

УДК 517.555

B. V. VYNNYTS'KYI, I. B. SHEPAROVYCH

**ON INTERPOLATION PROBLEM WITH DERIVATIVE  
IN A SPACE OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS  
WITH FAST-GROWING INTERPOLATION KNOTS**

B. V. Vynnyts'kyi, I. B. Sheparovych, *On interpolation problem with derivative in a space of entire functions with fast-growing interpolation knots*, Mat. Stud. **51** (2019), 50–58.

We obtain the conditions on a sequence  $(b_{k,1}; b_{k,2})$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that the interpolation problem  $g(\lambda_k) = b_{k,1}$ ,  $g'(\lambda_k) = b_{k,2}$  has the unique solution in a subspace of entire functions  $g$  satisfying the condition  $\ln M_g(r) \leq c_1 \exp(N(r) + N(\rho_1 r))$ , where  $|\lambda_k/\lambda_{k+1}| \leq \Delta < 1$ , and  $N(r)$  is the Nevanlinna counting function of the sequence  $(\lambda_k)$ . These results have been applied to describe solutions of the differential equation  $f'' + a_0 f = 0$  with a coefficient  $a_0$  from the some space of entire functions.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $(\lambda_k)$ ,  $\lambda_k \neq 0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of distinct complex numbers without finite limit points. For  $(\lambda_k)$ , the Nevanlinna counting function is defined by  $N(r) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t)}{t} dt$ , where  $n(r)$  is equal to the number of points of the sequence in the disk  $|z| < r$ . We note that  $N(r) = \sum_{|\lambda_k| \leq r} \log \frac{r}{|\lambda_k|}$ . To characterize the growth of an entire function  $g(z)$  we introduce the function  $M_g(r) = \max \{|g(z)| : |z| = r\}$ .

Various interpolation problems in spaces of entire functions were investigated in papers of many authors (see [1–15]). A. Gel'fond ([1]) and Y. Kaz'min ([4]) considered the interpolation problem  $g(\lambda_k) = b_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\lambda_k = \beta^{k-1}$ ,  $|\beta| > 1$ . From their results the theorems follow.

**Theorem H** (Hel'fond). *The unique entire function such that*

$$g(\beta^{k-1}) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( \ln^+ M_g(r) - \frac{\ln^2 r}{2 \ln |\beta|} - \frac{\ln r}{2} \right) = -\infty$$

is  $g \equiv 0$ .

**Theorem K** (Kaz'min). *Let  $\lambda_k = \beta^{k-1}$ ,  $|\beta| > 1$ . Then for every sequence  $(b_k)$  such that*

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\beta|^{-\frac{(k-1)}{2}} |b_k|^{1/k} \leq r_1, \quad r_1 \in (1/|\beta|; 1),$$

*interpolation problem  $g(\lambda_k) = b_k$  has the unique solution in the space of entire functions  $g$ , that satisfy the condition*

$$\ln M_g(r) \leq \frac{\ln^2 \rho_1 r}{2 \ln |\beta|} + \frac{\ln r}{2} + c_2$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30E05, 30D15.

*Keywords*: interpolation problem, entire function, interpolation knots, differential equation.

doi:10.15330/ms.51.1.50-58

for each  $\rho_1 > r_1$ .

The aim of this paper is to investigate a solution of the interpolation problem

$$g(\lambda_k) = b_{k,1}, \quad g'(\lambda_k) = b_{k,2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1)$$

in the case for some  $\Delta \in (0; 1)$  the sequence  $(\lambda_k)$  satisfies the condition

$$|\lambda_k/\lambda_{k+1}| \leq \Delta, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2)$$

Let

$$L(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda_j}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Note that condition (2) ensures the convergence of  $L(z)$ .

Here we directly select classes of solution existence by characteristics of the sequence  $(\lambda_k)$ . It is important to investigate some bases (see [10], [15]). Earlier interpolation problems in similar terms were considered in papers by I. I. Ibragimov and his disciples (e.g., see [16]). Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(\lambda_k)$  be a sequence of complex numbers satisfying condition (2) for some  $\Delta < 1$ . Then for every sequences  $(b_{k,1})$  and  $(b_{k,2})$  such that for some  $q \in (\Delta; 1)$*

$$|b_{k,1}| \leq c_1 \exp(2N(q|\lambda_k|)), \quad |\lambda_k| |b_{k,2}| \leq c_5 \exp(N(|\lambda_k|) + N(q|\lambda_k|)), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3)$$

(henceforth,  $c_i$  is a positive constant) interpolation problem (1) has the unique solution in the space of entire functions  $g$  satisfying the condition

$$M_g(r) \leq c_3 \exp(N(r) + N(\rho_1 r)) \quad (4)$$

for each  $\rho_1 \in (q; 1)$ . The following function

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( -\frac{L''(\lambda_k) b_{k,1}}{L'^3(\lambda_k)} \frac{L^2(z)}{z - \lambda_k} + \frac{b_{k,2}}{L'^2(\lambda_k)} \frac{L^2(z)}{z - \lambda_k} + \frac{b_{k,1}}{L'^2(\lambda_k)} \frac{L^2(z)}{(z - \lambda_k)^2} \right) \quad (5)$$

is its solution.

This theorem can be applied to study zeros of solutions of the differential equation  $f'' + a_0 f = 0$ . It is considered in Section 3.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** First, we shall prove the uniqueness of the solution. On the contrary, we assume that for the sequences  $(b_{k,1})$  and  $(b_{k,2})$  with properties (3) there exist two different entire functions  $g = f_1$  and  $g = f_2$ , satisfying (4) and solving problem (1). Then the function  $f = f_2 - f_1$  has zeros of order  $m_k \geq 2$  in all points  $\lambda_k$  and satisfies the condition (4) for some  $\rho_1 < 1$ . Therefore, from the Jensen inequality we obtain  $N(r) \leq N(\rho_1 r) + c_0$ . But it contradicts to the assumption of the theorem, because  $N(r) - N(\rho_1 r) \rightarrow +\infty$ , if  $\rho_1 < 1$  and  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus, the uniqueness is proved.

Next, for given  $F(z) = L^2(z)$  we denote

$$Q_k(z) = \sum_{m=1}^2 A_{km} \left( \frac{1}{z - \lambda_k} \right)^{(m-1)}, \quad \gamma_{k,j} = \left( \frac{(z - \lambda_k)^2}{F(z)} \right)^{(j)} \Big|_{z=\lambda_k}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

$$A_{k,m} = \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(p_m - m)!(m-1)!} \sum_{l=0}^{2-m} C_{2-m}^l \gamma_{k,2-m+1-l} b_{k,l+1}.$$

Then the series (see, for example, [4])

$$g(z) = F(z) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k(z)$$

satisfies condition (1). Let us show that  $g$  also satisfies condition (4). One should observe that  $\gamma_{k,1} = \frac{1}{L'^2(\lambda_k)}$ ,  $\gamma_{k,2} = -\frac{L''(\lambda_k)}{L'^3(\lambda_k)}$  and therefore  $g$  admits representation (5). Thus,

$$|g(z)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{b_{k,2}}{L'^2(\lambda_k)} \frac{L^2(z)}{z - \lambda_k} \right| + \left| \frac{b_{k,1}}{L'^2(\lambda_k)} \frac{L^2(z)}{(z - \lambda_k)^2} \right| + \left| \frac{L''(\lambda_k)}{L'(\lambda_k)} \frac{b_{k,1}}{L'^2(\lambda_k)} \frac{L^2(z)}{z - \lambda_k} \right| = \sum_1 + \sum_2 + \sum_3.$$

Since

$$\exp(N(\lambda_k)) = \frac{|\lambda_k|^k}{\prod_{n=1}^k |\lambda_n|}, \quad \exp(N(q|\lambda_k|)) = \frac{(q|\lambda_k|)^{k-1}}{\prod_{n=1}^{k-1} |\lambda_n|} = q^{k-1} \frac{|\lambda_k|^k}{\prod_{n=1}^k |\lambda_n|}$$

for any  $q \in (\Delta; 1)$ , the relationships

$$\ln M_L(r) = N(r) + O(1), \quad r \in [0; +\infty), \quad (6)$$

$$\ln |\lambda_k L'(\lambda_k)| = N(|\lambda_k|) + O(1), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (7)$$

$$\max \left\{ \left| \frac{L(z)}{z - \lambda_k} \right| : |z| \leq r \right\} \leq c(\varepsilon) \frac{M_L((1 + \varepsilon)r)}{r + |\lambda_k|}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad r \in [0; +\infty), \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad (8)$$

hold for some function

$$L(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{\lambda_j} \right)$$

see [14, 15]. Using (3), (6)–(8) and denoting  $\rho_2 := (1 + \varepsilon)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{b_{k,2}}{L'^2(\lambda_k)} \left| \frac{L^2(z)}{z - \lambda_k} \right| \right| &\leq c_4 \exp(N(r) + N(\rho_2 r)) \frac{|\lambda_k| \exp(N(|\lambda_k|) + N(q|\lambda_k|))}{\exp(2N(|\lambda_k|)) (r + |\lambda_k|)} = \\ &= c_4 \exp(N(r) + N(\rho_2 r)) \frac{|\lambda_k| q^{k-1}}{(r + |\lambda_k|)}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $|z| \leq r$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Further, we suppose  $|\lambda_m| \leq r < |\lambda_{m+1}|$ ,  $|z| \leq r$  and  $\rho_1 = \rho_2 q$ . Then  $m = n(r)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{b_{k,2} L^2(z)}{(z - \lambda_k) L'^2(\lambda_k)} \right| &\leq c_4 \exp(N(r)) \exp(N(\rho_2 r)) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_k| q^{k-1}}{(r + |\lambda_k|)} \leq \\ &\leq c_4 \exp(N(r)) \exp(N(\rho_2 r)) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m q^{k-1} \frac{|\lambda_k|}{r} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} q^{k-1} \right) \leq \\ &\leq c_4 \exp(N(r)) \exp(N(\rho_2 r)) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m q^{k-1} \frac{|\lambda_k|}{|\lambda_m|} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} q^{k-1} \right) \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c_5 \exp(N(r)) \exp(N(\rho_2 r)) \left( \frac{\Delta^m}{q} \sum_{n=1}^m \left( \frac{q}{\Delta} \right)^n + \frac{q^m}{1-q} \right) \leq \\
&\leq c_5 \exp(N(r)) \exp(N(\rho_2 r)) \left( \frac{\Delta^m}{q} \frac{q}{\Delta} \frac{\left( \frac{q}{\Delta} \right)^m - 1}{\left( \frac{q}{\Delta} \right) - 1} + \frac{q^m}{1-q} \right) \leq \\
&\leq c_5 \exp(N(r)) \exp(N(\rho_2 r)) \left( \frac{q^m}{q-\Delta} + \frac{q^m}{1-q} \right) \leq \\
&\leq c_5 \exp(N(r)) \exp(N(\rho_2 r)) q^m = c_5 \exp(N(r)) \exp\left(N(\rho_2 r) - m \ln \frac{1}{q}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, there exists a constant  $c_1 \geq 0$  such that for each  $\rho_2 \geq 1$  with  $\rho_2 \Delta \leq 1$  (and for every  $\rho_1$ ,  $0 < \rho_1 \leq \rho_2$ ), that inequality  $n(\rho_2 r) \leq n(r) + c_1$  is fulfilled.

Indeed, assume that  $|\lambda_m| \leq r < |\lambda_{m+1}|$  and  $1/\Delta^{s-1} \leq \rho_2 < 1/\Delta^s$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $n(r) = m$  and

$$\rho_2 r < \rho_2 |\lambda_{m+1}| \leq \frac{1}{\Delta^s} |\lambda_{m+1}| = |\lambda_{m+s+1}| \frac{1}{\Delta^s} \frac{|\lambda_{m+1}|}{|\lambda_{m+s+1}|} \leq |\lambda_{m+s+1}|.$$

Hence,  $n(\rho_2 r) \leq m + s + 1 = n(r) + s + 1$  and

$$N(\rho_2 r) - N(\rho_1 r) = \int_{\rho_1 r}^{\rho_2 r} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt \leq n(\rho_2 r) \log \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} = (s+1) \log \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} + n(r) \log \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}.$$

Thus,  $N(\rho_2 r) \leq N(\rho_1 r) + c_1 \log \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} + n(r) \log \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}$ . Hence,

$$\sum_1 := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{b_{k,2} L^2(z)}{(z - \lambda_k) L^2(\lambda_k)} \right| \leq c_9 \exp(N(\rho_1 r)) \exp(N(r)), \quad |z| \leq r.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{b_{k,1}}{L^2(\lambda_k)} \frac{L^2(z)}{(z - \lambda_k)^2} \right| \leq c_4 \exp(2N(\rho_2 r)) \frac{|\lambda_k|^2 \exp(2N(q|\lambda_k|))}{\exp(2N(|\lambda_k|)) (r + |\lambda_k|)^2} \leq \\
&\leq c_4 \exp(2N(\rho_2 r)) \frac{|\lambda_k|^2 \exp(2N(q|\lambda_k|))}{\exp(2N(|\lambda_k|)) (r + |\lambda_k|)^2} \leq c_4 \exp(2N(\rho_2 r)) \frac{|\lambda_k|^2 q^{2(k-1)}}{(r + |\lambda_k|)^2}, \\
&\sum_2 := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{b_{k,1} L^2(z)}{(z - \lambda_k)^2 L^2(\lambda_k)} \right| \leq c_4 \exp(2N(\rho_2 r)) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_k|^2 q^{2(k-1)}}{(r + |\lambda_k|)^2} \leq \\
&\leq c_4 \exp(2N(\rho_2 r)) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m q^{2(k-1)} \left( \frac{|\lambda_k|}{r} \right)^2 + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} q^{2(k-1)} \right) \leq \\
&\leq c_4 \exp(2N(\rho_2 r)) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m (\Delta^{m-k} q^{k-1})^2 + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} (q^2)^{k-1} \right) \leq \\
&\leq c_4 \exp(N(\rho_2 r)) \left( \frac{\Delta^{2m}}{q^2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \frac{q}{\Delta} \right)^{2k} + \frac{q^{2m}}{1-q^2} \right) \leq \\
&\leq c_5 \exp(2N(\rho_2 r)) \left( \frac{\Delta^{2m}}{q^2} \frac{q^2}{\Delta^2} \frac{\left( \frac{q}{\Delta} \right)^{2m} - 1}{\left( \frac{q}{\Delta} \right)^2 - 1} + \frac{q^{2m}}{1-q^2} \right) \leq
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c_4 \exp(2N(\rho_2 r)) \left( \frac{q^{2m}}{q^2 - \Delta^2} + \frac{q^{2m}}{1 - q^2} \right) = c_5 \exp \left( 2N(\rho_2 r) - 2m \ln \frac{1}{q} \right) = \\ &= c_5 \exp \left( 2N(\rho_2 r) - 2n(r) \ln \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \right) \leq c_6 \exp(2N(\rho_1 r)) \leq c_6 \exp(N(r)) \exp(N(\rho_1 r)), |z| \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

Since (see [19], [20]),

$$\frac{L''(\lambda_k)}{L'(\lambda_k)} = -2 \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_k},$$

it follows that

$$\left| \frac{L''(\lambda_k)}{L'(\lambda_k)} \right| \leq \frac{c_1 k}{|\lambda_k|}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| -\frac{L''(\lambda_k)}{L'^3(\lambda_k)} b_{k,1} \frac{L^2(z)}{z - \lambda_k} \right| &\leq c_5 \frac{k}{|\lambda_k|} \exp(N(r)) \exp(N(\rho_2 r)) \frac{|\lambda_k|^2 \exp(2N(q|\lambda_k|))}{(r + |\lambda_k|) \exp(2N(|\lambda_k|))} \leq \\ &\leq c_5 \exp(N(r)) \exp(N(\rho_2 r)) \frac{k|\lambda_k|q^{2(k-1)}}{(r + |\lambda_k|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_3 &:= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{b_{k,1} L(z)}{(z - \lambda_k) L'(\lambda_k)} \right| \leq c_5 \exp(N(r)) \exp(N(\rho_2 r)) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k|\lambda_k|q^{2(k-1)}}{r + |\lambda_k|} \leq \\ &\leq c_5 \exp(N(r)) \exp(N(\rho_2 r)) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_k|q^{k-1}}{r + |\lambda_k|} \leq c_6 \exp(N(r)) \exp(N(\rho_1 r)), |z| \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

From the previous considerations it follows that series (5) converges uniformly on the every disk  $|z| \leq r$ ,  $0 < r < +\infty$ , and  $g$  is an entire function satisfying (4). The proof is complete.

**Remark 1.** If  $\lambda_k = \beta^{k-1}$  then  $N(r) = \frac{\ln^2 r}{2 \ln \beta} + \frac{\ln r}{2} + O(1)$ ,  $r \in [1; +\infty)$ , (4) is equivalent to

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \ln M_g(r) - \frac{\ln^2 r}{2 \ln \beta} - \frac{\ln^2(\rho_1 r)}{2 \ln |\beta|} - \ln r \right) < +\infty,$$

and the condition  $|b_{k,1}| \leq c_1 \exp(2N(q|\lambda_k|))$  is equivalent to

$$\sup \left\{ |\beta|^{-\frac{(k-1)}{2}} |b_{k,1}|^{1/2k} : k \in \mathbb{N} \right\} < +\infty.$$

Therefore, Theorem 1 contains (see also [13]) some result from [2].

It is not difficult (see [1, 2]) to prove the next assertion.

**Remark 2.** If  $(\lambda_k)$  satisfies (2), then

$$N(r) \leq \frac{\ln^2 r}{2 \ln 1/\Delta} + \frac{\ln r}{2} + c_1, \quad r \in (1; +\infty).$$

**Remark 3.** If  $(\lambda_k)$  satisfies (2) and condition (4) is fulfilled then

$$M_g(z) \leq c_3 \exp(2N(\rho_3 r)) \tag{9}$$

for each  $\rho_3 \in (\sqrt{\rho_1}; 1)$ . Indeed, let  $|\lambda_m| \leq r < |\lambda_{m+1}|$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $|\lambda_{m-1}| \leq \Delta|\lambda_m| < \rho_1|\lambda_m| \leq \rho_1 r < \rho_1|\lambda_{m+1}| < |\lambda_{m+1}|$ . So  $|\lambda_{m-1}| < \rho_1 r < \rho_3 r < \rho_3|\lambda_{m+1}| < |\lambda_{m+1}|$ . Thus, if 1)  $|\lambda_m| \leq \rho_1 r < \rho_3 r < |\lambda_{m+1}|$ , then

$$\exp(N(r)) = \frac{r^m}{\prod_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n|}, \quad \exp(N(\rho_1 r)) = \frac{(\rho_1 r)^m}{\prod_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n|}, \quad \exp(N(\rho_3 r)) = \frac{(\rho_3 r)^m}{\prod_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n|}$$

and

$$\frac{\exp(N(r) + N(\rho_1 r))}{\exp(2N(\rho_3 r))} = \frac{\frac{r^m (\rho_1 r)^m}{\prod_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n| \cdot \prod_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n|}}{\frac{(\rho_3 r)^{2m}}{\prod_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n|^2}} = \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_3}\right)^m < 1.$$

2) If  $|\lambda_{m-1}| \leq \rho_1 r < |\lambda_m|$ ,  $|\lambda_m| \leq \rho_3 r < |\lambda_{m+1}|$ , then

$$\exp(N(\rho_1 r)) = \frac{(\rho_1 r)^{m-1}}{\prod_{n=1}^{m-1} |\lambda_n|}$$

and

$$\frac{\exp(N(r) + N(\rho_1 r))}{\exp(2N(\rho_3 r))} = \frac{\frac{r^m (\rho_1 r)^{m-1}}{\prod_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n| \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{m-1} |\lambda_n|}}{\frac{(\rho_3 r)^{2m}}{\prod_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n|^2}} = \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_3}\right)^m \frac{|\lambda_m|}{\rho_1 r} = \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_3}\right)^m \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{\Delta}{\rho_1} \frac{|\lambda_m|}{r} \leq \frac{1}{\Delta}.$$

3) If  $|\lambda_{m-1}| \leq \rho_1 r < \rho_3 r < |\lambda_m|$ , then

$$\exp(N(\rho_1 r)) = \frac{(\rho_1 r)^{m-1}}{\prod_{n=1}^{m-1} |\lambda_n|}, \quad \exp(N(\rho_3 r)) = \frac{(\rho_3 r)^{m-1}}{\prod_{n=1}^{m-1} |\lambda_n|}$$

and

$$\frac{\exp(N(r) + N(\rho_1 r))}{\exp(2N(\rho_3 r))} = \frac{\frac{r^m (\rho_1 r)^{m-1}}{\prod_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n| \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{m-1} |\lambda_n|}}{\frac{(\rho_3 r)^{2m-2}}{\prod_{n=1}^{m-1} |\lambda_n|^2}} = \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_3}\right)^{m-1} \frac{r}{|\lambda_m|} \leq \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_3}\right)^{m-1} \frac{1}{\rho_1} \frac{\rho_1 r}{|\lambda_m|} \leq \frac{1}{\rho_1}.$$

On the other hand, (2) and (9) yield validity condition (4) for any  $\rho_1 \in (\rho_3^2; 1)$ .

**3. Application to differential equation.** Interpolation theorems can be applied in the analytic theory of differential equations. Let us consider the equation

$$f'' + a_0 f = 0. \quad (10)$$

**Problem.** Let  $(\lambda_k)$  be a given sequence of distinct complex numbers without finite limit points. Does there exist an entire function  $a_0$  such that the differential equation (10) has the solution  $f$  with the zero-sequence  $(\lambda_k)$ ?

One of the first answer to this question was given by V. Šeda. He proved the next statement ([17], [18]).

**Theorem S.** *For every sequence  $(\lambda_k)$  of distinct complex numbers without finite limit points there exist the entire function  $a_0$  such that differential equation (10) has an entire solution  $f$  with the zeros exactly at the points  $(\lambda_k)$ .*

This result was developed in many papers [18–24]. S. Bank ([19]) proved the following theorem.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $d > 1$  be a real number and let  $(\lambda_k)$  be a sequence of nonzero complex numbers satisfying  $|\lambda_{k+1}| > d|\lambda_k|$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists an entire transcendental function  $a_0$  of order zero, such that (10) has a solution  $f$  with the zero-sequence  $(\lambda_k)$ .*

In that paper the solution was given in the form  $f(z) = L(z)e^{g(z)}$ . Then

$$a_0(z) = -\frac{f''(z)}{f(z)} = -\frac{L''(z) + 2L'(z)g'(z)}{L(z)} - (g^2(z) + g''(z)). \quad (11)$$

Later J. Gröhn, J. Heittokangas [20] supplemented Bank's result and obtained estimate for the growth of  $a_0$ :

$$\ln M_{a_0}(r) = O(\ln^2 r), \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 1 implies the next assertion.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(\lambda_k)$  be a sequence of complex numbers satisfying condition (2) for some  $\Delta < 1$  and sequences  $(b_{k,1})$ ,  $(b_{k,2})$  satisfy the conditions (3) for some  $q \in (\Delta; 1)$ . Then there exists an entire function  $a_0$  such that (10) has a solution  $f$  with the zero-sequence  $(\lambda_k)$  and*

$$\ln M_f(r) \leq N(r) + c_3 \exp(N(r) + N(\rho_1 r)) \quad (12)$$

for each  $\rho_1 \in (q; 1)$  and for all  $r > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g(z)$  be an entire function of form (5), that is a solution of (1) with  $b_{k,1} \equiv 0$ ,  $b_{k,2} = -\frac{L''(\lambda_k)}{2L'(\lambda_k)}$ . So, if  $f(z) = L(z)e^{g(z)}$ , then  $a_0$  of the form (11) is an entire function and by Theorem 1 it follows that  $f(z)$  satisfies condition (12).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $(\lambda_k)$  be a sequence of complex numbers satisfying condition (2) for some  $\Delta < 1$ . Then there exists an entire function  $a_0$  such that (10) possesses a solution  $f$  with the zero sequence  $(\lambda_k)$  and*

$$\ln M_f(r) \leq N(r) + c_3 \exp(2N(\rho_3 r))$$

for each  $\rho_3 \in (\sqrt{\rho_1}; 1)$ .

**Corollary 2.** *If  $(\lambda_k)$  satisfies condition (2) for some  $\Delta < 1$ , then there exists an entire function  $a_0$  such that (10) has a solution  $f$  with the zeros sequence  $(\lambda_k)$  and*

$$|a_0(z)| \leq c_2 \exp(4N(\rho_3 r)) \quad (13)$$

for each  $R_1 \in (\rho_3; 1)$  and all  $r > 0$ .

The statement is true because

$$|a_0(z)| \leq \left| \frac{L''(z)}{L(z)} \right| + 2|g'(z)| \left| \frac{L'(z)}{L(z)} \right| + |g'(z)|^2 + |g''(z)|$$

and  $M_{g'}(r) \leq cM_g((1 + \varepsilon)r)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Next, we use (see [21, Col. 3]) the estimate

$$\left| \frac{L^{(k)}(z)}{L^{(j)}(z)} \right| \leq |z|^{\varepsilon_1(k-j)}, \quad k > j \geq 0,$$

which holds for some  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  outside an exceptional set of disks of finite sum of radii. Then following [19, Lemma C], we get (13).

## REFERENCES

1. A.O. Gel'fond, *Calculus of finite differences*, Nauka, Moscow, 1967, (in Russian); English translation: Hindustan Publishing, Delhi, 1971.
2. Yu.A. Kaz'min, *On some Gel'fond's problem*, Mat. sb., **132** (1973), №4, 520–543. (in Russian)
3. B.Ja. Levin, *Distribution of zeros of entire functions*, GITTL, Moscow, 1956, (in Russian); English transl., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1964.
4. A.F. Leont'ev, *Entire function. Series of exponents*, Nauka, Moscow, 1983. (in Russian)
5. G.P. Lapin, *Interpolation in the class of entire functions of finite order*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. zaved. Mat., **5** (1959), №12, 146–153. (in Russian)
6. A.V. Bratishchev, *On the interpolation problem in the some classes of entire functions*, Sib. Mat. Ž., **2** (1976), №17, 30–44. (in Russian)
7. Ju.F. Korobeinik, *On the some interpolation problem for entire functions*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. zaved. Mat., **2** (1985), 37–45. (in Russian)
8. O.A. Bozhenko, A.F. Grishyn, K.G. Maljutin, *Interpolation in the class of entire functions of zero order*, Mat. sb., **79** (2015), №2, 3–28. (in Russian)
9. A.F. Grishyn, A.M. Russakovskiy, *Free interpolation by entire functions*, Teoriya funk., funk. Analiz i ikh prilozh, **44**, 1985, 32–42. (in Russian)
10. B.V. Vynnyts'kyi, *On the representation of entire functions by series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n f(\lambda_n z)$* , Mat. zamet., **27** (1980), №3, 361–372. (in Russian)
11. B.V. Vynnyts'kyi, *On the representation of entire functions by series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n f(\lambda_n z)$* , Mat. zamet., **29** (1981), №4, 503–516. (in Russian)
12. B.V. Vynnyts'kyi, I.B. Sheparovych, *On the interpolation sequences of some functions class, analytic in the unit disk*, Ukr. mat. ž., **53** (2001), №7, 879–886. (in Ukrainian)
13. B.V. Vynnyts'kyi, I.B. Sheparovych, *On the existence of solution of interpolation problem in the some entire functions class that is determinate by account function*, Aktual'ni problemi fiziki, matematiki ta informatiki, **4** (2012), 12–14. (in Ukrainian)
14. B.V. Vynnyts'kyi, *On the construction of an entire function of arbitrary order with given asymptotic properties*, Ukr. Mat. ž., **38** (1986), №2, 143–148. (in Russian)
15. B.V. Vynnyts'kyi, *On the description of the bases of generalized exponential systems*, Mat. sb., **135** (177) (1988), №1, 59–79. (in Russian)
16. I.I. Ibragimov, *Interpolation methods and some of their applications*, Nauka, Moscow, 1971, 520 pp. (in Russian)
17. V. Šeda, *On some properties of solutions of the differential equation  $y'' = Q(z)y$ , where  $Q(z) \not\equiv 0$  is an entire function*, Acta F.R.N. Univ. Comen. Mathem., **4**, 1959, 223–253. (in Slovak)
18. J. Heittokangas, I. Laine, *Solutions of  $f'' + A(z)f = 0$  with prescribed sequences of zeros*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, **74** (2005), №2, 287–307.
19. S. Bank, *A note on the zero-sequences of solutions of linear differential equations*, Results in Mathematics, **13** (1988), 1–10.
20. J. Gröhn, J. Heittokangas, *New findings on Bank-Sauer approach in oscillatory theory*, Constr. Approx., **35** (2012), 345–361.
21. G.G. Gundersen, *Estimates for the logarithmic derivative of a meromorphic function plus similar estimates*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., (1) **37** (1988), 88–104.

22. S. Bank, *A general theorem concerning the growth of solution of first-order algebraic differential equations*, Compos. Math., **25** (1972), 61–70.
23. B. Vynnyts'kyi, O. Shavala, *Remark on Šeda theorem*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, **81** (2012), №1, 55–60.
24. I. Chyzhykov, I. Sheparovych, *Interpolation of analytic functions of moderate growth in the unit disk and zeros of solutions of a linear differential equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **414** (2014), №1, 319–333. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2013.12.066

Ivan Franko State Pedagogical University of Drohobych  
Department of Physics, Mathematics  
Economy And Innovative Technologies  
Drohobych, Ukraine  
vynnytskyi@ukr.net  
isheparovych@ukr.net

*Received 31.10.2018*