

УДК 517.518.6

N. P. GIRYA

PERIODICITY OF DIRICHLET SERIES

N. P. Girya. *Periodicity of Dirichlet series*, Mat. Stud. **42** (2014), 38–43.

We prove that whenever all differences between zeros of two quasipolynomials form a discrete set, then both quasipolynomials are periodic with the same period. The result is valid for some classes of Dirichlet series and almost periodic holomorphic functions as well.

Н. П. Гиря. *Периодичность рядов Дирихле* // Мат. Студії. – 2014. – Т.42, №1. – С.38–43.

Доказано, что если множество разностей корней двух квазиполиномов дискретно, то оба квазиполинома являются периодическими с одним и тем же периодом. Результат остается в силе для некоторых классов рядов Дирихле, а также для голоморфных почти периодических функций.

Consider a quasipolynomial of the form

$$P(z) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n e^{\lambda_n z}, \quad \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1)$$

It can be easily seen that its zero set $Z(P)$ locates in a vertical strip of a finite width. If P is periodic, then it has the form

$$P(z) = C \prod_{k=1}^N \cosh(\omega z + b_k), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \quad C, b_k \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2)$$

In the general case the distribution of $Z(P)$ is rather complicated (see, for example, [5], [4], [2]).

Here we present a simple criterion for periodicity of quasipolynomial (1). Actually, we consider the case of infinite sums

$$Q(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{\lambda_n z}, \quad \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (3)$$

such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty, \quad \lambda_1 = \sup\{\lambda_n\}, \quad \lambda_2 = \inf\{\lambda_n\}, \quad a_1 a_2 \neq 0. \quad (4)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30B50.

Keywords: quasipolynomial; periodic function; zero set; discrete set; Dirichlet series; almost periodic holomorphic function.

Theorem 1. *Let Q_1, Q_2 be functions (3) under conditions (4). If the set of differences $Z(Q_1) - Z(Q_2) = \{z - w : z \in Z(Q_1), w \in Z(Q_2)\}$ is discrete, then*

$$Q_j(z) = C_j e^{\beta_j z} \prod_{k=1}^{K_j} \cosh(\omega z + b_k^{(j)}), \quad \omega, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad C_j, b_k^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}, \quad (5)$$

where ω is the same for both functions.

For $Q_2(-z) = Q_1(z) = Q(z)$ we get

Corollary. *If the set $Z(Q) + Z(Q)$ of all sums of two zeros of Q forms a discrete set, then Q is periodic and has form (2) up to a multiplier $\exp(\beta z)$. The same is valid for the case where the set of differences $Z(Q) - Z(Q)$ is discrete.*

In fact, the latter case was investigated earlier [1].

The proof of the Theorem is based on some properties of functions (3) and its zeros.

Proposition 1. *Any function (3) under conditions (4) is uniformly continuous on every strip $|\operatorname{Re} z| < H$.*

Proof. Every term of the sum from (3) is uniformly continuous in this strip, and by (4), the sum uniformly converges on the strip. \square

Proposition 2. *For any function (3) under conditions (4), any strip $|\operatorname{Re} z| < H$, and any sequence $\eta_k \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a subsequence $\eta_{k'}$ such that the functions $f(z + i\eta_{k'})$ uniformly converge on the strip.*

Proof. Take a subsequence $\eta_{k'}$ such that $\exp(i\lambda_n \eta_{k'}) \rightarrow \exp(i\alpha_n)$ ($k' \rightarrow \infty$), $\alpha_n \in [0, 2\pi)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking into account (4), for any $\gamma > 0$ we find m such that

$$\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n| \exp(\lambda_n \operatorname{Re} z) < \gamma/3$$

in the strip $|\operatorname{Re} z| < H$. Hence,

$$\left| f(z + i\eta_{k'}) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{i\alpha_n + \lambda_n z} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^m |a_n| e^{\lambda_n \operatorname{Re} z} \left| e^{i\lambda_n \eta_{k'}} - e^{i\alpha_n} \right| + 2 \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n| e^{\lambda_n \operatorname{Re} z} < \gamma$$

for $|\operatorname{Re} z| < H$ and sufficiently large k' . \square

We need the following definition

Definition 1. The set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called *relatively dense*, if there exists $L < \infty$ such that $E \cap [a, a + L] \neq \emptyset$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 3. *For any $\gamma > 0$ and any $H < \infty$ there is a relatively dense set of numbers $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for $|\operatorname{Re} z| < H$*

$$|Q(z + i\tau) - Q(z)| < \gamma. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, for any finite set of functions $\{Q\}$ there exists a relatively dense set of numbers such that (6) is fulfilled for all Q .

Proof. Taking into account (4), we find m such that

$$\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n| e^{\lambda_n \operatorname{Re} z} < \gamma/3 \quad (7)$$

for all z in the strip $|\operatorname{Re} z| < H$. By the Kronecker Lemma (see, for example, [6], Ch.2, §2), for any $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ and $\delta > 0$ there is a relatively dense set of numbers τ such that

$$|\exp(i\lambda_n \tau) - 1| < \delta \quad (8)$$

for all $n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Hence for sufficiently small δ we get

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^m a_n e^{\lambda_n z + i\lambda_n \tau} - \sum_{n=1}^m a_n e^{\lambda_n z} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^m |a_n| e^{\lambda_n \operatorname{Re} z} \sup \{ |e^{i\lambda_n \tau} - 1| : 1 \leq n \leq m \} < \gamma/3.$$

The later estimates and (7) imply (6). In the case of a finite number of functions $\{Q_j = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(j)} \exp(\lambda_n^{(j)} z)\}$ we have to find τ such that (8) is valid for a finite subset of $\{\lambda_n^{(j)}\}_{n,j}$. \square

In what follows we will assume that all numbers λ_n are distinct.

Proposition 4. *Zeros of (3) under conditions (4) locate in a vertical strip $\{z : |\operatorname{Re} z| < H(Q)\}$.*

Proof. Take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n| < |a_1|/3$. We have

$$|\exp(-\lambda_1 z) Q(z)| > |a_1| - \sum_{n=2}^m |a_n| \exp\{(\lambda_n - \lambda_1) \operatorname{Re} z\} - \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n| \exp\{(\lambda_n - \lambda_1) \operatorname{Re} z\}.$$

The last sum on the right-hand side is less than $|a_1|/3$ for $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$, the previous one is less than $|a_1|/3$ for $\operatorname{Re} z$ sufficiently large, hence $Q(z) \neq 0$ for $\operatorname{Re} z > H$. Similar arguments work for sufficiently large $-\operatorname{Re} z$. \square

Proposition 5. *The numbers of zeros of Q in all horizontal strips of width one are uniformly bounded by a constant $M = M(Q)$.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a sequence h_k such that the numbers of zeros inside the rectangles $|\operatorname{Re} z| < H(Q)$, $h_k < \operatorname{Im} z < h_k + 1$ tend to infinity as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Passing to a subsequence as in the proof of Proposition 2, we may suppose that the functions $Q(z + h_k)$ tend to $\tilde{Q}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{i\alpha_n} e^{\lambda_n z}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on the strip $|\operatorname{Re} z| < H(Q) + 1$. Take $\delta > 0$ so that $\tilde{Q}(z) \neq 0$ on the boundary of the rectangle $\{z : |\operatorname{Re} z| < H(Q) + \delta, -\delta < \operatorname{Im} z < 1 + \delta\}$. Since the number of zeros of \tilde{Q} inside this rectangle is bounded, we get the contradiction with Gurwitz' Theorem. \square

Further, denote by $B(a, r)$ the disc of radius r with center a . Put $Z(Q) = \{z_j\}$.

Proposition 6. *For any $\delta > 0$ there is $\nu > 0$ such that*

$$\inf\{|Q(z)| : z \in \partial(\cup_j B(z_j, \delta))\} > \nu.$$

Proof. Assume that there is a sequence $\zeta_k = \xi_k + i\eta_k$ such that $\text{dist}(\zeta_k, Z(Q)) = \delta$ and $Q(\zeta_k) \rightarrow 0$. By propositions 2 and 4, we may pass to a subsequence and suppose that $\xi_k \rightarrow \xi'$ and $Q(z + i\eta_k) \rightarrow \tilde{Q}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{i\alpha_n} e^{\lambda_n z}$ uniformly on the strip $|\text{Re } z| < H(Q) + 1$. By Proposition 1, $Q(z + \zeta_k) - Q(z + \xi' + i\eta_k) \rightarrow 0$, hence,

$$\tilde{Q}(\xi' + i0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Q(\zeta_k) = 0.$$

By Gurwitz' Theorem, there are $z_k \rightarrow \xi'$ such that $z_k + i\eta_k \in Z(Q)$. Since $\zeta_k - z_k - i\eta_k \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the contradiction. \square

Proposition 7. *For any $\gamma > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that the diameter of any connected component A of the set $\cup_j B(z_j, \delta)$ is less than γ .*

Proof. If a number of elements of $Z(Q) \cap A$ is m , then the diameter of A is at most $2\delta m$. Therefore, if $2\delta < \min\{1, \gamma\}/M(Q)$, then $\text{diam}A < \gamma$. \square

Proposition 8. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there are a relatively dense set of numbers τ and bijections $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$|z_j + i\tau - z_{\sigma(j)}| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall z_j \in Z(Q). \quad (9)$$

Moreover, for a finite set of functions $\{Q_\mu\}$ there exists a common relatively dense set of numbers τ such that (9) is fulfilled for all $Z(Q_\mu)$ (bijections σ in (9) may be different).

Proof. By Proposition 6,

$$|Q(z)| \geq \nu > 0 \quad (10)$$

on the boundary of the set $\cup_{z_j \in Z(Q)} B(z_j, \delta)$. Hence if τ satisfies (6) for $\gamma = \nu/2$, then we get

$$|Q(z + i\tau) - Q(z)| \leq (1/2)|Q(z)|. \quad (11)$$

Let A be a connected component of the set $\cup_{z_j \in Z(Q)} B(z_j, \delta)$. By Rouché's Theorem, the number of zeros of Q in $A - i\tau$ equals the number of zeros in A . If z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_l} are zeros in A and z_{m_1}, \dots, z_{m_l} are zeros in $A - i\tau$, then define a bijection σ on the set $E = \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ such that $\sigma(E) = \{m_1, \dots, m_l\}$. Repeat the construction of σ for the other connected components. By Proposition 7, the diameters of the components are less than ε for sufficiently small δ . Therefore, σ satisfies (9).

If we have a finite number of functions Q_μ , we can take $\nu > 0$ such that (10) is valid for all functions. By Proposition 3, one can take a relatively dense set τ such that (11) satisfies for all Q_μ and $z \in \partial(\cup_{z_j \in Z(Q_\mu)} B(z_j, \delta))$. Arguing as above and taking a sufficiently small δ , we obtain that (9) is valid for all $Z(Q_\mu)$ with the appropriate σ . \square

In the previous proposition we take into account in (9) multiplicities of zeros, i.e., k points $z_j = a$ correspond to the zero of multiplicity k at the point a . If we do not count multiplicities, we get the following consequence.

Corollary. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a relatively dense set of numbers τ such that point $z'_\mu, z''_\mu \in Z(Q_\mu)$ corresponds to each $z_\mu \in Z(Q_\mu)$ such that*

$$|z_\mu + i\tau - z'_\mu| < \varepsilon, \quad (12)$$

and

$$|z_\mu - i\tau - z''_\mu| < \varepsilon. \quad (13)$$

In particular, there is a number $R = R(\{Q_\mu\}) < \infty$ such that any closed horizontal strip of width R contains at least one zero of each Q_μ .

Proposition 9. *If $Z(Q)$ has a period iT , then Q has an representation (5).*

Proof. Taking into account that $Z(Q)$ is located in a vertical strip of a finite width, we see that only a finite number of points $c_1, \dots, c_K \in Z(Q)$ sites in $\{z: 0 \leq \text{Im } z < T\}$. Therefore, $Z(Q) = \{c_1, \dots, c_K\} + iT\mathbb{Z}$. Put $\omega = \pi/T, b_k = -\omega c_k + i\pi/2, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$. The function

$$Q(z) / \prod_{k=1}^K \cosh(\omega z + b_k) \quad (14)$$

is an entire one without zeros. Note that the denominator is uniformly bounded away from zero outside the discs of a small radius with a center belonging to $Z(Q)$. On the other hand,

$$|Q(z)| \leq \sum_n |a_n| e^{\max\{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|\} |\text{Re } z|}.$$

Therefore the function Q has exponential growth and is bounded on any vertical strip of a finite width. Hence (14) also is a function of exponential growth on the plane and bounded on the imaginary axis. Thus, (14) is equal to $Ce^{\beta z}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}, C \in \mathbb{C}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Put $R = R(\{Q_1, Q_2\})$. Since $Z(Q_1)$ and $Z(Q_2)$ are located in a vertical strip of a finite width, we see that for any points $z_n, z_{n'} \in Z(Q_1), w_m, w_{m'} \in Z(Q_2)$ such that

$$|\text{Im}(z_n - w_m)| < 2R + 3, \quad |\text{Im}(z_{n'} - w_{m'})| < 2R + 3, \quad z_n - w_m \neq z_{n'} - w_{m'},$$

we have $\gamma < |(z_{n'} - w_{m'}) - (z_n - w_m)|$ for some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. In particular, if we put $w_m = w_{m'}$, then we get $\gamma < |z_n - z_{n'}|$ for any $z_n, z_{n'} \in Z(Q_1), z_n \neq z_{n'}$.

Fix $z_n \in Z(Q_1)$, and let a real number $\tau > 1$ satisfy (12) for $Z(Q_1)$ and $Z(Q_2)$ with $\varepsilon = \gamma/2$. By (9), there is a unique $z_{n'} \in Z(Q_1)$ such that $|z_n + i\tau - z_{n'}| < \gamma/2$. Indeed, otherwise we would obtain $|z_{n'} - z_{n''}| \leq |z_n + i\tau - z_{n'}| + |z_n + i\tau - z_{n''}| < \gamma$, But this is impossible due to the choice of γ .

Let us show that $iT := (z_{n'} - z_n)$ is a common period of $Z(Q_1)$ and $Z(Q_2)$.

Put $w_m \in Z(Q_2)$ such that $|\text{Im}(w_m - z_n)| < 2R + 2$. By (12), there is a point $w_{m'} \in Z(Q_2)$ such that $|w_m + i\tau - w_{m'}| < \gamma/2$. Therefore,

$$|(z_n - w_m) - (z_{n'} - w_{m'})| \leq |w_m + i\tau - w_{m'}| + |z_{n'} - z_n - i\tau| < \gamma.$$

Since

$$|\text{Im}(z_{n'} - w_{m'})| \leq |\text{Im}(z_n - w_m)| + |z_n - z_{n'} + i\tau| + |w_m - w_{m'} + i\tau| < 2R + 3,$$

we get $z_n - w_m = z_{n'} - w_{m'}$ due to the choice of γ . Therefore, $w_{m'} = w_m + iT$.

The latter equality takes place for all points of $Z(Q_2) \cap \{w : \text{Im } z_n - 2R < \text{Im } w < \text{Im } z_n + 2R\}$, in particular, for some w_l such that $\text{Im } z_n + R < \text{Im } w_l < \text{Im } z_n + 2R$. Namely, there is $w_l \in Z(Q_2)$ such that $w_l = w_l + iT$. Let $\zeta \in Z(Q_1)$ be any point of the set

$$\{z : \text{Im } z_n \leq \text{Im } z < \text{Im } z_n + 3R\} \subset \{z : \text{Im } w_l - 2R < \text{Im } z < \text{Im } w_l + 2R\}.$$

By (12), there is a point $\zeta' \in Z(Q_1)$ such that $|\zeta + i\tau - \zeta'| < \gamma/2$. Therefore,

$$|(\zeta - w_l) - (\zeta' - w_l)| \leq |\zeta + i\tau - \zeta'| + |iT - i\tau| < \gamma.$$

Since $|\text{Im } \zeta - \text{Im } w_l| < 2R$ and $|\text{Im}(\zeta' - w_l)| \leq |\text{Im}(\zeta - w_l)| + |\zeta + i\tau - \zeta'| + |iT - i\tau| < 2R + 3$, we get $\zeta - w_l = \zeta' - w_l$ due to the choice of γ . Therefore, $\zeta' = \zeta + iT$.

In particular, there is a point $z_s \in Z(Q_1) \cap \{z : \text{Im } z_n + 2R \leq \text{Im } z < \text{Im } z_n + 3R\}$ such that $z_s + iT \in Z(Q_1)$. Continuing the line of reasoning, we obtain that for all $z \in Z(Q_1)$

such that $\operatorname{Im} z \geq \operatorname{Im} z_n$ we get $z + iT \in Z(Q_1)$ and for all $w \in Z(Q_2)$ such that $\operatorname{Im} w \geq \operatorname{Im} z_n$ we get $w + iT \in Z(Q_2)$.

If we take $w'_l \in Z(Q_2)$ such that $\operatorname{Im} z_n - 2R < \operatorname{Im} w'_l < \operatorname{Im} z_n - R$, we also can find $w'_l \in Z(Q_2)$ such that $w'_l = w'_l + iT$. Next, we prove that for any point $\tilde{\zeta} \in Z(Q_1) \cap \{z : \operatorname{Im} z_n - 3R \leq \operatorname{Im} z < \operatorname{Im} z_n\}$ there is $\tilde{\zeta}' \in Z(Q_1)$ such that $\tilde{\zeta}' = \tilde{\zeta} + iT$. Arguing as above, we show that for all $z \in Z(Q_1)$ such that $\operatorname{Im} z \leq \operatorname{Im} z_n$ we get $z + iT \in Z(Q_1)$ and for all $w \in Z(Q_2)$ such that $\operatorname{Im} w \leq \operatorname{Im} z_n$ we get $w + iT \in Z(Q_2)$.

Next, by (13), take for any $z \in Z(Q_1)$ a point $z'' \in Z(Q_1)$ such that $|z'' + i\tau - z| < \gamma/2$. Then $z'' + iT \in Z(Q_1)$ and $|(z'' + iT) - z| \leq |z'' + i\tau - z| + |i\tau - iT| < \gamma$. Therefore, $z'' + iT = z$ and $z - iT \in Z(Q_1)$ for all $z \in Z(Q_1)$. By the same arguments, $w - iT \in Z(Q_2)$ for all $w \in Z(Q_2)$.

Thus, $z \pm iNT \in Z(Q_1)$ for all $z \in Z(Q_1)$ and $w \pm iNT \in Z(Q_2)$ for all $w \in Z(Q_2)$. Since $Z(Q_1), Z(Q_2)$ are located in a vertical strip of a finite width, we see that T is real. By Proposition 9, we obtain the statement of Theorem 1. \square

If the assertion of Proposition 3 is fulfilled for an entire function f , then this function is called *almost periodic*. Entire almost periodic functions satisfy Propositions 1 and 2 as well.

In 1949 M. G. Krein and B. Ja. Levin (see [3], also [4], ch.6, p.2, and Appendix 6) introduced and studied the class Δ of entire almost periodic functions of an exponential growth with zeros in a horizontal strip of a finite width. In particular, all functions $Q(iz)$ for Q of form (3) under conditions (4) belong to Δ . There was proved that $F \in \Delta$ satisfy all the assertions of propositions 5 – 8 (of course, it should be changed horizontal strips to vertical ones and, conversely, vertical strips to horizontal ones). Therefore our criterion of periodicity (with real periods) is valid for functions $F_1, F_2 \in \Delta$ as well. In the case $F_1 = F_2$ this result was obtained in [1].

REFERENCES

1. S.Ju. Favorov, N.P. Girya, *On a criterion of periodicity of polynomials*, Ufa's Mathematical Journal, **4** (2012), №1, 47–52.
2. G. Kozma, F. Oravecz, *On the gaps between zeros of trigonometric polynomials*, Real Anal. Exchange, **28** (2002/03), №2, 447–454.
3. M.G. Krein, B.Ja. Levin, *On entire almost periodic functions of an exponential type*, DAN SSSR, **64** (1949), №2, 285–287. (in Russian)
4. B.Ja. Levin, *Distributions of zeros of entire functions*, V.5, Transl. of Math. Monograph, AMS Providence, R1, 1980.
5. V.P. Potapov, *On divisors of quasipolynomials*, Sbornik trudov Instituta matematiki AN SSSR, ser. mat, **6** (1942), 115–134. (in Russian)
6. B.M. Levitan, *Almost periodic functions*, Gostehizdat, Moscow, 1953. (in Russian)

The Karazin Kharkiv National University
n_girya@mail.ru

Received 12.02.2014

Revised 30.10.2014