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PROBLEM OF DETERMINING OF MINOR COEFFICIENT AND RIGHT-HAND SIDE FUNCTION IN SEMILINEAR ULTRAPARABOLIC EQUATION


The problem of determining of the right-hand side function and the time depended minor coefficient in semilinear ultraparabolic equation from the initial, boundary and overdetermination conditions, is considered in this paper. The sufficient conditions of the existence and the uniqueness of solution on some interval $[0, T]$, where $T$ depends on the coefficients of the equation, for the problem are obtained.

1. Introduction. The problems for ultraparabolic equations appear in mathematical modeling of many phenomena of mechanics, physics, biology and financial mathematics, for example, such as the diffusion with inertia, population dynamics, the theory of Asian options etc. [1]–[4].

The conditions of the unique solvability of Cauchy problems and the initial-boundary value problems for the ultraparabolic equations were investigated in the works [1]–[9], of the inverse problems of identifying of single or several unknown parameters in the right-hand side function of the semilinear ultraparabolic equations in [1, 10]–[13], of the inverse problem of identifying of a minor coefficient in the linear ultraparabolic equation in [14].

In the present paper, we consider the inverse problem for the semilinear ultraparabolic equation with the unknown minor coefficient and the time dependent parameter in the right-hand side function of the equation. We set the boundary and the integral overdetermination conditions. With the use of Faedo-Galerkin method and the method of successive approximations we establish the sufficient conditions of the existence and the uniqueness of solutions from Sobolev spaces for the problem on some interval $[0, T]$.

Note, that the problems of determination of a single parameter in the right-hand side function or the minor coefficient of the parabolic equations were studied in [15]–[23], of the several coefficients were considered in [23]–[25]. The authors used the methods of the integral equations, regularization and the Shauder principle [14, 20, 21, 23, 24], the method of semigroups [22], the methods of finite difference approximations, numerical and iterative methods [15, 17, 18, 19, 25].

2. Statement of the problem. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ be bounded domains with the boundaries $\partial \Omega \in C^2$ and $\partial D \in C^1$; $T \in (0, \infty)$, $x \in \Omega$, $y \in D$, $t \in (0, T)$, $G = \Omega \times D$, $Q_T = \Omega \times D \times (0, T)$, $\Sigma_T = \partial \Omega \times D \times (0, T)$, $S_T = \Omega \times \partial D \times (0, T)$, $n, l \in \mathbb{N}$.
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We shall use the spaces $L^\infty(\cdot)$, $L^2(\cdot)$, $W^{1,2}(\cdot)$, $C^k(\cdot)$, $C([0, T]; L^2(G))$, $C^1(D; C^1(\bar{G}))$ from [26, pp. 32, 37, 38, 44, 147].

We consider the equation

$$u_t + \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i(x, y, t)u_{y_i} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x, y, t)u_{x_i})_{x_j} + (c(t) + b(x, y))u + g(x, y, t, u) = f_1(x, y, t)q(t) + f_2(x, y, t), \quad (x, y, t) \in Q_T,$$

with the initial condition

$$u(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in G,$$

the boundary conditions

$$u|_{\Sigma_T} = 0, \quad u|_{S^I_T} = 0$$

and the overdetermination conditions

$$\int_G K_1(x, y)u(x, y, t) \, dx \, dy = E_1(t), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$\int_G K_2(x, y)u(x, y, t) \, dx \, dy = E_2(t), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where $u(x, y, t)$, $c(t)$, $q(t)$ are unknown functions,

$$S^1_T := \left\{ (x, y, t) \in S_T : \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i(x, y, t) \cos(\nu, y_i) < 0 \right\},$$

$\nu$ is the outward unit normal vector to $S_T$.

In this paper we shall study the following inverse problem: find the sufficient conditions of the existence and the uniqueness of a triple of functions $(u(x, y, t)$, $c(t)$, $q(t))$ such that the relations (1)–(5) hold in the sense of Definition 1 (see below).

Assume that

(S): there exists $\Gamma_1 \subset \partial D \subset \mathbb{R}^{l-1}$, such that $S^1_T = \Omega \times \Gamma_1 \times (0, T)$.

Denote $S^2_T := \{(x, y, t) \in S_T : \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i(x, y, t) \cos(\nu, y_i) \geq 0\}$, $\Gamma_2 = \partial D \setminus \Gamma_1$.

Assume that the following assumptions hold:

(A): $a_{ij} \in C([0, T]; L^2(G))$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}(x, y, t)\xi_i \xi_j \geq a_0|\xi|^2$

for almost all $(x, y, t) \in Q_T$ and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $a_0 > 0$;

(L): $\lambda_i \in C(\bar{Q}_T)$, $\lambda_{iy_i} \in L^\infty(Q_T)$, $i = 1, \ldots, l$;

(B): $b \in L^\infty(G)$, $b(x, y) \geq b_0$ for almost all $(x, y) \in G$, where $b_0$ is a constant;

(G): $g(x, y, t, \xi)$ is measurable with respect to the variables $(x, y, t)$ in $Q_T$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and is continuous with respect to $\xi$ for almost all $(x, y, t) \in Q_T$, moreover, there exists a positive constant $g_0$,.
such that $|g(x, y, t, \xi) - g(x, y, t, \eta)| \leq g_0|\xi - \eta|$
for almost all $(x, y, t) \in Q_T$ and all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^1$;

- **(F):** $f_1, f_2 \in C([0, T]; L^2(G))$;

- **(U):** $u_0 \in W^{1,2}(G)$, $u_0|_{\Omega \times D} = 0$, $u_0|_{\Omega \times \Gamma_1} = 0$;

- **(K):** $K_i \in C^1(D; C^{1,\overline{(\Omega)}})$, $K_i|_{\partial \Omega \times D} = 0$, $K_i|_{\Omega \times \Gamma_2} = 0$, $i = 1, 2$;

- **(E):** $E_i \in W^{1,2}(0, T)$, $E_i(0) = \int_G K_i(x, y)u_0(x, y) \, dx \, dy$, $i = 1, 2$.

### 3. Initial-boundary value (direct) problem

First we assume that in Eq. (1) $c(t) = c^*(t)$, $q(t) = q^*(t)$, where $c^* \in C([0, T])$, $q^* \in L^2(0, T)$, are known functions; consider the initial-boundary value problem for the Eq. (1) with the initial condition (2) and with the boundary conditions (3).

We shall introduce the following spaces:

- $V_1(Q_T) := \{w : w, w_{x_i} \in L^2(Q_T), i = 1, \ldots, n, w|_{\Sigma_T} = 0\}$;
- $V_2(G) := \{w : w \in W^{1,2}(G), w|_{\partial \Omega \times D} = 0, w|_{\Omega \times \Gamma_1} = 0\}$;
- $V_3(Q_T) := \{w : w \in W^{1,2}(Q_T), w|_{T_s^1} = 0, w|_{\Sigma_T} = 0\}$;
- $V_4(Q_T) := \{w : w \in V_3(Q_T), w_{x_i, x_j} \in L^2(Q_T), i, j = 1, \ldots, n\}$.

The results presented in [9] and [10] yield the following statements.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that the conditions (A), (B), (G), (L), (F), (U), (S) hold, and, besides:

1) $a_{ij, t}, a_{ij, t} \in L^\infty(Q_T)$, $b_{ij} \in L^\infty(Q_T)$, $f_{s_1, s_2} \in L^2(Q_T)$, $c^* \in C([0, T])$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, $k = 1, \ldots, l$, $s = 1, 2$;

2) there exists a constant $g_1$ such that for almost all $(x, y, t) \in Q_T$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^1$ the inequalities $|g_{y_i}(x, y, t, \xi)| \leq g_1$, $i = 1, \ldots, l$, are true and $g(x, y, t, 0)|_{T_s^1} = 0$;

3) $f_{s_1, s_2} = 0$, $s = 1, 2$. Then there exists a unique function $u^* \in V_4(Q_T) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(G))$, that satisfies the condition (2) and the equality

$$\int_{Q_T} \left( u_i^* v + \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i(x, y, t) u_{y_i}^* v + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, y, t) u_{x_i}^* v_{x_j} + (c^*(t) + b(x, y)) u^* v + g(x, y, t, u^*) v \right) \, dx \, dy \, dt = \int_{Q_T} (f_1(x, y, t) q^*(t) + f_2(x, y, t)) v \, dx \, dy \, dt \tag{6}$$

for all functions $v \in V_1(Q_T)$. Moreover, $u^* \in V_4(Q_T) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(G))$, $u^*$ satisfies the condition (2) and Eq. (1) for almost all $(x, y, t) \in Q_T$ (so, $u^*$ is a solution to the problem (1) – (3)).

The proof is carried out according to the scheme of proving of Theorems 1, 2, Lemma 1 ([9]), Theorem 3 and Lemma 1 ([10]).

**Remark 1.** It follows from [9] that the derivatives of $u^*$ have the following estimates

$$\int_{Q_T} (u_i^*)^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt \leq M_0, \quad \int_{Q_T} (u^*)^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt \leq M,$$

where the constants $M_0$, $M$ depend on $u_0$, and on the coefficients and the right-hand side function of Eq. (1).
4. Inverse problem.

**Definition 1.** A triple of functions \((u(x, y, t), c(t), q(t))\) is a solution to the problem (1)–(5), if \(u \in V_4(Q_T) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(G)),\) \(c \in C([0, T]),\) \(q \in L^2(0, T),\) it satisfies Eq. (1) for almost all \((x, y, t) \in Q_T\) and the conditions (2), (4), (5) hold.

Denote:

\[
A_i(t) := -E_i'(t) + \int_G K_i(x, y) f_2(x, y, t) \, dx \, dy, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]

\[
B_s(x, y, t) := \sum_{i=1}^l (\lambda_i(x, y, t) K_s(x, y)) y_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^l (K_{sx_j}(x, y) a_{ij}(x, y, t)) x_i - K_s(x, y) b(x, y), \quad s = 1, 2,
\]

\[
F_i(t) := \int_G K_i(x, y) f_1(x, y, t) \, dx \, dy, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

Assume that

\[
E_2(t) F_1(t) - E_1(t) F_2(t) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \tag{7}
\]

Denote

\[
A_3(t) := \frac{A_2(t) F_1(t) - A_1(t) F_2(t)}{E_2(t) F_1(t) - E_1(t) F_2(t)},
\]

\[
B_3(x, y, t) := \frac{B_2(x, y, t) F_1(t) - B_1(x, y, t) F_2(t)}{E_2(t) F_1(t) - E_1(t) F_2(t)},
\]

\[
A_4(t) := \frac{A_2(t) E_1(t) - A_1(t) E_2(t)}{E_2(t) F_1(t) - E_1(t) F_2(t)},
\]

\[
B_4(x, y, t) := \frac{B_2(x, y, t) E_1(t) - B_1(x, y, t) E_2(t)}{E_2(t) F_1(t) - E_1(t) F_2(t)},
\]

\[
D_1(x, y, t) := \frac{K_1(x, y) F_2(t) - K_2(x, y) F_1(t)}{E_2(t) F_1(t) - E_1(t) F_2(t)},
\]

\[
D_2(x, y, t) := \frac{K_2(x, y) E_1(t) - K_1(x, y) E_2(t)}{E_2(t) F_1(t) - E_1(t) F_2(t)}.
\]

It follows from (1), (4) and (5) that the solution of the problem (1)–(5) satisfies the equalities

\[
c(t) = A_3(t) + \int_G (B_3(x, y, t) u + D_1(x, y, t) g(x, y, t, u)) \, dx \, dy, \quad t \in [0, T],
\]

\[
q(t) = A_4(t) + \int_G (B_4(x, y, t) u - D_2(x, y, t) g(x, y, t, u)) \, dx \, dy, \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{8}
\]

The way of deriving of (8) is shown in the proof of the necessity of Lemma 1.

**Lemma 1.** Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 and (7), (K), (E) hold. The triple of functions \((u(x, y, t), c(t), q(t))\), where \(u \in V_4(Q_T) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(G)),\) \(c \in C([0, T]),\) \(q \in L^2([0, T]),\) is a solution to the problem (1)–(5) if and only if it satisfies Eq. (1) for almost all \((x, y, t) \in Q_T,\) and (2), (8) hold.

**Proof.** Necessity. Let \((u^*(x, y, t), c^*(t), q^*(t))\) be a solution of problem (1)–(5). After differentiation (4) once with respect to \(t\) we derive formulae

\[
\int_G K_1(x, y) u_1^*(x, y, t) \, dx \, dy = E_1'(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{9}
\]
By using relations (1) and (9) we get

\[ \int_G K_1(x, y)\left( f_1(x, y, t)q^*(t) + f_2(x, y, t) - \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i(x, y, t)u^*_{y_i} - b(x, y)u^* \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x, y, t)u^*_x)_x, - c^*(t)u^* - g(x, y, t, u^*) \right) \, dx \, dy = E'_1(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \]  

(10)

Integrating by parts in (10), in view of the condition (K), we obtain

\[ -E_1(t)c^*(t) + F_1(t)q^*(t) + \int_G \left( K_1(x, y)f_2(x, y, t) + \right. \]
\[ + B_1(x, y, t)u^* - K_1(x, y)g(x, y, t, u^*) \right) \, dx \, dy = E'_1(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \]

(11)

From (5) and (1) we get

\[ \int_G K_2(x, y)\left( f_1(x, y, t)q^*(t) + f_2(x, y, t) - \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i(x, y, t)u^*_{y_i} - b(x, y)u^* \right) + \]
\[ + \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x, y, t)u^*_x)_x, - c^*(t)u^* - g(x, y, t, u^*) \right) \, dx \, dy = E'_2(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \]  

(12)

and

\[ -E_2(t)c^*(t) + F_2(t)q^*(t) + \int_G \left( K_2(x, y)f_2(x, y, t) + \right. \]
\[ + B_2(x, y, t)u^* - K_2(x, y)g(x, y, t, u^*) \right) \, dx \, dy = E'_2(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \]

(13)

Solving the system of equations (11), (13) with respect to \(c^*(t)\) and \(q^*(t)\) using the condition (7) we obtain that \((u^*(x, y, t), c^*(t), q^*(t))\) satisfies (8). Moreover, \(u^*\) satisfies the condition (2) and equality (1) for almost all \((x, y, t) \in Q_T\) with \(c(t) = c^*(t), q(t) = q^*(t)\).

** Sufficiency.** Let \(c^* \in C([0, T]), q^* \in L^2(0, T), u^* \in V_4(0, T) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(G))\) and they satisfy (2), (8) and (1) for almost all \((x, y, t) \in Q_T\). Then \(u^*\) is a solution to the problem (1) - (3) with \(c^*\) and \(q^*\) instead of \(c\) and \(q\) in Eq. (1).

We set \(E^*_i(t) = \int_G K_i(x, y)u^*(x, y, t) \, dx \, dy, t \in [0, T], i = 1, 2.\) In exactly the same way as in the proof of necessity, we obtain

\[ -F_i(t)q^*(t) + E^*_i(t)c^*(t) = -(E^*_i(t))' + \int_G \left( K_i(x, y)f_2(x, y, t) + \right. \]
\[ + B_i(x, y, t)u^* - K_i(x, y)g(x, y, t, u^*) \right) \, dx \, dy, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad i = 1, 2. \]

(14)

On the other hand \(c^*(t), q^*(t)\) and \(u^*(x, y, t)\) satisfy (8), and therefore it is easy to get the following equalities

\[ -F_i(t)q^*(t) + E_i(t)c^*(t) = -(E_i(t))' + \int_G \left( K_i(x, y)f_2(x, y, t) + \right. \]
\[ + B_i(x, y, t)u^* - K_i(x, y)g(x, y, t, u^*) \right) \, dx \, dy, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad i = 1, 2. \]
+B_i(x, y, t)u^* - K_i(x, y)g(x, y, t, u^*) \right) \, dx \, dy, \quad t \in [0, T], \ i = 1, 2. \hspace{1cm} (15)

It follows from (14), (15) that
\begin{equation}
(E_i^*(t) - E_i(t))c^*(t) = -(E_i^*(t) - E_i(t))', \ t \in [0, T], \ i = 1, 2. \hspace{1cm} (16)
\end{equation}

Integrating (16) with the use of the equality $E_i^*(0) = E_i(0) = \int_G K_i(x, y)u_0(x, y) \, dx \, dy$, we get $E_i^*(t) = E_i(t), \ t \in [0, T], \ i = 1, 2$. Hence, $u^*(x, y, t)$ satisfies the overdetermination conditions (4), (5). Lemma 1 is proved.

Denote: $\lambda^1 = \max_i \sup_{Q_T} |\lambda_{in}(x, y, t)|$; $f_3 = \sup \int_{[0, T] \cap G} |f_1(x, y, t)|^2 \, dx \, dy$; $\gamma_0 = \gamma_0(\Omega)$ is the coefficient in Friedrichs’ inequality
\begin{equation}
\int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^2 \, dx \leq \gamma_0 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |v_{x_i}(x)|^2 \, dx, \quad v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega); \hspace{1cm} (17)
\end{equation}

\begin{align*}
C_1 & := 3 \sup_{[0, T]} \left( (A_3(t))^2 + 2 \left( \int_G D_1(x, y, t)g(x, y, t, 0) \, dx \, dy \right)^2 \right); \\
C_2 & := 3 \sup_{[0, T]} \left( \int_G (B_3(x, y, t))^2 \, dx \, dy + 2g_0^2 \int_G (D_1(x, y, t))^2 \, dx \, dy \right); \\
C_3 & := 3 \left( \int_0^T (A_4(t))^2 \, dt + 2 \int_0^T \left( \int_G D_2(x, y, t)g(x, y, t, 0) \, dx \, dy \right)^2 \, dt \right); \\
C_4 & := 3 \sup_{[0, T]} \left( \int_G (B_4(x, y, t))^2 \, dx \, dy + 2g_0^2 \int_G (D_2(x, y, t))^2 \, dx \, dy \right).
\end{align*}

Assume that there exist such numbers $T$ and $\delta$ that the following inequalities are true
\begin{equation}
f_3 C_4 T < \delta, \hspace{1cm} (18)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{2a_0}{\gamma_0} + 2b_0 - \lambda^1 t - 2g_0 - 2M_2 - 3\delta > 0, \hspace{1cm} (19)
\end{equation}

where
\begin{align*}
M_1 & := \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{Q_T} ((f_2(x, y, t))^2 + (g(x, y, t, 0))^2) \, dx \, dy \, dt + \int_G (u_0(x, y))^2 \, dx \, dy; \\
M_2 & := \left( C_1 + C_2 M_1 + \frac{f_3}{\delta} C_3 C_2 + \frac{f_3}{\delta} C_4 C_2 M_1 T + \frac{(f_3^2 C_4)^2 C_3 C_4 M_1 T}{1 - \frac{f_3}{\delta} C_4 T} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{align*}

Denote
\begin{align*}
M_3 & := C_3 + C_4 M_1 T + \frac{f_3^2}{\delta} C_3 C_4 T + \frac{f_3^2}{\delta} (C_4^2) M_1 T^2 + \frac{(f_3^2 C_4^2)^2 C_3 C_4 M_1 T}{1 - \frac{f_3}{\delta} C_4 T};
\end{align*}
Let $M_9 < 1$, and let the hypotheses (7), (18), (19), (A), (B), (L), (U), (G), (E), (K), (F), (S) hold, and $a_{ijx_i} \in L^\infty(Q_T)$, $b_{yk} \in L^\infty(Q_T)$, $f_{syk} \in L^2(Q_T)$, $f_a|_{v_1} = 0$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, $k = 1, \ldots, l$, $s = 1, 2$. Then a solution to the problem (1)–(5) exists.

Proof. We use the method of successive approximations. We construct an approximation $(u^m(x, y, t), c^m(t), q^m(t))$ to the solution of problem (1)–(5), where the functions $c^m(t)$ and $q^m(t)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy the system of equalities

$$
c^1(t) := 0, \quad q^1(t) := 0,
$$

$$
c^m(t) = A_3(t) + \int_G \left( B_3(x, y, t)u^{m-1} + D_1(x, y, t)g(x, y, t, u^{m-1}) \right) dx dy,
$$

$$
t \in [0; T], \quad m \geq 2,
$$

$$
q^m(t) = A_4(t) + \int_G \left( B_4(x, y, t)u^{m-1} - D_2(x, y, t)g(x, y, t, u^{m-1}) \right) dx dy,
$$

$$
t \in [0; T], \quad m \geq 2,
$$

and $u^m$ satisfies the equality

$$
\int_{Q_T} \left( u^m_t v + \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i(x, y, t)u^m_y v + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, y, t)u^m_{x_i}v_{x_j} + (c^m(t) + b(x, y))u^m v + \right.
$$

$$
\left. = (E), (K), (F), (S) hold, and
\right)
Using the assumption

\[ \text{inequalities} \]

Taking into account the hypotheses \((A), (B), (L), (U), (G), (F)\), from \((24)\) we obtain the estimate for \(v \in V_1(Q_T)\), and the condition

\[ u^m(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in G. \quad (23) \]

It follows from Theorem 1 that for each \(m \in \mathbb{N}\) there exists a unique function \(u^m \in V_2(Q_T) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(G))\), that satisfies \((22), (23)\). Now we show that \(c^m(t) \geq -M_2\) for all \(m \in \mathbb{N}, \ t \in [0; T]\). Let \(c^m(t) \geq c_{0m}\) for all \(t \in [0, T]\), where \(c_{0m} \in \mathbb{R}\). We shall find the estimate for \(\int_G |u^m(x, y, \tau)|^2 \, dx \, dy\). Let us choose \(v = u^m\) in \((22)\):

\[
\int_{Q_r} (u^m_t u^m + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_i(x, y, t) u^m_{x_i} u^m + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x, y, t) u^m_{x_i x_j} + (c^m(t) + b(x, y))(u^m)^2 + \]

\[+ g(x, y, t, u^m) u^m) \, dx \, dy \, dt = \int_{Q_r} (f_1(x, y, t) q^m(t) + f_2(x, y, t)) u^m \, dx \, dy \, dt, \quad \tau \in (0; T], \ m \geq 1. \]

(24)

Taking into account the hypotheses \((A), (B), (L), (U), (G), (F)\), from \((24)\) we obtain the inequalities

\[
\int_{G} (u^m(x, y, \tau))^2 \, dx \, dy + \int_{S_2^1} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_i(x, y, t)(u^m)^2 \cos(\nu_i, \gamma_i) \, d\sigma + 2a_0 \int_{Q_r} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (u^m_{x_i})^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt +

+(2c_{0m} - \lambda^1 t + 2b_0 - 2g_0 - 3\delta) \int_{Q_r} (u^m)^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{Q_r} ((f_1(x, y, t))^2 (q^m(t))^2 +

+(f_2(x, y, t))^2 + (g(x, y, t, 0))^2) \, dx \, dy \, dt + \int_{G} (u_0(x, y))^2 \, dx \, dy, \quad \tau \in (0; T], \ m \geq 1. \]

(25)

After using the inequality \((17)\) in the third term of \((25)\), we get

\[
\int_{G} (u^m(x, y, \tau))^2 \, dx \, dy + \int_{S_2^1} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_i(x, y, t)(u^m)^2 \cos(\nu_i, \gamma_i) \, d\sigma +

+ \left(\frac{2a_0}{\gamma_0} - \lambda^1 t + 2c_{0m} + 2b_0 - 2g_0 - 3\delta\right) \int_{Q_r} (u^m)^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{Q_r} ((f_1(x, y, t))^2 (q^m(t))^2 +

+(f_2(x, y, t))^2 + (g(x, y, t, 0))^2) \, dx \, dy \, dt + \int_{G} (u_0(x, y))^2 \, dx \, dy, \quad \tau \in (0; T], \ m \geq 1. \]

(26)

Using the assumption \(\frac{2a_0}{\gamma_0} - \lambda^1 t + 2c_{0m} + 2b_0 - 2g_0 - 3\delta \geq 0\), from \((26)\) we get the estimates

\[
\int_{G} (u^m(x, y, \tau))^2 \, dx \, dy \leq M_1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{Q_r} |f_1(x, y, t)|^2 (q^m(t))^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt, \quad \tau \in (0; T], \ m \geq 1. \]

(27)
Rising up the both sides of Eq. (20) to the square and using the Hölder inequality, we get the estimate

\[(c^m(t))^2 \leq C_1 + C_2 \int_G (u^{m-1})^2 \, dx \, dy, \quad t \in [0; T], \ m \geq 2. \tag{28}\]

Rising up the both sides of Eq. (21) to the square and using the Hölder inequality, after integrating with respect to $t$, we get the estimate

\[\int_0^T (q^m(t))^2 \, dt \leq C_3 + C_4 \int_Q (u^{m-1})^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt, \quad m \geq 2. \tag{29}\]

It is easy to proof the estimates after using (27), (28), (29) and (18)

\[|c^m(t)| \leq M_2, \quad t \in [0, T], \ m \geq 1, \tag{30}\]

\[\int_0^T (q^m(t))^2 \, dt \leq M_3, \quad m \geq 1, \tag{31}\]

\[\int_G (u^m(x, y, t))^2 \, dx \, dy \leq M_4, \quad t \in [0, T], \ m \geq 1. \tag{32}\]

Remark, that if we take $-M_2$ instead of $c_{0m}$ and take into account the condition (19), we get

\[\frac{2a_0}{\gamma_0} - \lambda^1 t + 2c_{0m} + 2b_0 - 2g_0 - 3\delta = \frac{2a_0}{\gamma_0} - \lambda^1 t - 2M_2 + 2b_0 - 2g_0 - 3\delta \geq 0.\]

Thus, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$: $c^m(t) \geq -M_2$, and we can choose $c_{0m} := -M_2$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we show that \(\{ (u^m(x, y, t), c^m(t), q^m(t)) \}_{m=1}^{\infty} \) converges to the solution of the problem (1)–(5). Denote

\[z^m := z^m(x, y, t) = u^m(x, y, t) - u^{m-1}(x, y, t),\]

\[r^m(t) := c^m(t) - c^{m-1}(t), \quad s^m(t) := q^m(t) - q^{m-1}(t), \quad m \geq 2.\]

Formulas (20), (21) for $t \in [0, T]$ and $m \geq 2$ imply the equalities

\[r^m(t) = \int_G \left( B_3(x, y, t)z^{m-1} + D_1(x, y, t) \left( (g(x, y, t, u^{m-1}) - g(x, y, t, u^{m-2})) \right) \right) \, dx \, dy, \]

\[s^m(t) = \int_G \left( B_4(x, y, t)z^{m-1} - D_2(x, y, t) \left( (g(x, y, t, u^{m-1}) - g(x, y, t, u^{m-2})) \right) \right) \, dx \, dy. \tag{33}\]

We square both sides of these equalities and integrate the result with respect to $t$, take into account that under the hypotheses (G)

\[\int_Q (g(x, y, t, u^m) - g(x, y, t, u^{m-1}))z^m \, dx \, dy \, dt \leq g_0 \int_Q (z^m)^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt, \quad \tau \in (0; T], \ m \geq 2,\]
Then, taking into account (A), (B), (L), (M), (G), (F) and (37), from (36) we get inequalities

\[
\int_0^T (r^m(t))^2 \, dt \leq M_5 \int_{Q_T} (z^{-1})^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt, \quad m \geq 2, \tag{34}
\]
\[
\int_0^T (s^m(t))^2 \, dt \leq M_6 \int_{Q_T} (z^{-1})^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt, \quad m \geq 2. \tag{35}
\]

It follows from (23) that \( z^m(x, y, 0) = 0, \ (x, y) \in G, \ m \geq 2. \) Hence, from (22), we get

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_G (z^m(x, y, \tau))^2 \, dx \, dy + \int_{Q_T} \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i(x, y, t)z_{yi}z^m + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, y, t)z^{m}_{xi}z^{m}_{xj} + b(x, y)(z^m)^2 + (g(x, y, t, u^m) - g(x, y, t, u^{m-1}))z^m + (c^m(t)u^m - c^{m-1}(t)u^{m-1})z^m \right) \, dx \, dy \, dt = \int_{Q_T} f_1(x, y, t)s^m(t)z^m \, dx \, dy \, dt, \quad \tau \in (0; T], \ m \geq 2. \tag{36}
\]

We note that \((c^m(t)u^m - c^{m-1}(t)u^{m-1})z^m = c^m(t)(z^m)^2 + r^m(t)u^{m-1}z^m, \) and therefore

\[
\int_{Q_T} (c^m(t)u^m - c^{m-1}(t)u^{m-1})z^m \, dx \, dy \, dt \geq \left( -M_2 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \int_{Q_T} (z^m)^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt - \frac{M_1}{2\delta} \int_0^\tau (r^m(t))^2 \, dt, \quad \tau \in (0, T], \ m \geq 2. \tag{37}
\]

The last term in (36)

\[
\int_{Q_T} f_1(x, y, t)s^m(t)z^m \, dx \, dy \, dt \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{Q_T} (z^m)^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt + \frac{f_3}{2\delta} \int_0^T (s^m(t))^2 \, dt.
\]

Then, taking into account (A), (B), (L), (U), (G), (F) and (37), from (36) we get inequalities

\[
\int_G (z^m(x, y, \tau))^2 \, dx \, dy + \int_{S_T^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i(x, y, t)(z^m)^2 \cos(\nu, y_i) \right) d\sigma + 2a_0 \int_{Q_T} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (z^m_{x_i}z^m_{x_j})^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt + (2b_0 - \lambda_1^2 - 2g_0 - 2M_2 - 2\delta) \int_{Q_T} (z^m)^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt \leq \frac{M_1}{\delta} \int_0^T (r^m(t))^2 \, dt + \frac{f_3}{\delta} \int_0^T (s^m(t))^2 \, dt, \ \tau \in (0; T], \ m \geq 2. \tag{38}
\]
After applying (17) to the third term of (38), we get the estimate
\[
\int_G (z^m(x, y, \tau))^2 \, dx \, dy + \int_{S^2} \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i(x, y, t)(z^m)^2 \cos(\nu, y_i) \, d\sigma + \frac{2b_0 - l\lambda^1 - 2g_0 + \frac{2a_0}{\gamma_0}}{2} - 2 \delta \int_Q (z^m)^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt \leq \frac{M_1}{\delta} \int_0^T (r^m(t))^2 \, dt + \frac{f_3}{\delta} \int_0^T (s^m(t))^2 \, dt, \quad \tau \in (0; T], \ m \geq 2. \tag{39}
\]
In view of the conditions (18), (19), from (39) we find the estimates
\[
\int_G (z^m(x, y, \tau))^2 \, dx \, dy \leq \frac{M_7}{\delta} \int_0^T (r^m(t))^2 + (s^m(t))^2 \, dt, \quad \tau \in (0; T], \ m \geq 2, \tag{40}
\]
and
\[
\int_Q (z^m)^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt \leq M_8 \int_0^T (r^m(t))^2 + (s^m(t))^2 \, dt, \quad m \geq 2. \tag{41}
\]
It follows from (34), (35) and (41) that
\[
\int_0^T ((r^m)^2 + (s^m(t))^2) \, dt \leq M_9 \int_0^T ((r^{m-1})^2 + (s^{m-1}(t))^2) \, dt \leq (M_9)^{m-2} \int_0^T ((r^2)^2 + (s^2(t))^2) \, dt, \quad m \geq 2. \tag{42}
\]
It is easy to find the estimate
\[
(r^m(t))^2 \leq M_{10} \int_G (z^{m-1}(x, y, t))^2 \, dx \, dy, \quad t \in [0, T], \ m \geq 2, \tag{43}
\]
from (33). Further, with the use of (40), from (43) we get
\[
|r^m(t)| \leq M_{11} \left( \int_0^T ((r^{m-1}(t))^2 + (s^{m-1}(t))^2) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t \in [0, T], \ m \geq 2. \tag{44}
\]
By using (42), (44) and the assumption \(M_9 < 1\) we can show that the estimate
\[
|c^{m+k}(t) - c^m(t)| \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+k} |r^i(t)| \leq M_{11} \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+k} \left( \int_0^T ((r^{i-1}(t))^2 + (s^{i-1}(t))^2) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+k} M_{11}(M_9)^{i-2} \left( \int_0^T ((r^2(t))^2 + (s^2(t))^2) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
&\leq M_{11}\frac{(M_9)^{m-2}}{1 - (M_9)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \int_0^T ((r^2(t))^2 + (s^2(t))^2) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq M_{11}\frac{(M_9)^{m-2}}{1 - (M_9)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \int_0^T ((r^2(t))^2 + (s^2(t))^2) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}
\]  

holds for all \( k \in \mathbb{N}, \, m \geq 3. \) Besides,

\[
\left( \int_0^T (q^{m+k}(t) - q^m(t)) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+k} \left( \int_0^T ((r^{i-1}(t))^2 + (s^{i-1}(t))^2) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{(M_9)^{m-2}}{1 - (M_9)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \int_0^T ((r^2(t))^2 + (s^2(t))^2) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \, m \geq 3.
\]

It follows from (45), (46) that for any \( \varepsilon > 0, \) there exists \( m_0 \) such that for all \( k, \, m \in \mathbb{N}, \, m > m_0, \) the inequalities \( \|c^{m+k}(t) - c^m(t); C([0, T])\| \leq \varepsilon \) and \( \|q^{m+k}(t) - q^m(t); L^2(0, T)\| \leq \varepsilon \) are true. Hence, the sequence \( \{c^m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \) is fundamental in \( C([0, T]) \), and \( \{q^m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \) is fundamental in \( L^2(0, T) \). Thus, it follows from (40) and (38) that \( \{u^m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \) is fundamental in \( L^2(Q_T) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(G)) \) and \( \{u^m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \) is fundamental in \( L^2(Q_T) \) and, hence, as \( m \to \infty \)

\[
u^m \to u \text{ in } L^2(Q_T) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(G)), \quad u^m_{x_i} \to u_{x_i} \text{ in } L^2(Q_T), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,
\]

\[
c^m \to c \text{ in } C([0, T]), \quad q^m \to q \text{ in } L^2(0, T).
\]

Remark 1 implies the following estimates

\[
\int_{Q_T} \sum_{i=1}^l (u^m_{y_i})^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt \leq M_0, \quad \int_{Q_T} (u^m_t)^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt \leq M,
\]

and, by virtue of the inequalities (30), (31), the constants \( M_0, \, M \) are independent of \( m \) and the estimates (48) are true for all \( m \in \mathbb{N}. \) In view of (48), we can select a subsequence of sequence \( \{u^m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \) (we preserve the same notation for this subsequence), such that

\[
u^m_{y_i} \to u_{y_i} \text{ weakly in } L^2(Q_T), \quad i = 1, \ldots, l,
\]

\[
u^m_t \to u_t \text{ weakly in } L^2(Q_T)
\]

as \( m \to \infty. \) Taking into account (47), (49), from (20) and (21) we get that the triple of functions \( (u(x, y, t), c(t), q(t)) \) satisfies the system of equations (6) and

\[
\int_{Q_T} \left( u_t v + \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i(x, y, t)u_{y_i}v + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, y, t)u_{x_i}v_{x_j} + (c(t) + b(x, y))uv + g(x, y, t, u)v \right) \, dx \, dy \, dt = \int_{Q_T} \left( f_1(x, y, t)q(t) + f_2(x, y, t) \right) v \, dx \, dy \, dt
\]
for all \( v \in V_1(Q_T) \), \( \tau \in (0; T] \). It follows from (50) that

\[
\int_{\Omega} \left( u_t w + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_i(x, y, t) u_{y_i} w + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x, y, t) u_{x_i} w_{x_j} + (c(t) + b(x, y)) u_w + g(x, y, t, u) \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} \left( f_1(x, y, t) q(t) + f_2(x, y, t) \right) w dx
\]

(51)

for almost all \((y, t) \in D \times (0; T)\) and for all \( w \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)\). From (51) we derive that \( u \) for almost all \((y, t) \in D \times (0; T)\) is a weak solution to the Dirichlet problem for the elliptic equation

\[
\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (a_{ij}(x, y, t) u_{x_i})_{x_j} = F(x, y, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0,
\]

(52) (53)

where

\[
F(x, y, t) = f_1(x, y, t) q(t) + f_2(x, y, t) - u_t - \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_i(x, y, t) u_{y_i} - (c(t) + b(x, y)) u - g(x, y, t, u).
\]

Since condition (3) is satisfied and the function \( F(\cdot, y, t) \in L^2(\Omega) \) for almost all \((y, t) \in D \times (0; T)\), it follows from Theorem 7.3 in [27, p. 130], that there exists the unique weak solution \( u \) of the problem (52)–(53), and \( u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \), hence, \( u(\cdot, y, t) \in W_0^{2,2}(\Omega) \) for almost all \((y, t) \in D \times (0; T)\). Hence, \( u \in V_d(Q_T) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(G)) \), the triple \((u(x, y, t), c(t), q(t))\) satisfies Eq. (1) for almost all \((x, y, t) \in Q_T\), and by virtue of Lemma 1 \((u(x, y, t), c(t), q(t))\) is a solution of the problem (1)–(5) in \( Q_T \).

**Theorem 3.** Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Then a solution of the problem (1)–(5) is unique.

**Proof.** Assume that \((u^{(1)}(x, y, t), c^{(1)}(t), q^{(1)}(t))\) and \((u^{(2)}(x, y, t), c^{(2)}(t), q^{(2)}(t))\) are two solutions of problem (1)–(5). Then the triple of functions \((\tilde{u}(x, y, t), \tilde{c}(t), \tilde{q}(t))\), where

\[
\tilde{u}(x, y, t) = u^{(1)}(x, y, t) - u^{(2)}(x, y, t), \quad \tilde{c}(t) = c^{(1)}(t) - c^{(2)}(t), \quad \tilde{q}(t) = q^{(1)}(t) - q^{(2)}(t),
\]

satisfies the condition \( \tilde{u}(x, y, 0) = 0 \), the equality

\[
\int_{Q_T} \left( \tilde{u}_t v + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_i(x, y, t) \tilde{u}_{y_i} v + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x, y, t) \tilde{u}_{x_i} v_{x_j} + b(x, y) \tilde{u} v + (c^{(1)}(t) u^{(1)}(t) - c^{(2)}(t) u^{(2)}(t)) v + (g(x, y, t, u^{(1)}(t)) - g(x, y, t, u^{(2)}(t)) v \right) dx dy dt = \int_{Q_T} f_1(x, y, t) \tilde{q}(t) v dx dy dt, \quad \tau \in [0, T],
\]

(54)

for all \( v \in V_1(Q_T) \) and the system of equalities

\[
\tilde{c}(t) = \int_{G} \left( B_3(x, y, t) \tilde{u} + D_1(x, y, t) \left( (g(x, y, t, u^{(1)}(t)) - g(x, y, t, u^{(2)}(t)) \right) \right) dx dy;
\]
\[ \bar{q}(t) = \int_{G} \left( B_4(x, y, t) \bar{u} - D_2(x, y, t) \left[ (g(x, y, t, u(1)) - g(x, y, t, u(2)) \right] \right) \, dx \, dy, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (55) \]

holds. After choosing \( v = \bar{u} \), in (54) we get

\[ \int_{Q_T} \left( \bar{u}_t \bar{u} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_i(x, y, t) \bar{u}_y \bar{u} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x, y, t) \bar{u}_x \bar{u}_x + (c(1)(t)u(1) - c(2)(t)u(2)) \bar{u} + b(x, y)(\bar{u})^2 + g(x, y, t, u(1)) - g(x, y, t, u(2)) \right) \, dx \, dy \, dt = \int_{Q_T} f_1(x, y, t) \bar{q}(t) \bar{u} \, dx \, dy \, dt, \quad \tau \in (0; T]. \quad (56) \]

It is easy to get from (55) and (G) inequalities

\[ \int_{0}^{T} (\bar{c}(t))^2 \, dt \leq M_5 \int_{Q_T} (\bar{u})^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt, \quad \int_{0}^{T} (\bar{q}(t))^2 \, dt \leq M_6 \int_{Q_T} (\bar{u})^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt, \quad (57) \]

From (56) by the same way as from (36) we got (41), we find the following estimate:

\[ \int_{Q_T} (\bar{u})^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt \leq M_8 \int_{0}^{T} \left( (\bar{c}(t))^2 + (\bar{q}(t))^2 \right) \, dt \quad (58) \]

and taking into account (57) from (58), we obtain \( 1 - M_9 \int_{Q_T} (\bar{u})^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt \leq 0 \). Since \( M_9 < 1 \), we conclude that \( \int_{Q_T} (\bar{u})^2 \, dx \, dy \, dt = 0 \), hence, \( u(1) = u(2) \) in \( Q_T \). Then (57) implies \( \bar{c}(t) \equiv 0 \), \( \bar{q}(t) \equiv 0 \), and, therefore, \( c(1)(t) \equiv c(2)(t) \), \( q(1)(t) \equiv q(2)(t) \) in \( Q_T \).

**5. Conclusion.** In this paper on some time interval we have obtained the sufficient conditions of the existence and the uniqueness of the solution for the inverse problem for semilinear ultraparabolic equation with the unknown time dependent functions in the minor coefficient and in the right-hand side function of the equation. The next step of investigation of the problem is to get similar results when the condition (7) is not fulfilled and to prove the existence of the global solution for the problem.

**REFERENCES**


National Forestry Engineering University of Ukraine, Lviv, Ukraine
protsakh@ukr.net

Received 09.12.2017
Revised 09.08.2018