УДК 517.55, 517.57

I. E. CHYZHYKOV, M. A. VOITOVYCH

ON ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE pTH MEANS OF THE GREEN POTENTIAL FOR 0

I. E. Chyzhykov, M. A. Voitovych. On asymptotic behavior of the pth means of the Green potential for 0 , Mat. Stud.**46**(2016), 159–170.

For $0 we prove sharp estimates of pth means of the invariant Green potentials in the unit ball in <math>\mathbb{C}^n$ in terms of smoothness properties of a measure.

1. Introduction and main result. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathbb{C}^n denote the *n*-dimensional complex space with the inner product

$$\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j \overline{w}_j, \ z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Let B denote the unit ball $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \colon |z| < 1\}$ with the boundary $S = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \colon |z| = 1\}$, where $|z| = \sqrt{\langle z, z \rangle}$.

For $z, w \in B$, define the involutive automorphism φ_w of the unit ball B given by

$$\varphi_w(z) = \frac{w - P_w z - (1 - |w|^2)^{1/2} Q_w z}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle},$$

where $P_0z = 0$, $P_wz = \frac{\langle z,w \rangle}{|w|^2}w$, $w \neq 0$, is the orthogonal projection of \mathbb{C}^n onto the subspace generated by w and $Q_w = I - P_w$. We note that ([10, p.11])

$$1 - |\varphi_w(z)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |w|^2)(1 - |z|^2)}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^2}.$$
 (1)

The invariant Laplacian $\tilde{\Delta}$ on B is defined by

$$\tilde{\Delta}f(a) = \Delta(f \circ \varphi_a)(0),$$

where $f \in C^2(B)$, $\Delta = 4 \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial^2/\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_i)$ is the ordinary Laplacian. The operator $\tilde{\Delta}$ is invariant with respect to any holomorphic automorphism of B, i.e., $\tilde{\Delta}(f \circ \psi) = (\tilde{\Delta}f) \circ \psi$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$, the group of holomorphic automorphisms of B ([8, Chap.4], [10]).

The Green's function for the invariant Laplacian is defined by $G(z, w) = g(\varphi_w(z))$, where $g(z) = \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_{|z|}^{1} (1-t^2)^{n-1} t^{-2n+1} dt$ ([10, Chap.6.2]).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 31B25; 31C05.

Keywords: Green potential; unit ball; invariant Laplacian; \mathcal{M} -subharmonic function; Riesz measure. doi:10.15330/ms.46.2.159-170

If μ is a nonnegative Borel measure on B, the function G_{μ} defined by

$$G_{\mu}(z) = \int_{B} G(z, w) d\mu(w)$$

is called the (invariant) Green potential of μ , provided $G_{\mu} \not\equiv +\infty$. It is known that ([10, Chap. 6.4]) the condition $G_{\mu} \not\equiv +\infty$ is equivalent to

$$\int_{B} (1 - |w|^2)^n d\mu(w) < \infty. \tag{2}$$

The Green potential is closely connected to the notion of an \mathcal{M} -subharmonic function ([10, Chap. 3]). A function u on B is called \mathcal{M} -harmonic if $u \in C^2(B)$ and $\tilde{\Delta}u = 0$. A function u on B is called M-subharmonic if it is upper semicontinuos and $\Delta u \geq 0$ in the sense of distributions. In particular, $-G_{\mu}$ is \mathcal{M} -subharmonic. Note that in the case n=1 the classes of \mathcal{M} -subharmonic functions and subharmonic functions coincide.

Let u be a measurable function locally integrable on B. For 0 we define

$$m_p(r, u) = \left(\int_S |u(r\xi)|^p d\sigma(\xi)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $d\sigma$ is the Lebesgue measure on S normalized so that $\sigma(S) = 1$.

The following Riesz Decomposition Theorem holds.

Theorem A ([11]). Suppose that u is \mathcal{M} -subharmonic in B and

$$\sup_{1/2 \le r < 1} m_1(r, u) < \infty.$$

Let μ be the Riesz measure of u in B with ' $d\mu(z) = \tilde{\Delta}u(z)(1-|z|^2)^{-n-1}dV(z)$ ' where V is the Lebesgue measure on B. Then there exists a signed Borel measure ν on S such that for all $z \in B$

$$u(z) = P[\nu](z) - G_{\mu}(z) \tag{3}$$

where

$$P[\nu](z) = \int_{S} \frac{(1 - |z|^{2})^{n}}{|1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle|^{2n}} d\nu(\zeta)$$

is the Poisson-Stieltjes integral.

Growth of the integral $P[\nu](z)$ in the uniform metric is described in terms of smoothness properties of the measure ν in [1] for n=1, and in [4] for arbitrary $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Growth of $m_p(r, P[\nu])$ for n=1 and $p\geq 1$ is described in [15].

In the case n > 1, sharp estimates of the growth rate of $m_p(r, G_\mu)$ for the whole class of Borel measures satisfying (2) are proved by M. Stoll in [9]. The case n=1 is studied much more deeper, see e.g. [12, 13, 14]).

Theorem B ([9]). Let G_{μ} be the Green potential on B. (1) If $1 \leq p < \frac{2n-1}{2(n-1)}$, then

(1) If
$$1 \le p < \frac{2n-1}{2(n-1)}$$
, then

$$\lim_{r \to 1^{-}} (1 - r^2)^{n(1 - 1/p)} m_p(r, G_\mu) = 0.$$
(4)

(2) If $n \ge 2$ and $\frac{2n-1}{2(n-1)} \le p < \frac{2n-1}{2n-3}$, then

$$\lim_{r \to 1^{-}} \inf(1 - r^2)^{n(1 - 1/p)} m_p(r, G_\mu) = 0.$$
 (5)

Theorem B gives the maximal growth rate of the pth mean of the Green potentials, but does not take into account particular properties of a measure μ . It appears that smoothness properties of the so called complete measure (in the sense of Grishin [7, 2, 3]) or the related measure (see [6]) of a subharmonic function allow us to describe its growth. Here we just note that in the case when n = 1 and $u = -G_{\mu}$, the complete measure $\lambda = \lambda_u$ of u is the weighted Riesz measure $d\lambda(z) = (1 - |z|)d\mu(z)$.

Define for $a, b \in \overline{B}$ the nonisotropic metric on S by $d(a, b) = |1 - \langle a, b \rangle|^{1/2}$ ([8, Chap.5.1]). For $\xi \in S$ and $\delta > 0$ we set

$$C(\xi, \delta) = \{z \in B : d(z, \xi) < \delta^{1/2}\}, \ D(\xi, \delta) = \{z \in B : d(z, \xi) < \delta\}, \ d\lambda(z) = (1 - |z|)^n d\mu(z).$$

The growth of $m_p(r, G_\mu)$ in terms of properties of the measure μ are described in [5] for n > 1. One dimensional analogue has been established earlier in [3] for all p > 1.

Theorem C ([5]). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 , <math>0 \le \gamma < 2n$, and let μ be a Borel measure satisfying (2). Then

$$m_p(r, G_\mu) = O\left((1-r)^{\gamma-n}\right), \ r \uparrow 1 \tag{6}$$

holds if and only if

$$\left(\int_{S} \lambda^{p} \left(C(\xi, \delta)\right) d\sigma(\xi)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = O\left(\delta^{\gamma}\right), \ 0 < \delta < 1.$$
 (7)

In this paper we would like to consider the case 0 . For this interval one can obtain an analogue of necessity part of Theorem C.

Theorem 1. Let n > 1, $0 , <math>0 \le \gamma < 2n$, and let μ be a Borel measure satisfying (2) and

$$m_p(r, G_\mu) = O\left((1-r)^{\gamma-n}\right), \ r \uparrow 1 \tag{8}$$

hold. Then

$$\left(\int_{S} \lambda^{p} \left(C(\xi, \delta)\right) d\sigma(\xi)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = O\left(\delta^{\gamma}\right), \ 0 < \delta < 1.$$

$$(9)$$

Proof. The proof repeats that of necessity in Theorem C.

The following theorem is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 2. Let n > 1, $0 , <math>0 \le \gamma < 2n$, and let μ be a Borel measure satisfying (2) and

$$\int_{S} \lambda \left(C(\xi, \delta) \right) d\sigma(\xi) = O\left(\delta^{\gamma} \right), \ 0 < \delta < 1, \tag{10}$$

hold. Then

$$m_p(r, G_\mu) = O\left((1-r)^{\gamma-n}\right), \ r \uparrow 1. \tag{11}$$

Remark 1. An example in Section 4 shows that estimate (11) is sharp for all $p \in (0, 1]$. As a corollary we obtain a criterion of the growth of $m_p(r, G_\mu)$ in terms of properties of the measure μ in the case p = 1.

Corollary 1. Let n > 1, $0 \le \gamma < 2n$, and let μ be a Borel measure satisfying (2). Then

$$\int_{S} \lambda \left(C(\xi, \delta) \right) d\sigma(\xi) = O\left(\delta^{\gamma} \right), \ 0 < \delta < 1, \tag{12}$$

holds if and only if

$$m_1(r, G_\mu) = O\left((1-r)^{\gamma-n}\right), \ r \uparrow 1. \tag{13}$$

Remark 2. Due to Proposition 1.10 ([5]) we always have

$$\int_{S} \lambda \left(C(\xi, \delta) \right) d\sigma(\xi) = o(\delta^{n}), \ \delta \downarrow 0.$$

This agrees with the relation $m_1(r, G_\mu) = o(1)$, $r \uparrow 1$ as it was shown by Ulrich ([11], see also [10]).

2. Some properties of the Green's function. The following lemma gives some basic properties of q which will be needed later.

Lemma A ([10]). Let $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ be fixed. Then g satisfies the following two inequalities:

$$g(z) \ge \frac{n+1}{4n^2} (1-|z|^2)^n, \ z \in B,$$

$$g(z) \le c(\delta)(1-|z|^2)^n, \ z \in B, |z| \ge \delta,$$
(14)

where $c(\delta)$ is a positive constant. Furthermore, if n > 1 then

$$g(z) \approx |z|^{-2n+2}, \quad |z| \le \delta. \tag{15}$$

We need an estimate of p-means of the Green's function for 0 . Analogues estimates for <math>p > 1 are established by Stoll ([9, Lemma 5]). His proof does not work for $p \le 1$, though we use some ideas and notation from [9].

For fixed $\delta, 0 < \delta < 1/2$, denote $B^*(z, \delta) = \{w \in B : |\varphi_w(z)| < \delta\}$ and for 0 < r < 1 denote

$$E(r) = \bigcup_{t \in S} B^*(rt, \delta).$$

Lemma 1. Let $0 , <math>n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists $r_0 \in (0,1)$ such that for all $r \in (r_0,1)$ and $w \in E(r)$

$$m_p(G(\cdot, w), r) \approx (1 - r^2)^{n/p}, \quad \text{if } p \in (0, 1] \setminus \left\{ \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \right\},$$

$$m_p(G(\cdot, w), r) = O\left((1 - r^2)^{n/p} \left(\ln \frac{1}{1 - r} \right)^{1/p} \right), \text{ if } p = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}, \ n > 1.$$

Proof. Let $w \in E(r)$, $|w| = \rho$. Since σ is invariant under the group of unitary transformations of \mathbb{C}^n ,

$$\int_{S} g(\varphi_{w}(rt))^{p} d\sigma(t) = \int_{S} g(\varphi_{\rho e}(rt))^{p} d\sigma(t) = \int_{S} g(\varphi_{re}(\rho t))^{p} d\sigma(t),$$

where $e = (1, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

For $0 < r, \rho < 1$, and fixed $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, let $N_r^{\rho} = \{t \in S : \rho t \in B^*(re, \delta)\}.$

For $t \in S \setminus N_r^{\rho}$, we have ([9, p. 491])

$$\int_{S} g(\varphi_{re}(\rho t))^{p} d\sigma(t) \le c(1 - \rho^{2})^{pn} (1 - r^{2})^{-n(p-1)} \le c(1 - r^{2})^{n}.$$
(16)

Also, for c > 0, let $\Omega_r^c = \{se^{i\theta} : 0 < 1 - s < c(1 - r^2), |\theta| < c(1 - r^2)\}$ and $Q_r^c = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in S : t_1 \in \Omega_r^c\}$.

By the definition of N_r^{ρ} , one has $|\varphi_{re}(\rho t)| < \delta$ for $t \in N_r^{\rho}$. Hence by (15) and (1)

$$g(\varphi_{re}(\rho t)) \approx |\varphi_{re}(\rho t)|^{-2(n-1)} = c_1 \frac{|1 - r\rho t_1|^{2(n-1)}}{(|1 - r\rho t_1|^2 - (1 - r^2)(1 - \rho^2))^{n-1}},$$
(17)

where $c_1 = c_1(n)$.

It is known that ([9, Lemma 3]) there exist $c_2 = c_2(\delta)$ and $r(\delta)$ such that $N_r^{\rho} \subset Q_r^{c_2}$ for all ρ with $\rho e \in B^*(re, \delta)$, and all $r > r(\delta)$. Moreover, one can choose $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that the inclusion holds for all $r \in (r_0, 1)$ and $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

By (1), $\rho t \in B^*(re, \delta)$ if and only if $(1 - r^2)(1 - \rho^2) > (1 - \delta^2)|1 - r\rho t_1|^2$, i.e.

$$|1 - r\rho t_1|^2 \le \frac{1}{1 - \delta^2} (1 - r^2)(1 - \rho^2) \le \frac{4}{3} (1 - r^2)(1 - \rho^2).$$

Since $t \in N_r^{\rho}$, we can apply the previous inequality to deduce

$$\int_{N_r^{\rho}} g(\varphi_{re}(\rho t))^p d\sigma(t) \leq c_3 (1 - r^2)^{p(n-1)} (1 - \rho^2)^{p(n-1)} \times
\times \int_{Q_r^{\rho_2}} (|1 - r\rho t_1|^2 - (1 - r^2)(1 - \rho^2))^{-p(n-1)} d\sigma(t) =: c_3 (1 - r^2)^{p(n-1)} (1 - \rho^2)^{p(n-1)} I_r.$$
(18)

Since ([9, p. 488])

$$|1 - r\rho se^{i\theta}|^2 - (1 - r^2)(1 - \rho^2) = (\rho - r)^2 + 2\rho r(1 - s) - r^2\rho^2(1 - s^2) + 4r\rho s \sin^2\frac{\theta}{2} \ge$$

$$\ge (r - \rho)^2 + (1 - s)(1 - r) + \frac{\theta^2}{\pi^2}, \quad \min\{\rho r, s\} \ge \frac{1}{2}, \tag{19}$$

by formula 1.4.5(2) in [8],

$$I_{r} = c_{4}(n) \iint_{\Omega_{r}^{c_{2}}} (1 - s^{2})^{n-2} \left(|1 - r\rho se^{i\theta}|^{2} - (1 - r^{2})(1 - \rho^{2}) \right)^{-p(n-1)} s ds d\theta \le$$

$$\le c_{5} \int_{1-c_{2}(1-r^{2})}^{1} \left[\int_{0}^{c_{2}(1-r^{2})} (1 - s)^{n-2} \left[(r - \rho)^{2} + (1 - s)(1 - r) + \frac{\theta^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \right]^{-p(n-1)} d\theta \right] ds.$$

So

$$I_r \le c_5 \int_{1-c_2(1-r^2)}^{1} (1-s)^{n-2} \left[\int_{0}^{\pi\sqrt{(1-s)(1-r)}} \left((1-s)(1-r) + \frac{\theta^2}{\pi^2} \right)^{-p(n-1)} d\theta + \frac{\theta^2}{(1-s)(1-r^2)} \right] d\theta + \frac{\theta^2}{(1-s)(1-r^2)} d\theta + \frac{$$

$$+ \left| \int_{\pi\sqrt{(1-s)(1-r)}}^{c_2(1-r)} \left((1-s)(1-r) + \frac{\theta^2}{\pi^2} \right)^{-p(n-1)} d\theta \right| ds \le$$

$$\le c_5 \int_{1-c_2(1-r^2)}^{1} (1-s)^{n-2} \left[\int_{0}^{\pi\sqrt{(1-s)(1-r)}} ((1-s)(1-r))^{-p(n-1)} d\theta + \left| \int_{\pi\sqrt{(1-s)(1-r)}}^{c(1-r^2)} \left(\frac{\theta}{\pi} \right)^{-2p(n-1)} d\theta \right| ds.$$

Direct calculation shows that for $0 \le 1 - s \le c_2(1 - r^2)$

$$\left| \int_{\pi\sqrt{(1-s)(1-r)}}^{c_2(1-r^2)} \theta^{-2p(n-1)} d\theta \right| \leq \begin{cases} c_6(1-r)^{1-2p(n-1)}, & p \in (0,1] \setminus \left\{ \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \right\}; \\ c_6 \ln \frac{1}{1-r}, & p = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}. \end{cases}$$

Let us consider three cases. Firstly, let $0 . Since <math>0 < 1 - s < 2c_2(1-r)$, we get

$$I_r \le c_7 \int_{1-c_2(1-r^2)}^{1} (1-s)^{n-2} (1-r)^{1-2p(n-1)} ds \le c_8 (1-r^2)^{n-2p(n-1)}.$$

Now let $1 \ge p > \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$. Then

$$I_r \le c_9 \int_{1-c_2(1-r^2)}^{1} \left((1-s)^{n-\frac{3}{2}-p(n-1)} (1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}-p(n-1)} + (1-s)^{n-2} (1-r)^{1-2p(n-1)} \right) ds \le c_{10} (1-r^2)^{n-2p(n-1)}.$$

Finally, if $p = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$, n > 1, then

$$I_r \le c_9 \int_{1-c_2(1-r^2)}^1 (1-s)^{n-2} \left(1+\ln\frac{1}{1-r}\right) ds \le c_{11}(1-r^2)^{n-1} \ln\frac{1}{1-r}.$$

Therefore from the latter inequalities, (16) and (18) we get

$$m_p(G(\cdot, w), r) \leq c_{11} [(1 - r^2)^{p(n-1)} (1 - \rho^2)^{p(n-1)} (1 - r^2)^{n-2p(n-1)}]^{1/p} =$$

$$= c_{11} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^{n-1}}{(1 - r^2)^{n-1-n/p}} \leq c(n, p) (1 - r^2)^{n/p}, \quad p \neq \frac{1}{2(n-1)},$$

$$m_p(G(\cdot, w), r) \leq c_{12} \left(\left((1 - r^2)(1 - \rho^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - r^2)^{n-1} \ln \frac{1}{1 - r} \right)^{1/p} \leq$$

$$\leq c(n) (1 - r^2)^{n/p} \ln^{1/p} \frac{1}{1 - r}, \quad p = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}.$$

The upper estimates are proved. Let us prove the lower estimate. By (17) we have

$$\int_{S} g(\varphi_{re}(\rho t))^{p} d\sigma(t) \geq \tilde{c}_{1} \int_{Q_{r}^{c}} |\varphi_{re}(\rho t)|^{-2p(n-1)} d\sigma(t) =
= \tilde{c}_{1} \int_{Q_{r}^{c}} \frac{|1 - r\rho t_{1}|^{2p(n-1)}}{\left(|1 - r\rho t_{1}|^{2} - (1 - r^{2})(1 - \rho^{2})\right)^{p(n-1)}} d\sigma(t) \geq
\geq \tilde{c}_{1} \int_{Q_{r}^{c}} \frac{(1 - r\rho)^{2p(n-1)}}{\left(|1 - r\rho t_{1}|^{2} - (1 - r^{2})(1 - \rho^{2})\right)^{p(n-1)}} d\sigma(t).$$

Equality (19) implies

$$|1 - r\rho se^{i\theta}|^2 - (1 - r^2)(1 - \rho^2) \le (r - \rho)^2 + 2(1 - s)(1 - r\rho s) + \theta^2 \le \tilde{c}_2(1 - r)^2, \quad se^{i\theta} \in Q_r^c$$

Then

$$\int_{S} g(\varphi_{re}(\rho t))^{p} d\sigma(t) \geq \tilde{c}_{3} |1 - r\rho|^{2p(n-1)} \times$$

$$\times \int_{1-c(1-r^{2})}^{1} \left[\int_{0}^{c(1-r^{2})} (1 - s^{2})^{n-2} (|1 - r\rho se^{i\theta}|^{2} - (1 - r^{2})(1 - \rho^{2}))^{-p(n-1)} s ds \right] d\theta \geq$$

$$\geq \tilde{c}_{4} (1 - r)^{2p(n-1)} \int_{1-c(1-r^{2})}^{1} \left[\int_{0}^{c(1-r^{2})} (1 - s^{2})^{n-2} (1 - r)^{-2p(n-1)} s ds \right] d\theta = \tilde{c}_{5} (1 - r^{2})^{n}.$$

So,
$$m_p(G(\cdot, w), r) \ge \tilde{c}_6(1 - r^2)^{n/p}, \ p \in (0; 1] \setminus \{\frac{1}{2(n-1)}\}.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 2. Since, by the convexity, $m_p(r, G_\mu) \le m_1(r, G_\mu)$, 0 , it is enough to prove (11) for <math>p = 1. We follow the scheme from [5].

Let us estimate the absolute values of

$$u_1(z) := \int_{B^*(z,\frac{1}{4})} G(z,w) d\mu(w)$$
 and $u_2(z) := \int_{B \setminus B^*(z,\frac{1}{4})} G(z,w) d\mu(w).$

We start with u_1 . By definition

$$0 \le u_1(z) = \int_{B^*\left(z, \frac{1}{4}\right)} G(z, w) d\mu(w) = \int_{B^*\left(z, \frac{1}{4}\right)} g(\varphi_w(z)) d\mu(w).$$

By (15) we have $g(z) \le c|z|^{-2n+2}$ for $|z| \le \frac{1}{4}$ and some positive constant c. Thus,

$$|u_1(z)| \le c \int_{B^*(z,\frac{1}{4})} |\varphi_w(z)|^{-2n+2} d\mu(w).$$

Denote $z = r\xi$, where $r = |z|, \frac{1}{2} < r < 1$ and $w = |w|\eta, \xi, \eta \in S$. Let

$$K(z, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \{ w \in B : |r - |w|| \le \sigma_1, d(\xi, \eta) \le \sigma_2 \}.$$

In [5] it is proved that

$$B^*\left(z, \frac{1}{4}\right) \subset K(z, c_{13}(1-r), c_{14}(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \tag{20}$$

where $c_{13} = \frac{2}{3}$ and $c_{14} = 4\sqrt{2}$. We denote

$$K(z) := K\left(z, \frac{2}{3}(1-r), 4\sqrt{2}(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \ \tilde{K}(z) := K\left(z, \frac{2}{3}(1-r), 8\sqrt{2}(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

The inclusion (20) implies

$$I_{1} := \int_{S} |u_{1}(r\xi)| d\sigma(\xi) \leq c_{15} \int_{S} \int_{B^{*}(r\xi, \frac{1}{4})} |\varphi_{w}(r\xi)|^{-(2n-2)} d\mu(w) d\sigma(\xi) \leq$$

$$\leq c_{15} \int_{S} \int_{K(r\xi)} \frac{d\mu(w)}{|\varphi_{w}(r\xi)|^{2n-2}} d\sigma(\xi)$$

where $c_{15} = c_{15}(p)$. Then, by Fubini's theorem we deduce $(z = r\xi, w = |w|\eta)$

$$I_{1} \leq c_{16}(n,p) \int_{\substack{\eta \in S \\ ||w|-r| < \frac{2}{3}(1-r)}} \int_{d(\xi,\eta) < 4\sqrt{2}(1-r)^{1/2}} \frac{d\sigma(\xi)}{|\varphi_{w}(r\xi)|^{2n-2}} d\mu(|w|\eta) \leq$$

$$\leq c_{16}(p,n) \int_{||w|-r| < \frac{2}{3}(1-r)} \int_{S} \frac{d\sigma(\xi)}{|\varphi_{w}(r\xi)|^{2n-2}} d\mu(w).$$
(21)

Applying to (21) subsequently (1), (14) and Lemma 1, we obtain that for 0

$$\int_{S} \frac{d\sigma(\xi)}{|\varphi_{w}(r\xi)|^{2n-2}} = \int_{S} \frac{d\sigma(\xi)}{|\varphi_{r\xi}(w)|^{2n-2}} \le \int_{S} g(\varphi_{r\xi}(w)) d\sigma(\xi) \le c_{17} (1 - r^{2})^{n}, \quad \frac{1}{2} < r < 1.$$

Substituting the estimate of the inner integral into (21) we get

$$I_1 \le c_{18} (1-r)^n \int_{||w|-r| < \frac{2}{3}(1-r)} d\mu(|w|\eta).$$
 (22)

We need the following lemma that plays a key role in the proof of Theorem C.

Lemma B ([5]). Let ν be a finite positive Borel measure on S, $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, and $p \ge 1$. Then

$$\int_{S} \nu^{p-1}(D(\xi,\delta)) d\nu(\xi) \le \frac{N^p}{\delta^{2n}} \int_{S} \nu^p(D(\xi,\delta)) d\sigma(\xi),$$

where N is a positive constant independent of p and δ .

To obtain the final estimate of I_1 , for a fixed $r \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, we define the measure ν_1 on the balls $\{D(\eta, t) : \eta \in S, t > 0\}$ by

$$\nu_1(D(\eta,t)) = \lambda \left(\left\{ \rho \zeta \in B \colon |\rho - r| < \frac{2}{3}(1-r), d(\zeta,\eta) < t \right\} \right).$$

It can be expanded to the family of all Borel sets on B in the standard way. It is clear that

$$\nu_1(D(\eta, t)) \simeq (1 - r)^n \mu \Big(\Big\{ \rho \zeta \in B \colon |\rho - r| < \frac{2}{3} (1 - r), d(\zeta, \eta) < t \Big\} \Big).$$

By using of (22) and Lemma B we get

$$I_{1} \leq c_{19} \int_{||w|-r| < \frac{2}{3}(1-r)} d\lambda(|w|\eta) = c_{19} \int_{S} d\nu_{1}(\eta) \leq \frac{c_{19}N}{(1-r)^{n}} \int_{S} \nu_{1} \left(D(\eta, 8\sqrt{2}(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) d\sigma(\eta) = \frac{c_{20}(n,p)}{(1-r)^{n}} \int_{S} \lambda \left(\tilde{K}(r\eta) \right) d\sigma(\eta).$$

Note that if $\rho \zeta \in \tilde{K}(r\eta)$ then

$$|1 - \langle \rho \zeta, \eta \rangle| \le |1 - \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle| + (1 - \rho) |\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle| \le (4c_{14}^2 + c_{13} + 1)(1 - r) = c_{21}(1 - r). \tag{23}$$

Hence,

$$I_1 \le \frac{c_{20}}{(1-r)^n} \int_S \lambda \left(C(\eta, c_{21}(1-r)) \right) d\sigma(\eta). \tag{24}$$

By the assumption of the theorem we deduce

$$I_1 = O((1-r)^{\gamma-n}), \ r \uparrow 1. \tag{25}$$

Let us estimate

$$u_2(z) = \int_B G(z, w) (1 - |w|)^{-n} d\tilde{\lambda}(w)$$

where $d\tilde{\lambda}(w) = (1 - |w|)^n \chi_{B \setminus B^*(z, \frac{1}{4})}(w) d\mu(w)$, χ_E is the characteristic function of a set E. We may assume that $|z| \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

We denote

$$E_k = E_k(z) = \left\{ w \in B : \left| 1 - \left\langle \frac{z}{|z|}, w \right\rangle \right| < 2^{k+1} (1 - |z|) \right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Since $|1-\langle z,w\rangle| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left|1-\langle \frac{z}{|z|},w\rangle\right|$, one has that for $w\in E_{k+1}\backslash E_k$, $|1-\langle z,w\rangle| \geq 2^{k-1}(1-|z|)$. Combining Lemma A with the equality in (1) for $z\in B$ such that $|z|\geq \frac{1}{2}$ we get that $0\leq G(z,w)\leq c_{22}\left(\frac{(1-|w|^2)(1-|z|^2)}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^2}\right)^n$ holds. So

$$|u_{2}(z)| \leq c_{22} \int_{B} \left(\frac{(1+|w|)(1-|z|^{2})}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{2}} \right)^{n} d\tilde{\lambda}(w) \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil \log_{2} \frac{1}{1-r} \rceil} c_{22} \int_{E_{k+1}\backslash E_{k}} \left(\frac{(1+|w|)(1-|z|^{2})}{2^{2(k-1)}(1-|z|)^{2}} \right)^{n} d\tilde{\lambda}(w) + c_{22} \int_{E_{1}} \left(\frac{(1+|w|)(1-|z|^{2})}{(1-|z|)^{2}} \right)^{n} d\tilde{\lambda}(w) \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{k+1}\backslash E_{k}} \frac{4^{n} c_{22}}{(2^{2(k-1)}(1-|z|))^{n}} d\tilde{\lambda}(w) + \int_{E_{1}} \frac{4^{n} c_{22}}{(1-|z|)^{n}} d\tilde{\lambda}(w) \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{4^n c_{22}}{(1-|z|)^n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}\left(E_{k+1}\right)}{2^{2n(k-1)}} + \tilde{\lambda}\left(E_1\right) \right) \leq \frac{4^n c_{22}}{(1-|z|)^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}\left(E_k\right)}{2^{2n(k-2)}}.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{S} |u_{2}(r\xi)| d\sigma(\xi) \leq \frac{c_{23}}{(1-r)^{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{S} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}\left(E_{k}(r\xi)\right)}{2^{2n(k-2)}} d\sigma(\xi) =$$

$$= \frac{c_{23}}{(1-r)^{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2n(k-2)}} \int_{S} \tilde{\lambda}\left(C\left(\xi, 2^{k+1}(1-r)\right)\right) d\sigma(\xi) \leq \frac{c_{24}}{(1-r)^{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{\gamma(k+1)}(1-r)^{\gamma}}{2^{2n(k-2)}} =$$

$$= \frac{c_{25}}{(1-r)^{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(\gamma-2n)} = \frac{c_{25}}{(1-r)^{n-\gamma}} \frac{2^{\gamma-2np}}{1-2^{\gamma-2n}} = \frac{c_{26}(n,\gamma)}{(1-r)^{n-\gamma}}.$$

Hence

$$m_p(r, G_\mu) \le m_1(r, G_\mu) \le \int_S |u_1(r\xi)| d\sigma(\xi) + \int_S |u_2(r\xi)| d\sigma(\xi) \le \frac{c(n, \gamma)}{(1 - r)^{n - \gamma}}.$$

4. An example.

Proposition 1. For n > 1, $0 , <math>n < \gamma < 2n$, there exists a Borel measure μ on B sutisfying (2) and such that

$$G_{\mu}(z) = O\left((1 - |z|)^{\gamma - n}\right), \ |z| \uparrow 1 \tag{26}$$

and for some C > 0

$$\lambda\left(C(\xi,\delta)\right) \ge C\delta^{\gamma}, \ 0 < \delta < 1.$$
 (27)

Proof. We define $d\mu(z) = \frac{dV(z)}{(1-|z|)^{2n+1-\gamma}}$, where V is the Lebesgue measure on B. We write

$$G_{\mu}(z) = \int_{B} G(z, w) d\mu(w) = \int_{B^{*}(z, \frac{1}{4})} G(z, w) d\mu(w) + \int_{B \setminus B^{*}(z, \frac{1}{4})} G(z, w) d\mu(w) =: J_{1} + J_{2}.$$

Since, by (20) $1 - |w| \approx 1 - |z|$ holds for $w \in B^*(z, \frac{1}{4})$, we get

$$J_1 \le c_{27} \int_{B^*\left(z,\frac{1}{4}\right)} \frac{G(z,w)dV(w)}{(1-|z|)^{2n+1-\gamma}} \le \frac{c_{27}}{(1-|z|)^{2n+1-\gamma}} \int_{r-c_1(1-r)}^{r+c_1(1-r)} \int_S G(z,\rho\eta)d\sigma(\eta)\rho^{2n-1}d\rho.$$

Using Lemma 1 for p = 1, we obtain

$$J_1 \le \frac{c_{28}}{(1-|z|)^{2n+1-\gamma}} \int_{r-c_1(1-r)}^{r+c_1(1-r)} (1-\rho)^n \rho^{2n-1} d\rho \le \frac{c_{29}}{(1-r)^{n-\gamma}}.$$

For $w \in B \setminus B^*(z, \frac{1}{4})$ we have (see (1))

$$0 \le G(z, w) \le c \left(\frac{(1 - |w|^2)(1 - |z|^2)}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^2} \right)^n.$$

Then by the above inequality and [8, Chap.1.4.10] it follows that

$$J_2 \le c(1-|z|)^n \int_B \frac{(1-|w|^2)^{-n-1+\gamma}}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{2n}} dV(w) \le c_{30}(1-|z|)^n (1-|z|)^{-2n+\gamma} = c_{30}(1-|z|)^{\gamma-n}.$$

Thus $m_1(r, G_{\mu}) = O((1-r)^{\gamma-n}), r \uparrow 1.$

Let us prove (27). We have $d\lambda(w) = \frac{dV(w)}{(1-|w|)^{n+1-\gamma}}$. Then

$$\lambda(C(\xi,\delta)) \ge \int_{C(\xi,\delta)\cap\left\{1-\frac{\delta}{2}\le |w|\le 1-\frac{\delta}{4}\right\}} \frac{dV(w)}{(1-|w|)^{n+1-\gamma}} \ge$$

$$\ge \delta^{\gamma-n-1} \int_{C(\xi,\delta)\cap\left\{1-\frac{\delta}{2}\le |w|\le 1-\frac{\delta}{4}\right\}} dV(w) \ge c\delta^{\gamma-n-1}\delta^{n+1} = \delta^{\gamma}.$$

The latter estimates follow from the inclusion

$$C(\xi,\delta) \cap \left\{1 - \frac{\delta}{2} \leq |w| \leq 1 - \frac{\delta}{4}\right\} \supset \left\{|w|\eta \colon \frac{\delta}{4} \leq 1 - |w| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}, d(\xi,\eta) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right\}.$$

Let us prove this. We denote $v=(1-\frac{\delta}{2})\zeta\in\partial C(\xi,\delta),\ \zeta\in S.$ Since $\min\{\delta(\xi,\eta)\colon |w|\eta\in C(\xi,\delta)\cap \left\{1-\frac{\delta}{2}\leq |w|\leq 1-\frac{\delta}{4}\right\}\}$ is attained at v, it is enough to estimate $d(\xi,\zeta)$ from below.

$$\begin{split} d(\xi,\zeta) &= \sqrt{|1 - \langle \xi,\zeta \rangle|} = \sqrt{|1 - \langle \xi,\zeta \rangle - \langle \xi,|v|\zeta \rangle + \langle \xi,|v|\zeta \rangle|} \geq \\ &\geq \sqrt{|1 - \langle \xi,|v|\zeta \rangle| - |\langle \xi,\zeta \rangle - \langle \xi,|v|\zeta \rangle|} = \sqrt{\delta - \frac{\delta}{2} |\langle \xi,\zeta \rangle|} \geq \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{2}}. \end{split}$$

The estimate (27) is proved.

REFERENCES

- 1. I.E. Chyzhykov, Growth and representation of analytic and harmonic functions in the unit disc, Ukrainian Math. Bulletin, 3 (2006), №1, 31–44.
- 2. I.E. Chyzhykov, Growth of analytic functions in the unit disc and complete measure in the sense of Grishin, Mat. Stud., **29** (2008), 35–44.
- 3. I.E. Chyzhykov, Growth of pth means of analytic and subharmonic function in the unit disk and angular distribution of zeros, arXiv:1509.02141v2 [math.CV], (2015), 1–19.
- 4. I. Chyzhykov, M. Voitovych, On the growth of the Cauchy-Szegő transform in the unit ball, J. Math. Phys. Anal, Geom., 11 (2015), №1, 236–244.
- 5. I. Chyzhykov, M. Voitovych, Growth description of pth means of the Green potential in the unit ball, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2016.1251423 (2016).
- 6. S.J. Gardiner, Representation and growth of subharmonic functions in half-space, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3), 48 (1984), 300–318.
- 7. A. Grishin, Continuity and asymptotic continuity of subharmonic functions, Math. Physics, Analysis, Geometry, ILPTE, 1 (1994), 193–215. (in Russian)
- 8. W. Rudin, Theory functions in the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . Berlin-Heidelberg, New York: Springer Verlag, 1980.

- 9. M. Stoll, Rate of growth of pth means of invariant potentials in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **143** (1989), 480–499.
- 10. M. Stoll, Invariant Potential Theory in the Unit Ball of \mathbb{C}^n . Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994
- 11. D. Ulrich, Radial limits of M-subharmonic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 292 (1985), 501–518.
- 12. C.N. Linden Integral logarithmic means for regular functions, Pacific J. of Math., 138 (1989), 119–127.
- 13. C.N. Linden *The characterization of orders for regular functions*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., **111** (1992), 299–307.
- 14. M. Stoll Boundary limits of subharmonic functions in the disc, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 93 (1985), 567–568.
- 15. I.E. Chyzhykov Generalization of a Hardy-Littlewood theorem, Math. Methods and Phys.-Mech. Fields, 49 (2006), №2, 74–79. (in Ukrainian)

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv chyzhykov@yahoo.com urkevych@gmail.com

Received 2.07.2016