu(\sigma, F),$$

where  $M(\sigma, F) = \sup\{|F(\sigma + iy)| : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $\mu(\sigma, F) = \max\{|a_n| e^{\sigma \lambda_n} : n \geq 0\}$ ,  $u_k(x) = u_{k-1}(x) \ln_k x$ ,  $u_0(x) = x$ ,  $u_{-1}(x) = 1$ ,  $\ln_k x = \ln(\ln_{k-1} x)$ ,  $\ln_1 x = \ln x$ . All powers of each iteration of the logarithm of maximal term in this inequality are sharp. We obtain the following statement (Theorem 4): If a sequence  $(\lambda_n)$  satisfies condition (4), then for every  $\delta > 0$  there exist an entire Dirichlet series  $F$  of the form (3) and  $\sigma_0 > 0$  such that for each  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$

$$M(\sigma, F) \geq \mu(\sigma, F) \ln^{\rho - \frac{1}{2}} \mu(\sigma, F) \cdot u_{k-1}(\ln_2^\rho \mu(\sigma, F)).$$

Also are obtained analogues of this result for entire gap power series.

**1. Introduction.** Denote by  $\mathcal{E}_0$  the class of transcendental entire functions of the form  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$ . For any  $r > 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  we denote

$$\begin{aligned} M_f(r) &= \max\{|f(z)| : |z| \leq r\}, \quad \mu_f(r) = \max\{|a_n| r^n : n \geq 0\}, \\ \ln_1 x &:= \ln x, \quad \ln_{k+1} x := \ln \ln_k x, \quad \exp_1 x = e^x, \quad \exp_{k+1} x = \exp(\exp_k x), \\ u_{-1}(x) &= 1, \quad u_0(x) = x, \quad u_k(x) = x \prod_{j=1}^k \ln_j x, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

In [1] W. K. Hayman proved such Wiman's type inequality.

**Theorem 1** ([1]). *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For every  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\delta > 0$  inequality*

$$M_f(r) \leq \mu_f(r) \ln^{1/2} \mu_f(r) u_{k-2}(\ln_2 \mu_f(r)) \cdot \ln_k^\delta \mu_f(r) \quad (1)$$

*holds for all  $r \in (1; +\infty) \setminus E$ , where  $E$  is a set of finite logarithmic measure ( $\int_E d \ln r < +\infty$ ).*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D20.

*Keywords*: entire function; Dirichlet series; Wiman's inequality; maximum modulus; maximal term; gap power series.

doi:10.30970/ms.66.1.38-42

This work is licensed under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 

\*Corresponding author: M. R. Kuryliak

At  $k = 1$ , inequality (1) coincides with the classical Wiman inequality. The question of the sharpness of inequality (1) was considered in [2, 3]. From the Theorem 4 formulated in [2] we can obtain the following statement.

**Theorem 2.** *For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an entire function  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  such that for all  $r \geq r_0$  we have*

$$M_f(r) \geq \mu_f(r) \ln^{1/2} \mu_f(r) u_{k-2}(\ln_2 \mu_f(r)). \quad (2)$$

So, inequality (1) in the general class  $\mathcal{E}$  are not improveable.

But this inequality seems to be significantly improved for entire functions given by lacunar power series ([6]) or by random power series ([14]).

**2. Dirichlet series and Wiman's inequality.** We consider entire Dirichlet series of the form

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n e^{z\lambda_n} \quad (3)$$

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_n \uparrow +\infty \quad (1 \leq n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

Denote

$$M(\sigma, F) = \sup\{|F(\sigma + iy)| : y \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad \mu(\sigma, F) = \max\{|a_n| e^{\sigma\lambda_n} : n \geq 0\}.$$

For Dirichlet series was proved analogues of inequality (1) in [4, 5]. So, by condition  $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \geq h > 0$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) in [4] was proved that for all  $\delta > 0$

$$M(\sigma, F) \leq \mu(\sigma, F) \ln^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \mu(\sigma, F)$$

holds for all  $\sigma \in [0; +\infty) \setminus E$ , where  $E$  is a set of finite measure ( $\int_E dr < +\infty$ ).

For this series was proved ([7, 8]) such a statement. It can be shown that Sheremeta's result is true in the following formulation.

**Theorem 3** ([7, 8]). *Let  $F$  be Dirichlet series of the form (3) such that*

$$|n(t) - \Delta t^\rho| \leq \mathcal{D} \quad (t \geq t_0), \quad \Delta \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \rho \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad n(t) = \sum_{n: \lambda_n \leq t} 1. \quad (4)$$

*Then there exists a set  $E$  of finite measure and for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a number  $\sigma_k$  such that for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_k; +\infty) \setminus E$  we have*

$$M(\sigma, F) \leq \mu(\sigma, F) \cdot \ln^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} \mu(\sigma, F) \cdot u_{k-1}(\ln_2^\rho \mu(\sigma, F)) \ln_{k+1}^\delta \mu(\sigma, F). \quad (5)$$

The analogues of Theorems 1 and 2 for Dirichlet series are unknown. In this regard, a *problem naturally arises*: to obtain analogues of (2) for entire Dirichlet series with sharp powers of  $\ln_k \mu(F, \sigma)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The following theorem contains a statement about the sharpness of inequality (5).

**Theorem 4.** *If a sequence  $(\lambda_n)$  satisfies condition (4), then for every  $\delta > 0$  there exist an entire Dirichlet series  $F$  of the form (3) and  $\sigma_0 > 0$  such that for each  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$*

$$M(\sigma, F) \geq \mu(\sigma, F) \ln^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} \mu(\sigma, F) \cdot u_{k-1}(\ln_2^\rho \mu(\sigma, F)).$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\ln n = o(\lambda_n)$  ( $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ). Denote  $n_0 = \min\{n: \lambda_n \geq \exp_{k+4}(1)\}$ . For  $\beta > 0$  we choose  $a_n = \exp\{-\beta \lambda_n \ln_{k+4} \lambda_n\}$  for  $n \geq n_0$  and  $a_n = 0$ , for  $n < 0$ , and consider Dirichlet series  $F$  of the form (3). We denote

$$h(x) = -\beta x \ln_{k+4} x + \sigma x, \quad x > \exp_{k+4}(1).$$

Since  $h(x)$  is a convex function on the interval  $[\exp_{k+4}(1), +\infty)$ , there is a unique maximum point  $x(\sigma)$  of  $h(x)$  on  $[\exp_{k+4}(1), +\infty)$ . Hence, for sufficiently large  $\sigma$ , we have  $x(\sigma) \in (\exp_{k+4}(1), +\infty)$ ,  $x(\sigma) \rightarrow +\infty$  ( $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ ) and

$$h'(x(\sigma)) = -\beta \left( \ln_{k+4} x + \frac{1}{u_{k+2}(\ln x)} \right) \Big|_{x(\sigma)} + \sigma = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\ln_{k+4} x(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma}{\beta} - \frac{1}{u_{k+2}(\ln x(\sigma))}, \quad \ln \mu(\sigma, F) \leq \frac{\beta x(\sigma)}{u_{k+2}(\ln x(\sigma))} \quad (\sigma \rightarrow +\infty). \quad (6)$$

Denote  $t_{\pm} = x(\sigma) \pm (u_{k+3}(x(\sigma)) \ln_{k+3}^{\delta} x(\sigma))^{1/2} \pm 1$ ,  $I(\sigma) = (t_-, t_+)$ . Remark also that

$$(u_{k+3}(x) \ln_{k+3}^{\delta} x)^{1/2} = o(x), \quad h''(x) \sim -\beta/u_{k+3}(x) \quad (x \rightarrow +\infty).$$

For every  $x \in I(\sigma)$  there exists  $\eta \in I(\sigma)$  such that

$$h(x) = h(x(\sigma)) + \frac{h''(\eta)}{2}(x - x(\sigma))^2 \geq h(x(\sigma)) - \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + o(1)\right) \ln_{k+3}^{\delta} x(\sigma) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow +\infty).$$

Let  $n_1(I)$  denote the number of the points of the sequence  $(\lambda_n)$  on the interval  $I$ . Then, as  $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$  we have

$$\mathfrak{M}(\sigma, F) \geq \sum_{n \in I(\sigma)} |a_n| e^{\sigma \lambda_n} \geq \mu(\sigma, F) n_1(I(\sigma)) \exp \left\{ \left( -\frac{\beta}{2} + o(1) \right) \ln_{k+3}^{\delta} x(\sigma) \right\}. \quad (7)$$

Since,  $t_+ - t_- = 2(u_{k+3}(x(\sigma)) \ln_{k+3}^{\delta} x(\sigma))^{1/2} + 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} n_1(I(\sigma)) &\geq \Delta(t_+^{\varrho} - t_-^{\varrho}) - 2\mathcal{D} \geq \Delta \varrho(t_+ - t_-)(x(\sigma) + o(x(\sigma)))^{\varrho-1} - 2\mathcal{D} = \\ &= 2\Delta \varrho(1 + o(1))(u_{k+3}(x(\sigma)) \ln_{k+3}^{\delta} x(\sigma))^{1/2} x(\sigma)^{\varrho-1} \geq \\ &\geq \Delta \rho x^{\rho}(\sigma) \frac{\sqrt{u_{k+3}(x(\sigma)) \ln_{k+3}^{\delta} x(\sigma)}}{x(\sigma)} = \Delta \rho x^{\rho-1/2}(\sigma) u_{k+2}(\ln^{1/2} x(\sigma)) \ln_{k+3}^{\delta/2} x(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

as  $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ . Using (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta x(\sigma) &\geq \ln \mu(\sigma, F) u_{k+2}(\ln x(\sigma)), \quad \ln \beta + \ln x(\sigma) \geq \ln_2 \mu(\sigma, F) + 2 \ln_2 x(\sigma), \\ \ln x(\sigma) - 2 \ln_2 x(\sigma) + \ln \beta &\geq \ln_2 \mu(\sigma, F), \quad \ln x(\sigma) \geq (1 + o(1)) \ln_2 \mu(\sigma, F), \quad \sigma \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for some  $C_1 > 0$  we obtain

$$n_1(I(\sigma)) \geq C_1 \ln^{\rho-1/2} \mu(\sigma, F) \cdot u_{k+2}(\ln^{\rho-1/2} x(\sigma)) \cdot u_{k+2}(\ln^{1/2} x(\sigma)) \cdot \ln_{k+3}^{\delta/2} x(\sigma) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C_1 \ln^{\rho-1/2} \mu(\sigma, F) \cdot u_{k+2}(\ln^\rho x(\sigma)) \cdot \ln_{k+3}^{\delta/2} x(\sigma) \geq \\
&\geq \ln^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} \mu(\sigma, F) \cdot u_{k+2}(\ln_2^\rho \mu(\sigma, F)) \cdot \ln_{k+4}^\delta \mu(\sigma, F) \quad (\sigma \rightarrow +\infty).
\end{aligned}$$

Using  $\exp\{(-\frac{\delta}{2} + o(1)) \ln_{k+3}^\delta y\} \geq \ln_{k+2}^{-\delta} y$ ,  $y \rightarrow +\infty$ , and choosing in inequality (7)  $\beta \leq \delta$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{M}(\sigma, F) &\geq \mu(\sigma, F) \ln^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} \mu(\sigma, F) \cdot u_{k+2}(\ln_2^\rho \mu(\sigma, F)) \cdot \ln_{k+4}^\delta \mu(\sigma, F) \times \\
&\quad \times \exp\left\{\left(-\frac{\delta}{2} + o(1)\right) \ln_{k+3}^\delta \mu(\sigma, F)\right\} \geq \\
&\geq \mu(\sigma, F) \ln^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} \mu(\sigma, F) u_k(\ln_2^\rho \mu(\sigma, F)) \ln_{k+2}^{-\delta} \mu(\sigma, F) \geq \\
&\geq \mu(\sigma, F) \ln^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} \mu(\sigma, F) \cdot u_{k-1}(\ln_2^\rho \mu(\sigma, F)), \quad \sigma \rightarrow +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorems 1 and 2 are consequences of theorems 3 and 4, respectively.

**Question. 1.** Similar problems concerning various asymptotic relations have also been considered for Laplace–Stieltjes type integrals (see, e.g., [9, 10, 11, 12]). A natural question arises as to what the corresponding analogues of Theorems 3 and 4 are in this case.

**2.** The same applies to entire functions of several complex variables represented by multiple power series ([15]) or multiple Dirichlet series, as well as those represented by power series with gaps in terms of homogeneous polynomials (see [13]) or those that are random analytic functions (see [14]).

**3. Corollary for gap power series.** Let us consider the class  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m})$  an entire functions of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{m_n}, \quad m_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Let us denote by  $m(t) = \sum_{n: m_n \leq t} 1$  the counting function of the sequence  $\{m_n\}$ .

From Theorems 3 and 4 for entire Dirichlet series we can obtain such a corollary.

**Theorem 5.** If  $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m})$  and a sequence  $\mathbf{m} = \{m_n\}$  satisfies condition where sequence  $\mathbf{m} = \{m_n\}$  satisfies the following condition

$$(\exists \Delta \in (0; +\infty))(\exists \rho \in [1/2; 1])(\exists \mathcal{D} > 0): |m(t) - \Delta t^\rho| \leq \mathcal{D} \quad (t \geq t_0), \quad (8)$$

then for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $\forall \delta > 0$ )( $\exists E_1 \subset (1; +\infty)$ ):  $\int_{E_1} r^{-1} dr < +\infty$ ) ( $\forall r \in [1; +\infty) \setminus E_1$ ) we have

$$M_f(r) \leq \mu_f(r) \ln^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} \mu_f(r) \cdot u_{k-1}(\ln_2^\rho \mu_f(r)) \ln_{k+1}^\delta \mu_f(r).$$

On the other hand, for any sequence  $\mathbf{m} = \{m_n\}$  such that satisfies condition (8) there exist a function  $F \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m})$  such that

$$M_F(r) \geq \mu_F(r) \ln^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} \mu_F(r) u_{k-1}(\ln_2^\rho \mu_F(r)).$$

**Acknowledgments.** The research of A. Bodnarchuk was funded by the National Research Foundation of Ukraine (project 2025.07/0427, “Newest complex probabilistic methods for studying asymptotic properties of analytical solutions of differential equations represented by multiple random series and integrals and their potential applications”, 0126U002547).

## REFERENCES

1. W.K. Hayman, *The Local Growth of Power Series: A Survey of the Wiman-Valiron Method*, Canad. Math. Bull. **17** (3) (1974), 317–358. doi:10.4153/CMB-1974-064-0
2. N.M. Suleimanov, *Estimates of Wiman-Valiron Type for Power Series with Finite Radius of Convergence, and Their Sharpness*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **253** (4) (1980), 822–824.  
https://www.mathnet.ru/links/4b3d4f7d21943bddf73e15c3ffa19158/dan43777.pdf
3. A.I. Shcherba, On the Question of Bound of Maximum of Entire Function by Maximal Term of Power Series, VINITI, No. 8520–88, Dep., 1988.
4. F. Sunier i Balaguer, *Generalización del método de Wiman-Valiron a una clase de series de Dirichlet*, Publ. Semin. Mat. Fac. Cienc. Zaragoza **3** (1962), 41–47.
5. O.B. Skaskiv, *On the Classical Wiman Inequality for Entire Dirichlet Series*, Visn. Lviv Univ., Ser. Mech.-Mat. **54** (1999), 180–182.
6. O.B. Skaskiv, *Random Gap Power Series and Wiman's Inequality*, Mat. Stud. **30** (1) (2008), 101–106. (in Ukrainian) doi:10.30970/ms.30.1.101-106
7. M.M. Sheremeta, *Wiman-Valiron's Method for Entire Functions, Represented by Dirichlet Series*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **19** (1978), 726–730.
8. M.M. Sheremeta, Entire Dirichlet Series, Kyiv, ISDO, 1993.
9. O.B. Skaskiv, *On Certain Relations Between the Maximum Modulus and the Maximal Term of an Entire Dirichlet Series*, Math. Notes **66** (1999), 223–232. doi:10.1007/BF02674881
10. A.O. Kuryliak, I.E. Ovchar, O.B. Skaskiv, *Wiman's Inequality for Laplace Integrals*, Int. J. Math. Anal. **8** (8) (2014), 381–385. doi:10.12988/ijma.2014.4232
11. O.B. Skaskiv, O.Yu. Tarnovecka, D.Yu. Zikrach, *Asymptotic Estimates of Some Positive Integrals Outside an Exceptional Sets*, Internat. J. Pure Appl. Math. **118** (2) (2018), 157–164.  
doi:10.12732/ijpam.v118i2
12. O.B. Skaskiv, *Behavior of the Maximum Term of a Dirichlet Series That Defines an Entire Function*, Math. Notes **37** (1) (1985), 24–28. doi:10.1007/BF01652509
13. A.O. Kuryliak, O.B. Skaskiv, S.I. Panchuk, *Bitlyan-Gol'dberg Type Inequality for Entire Functions and Diagonal Maximal Term*, Mat. Stud. **54** (2) (2020), 135–145. doi:10.30970/ms.54.2.135-145
14. A.O. Kuryliak, O.B. Skaskiv, A.I. Bandura, *Arbitrary Random Variables and Wiman's Inequality for Entire Functions*, Axioms **13** (11) (2024), art. ID 793. doi:10.3390/axioms13110739
15. A.O. Kuryliak, O.B. Skaskiv, O.V. Zrum, *Levy's Phenomenon for Entire Functions of Several Variables*, Ufa Math. J. **6** (2) (2014), 111–120. doi:10.13108/2014-6-2-111

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv  
8andriy1111@gmail.com

Lviv Polytechnic National University  
kuryliakmariya@gmail.com

Received 17.04.2026

Revised 08.09.2026