

УДК 517.547

A. I. BANDURA, M. M. SHEREMETA

BOUNDED l -INDEX AND l - M -INDEX AND COMPOSITIONS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

A. I. Bandura, M. M. Sheremeta. *Bounded l -index and l - M -index and compositions of analytic functions*, Mat. Stud. **48** (2017), 180–188.

We partially proved a conjecture from Mat. Stud. **47** (2017), no.2, 207–210: for an entire function f the function $H(z) = f(1/(1-z)^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is of bounded l -index in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with $l(|z|) = \beta/(1 - |z|)^{n+1}$, $\beta > 1$, if and only if f is of bounded index. Also the boundedness of l - M -index of the function H is investigated. For arbitrary entire functions f and g the boundedness of the l - M -index of the function $F(z) = f(g(z))$ is studied with respect to boundedness of the M -index of a function f with $l(r) = M'_g(r)$, $M_g(r) = \max\{|g(z)| : |z| = r\}$.

1. Introduction. In the paper, we consider compositions of such types $H(z) = f((1-z)^{-n})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $F(z) = f(g(z))$, where f and g are entire functions. There are presented investigations of boundedness of l -index and l - M -index for these composite functions, where l is a positive continuous function in some domain $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. We need some definitions and notations.

Let G be an arbitrary domain in \mathbb{C} and l be a positive and continuous function in G such that for all $z \in G$

$$l(z) > \frac{\beta}{\text{dist}\{z, \partial G\}}, \quad \beta = \text{const} > 1. \tag{1}$$

An analytic function f in G is said ([17, 21]) to be of bounded l -index if there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $z \in G$

$$\frac{|f^{(n)}(z)|}{n!l^n(z)} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{k!l^k(z)} : 0 \leq k \leq N \right\}. \tag{2}$$

The least such integer is called the l -index of f and is denoted by $N(f; l, G)$.

Let $0 < R \leq +\infty$, $\mathbb{D}_R = \{z : |z| < R\}$ and l be a positive continuous function on $[0, R)$, which satisfies

$$l(r) > \frac{\beta}{R - r}, \quad \beta = \text{const} > 1. \tag{3}$$

If $G = \mathbb{D}_R$ then an analytic in \mathbb{D}_R function f [21] is of bounded l -index if there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}_R$ inequality (2) holds with $l(|z|)$ instead of $l(z)$.

If $R = +\infty$ (i. e. f is an entire function) then condition (3) is unnecessary. We remark also that if f is an entire function and $l(|z|) \equiv 1$ then f is said [18] to be of bounded index. If $R = 1$ then $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_1$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D05, 30D15.

Keywords: analytic function; entire function; bounded l -index; bounded index; bounded M -index; bounded l - M -index; composition of functions; growth estimate; punctured plane; disc; maximum modulus.

doi:10.15330/ms.48.2.180-188

For $r \in [0, \beta]$ we put $\lambda_1(r) = \inf\{\frac{l(z)}{l(z_0)} : |z - z_0| \leq \frac{r}{l(z_0)}, z_0 \in G\}$ and $\lambda_2(r) = \sup\{\frac{l(z)}{l(z_0)} : |z - z_0| \leq \frac{r}{l(z_0)}, z_0 \in G\}$. By $Q_\beta(G)$ we denote the class of positive continuous functions l satisfying (1) and for some $r_0 \in [0, \beta]$

$$0 < \lambda_1(r_0) \leq \lambda_2(r_0) < +\infty. \tag{4}$$

We remark that if (4) holds for some $r_0 \in [0, \beta]$ then the inequality is valid for all $r \in [0, \beta]$.

Recently, there was suggested the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 ([1]). *For an entire function f the function $F(z) = f((1 - z)^{-n})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is of bounded l -index in \mathbb{D} with $l(|z|) = \beta(1 - |z|)^{-n-1}$, $\beta > 1$, if and only if f is of bounded index.*

Now we consider a more general hypothesis:

Conjecture 2 (M. M. Sheremeta). *Let f be an entire function and*

$$g(z) = \frac{q_1}{(1 - z)^p} + \frac{q_2}{(1 - z)^{p-1}} + \dots + \frac{q_p}{1 - z} + q_{p+1}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad q_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad q_1 \neq 0.$$

A composite function $F = f \circ g$ in \mathbb{D} is of bounded l -index in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with $l(|z|) = \beta(1 - |z|)^{-p-1}$ if and only if f is of bounded index.

In the paper, Conjecture 2 is partially proved (Theorems 5, 6, 7). The similar problem we also consider for bounded l - M -index (Theorem 9 and 10).

Note that there are few papers about boundedness of l -index for compositions of entire functions of one variable ([20, 21]). The growth of a composition of entire functions of finite order is examined in [22]. The L -index in direction of some compositions of entire functions of several variables and its properties are studied in [4–6, 13]. But the l - M -index of composite functions are not investigated yet.

2. Auxiliary propositions. We need the following assertions.

Theorem 1 (Theorem 1.5, [21]). *Let $\beta > 1$ and $l \in Q_\beta(G)$. An analytic function f in the domain G is of bounded l -index if and only if there exist numbers $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $C > 0$ such that for each $z \in G$*

$$\frac{|f^{(m+1)}(z)|}{l^{m+1}(z)} \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{l^k(z)} : 0 \leq k \leq m \right\}. \tag{5}$$

Theorem 1 is an analog of known Hayman’s Theorem [16] for analytic functions.

Theorem 2 (Theorem 2.2, [21]). *Let G be an arbitrary domain in \mathbb{C} and a domain $D \subset G$ be such that $\text{dist}\{\partial D, \partial G\} > 0$. Let $\beta > 1$ and l be a positive continuous functions in G such that $l(z) \geq \beta/d$ for all $z \in G$. If a function f is analytic in G then f is of bounded l -index in D .*

Theorem 3 (Theorem 1.6, [21]). *Let $\beta > 1$, $\frac{1}{\beta} < \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 < +\infty$, $l \in Q_\beta(G)$ and $\theta_1 l(z) \leq l_*(z) \leq \theta_2 l(z)$ for all $z \in G$. An analytic in the domain G function f is of bounded l_* -index if and only if it is of bounded l -index.*

Remark 1. Actually, in the proof of Theorem 3 there was proved that if l_1, l_2 are positive continuous functions in G , $l_1(z) \leq l_2(z)$ for all $z \in G$, f is analytic function in G then $N(f; l_2, G) \leq N(f; l_1, G)$.

Theorem 4 (Theorem 3.3, [21]). *Let $0 < R \leq +\infty$, $l \in Q_\beta(\mathbb{D}_R)$ and an analytic in \mathbb{D}_R function f is of bounded l -index. Then $\ln M(r, f) = O(\int_0^r l(t)dt)$, $r \rightarrow R$, where $M(r, f) = \max\{|f(z)|: |z| = r\}$.*

Some analogs of Theorems 1–4 are also obtained for analytic functions in a polydisc [11, 12], in the unit ball [7, 8]. The similar results are known for entire functions in \mathbb{C}^n ([3, 9, 10]).

3. Bounded l -index of some compositions. Taking into account Conjecture 2 it is possible to prove such a theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let f be an entire function. A function $f(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1})$ has bounded l -index in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with $l(|z|) = \beta|z|^{-p-1}$ if and only if the function f has bounded index, where $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $q_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $q_1 \neq 0$.*

Proof. If $p = 1$ and $q_2 = 0$ then the same proposition is obtained in [21, p. 99]. We will deduce the statement for arbitrary $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $F(z) = f(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1})$. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} F'(z) &= -f' \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right) \left(\frac{q_1 p}{z^{p+1}} + \frac{q_2(p-1)}{z^p} + \dots + \frac{q_p}{z^2} \right), \\ F^{(k)}(z) &= f^{(k)} \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right) \left(\frac{q_1 p}{z^{p+1}} + \frac{q_2(p-1)}{z^p} + \dots + \frac{q_p}{z^2} \right)^k + \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f^{(j)} \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right) \left(\frac{c_{j,pj+k}}{z^{pj+k}} + \dots + \frac{c_{j,j+k}}{z^{j+k}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f' \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right) &= -\frac{F'(z)z^{p+1}}{q_1 p + q_2(p-1)z + \dots + q_p z^{p-1}}, \\ f^{(k)} \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right) &= (-1)^k F^{(k)}(z) \left(\frac{z^{p+1}}{q_1 p + q_2(p-1)z + \dots + q_p z^{p-1}} \right)^k + \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} F^{(j)}(z) \frac{z^{pk+j} Q_{j,k}(z)}{(q_1 p + q_2(p-1)z + \dots + q_p z^{p-1})^{2k-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $Q_{j,k}(z)$ are some polynomials with degrees depending from j and k .

Equalities (6)–(7) can be proved by the method of mathematical induction (see a similar proof in [13]).

Let f be an entire function of bounded index. Then from (6) by Theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|F^{(m+1)}(z)| |z|^{(p+1)(m+1)} \leq \\ &\leq |f^{(m+1)} \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right)| |q_1 p + q_2(p-1)z + \dots + q_p z^{p-1}|^{m+1} + \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^m \left| f^{(j)} \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right) \right| (|c_{j,pj+m+1}| |z|^{p(m+1-j)} + \dots + |c_{j,j+m+1}| |z|^{(m+1)p-j}) \leq \\ &\leq \left(C + \sum_{j=1}^m (|c_{j,pj+m+1}| |z|^{p(m+1-j)} + \dots + |c_{j,j+m+1}| |z|^{(m+1)p-j}) \right) \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \max \left\{ \left| f^{(j)} \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right) \right| : 0 \leq j \leq m \right\} \leq \\ & \leq C_1 \max \left\{ \left| f^{(k)} \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right) \right| : 0 \leq k \leq m \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $|z| \leq 1$. Using (7), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f^{(k)} \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right) \right| \leq |F^{(k)}(z)| |z|^{(p+1)k} \frac{1}{|q_1 p + q_2(p-1)z + \dots + q_p z^{p-1}|^k} + \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |F^{(j)}(z)| |z|^{(p+1)j} \frac{|Q_{j,k}(z)| |z|^{p(k-j)}}{|q_1 p + q_2(p-1)z + \dots + q_p z^{p-1}|^{2k-1}} \leq \\ & \leq \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{|Q_{j,k}(z)| |z|^{p(k-j)}}{|q_1 p + q_2(p-1)z + \dots + q_p z^{p-1}|^{2k-1}} \right) \max \{ |F^{(j)}(z)| |z|^{(p+1)j} : 1 \leq j \leq k \} \leq \\ & \leq 2 \max \{ |F^{(j)}(z)| |z|^{(p+1)j} : 1 \leq j \leq k \} \end{aligned}$$

for all $|z| < \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small and such that the disc $|z| \leq \varepsilon$ does not contain zeros of the polynomial $q_1 p + q_2(p-1)z + \dots + q_p z^{p-1}$. It is possible because $q_1 \neq 0$. Therefore,

$$|F^{(m+1)}(z)| |z|^{(p+1)(m+1)} \leq 2C_1 \max \{ |F^{(j)}(z)| |z|^{(p+1)j} : 1 \leq j \leq mk \}.$$

Using Theorem 1 and 2, we conclude that the function F has bounded l -index with $l(|z|) = \frac{\beta}{|z|^{p+1}}$.

On the contrary, let F be of bounded l -index with $l(|z|) = \frac{\beta}{|z|^{p+1}}$. As above, by Theorem 1 from (7) we obtain

$$\left| f^{(m+1)} \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right) \right| \leq C_1 \max \{ |F^{(j)}(z)| |z|^{(p+1)j} : 0 \leq j \leq m \},$$

and (6) yields

$$|F^{(j)}(z)| |z|^{(p+1)j} \leq 2 \max \left\{ \left| f^{(k)} \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right) \right| : 0 \leq k \leq j \right\}$$

for all $|z| < \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Hence,

$$\left| f^{(m+1)} \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right) \right| \leq 2C_1 \max \left\{ \left| f^{(k)} \left(\frac{q_1}{z^p} + \frac{q_2}{z^{p-1}} + \dots + q_{p+1} \right) \right| : 0 \leq k \leq m \right\}$$

that is

$$|f^{(m+1)}(w)| \leq 2C_1 \max \{ |f^{(k)}(w)| : 0 \leq k \leq m \}$$

for all large $|w|$. Thus, by Theorem 1 and 2 the function f has bounded index. □

Let $q_2 = q_3 = \dots = q_{p+1} = 0$, i.e. $F(z) = f(\frac{q_1}{(1-z)^p})$. Replacement of z by $1-z$ in Theorems 1 and 5 gives us that there exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$|f^{(m+1)}(z)| \leq C \max \{ |f^{(j)}(z)| : 0 \leq j \leq m \},$$

if and only if there exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|F^{(m+1)}(z)|}{\left(\frac{\beta}{|1-z|^{p+1}}\right)^{m+1}} \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(j)}(z)|}{\left(\frac{\beta}{|1-z|^{p+1}}\right)^j} : 0 \leq j \leq m \right\}. \tag{8}$$

Since $1 - |z| \leq |1 - z|$ inequality (8) yields the following inequality

$$\frac{|F^{(m+1)}(z)|}{\left(\frac{\beta}{(1-|z|)^{p+1}}\right)^{m+1}} \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(j)}(z)|}{\left(\frac{\beta}{(1-|z|)^{p+1}}\right)^j} : 0 \leq j \leq m \right\},$$

in view of Remark 1 and Theorem 1.

Thus, if an entire function f is of bounded index then by Theorem 5 the function $F(z) = f\left(\frac{q_1}{(1-z)^p}\right)$ is of bounded l -index with $l(|z|) = \frac{\beta}{(1-|z|)^{p+1}}$. And, on the contrary, if the function $F(z) = f\left(\frac{q_1}{(1-z)^p}\right)$ has unbounded l -index then f has unbounded index.

Theorem 6. *Let f be an entire function of finite order, for which zero is a Picard exceptional value. If $F(z) = f((1-z)^{-p})$ has bounded l -index in \mathbb{D} with $l(r) = \beta(1-r)^{-p-1}$, then f has bounded index.*

Proof. Suppose that f is of unbounded index. Then the following estimate $\ln M_f(r) = O(r)$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ from Theorem 4 is not valid. Since f is an entire function of finite order with a Picard exceptional value 0, it admits the representation $f(z) = e^{P(z)}$, where $P(z) = a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots + a_nz^n$, $a_n \neq 0$, $n \geq 2$. Hence,

$$F(z) = \exp \left\{ (1 + o(1)) \frac{a_n}{(1-z)^{np}} \right\}, \quad z \rightarrow 1.$$

But the function F is of bounded l -index with $l(r) = \frac{\beta}{(1-r)^{p+1}}$. By Theorem 4 F has property

$$\ln M_F(r) = O \left(\int_0^r l(t) dt \right) = O \left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^p} \right), \quad r \uparrow 1.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (1-r)^p \ln M_F(r) &\geq (1-r)^p \ln |F(z)| = (1+o(1)) \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{a_n}{(1-r)^{pn}} \right\} (1-r)^p = \\ &= (1+o(1)) \frac{\operatorname{Re}\{a_n\}}{(1-r)^{(n-1)p}} \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

that is impossible. □

The next statement follows from Theorems 5 and 6.

Theorem 7. *In order that the function F be of bounded l -index with $l(r) = \beta(1-r)^{-p-1}$, it is sufficient, and if f is an entire function of finite order and 0 is its Picard exceptional value then it is necessary that f is of bounded index.*

4. Bounded l - M -index of some compositions. An analytic function f in \mathbb{D}_R is said ([21, p.74]) to be of bounded l - M -index, if there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\frac{M(r, f^{(n)})}{n!l^n(r)} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{M(r, f^{(k)})}{k!l^k(r)} : 0 \leq k \leq N \right\}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $r \in [0, R)$.

A notion of an entire function f of bounded l - M -index is introduced by Sh. Abuarabi and M. Sheremeta ([2]). An entire function f of bounded l - M -index with $l(r) \equiv 1$ is called [15] a function of bounded M -index. There was proved [15] that f is a function of bounded M -index if and only if $\ln M(r, f) = O(r)$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. M. Sheremeta ([21]) generalized the result for bounded l - M -index.

We need some notations from [21]. Let $-\infty < A \leq +\infty$ and $\Omega(A)$ be a class of positive unbounded on $(-\infty, A)$ functions Φ such that the derivative Φ' is continuous, positive and increasing to $+\infty$ on $(-\infty, A)$. For $\Phi \in \Omega(A)$ by ϕ we denote the inverse function to Φ' , and let $\Psi(\sigma) = \sigma - \Phi(\sigma)/\Phi'(\sigma)$ be the function associated with Φ in the sense of Newton.

As in [21, p. 84], an analytic function f in \mathbb{D}_R is said to be of finite Φ -type, if $\Phi \in \Omega(\ln R)$, if $\ln M(r, f) = O(\Phi(\ln r))$ as $r \uparrow R$.

The next theorem is valid.

Theorem 8 (Theorem 4.5, [21]). *Suppose that a function $\Phi \in \Omega(\ln R)$, $0 < R \leq +\infty$, satisfies the following conditions:*

- 1) Φ' is continuously differentiable on $(-\infty, \ln R)$;
- 2) $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow \ln R} \frac{1}{\Phi'(x)} \Phi' \left(\Phi^{-1} \left(x + \frac{\ln \Phi'(\Phi^{-1}(x))}{\Phi'(\Phi^{-1}(x))} \right) \right) < +\infty$;
- 3) $\Phi'(x + \frac{\alpha}{\Phi'(x)}) = O(\Phi'(x))$ as $x \rightarrow \ln R$;
- 4) $\Phi'(\ln r) > \frac{\alpha}{\ln R - \ln r}$ ($0 \leq r < R$) for some $\alpha > 1$.

An analytic function f in \mathbb{D}_R is of finite Φ -type if and only if it is of bounded l - M -index with $l(r) = \frac{\Phi'(\ln r)}{r}$ on $[r_0, R)$ and $l(r) = \frac{\Phi'(\ln r_0)}{r_0}$ on $[0, r_0]$, where $r_0 \in [0, R)$ is an arbitrary fixed number.

Theorem 8 for entire functions of bounded l - M -index is proved by Sh. Abuarabi and M. M. Sheremeta ([2]). Its analog for Dirichlet series is deduced in [19].

Using this theorem it is possible to prove the next assertion

Theorem 9. *Let f be an entire function and $F(z) = f(q(1-z)^{-p})$, where $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. In order that the function F be of bounded l - M -index with $l(r) = \beta(1-r)^{-p-1}$, $\beta > 1$, it is sufficient, and if the power series coefficients of the function f are non-negative and $q > 0$ it is necessary that the function f is of bounded M -index.*

Proof. If the function f has bounded M -index then $\ln M(\rho, f) = O(\rho)$ as $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$. Since $M(r, F) \leq M(\frac{|q|}{(1-r)^p}, f)$ we have $\ln M(r, F) = O(\frac{1}{(1-r)^p})$ as $r \uparrow 1$. But $1-r = (1+o(1))|\ln r|$ as $r \uparrow 1$. Hence, $\ln M(r, F) = O(\frac{1}{|\ln r|^p})$ as $r \uparrow 1$.

The function $\Phi(\sigma) = \frac{1}{|\sigma|^p}$, $\sigma < 0$, satisfies conditions of Theorem 8. Therefore, the function F is of bounded l - M -index with $l(r) = \frac{p}{r|\ln r|^{p+1}}$. This means that F is of bounded l - M -index with $l(r) = \frac{\beta}{(1-r)^{p+1}}$. The sufficiency is proved.

If $q > 0$ and the power series coefficients of the function f are non-negative, then maximum modulus of the functions f and F is attained on a positive ray, that is $M(r, F) = M(\frac{q}{(1-r)^p}, f)$. If the function f is of unbounded M -index then there exists a sequence $(\rho_k) \uparrow +\infty$ such that $\frac{\ln M(\rho_k, f)}{\rho_k} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Put $r_k = 1 - (q/\rho_k)^{1/p}$. We obtain $\frac{\ln M(r_k, F)}{(1-r_k)^p} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, $\frac{\ln M(r_k, F)}{\Phi(|\ln r_k|)} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ with $\Phi(\sigma) = \frac{1}{|\sigma|^p}$.

By Theorem 8 the function F is of unbounded l - M -index with $l(r) = \frac{\beta}{r|\ln r|^{p+1}}$. Hence, it is of unbounded l - M -index with $l(r) = \frac{\beta}{(1-r)^{p+1}}$. \square

Remark 2. J. Clunie ([14]) has proved that for arbitrary entire functions f and g with $g(0) = 0$

$$(\forall r > 0): \quad M_F(r) \geq M_f(c(\rho)M_g(\rho r)). \tag{9}$$

where $c(\rho) = \frac{(1-\rho)^2}{4\rho}$, $\rho \in (0; 1)$. This leads to the following question: *Is it possible to deduce a similar estimate to (9) for the function $F(z) = f((1 - z)^{-p})$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$?*

Proposition 1. *Let f be an entire function, $F(z) = f(\frac{1}{(1-z)^p})$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$M_F(r) \geq \max\{|f(z + (1 - r^2)^{-p})|: |z| = pr(1 - r^2)^{-p}/4\}$$

for every $r \in (0; 1)$.

Proof. We want to establish lower estimate of $M_F(r) = \max\{|f((1 - z)^{-p})|: |z| = r\}$, where $r \in (0, 1)$. Find the image of the circle $|z| = r$ under the mapping $w = \frac{1}{1-z}$. Clearly, the image is also a circle. From properties of the Möbius transformation it is known that the images of symmetric points with respect to a circle are symmetric points with respect to its image. The center of the circle and infinity are symmetric points. The point $z = r^2$ is symmetric to the point $z = 1$ with respect to $|z| = r$. Since $w(1) = \infty$, we have that $w(r^2) = (1 - r^2)^{-1}$ is the center of a new circle. Find the radius of a new circle $|w(r) - w(r^2)| = |\frac{1}{1-r} - \frac{1}{1-r^2}| = \frac{r}{1-r^2}$. Thus, image of the circle $|z| = r$ under the mapping $w = \frac{1}{1-z}$ is the circle $|w - \frac{1}{1-r^2}| = \frac{r}{1-r^2}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} M_F(r) &= \max \left\{ |f(w^p)|: \left| w - \frac{1}{1-r^2} \right| = \frac{r}{1-r^2} \right\} = \\ &= \max \left\{ \left| f \left(\left(s + \frac{1}{1-r^2} \right)^p \right) \right|: |s| = \frac{r}{1-r^2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for $s = w - \frac{1}{1-r^2}$. Let $g(s) = (s + \frac{1}{1-r^2})^p$. Since $g(0) = \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^p} \neq 0$, we put $g_1(s) = (s + \frac{1}{1-r^2})^p - \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^p}$. Then $f(g(s)) = f(g_1(s) + \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^p}) = f_1(g_1(s))$, where $f_1(z) = f(z + \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^p})$.

Then in view of (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_F(r) &= M_{f_1 \circ g_1} \left(\frac{r}{1-r^2} \right) \geq M_{f_1}(c(\rho)M_{g_1}(\rho r)) = M_{f_1} \left(c(\rho) \left(\frac{(\rho r + 1)^p}{(1-r^2)^p} - \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^p} \right) \right) \geq \\ &\geq M_{f_1} \left(\frac{(1-\rho)^2 pr}{4(1-r^2)^p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $\rho = 0$ it follows that

$$M_F(r) \geq M_{f_1} \left(\frac{pr}{4(1-r^2)^p} \right) = \max\{|f(z + (1 - r^2)^{-p})|: |z| = pr(1 - r^2)^{-p}/4\}.$$

□

Using the result of J.Clunie [14] (see Remark 2) we will study the l - M -index of the function $F(z) = f(g(z))$ with respect to the l - M -indexes of the functions f and g .

Our main result is following.

Theorem 10. *Let f and g be entire functions. If f has bounded M -index and $M'_g(r)$ is continuously differentiable then the function $F(z) = f(g(z))$ has bounded l - M -index with $l(r) = M'_g(r)$. If the function $F(z) = f(g(z))$ is of bounded l - M -index with $l(r) = M'_g(r/2)$ and $g(z)$ is a polynomial then f is of bounded M -index.*

Proof. Suppose that f is of bounded M -index. Then $\ln M_f(r) = O(r)$, $r \uparrow \infty$. From (9) it follows that $\ln M_F(r) \leq O(M_g(r))$, $r \rightarrow \infty$. Denote $\Phi(\ln r) = M_g(r)$, i.e. $\Phi(x) = M_g(e^x)$. Hence, $\Phi'(x) = M'_g(e^x)e^x$ and $\frac{\Phi'(\ln r)}{r} = \frac{M'_g(r)r}{r} = M'_g(r)$. Thus, by Theorem 8 we conclude that the function F is of bounded l - M -index with $l(r) = M'_g(r)$.

We will prove the second part of the theorem. On the contrary, suppose that f is of unbounded M -index. Then $\exists(\rho_k) \uparrow +\infty$ ($k \rightarrow +\infty$) such that $\frac{\ln M_f(\rho_k)}{\rho_k} \rightarrow +\infty$. Inequality (9) can be rewritten as following $M_F(2r) \geq M_f(\frac{1}{8}M_g(r) - |g(0)|)$. Put $r_k = M_g^{-1}(8\rho_k)$. Hence, we obtain $\frac{1}{\rho_k} \ln M_F(2M_g^{-1}(8\rho_k)) \geq \frac{1}{\rho_k} \ln M_f(\rho_k - |g(0)|) \rightarrow +\infty$ ($k \rightarrow +\infty$), that is

$$\frac{\ln M_F(2r_k)}{M_g(r_k)} \rightarrow +\infty \quad (k \rightarrow +\infty). \quad (10)$$

On the other hand, if the function F is of bounded l - M -index with $l(r) = M'_g(r)$, then $\ln M_F(r) = O(\ln M_g(r))$. To obtain contradiction with (10) we need $\ln M_F(2r) = O(\ln M_F(r))$, or $M_g(r) = O(M_g(r/2))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark that $M_g(2r) = O(M_g(r)) \Leftrightarrow g$ is polynomial. Indeed, $M_g(2r) \leq KM_g(r)$. Substitute $r_n = 2^n$ and obtain $M_g(2^{n+1}) \leq KM_g(2^n) \leq K^n M_g(2)$. For $2^n \leq r \leq 2^{n+1}$ we have

$$\ln M_g(r) \leq \ln M_g(2^{n+1}) \leq n \ln K + \ln M_g(2) \leq \frac{\ln K}{\ln 2} \ln r + \ln M_g(2) = K_1 \ln r + K_2.$$

Therefore, g is polynomial with $\deg g \leq K_1$. □

The following question is arising.

Problem (M.M. Sheremeta). *What are the entire functions f and g and constants c_1, c_2 such that $M_F(r) \geq M_f(c_1 M_g(r) - c_2)$ for $F(z) = f(g(z))$?*

Now, it is easy to see: if the Taylor series coefficients of the functions f and g are non-negative then $M_F(r) = M_f(M_g(r))$.

REFERENCES

1. M. M. Sheremeta, *On the l -index boundedness of some composition of functions*, Mat. Stud., **47** (2017), №2, 207–210.
2. Sh. Abuarabi, M.M. Sheremeta, *Entire functions of bounded l - M -index*, Dopov. AN URSR, **11** (1989), 3–5. (in Ukrainian)
3. A.I. Bandura, O.B. Skaskiv, *Entire functions of bounded L -index in direction*, Mat. Stud., **27** (2007), №1, 30–52. (in Ukrainian)
4. A.I. Bandura, O.B. Skaskiv, *Entire functions of bounded and unbounded index in direction*, Mat. Stud., **27** (2007), №2, 211–215. (in Ukrainian)
5. A.I. Bandura, O.B. Skaskiv, *Boundedness of L -index in direction of functions of the form $f(\langle z, m \rangle)$ and existence theorems*, Mat. Stud., **41** (2014), №1, 45–52.
6. A.I. Bandura, O.B. Skaskiv, *Directional logarithmic derivative and the distribution of zeros of an entire function of bounded L -Index along the direction*, Ukrain. Mat. J., **69** (2017), №3, 500–508.
7. A. Bandura, O. Skaskiv, *Functions analytic in a unit ball of bounded L -index in joint variables*, J. Math. Sci., **227** (2017), №1.

8. A. Bandura, O. Skaskiv, *Analytic functions in the unit ball. Bounded L -index in joint variables and solutions of systems of PDE's*, Beau-Bassin: LAP Lambert Academic Publishing, 2017, 100 p. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.09568>
9. A. Bandura, O. Skaskiv, *Entire functions of several variables of bounded index*, Lviv: Publisher I. E. Chyzhykov, 2016, 128 p.
10. A.I. Bandura, M.T. Bordulyak, O.B. Skaskiv, *Sufficient conditions of boundedness of L -index in joint variables*, *Mat. Stud.*, **45** (2016), №1, 12–26.
11. A.I. Bandura, N.V. Petrechko, O.B. Skaskiv, *Analytic functions in a polydisc of bounded L -index in joint variables*, *Mat. Stud.*, **46** (2016), №1, 72–80.
12. A. Bandura A., N. Petrechko, O. Skaskiv. *Maximum modulus in a bidisc of analytic functions of bounded L -index and an analogue of Hayman's theorem*, *Matematika Bohemica*, doi: 10.21136/MB.2017.0110-16 (in print)
13. A.I. Bandura, *Composition of entire functions and bounded L -index in direction*, *Mat. Stud.*, **47** (2017), №2, 179–184.
14. J. Clunie, *The composition of entire and meromorphic functions*, In: *Mathematical essays dedicated to A.J. Macintyre*, Ohio Univ. Press, Athens, Ohio, 75–92 (1970).
15. G.H. Fricke, S.M. Shah, W.C. Siscarick, *A characterization of entire functions of exponential Type and M -bounded Index*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **23** (1974), №5, 405–412.
16. W.K. Hayman, *Differential inequalities and local valency*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **44** (1973), №1, 117–137.
17. V.O. Kushnir, M.M. Sheremeta, *Analytic functions of bounded l -index*, *Mat. Stud.*, **12**, №1 (1999), 59–66.
18. B. Lepson, *Differential equations of infinite order, hyperdirichlet series and entire functions of bounded index*, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, Amer. Math. Soc.: Providence, Rhode Island, **2** (1968), 298–307.
19. Ya.V. Mykytyuk, S.I. Fedynyak, M.M. Sheremeta, *Dirichlet series of bounded $l - M$ -index*, *Mat. Stud.*, **11** (1999), №2, 159–166.
20. M.N. Sheremeta, *Entire functions and Dirichlet series of bounded l -index*, *Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ)*, **36** (1992), №9, 76–82.
21. M. Sheremeta, *Analytic functions of bounded index*, Lviv: VNTL Publishers, 1999, 141 p.
22. M.M. Sheremeta, *On the growth of a composition of entire functions*, *Carpathian Math. Publ.*, **9** (2017), №2, 181–187.

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv
m_m_sheremeta@gmail.com

Ivano-Frankivsk National Technical University of Oil and Gas
andriykopanytsia@gmail.com

Received 5.03.2017

Revised 26.12.2017