

УДК 517.537.6

M. M. SHEREMETA

**LOCALLY UNIVALENCE OF DIRICHLET SERIES SATISFYING
A LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF SECOND ORDER
WITH EXPONENTIAL COEFFICIENTS**

M. M. Sheremeta. *Locally univalence of Dirichlet series satisfying a linear differential equation of second order with exponential coefficients*, Mat. Stud. **51** (2019), 152–158.

Let $h > 0$, $\gamma_0 \neq 0$, $\gamma_1 \neq 0$, $\gamma_2 \leq -1$ and $|\gamma_1|/4 + |\gamma_0|/6 \leq h/5$. It is proved that the differential equation $w'' + (\gamma_0 e^{2hs} + \gamma_1 e^{hs} + \gamma_2)w = 0$ has an entire solution $F(s) = \exp\{s\lambda_1\} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} f_k \exp\{s\lambda_k\}$ locally univalent in the half-plane $\{s: \operatorname{Re} s < 0\}$ and such that $\ln M(\sigma, F) \sim (\sqrt{|\gamma_0|}/h)e^{h\sigma}$ as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$, where $M(\sigma, F) = \sup\{|F(\sigma + it)|: t \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

1. Introduction. An analytic univalent in $\mathbb{D} = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ function

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n z^n \quad (1)$$

is said to be convex if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a convex domain. It is well known [1, p. 203] that the condition $\operatorname{Re}\{1 + z f''(z)/f'(z)\} > 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$) is necessary and sufficient for the convexity of f . Due to W. Kaplan ([2]) the function f is said to be close-to-convex in \mathbb{D} (see also [1, p. 583]) if there exists a convex in \mathbb{D} function Φ such that $\operatorname{Re}(f'(z)/\Phi'(z)) > 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$). A close-to-convex function f has a characteristic property that the complement G of the domain $f(\mathbb{D})$ can be filled with rays L which go from ∂G and lie in G . Every close-to-convex in \mathbb{D} function f is univalent in \mathbb{D} and, therefore, $f'(0) \neq 0$. Hence it follows that the function f is close-to-convex in \mathbb{D} if and only if the function

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n, \quad (2)$$

is close-to-convex in \mathbb{D} , where $g_n = f_n/f_1$. We remark also, that the function (2) is said to be starlike in \mathbb{D} , if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is starlike domain with respect to the origin. It is clear, that every starlike function is close-to-convex.

S. M. Shah [3] indicated conditions on real parameters γ_0 , γ_1 , γ_2 of the differential equation

$$z^2 \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + z \frac{dw}{dz} + (\gamma_0 z^2 + \gamma_1 z + \gamma_2)w = 0, \quad (3)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 33B50.

Keywords: Dirichlet series; locally univalence; linear differential equation of second order.

doi:10.15330/ms.51.2.152-158

under which there exists an entire transcendental solution (1) such that f and all its derivatives are close-to-convex in \mathbb{D} . In particular, he obtained the following result.

Theorem A. *If $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$, $-2 < \gamma_0 < 0$ then equation (3) has an entire solution (2) such that all derivatives $g^{(2n+1)}$ ($n \geq 0$) are close-to-convex in \mathbb{D} , and if $\gamma_1 = 0$, $0 < |\gamma_0| < 2$, $\gamma_2 = -1$ then the equation (3) has an entire solution (2) such that all derivatives $g^{(2n)}$ ($n \geq 0$) are close-to-convex in \mathbb{D} . For such solutions $\ln M_g(r) = (1 + o(1))\sqrt{|\gamma_0|}r$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, where $M_g(r) = \max\{|g(z)|: |z| = r\}$.*

Substituting $z = e^s$ into (3) we obtain the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2w}{ds^2} + (\gamma_0 e^{2hs} + \gamma_1 e^{hs} + \gamma_2)w = 0, \tag{4}$$

with $h = 1$. We will consider the case, where h is an arbitrary positive number and using a certain result of M.S. Robertson we will investigate the locally univalence in some half-plane of Dirichlet series with positive exponents satisfying the differential equation (4).

2. Auxiliary results. Let $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_k \uparrow +\infty$ and $f_k \neq 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and a Dirichlet series

$$F(s) = \exp\{s\lambda_1\} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} f_k \exp\{s\lambda_k\}, \quad s = \sigma + it, \tag{5}$$

has the abscissa of absolute convergence $\sigma_a \in (-\infty, +\infty]$. Then there is the greatest real number $\tau \in (-\infty, \sigma_a]$, for which

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k |f_k| \exp\{\tau \lambda_k\} \leq 1. \tag{6}$$

Those functions F given by Dirichlet series (5) which satisfy (6) is said to be of the class τ . Recalling certain concepts of univalence introduced by P. Montel [4], we say that a function f is locally univalent in a region G if f is regular in G and if, for every closed domain $G^* \subset G$ and for every point $z_0 \in G^*$, there exists a positive number ϱ independent of z_0 such that f is univalent in every disk $\{z: |z - z_0| < \varrho\}$ lying within G . M.S. Robertson [5] proved the following theorem.

Theorem B. *Let function (5) belong to the class τ . If $\tau > -\frac{\ln \lambda_1}{\lambda_1}$ then F is locally univalent in $\{s: \operatorname{Re} s < \tau\}$, and if $\tau \leq -\frac{\ln \lambda_1}{\lambda_1}$ then F is locally univalent in*

$$\left\{ s: \operatorname{Re} s < \frac{\tau \lambda_2 + \ln \lambda_1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \leq \tau \right\}.$$

Now we return to differential equation (4), where $h_0 > 0$, $\gamma_0 \neq 0$, $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ and $\gamma_2 \neq 0$. Substituting (5) in (4), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k (\lambda_k^2 + \gamma_2) \exp\{s\lambda_k\} = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_1 f_k \exp\{s(\lambda_k + h)\} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_0 f_k \exp\{s(\lambda_k + 2h)\}. \tag{7}$$

If $\lambda_1^2 + \gamma_2 \neq 0$ then $(\lambda_1^2 + \gamma_2) \exp\{s\lambda_1\} = -(1 + o(1))\gamma_1 \exp\{s(\lambda_1 + h)\}$, $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$, that is $\lambda_1^2 + \gamma_2 = -(1 + o(1))\gamma_1 \exp\{sh\} \rightarrow 0$ ($\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$), which is impossible. Thus, $\lambda_1^2 + \gamma_2 = 0$, $\gamma_2 < 0$ and $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{-\gamma_2}$. Therefore, the equality (7) implies

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} f_k (\lambda_k^2 + \gamma_2) \exp\{s\lambda_k\} = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_1 f_k \exp\{s(\lambda_k + h)\} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_0 f_k \exp\{s(\lambda_k + 2h)\}. \tag{8}$$

and since $\lambda_k^2 + \gamma_2 \neq 0$, we have

$$f_2(\lambda_2^2 + \gamma_2) \exp\{s\lambda_2\} = -(1 + o(1))\gamma_1 \exp\{s(\lambda_1 + h)\}, \quad \sigma \rightarrow -\infty.$$

Hence it follows that $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1 + h$ and $f_2 = \frac{-\gamma_1}{\lambda_2^2 + \gamma_2}$. But then from (8) it follows that

$$\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} f_k(\lambda_k^2 + \gamma_2) \exp\{s\lambda_k\} = -\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma_1 f_k \exp\{s(\lambda_k + h)\} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_0 f_k \exp\{s(\lambda_k + 2h)\}. \quad (9)$$

Hence we obtain as $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} f_3(\lambda_3^2 + \gamma_2) \exp\{s\lambda_3\} &= -(1 + o(1))\gamma_1 f_2 \exp\{s(\lambda_1 + 2h)\} - \gamma_0 \exp\{s(\lambda_1 + 2h)\} = \\ &= -(1 + o(1))(\gamma_1 f_2 + \gamma_0) \exp\{s(\lambda_1 + 2h)\}, \end{aligned}$$

i. e. $\lambda_3 = \lambda_1 + 2h$, $f_3 = -\frac{\gamma_1 f_2}{\lambda_3^2 + \gamma_2} - \frac{\gamma_0}{\lambda_3^2 + \gamma_2}$. Then from (9) it follows that

$$\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} f_k(\lambda_k^2 + \gamma_2) \exp\{s\lambda_k\} = -\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \gamma_1 f_k \exp\{s(\lambda_k + h)\} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma_0 f_k \exp\{s(\lambda_k + 2h)\},$$

whence, as above, we obtain

$$\lambda_4 = \lambda_3 + h, \quad f_4 = -\frac{\gamma_1 f_3}{\lambda_4^2 + \gamma_2} - \frac{\gamma_0 f_2}{\lambda_4^2 + \gamma_2}.$$

Continuing this process, we come to the formulas

$$\lambda_k = \lambda_{k-1} + h, \quad f_k = -\frac{\gamma_1 f_{k-1}}{\lambda_k^2 + \gamma_2} - \frac{\gamma_0 f_{k-2}}{\lambda_k^2 + \gamma_2} \quad (k \geq 3). \quad (10)$$

Thus, the following statement is true.

Lemma 1. *Let $h > 0$, $\gamma_0 \neq 0$, $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ and $\gamma_2 < 0$. Then the differential equation (4) has a solution*

$$F(s) = \exp\{s\sqrt{|\gamma_2|}\} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} f_k \exp\{s\lambda_k\}, \quad \lambda_k = \sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + (k-1)h, \quad (11)$$

where $f_2 = \frac{-\gamma_1}{\lambda_2^2 + \gamma_2}$ and for $k \geq 3$ the coefficients f_k are determined by recurrent formula (10).

We need also the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $h > 0$, $\gamma_0 \neq 0$, $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ and $\gamma_2 < 0$. If for some $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\frac{\lambda_3 |\gamma_1|}{\lambda_2 (\lambda_3^2 + \gamma_2)} e^{h\eta} + \frac{\lambda_4 |\gamma_0|}{\lambda_2 (\lambda_4^2 + \gamma_2)} e^{2h\eta} + \lambda_2 |f_2| e^{\eta\lambda_2} + \frac{\lambda_3 |\gamma_0|}{\lambda_3^2 + \gamma_2} e^{\eta\lambda_3} \leq 1, \quad (12)$$

then for the function (5)

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k |g_k| \exp\{\eta\lambda_k\} \leq 1. \quad (13)$$

Proof. In view of (10)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k |f_k| \exp\{\eta \lambda_k\} \leq \lambda_2 |f_2| \exp\{\eta \lambda_2\} + \\ & + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_k |\gamma_1| |f_{k-1}|}{\lambda_k^2 + \gamma_2} \exp\{\eta \lambda_k\} + \frac{\lambda_k |\gamma_0| |f_{k-2}|}{\lambda_k^2 + \gamma_2} \exp\{\eta \lambda_k\} \right) = \\ & = \lambda_2 |f_2| \exp\{\eta \lambda_2\} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{k+1} |\gamma_1| |f_k|}{\lambda_{k+1}^2 + \gamma_2} \exp\{\eta \lambda_{k+1}\} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{k+2} |\gamma_0| |f_k|}{\lambda_{k+2}^2 + \gamma_2} \exp\{\eta \lambda_{k+2}\} = \\ & = \lambda_2 |f_2| \exp\{\eta \lambda_2\} + \frac{\lambda_3 |\gamma_0| |f_1|}{\lambda_3^2 + \gamma_2} \exp\{\eta \lambda_3\} + \\ & + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{k+1} |\gamma_1| |f_k|}{\lambda_{k+1}^2 + \gamma_2} \exp\{\eta \lambda_{k+1}\} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{k+2} |\gamma_0| |f_k|}{\lambda_{k+2}^2 + \gamma_2} \exp\{\eta \lambda_{k+2}\}, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{k+1} |\gamma_1| \exp\{\eta(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)\}}{\lambda_k (\lambda_{k+1}^2 + \gamma_2)} - \frac{\lambda_{k+2} |\gamma_0| \exp\{\eta(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_k)\}}{\lambda_k (\lambda_{k+2}^2 + \gamma_2)} \right) \times \\ & \times \lambda_k |f_k| \exp\{\eta \lambda_k\} \leq \lambda_2 |f_2| \exp\{\eta \lambda_2\} + \frac{\lambda_3 |\gamma_0|}{\lambda_3^2 + \gamma_2} \exp\{\eta \lambda_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequences $(\frac{\lambda_{k+1} |\gamma_1|}{\lambda_k (\lambda_{k+1}^2 + \gamma_2)})$ and $(\frac{\lambda_{k+2} |\gamma_0|}{\lambda_k (\lambda_{k+2}^2 + \gamma_2)})$ are decreasing and $\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k = h$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_3 |\gamma_1| \exp\{h\eta\}}{\lambda_2 (\lambda_3^2 + \gamma_2)} - \frac{\lambda_4 |\gamma_0| \exp\{2h\eta\}}{\lambda_2 (\lambda_4^2 + \gamma_2)} \right) \lambda_k |f_k| \exp\{\eta \lambda_k\} \leq \\ & \leq \lambda_2 |f_2| \exp\{\eta \lambda_2\} + \frac{\lambda_3 |\gamma_0|}{\lambda_3^2 + \gamma_2} \exp\{\eta \lambda_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (12) it follows that

$$\frac{\lambda_3 |\gamma_1| \exp\{h\eta\}}{\lambda_2 (\lambda_3^2 + \gamma_2)} + \frac{\lambda_4 |\gamma_0| \exp\{2h\eta\}}{\lambda_2 (\lambda_4^2 + \gamma_2)} < 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k |f_k| \exp\{\eta \lambda_k\} \leq \frac{\lambda_2 |f_2| \exp\{\eta \lambda_2\} + \frac{\lambda_3 |\gamma_0|}{\lambda_3^2 + \gamma_2} \exp\{\eta \lambda_3\}}{1 - \frac{\lambda_3 |\gamma_1| \exp\{h\eta\}}{\lambda_2 (\lambda_3^2 + \gamma_2)} - \frac{\lambda_4 |\gamma_0| \exp\{2h\eta\}}{\lambda_2 (\lambda_4^2 + \gamma_2)}},$$

i. e. in view of (12) the inequality (13) holds. □

3. Main result. The following theorem is true.

Theorem. Let $h > 0$, $\gamma_0 \neq 0$, $\gamma_1 \neq 0$, $\gamma_2 < 0$ and for some $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + 2h) |\gamma_1|}{4h(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + h)^2} e^{h\eta} + \frac{(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + 3h) |\gamma_0|}{3h(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + h)(3h + 2\sqrt{|\gamma_2|})} e^{2h\eta} +$$

$$+\frac{|\gamma_1|(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|}+h)\exp\{\eta\sqrt{|\gamma_2|}\}}{h(h+\sqrt{|\gamma_2|})}e^{h\eta}+\frac{(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|}+2h)|\gamma_0|\exp\{\eta\sqrt{|\gamma_2|}\}}{4h(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|}+h)}e^{2h\eta}\leq 1. \quad (14)$$

Then the differential equation (4) has the entire solution (11) locally univalent in the half-plan

$$\left\{s: \operatorname{Re} s < \min\left\{\eta, \frac{\eta(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|}+h)+\ln\sqrt{|\gamma_2|}}{h}\right\}\right\} \quad (15)$$

and such that $\ln M(\sigma, F) = (1 + o(1))\frac{\sqrt{|\gamma_0|}}{h}e^{h\sigma}$ as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. The conditions (14) and (12) are equivalent. Therefore, by Lemma 2 the condition (13) holds and, thus, F belongs to the class $\tau \geq \eta$. By Theorem B the function F is locally univalent in half-plane $\left\{s: \operatorname{Re} s < \min\left\{\tau, \frac{\tau\lambda_1+\ln|\lambda_1|}{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}\right\}\right\}$ and, thus, in half-plane (15).

Now we show that series (11) is entire. Indeed, since for every $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $k_0 = k_0(\sigma) \geq 3$ such that

$$\frac{|\gamma_1|}{\lambda_{k+1}^2 + \gamma_2}e^{h\sigma} + \frac{|\gamma_0|}{\lambda_{k+2}^2 + \gamma_2}e^{2h\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad (k \geq k_0),$$

we have, as above,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} |f_k| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_k\} &\leq \sum_{k=k_0-1}^{\infty} \frac{|\gamma_1||f_k|}{\lambda_{k+1}^2 + \gamma_2} \exp\{\sigma\lambda_k\} \exp\{\sigma(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)\} + \\ &+ \sum_{k=k_0-2}^{\infty} \frac{|\gamma_0||f_k|}{\lambda_{k+2}^2 + \gamma_2} \exp\{\sigma\lambda_k\} \exp\{\sigma(\lambda_{k+2} - \lambda_k)\} = \\ &= \frac{|\gamma_1||f_{k_0-1}| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_{k_0}\}}{\lambda_{k_0}^2 + \gamma_2} + \frac{|\gamma_0||f_{k_0-2}| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_{k_0}\}}{\lambda_{k_0}^2 + \gamma_2} + \frac{|\gamma_0||f_{k_0-1}| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_{k_0-1}\}}{\lambda_{k_0-1}^2 + \gamma_2} + \\ &+ \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|\gamma_1|}{\lambda_{k+1}^2 + \gamma_2} e^{h\sigma} + \frac{|\gamma_0|}{\lambda_{k+2}^2 + \gamma_2} e^{2h\sigma} \right) |f_k| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_k\} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{|\gamma_1||f_{k_0-1}| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_{k_0}\}}{\lambda_{k_0}^2 + \gamma_2} + \frac{|\gamma_0||f_{k_0-2}| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_{k_0}\}}{\lambda_{k_0}^2 + \gamma_2} + \frac{|\gamma_0||f_{k_0-1}| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_{k_0-1}\}}{\lambda_{k_0-1}^2 + \gamma_2} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} |f_k| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_k\}, \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows that $\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} |f_k| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_k\} < +\infty$, i. e. Dirichlet series (11) is absolutely convergent in \mathbb{C} .

For the study of the growth of (11) we use the Wiman-Valiron method. Let

$$\mu(\sigma, F) = \max\{|f_k| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_k\}: k \geq 1\}$$

be the maximal term of the Dirichlet series of form (5) and

$$\nu(\sigma, F) = \max\{k: |f_k| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_k\} = \mu(\sigma, F)\}$$

be its central index.

We suppose that the exponents of the Dirichlet series (5) satisfy the condition

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^{-2} \ln n(t) dt < +\infty,$$

where $n(t) = \sum_{0 < \lambda_n \leq t} 1$, and put

$$\eta(x) = \int_x^\infty t^{-2} \ln n(t) dt, \quad l(x) = \frac{1}{\eta(x)} \ln^{-2} \frac{1}{\eta(x)}, \quad k(x) = x \sqrt{1/l(x)}.$$

Then [6] for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all s , $\operatorname{Re} s = \tau$, $|\tau - \sigma| < 1/(30k(\lambda_\nu))$,

$$F^{(m)}(s) = \lambda_\nu^m (F(s) + o(M(\tau, F))), \quad \nu = \nu(\sigma, F), \tag{16}$$

as $0 \leq \sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ outside of some set $E \subset [0, +\infty)$ of finite measure and E is contained in the union of intervals $[R_\nu + \tau_{\nu-1}, R_\nu + \tau_\nu)$ and $\tau_\nu - \tau_{\nu-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\delta(\sigma)$ be an arbitrary positive function on $[0, +\infty)$, which tends to zero as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$, and $\Delta(\sigma) = \{s: \operatorname{Re} s = \sigma, |F(s)| \geq (1 - \delta(\sigma))M(\sigma, F)\}$. Then choosing $\tau = \sigma$ we obtain from (16)

$$F^{(m)}(s) = \lambda_\nu^m F(s)(1 + \varepsilon(\sigma)), \quad s \in \Delta(\sigma), \tag{17}$$

where $\varepsilon(\sigma) \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$, $\sigma \notin E$.

Suppose that the Dirichlet series (11) satisfies (4). Substituting (17) in (4) we obtain $\lambda_\nu^2 = |\gamma_0|e^{2h\sigma}(1 + \varepsilon_1(\sigma))$, where $\varepsilon_1(\sigma) \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$, $\sigma \notin E$. Therefore,

$$\lambda_{\nu(\sigma, F)} = (1 + o(1))\sqrt{|\gamma_0|}e^{h\sigma}, \quad \sigma \rightarrow +\infty, \sigma \notin E. \tag{18}$$

If $\sigma \in E$, that is $\sigma_{\nu-1} = R_\nu + \tau_{\nu-1} \leq \sigma < \sigma_\nu = R_\nu + \tau_\nu$ for some ν , then $\sigma_\nu - \sigma_{\nu-1} \rightarrow 0$ and, thus,

$$e^{h\sigma_{\nu-1}} = (1 + o(1))e^{h\sigma} = (1 + o(1))e^{h\sigma_\nu}$$

as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + o(1))e^{h\sigma} &= (1 + o(1))e^{h\sigma_{\nu-1}} = \lambda_{\nu(\sigma_{\nu-1}, F)} \leq \lambda_{\nu(\sigma, F)} \leq \lambda_{\nu(\sigma_\nu, F)} = \\ &= (1 + o(1))e^{h\sigma_\nu} = (1 + o(1))e^{h\sigma}, \quad \sigma \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

i. e. (20) is true as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$. But [7, p. 182] $\ln \mu(\sigma, F) = \ln \mu(0, F) + \int_0^\sigma \lambda_{\nu(t, F)} dt$. Therefore,

$$\ln \mu(\sigma, F) = (1 + o(1))\frac{\sqrt{|\gamma_0|}}{h}e^{h\sigma}$$

as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ and, since $\ln \lambda_n \sim \ln n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have [8, 9]

$$\ln M(\sigma, F) = (1 + o(1)) \ln \mu(\sigma, F), \quad \sigma \rightarrow +\infty.$$

□

Suppose that $\gamma_2 \leq -1$ and $\eta = 0$. Then instead of (15) we have the half-plane

$$\{s: \operatorname{Re} s < \min\{0, (\ln \sqrt{|\gamma_2|})/h\}\} = \{s: \operatorname{Re} s < 0\},$$

and condition (14) has the form

$$\frac{(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + 2h)|\gamma_1|}{4(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + h)^2} + \frac{(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + 3h)|\gamma_0|}{3(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + h)(3h + 2\sqrt{|\gamma_2|})} + \frac{|\gamma_1|(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + h)}{(h + \sqrt{|\gamma_2|})} + \frac{(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + 2h)|\gamma_0|}{4(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + h)} \leq h. \tag{19}$$

Since

$$\frac{\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + 2h}{4(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + h)^2} \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad \frac{\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + 3h}{3(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + h)(3h + 2\sqrt{|\gamma_2|})} \leq \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + 2h}{4(\sqrt{|\gamma_2|} + h)} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

condition (19) holds if $\frac{5|\gamma_1|}{4} + \frac{5|\gamma_0|}{6} \leq h$, and the theorem implies the following corollary.

Corollary. *Let $h > 0$, $\gamma_0 \neq 0$, $\gamma_1 \neq 0$, $\gamma_2 \leq -1$ and $5|\gamma_1|/4 + 5|\gamma_0|/6 \leq h$. Then differential equation (4) has entire solution (11) locally univalent in the half-plane $\{s: \operatorname{Re} s < 0\}$ and such that*

$$\ln M(\sigma, F) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\sqrt{|\gamma_0|}}{h} e^{h\sigma}$$

as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$.

REFERENCES

1. G.M. Golusin, Geometrical theory of functions of complex variable, M.: Nauka, 1966, 628 p. (in Russian), Engl. transl.: AMS: Translations of Mathematical monograph, **26** (1969), 676 p.
2. W. Kaplan, *Close-to-convex schlicht functions*, Michigan Math. J., **1** (1952), №2, 169–185.
3. S.M. Shah, *Univalence of a function f and its successive derivatives when f satisfies a differential equation*, II. J. Math. Anal. and Appl., **142** (1989), 422–430.
4. P. Montel, *Sur les fonctions localement univalentes ou multivalentes*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3), **54** (1937), 39–54.
5. M.S. Robertson, *Schlicht Dirichlet series*, Canad. J. Math., **10** (1958), 161–176.
6. M.N. Sheremeta, *On the derivative of an entire Dirichlet series*, Mat. sb., **137** (1988), №1, 128–139 (in Russian); Engl. transl.: Math. USSR Sbornik, **65** (1990), №1, 133–145.
7. A.F. Leont'ev, Series of exponents, M.: Nauka., 1976, 536 p. (in Russian)
8. M.N. Sheremeta, *Full equivalence of the logarithms of the maximum modulus and the maximal term of an entire Dirichlet series*, Mat. Zametki, **47** (1990), №6, 119–123 (in Russian); Engl. transl.: Math. Notes, **47** (1990), №6, 119–123.
9. M.N. Sheremeta, *On the correlations between the maximal term and the maximum modulus of an entire Dirichlet series*, Mat. Zametki, **51** (1992), №5, 141–148 (in Russian); Engl. transl.: Math. Notes, **51** (1992), №5, 141–148.

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv
Lviv, Ukraine
m.m.sheremeta@gmail.com

Received 28.10.2018

Revised 29.02.2019