

УДК 515.12

Т. М. RADUL, О. SHUKEL'

FUNCTORS OF FINITE DEGREE AND ASYMPTOTIC DIMENSION

Т. М. Radul, О. Shukel'. *Functors of finite degree and asymptotic dimension*, Mat. Stud. **31** (2009), 204–206.

We prove that any finitary weakly normal functor of finite degree preserves the class of metric spaces of finite asymptotic dimension.

Т. М. Радул, О. Шукель. *Функторы конечной степени и асимптотическая размерность*. // Мат. Студії. – 2009. – Т.31, №2. – С.204–206.

Доказано, что каждый финитный слабо нормальный функтор конечной степени сохраняет класс собственных метрических пространств конечной асимптотической размерности.

1. Introduction. The asymptotic dimension asdim of a metric space was defined by Gromov for studying asymptotic invariants of discrete groups ([4]). This dimension can be considered as an asymptotic counterpart of the Lebesgue covering dimension dim .

In [5] E. Shchepin has introduced the class of normal functors in the category **Comp** of compact Hausdorff spaces. In the last decades, many results were obtained for normal and close to normal functors. Concerning the dimension dim , one has the following result by Basmanov ([1, 2]): the finitary functors of finite degree preserve the class of finite-dimensional spaces. Moreover, if the dimension of the space X does not exceed m and the degree of a functor F does not exceed n , then the dimension of the space $F(X)$ does not exceed nm .

О. Shukel' introduced a counterpart of the notion of normal functor in the asymptotic category and proved that such functors preserve the class of metric spaces of asymptotic dimension zero ([6]). In this note we prove the counterpart of Basmanov result for asymptotic dimension.

2. Preliminaries. First of all we need the construction of a functor of finite degree for metric spaces. Fix a natural number n . Let \mathcal{K}_n denote the category of sets of cardinality $\leq n$ and Set_f the category of finite sets.

Let $F: \mathcal{K}_n \rightarrow \text{Set}_f$ be a functor possessing the following properties: 1) $F(\emptyset) = \emptyset$; 2) $F(\{*\}) = \{*\}$; 3) if $i: X \rightarrow Y$ is an embedding, then so is $F(i): F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$ (In the sequel, for any subset $X \subset Y$ we shall identify $F(X)$ with the subset $F(i)(F(X))$ of $F(Y)$). 4) $F(A \cap B) = F(A) \cap F(B)$; (This property helps us to define the *support* $\text{supp}(a) = \cap\{A \subset X \mid a \in F(A)\}$ for each element $a \in F(X)$). 5) if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an onto map, then so is $F(f): F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$.

Given a functor $F: \mathcal{K}_n \rightarrow \text{Set}_f$ as above and a metric space (X, d) , define a metric space $(F(X), \widehat{d})$ as follows.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54B30, 54F45, 54E35.

Keywords: functor, finite degree, asymptotic dimension

doi:10.30970/ms.31.2.204-206

© Т. М. Radul, О. Shukel', 2009

Consider the family $\exp_f X$ of nonempty finite subsets in X , partially ordered by the inclusion relation. We define the set $F(X)$ to be the direct limit of the direct system $\{F(A), F(\iota_{AB}); \exp_f X\}$ (here, for sets $A \subset B$ in $\exp_f X$ by $\iota_{AB}: A \rightarrow B$ the inclusion maps denoted). For every $A \in \exp_f X$, we identify $F(A)$ with the corresponding subset of $F(X)$ along the map $F(\iota_A)$, where $\iota_A: A \rightarrow X$ is the limit inclusion map. For any $a \in F(X)$, there exists a unique minimal $A \in \exp_f X$ such that $a \in F(A)$. Then we say that A is the *support* of a and write $\text{supp}(a) = A$. Note that this notion of support agrees with that defined above. Note that the cardinality of the supports does not exceed n . We express this by saying that the degree of F is at most n .

Given two maps $f, g: Y \rightarrow X$ where (X, d) is a metric space, we define the distance between f and g as $\sup_{y \in Y} d(f(y), g(y))$. We keep the notation d for this distance.

We recall the definition of a metric \hat{d} on $F(X)$ introduced in [6]. Given $a, b \in F(X)$, we let

$$\hat{d}(a, b) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m d(f_{2i-1}, f_{2i}): f_{2i-1}, f_{2i}: A_i \rightarrow X \text{ are such that there exist } c_i \in F(A_i), \right. \\ \left. \text{supp}(c_i) = A_i, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \text{ with } a = F(f_1)(c_1), F(f_2)(c_1) = F(f_3)(c_2), \dots, \right. \\ \left. F(f_{2m-1})(c_m) = F(f_{2m-2})(c_{m-1}), F(f_{2m})(c_m) = b \right\}.$$

Hereafter, we say that f_1, \dots, f_{2m} and c_1, \dots, c_m form a *chain* connecting a and b . The number m is then called the *length* of this chain.

It was shown in [6] that the function $\hat{d}: F(X) \times F(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a metric on $F(X)$. Moreover, in the definition of the metric \hat{d} we can restrict ourselves by considering chains of length $\leq N$ where N depends only on the functor F , see [6].

The construction F could be completed to a functor in the asymptotic category.

Definition. If $F: \mathcal{K}_n \rightarrow \text{Set}_f$ is a functor as above, we say that the obtained functor in the *asymptotic category* (for which we preserve the notation F) is a finitary weakly normal functor of degree $\leq n$.

Let us remark that only normal functors (with additional condition of inverse image preserving) were considered in [6]. But all the arguments are also valid for weakly normal functors, i.e. the functors that do not necessarily satisfy this condition.

A family \mathcal{A} of subsets of a metric space is called *uniformly bounded* if there exists a number $C > 0$ such that $\text{diam } A \leq C$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$; \mathcal{A} is called *r -disjoint* for some $r > 0$ if $d(A_1, A_2) \geq r$ for each $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_1 \neq A_2$.

The asymptotic dimension of a metric space X does not exceed $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ (written $\text{asdim } X \leq n$) if for every $D > 0$ there exists a uniformly bounded cover \mathcal{U} of X such that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_0 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{U}_n$, where all \mathcal{U}_i are D -disjoint [4].

3. Main result. Let F be a finitary weakly normal functor of degree $\leq n$. The main result of this note is the following one.

Theorem 1. *If $\text{asdim } X \leq m$, then $\text{asdim } F(X) \leq mn$.*

Proof. Consider a space X with $\text{asdim } X \leq m$. By [6] there is a constant N (depending only on the functor F) such that for every $a, b \in F(X)$ the distance $\hat{d}(a, b)$ is attained by chains of length $\leq N$.

Given any $D > 0$ we can choose ND -disjoint uniformly bounded families $\mathcal{U}_0, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{mn}$ such that any $m + 1$ families cover X (see Lemma 36 [3]). It means in particular, that for each $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, mn\}$ such that $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X_i$ where by $X_i = \bigcup \mathcal{U}_i$. Hence we have $F(X) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{mn} F(X_i)$.

Given a set Y , a family \mathcal{U} of subsets of Y and two maps $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$, we say that f and g are \mathcal{U} -near if for every $x \in X$ there exists a set $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\{f(x), g(x)\} \subset U$.

Fix any $s \in \{0, \dots, mn\}$. We say that $a, b \in F(X_s)$ are \mathcal{U}_s -chainable if there exist elements $c_i \in F(A_i)$, $\text{supp}(c_i) = A_i$, $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, and maps $f_{2i-1}, f_{2i}: A_i \rightarrow X_s$, $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $a = F(f_1)(c_1)$, $F(f_{2i})(c_i) = F(f_{2i+1})(c_{i+1})$, for every $i < k$, $F(f_{2k})(c_k) = b$, and the maps f_{2i-1}, f_{2i} are \mathcal{U}_s -near for all $i \leq k$.

For any $a \in F(X_s)$ the set $\{b \in F(X_s): a \text{ and } b \text{ are } \mathcal{U}_s\text{-chainable}\}$ is called the \mathcal{U}_s -component of a . Obviously, every two \mathcal{U}_s -components are either disjoint or coincide. Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_s$ the family of all \mathcal{U}_s -components of the points in $F(X_s)$.

We obtain $F(X_s) = \bigcup \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_s$, hence the families $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_0, \dots, \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{mn}$ cover $F(X)$. We have to show that each family $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_s$ is D -disjoint and uniformly bounded.

Consider $a, b \in F(X_s)$ with $\widehat{d}(a, b) < D$. Then there exist $c_i \in F(A_i)$, $\text{supp}(c_i) = A_i$, and $f_{2i-1}, f_{2i}: A_i \rightarrow X$, $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, such that

$$a = F(f_1)(c_1), \quad F(f_{2i})(c_i) = F(f_{2i+1})(c_{i+1}), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}, \quad F(f_{2k})(c_k) = b$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^k d(f_{2i-1}, f_{2i}) < D$. We can suppose that $k \leq N$.

Given $x \in \text{supp } F(f_{2i})(c_i)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$, we can find $y_{i+1} \in A_{i+1}, \dots, y_k \in A_k$ such that $f_{2i+1}(y_{i+1}) = x$ and $f_{2j}(y_j) = f_{2j+1}(y_{j+1})$ for all $j \in \{i+1, \dots, k-1\}$. Put $r_i(x) = f_{2k}(y_k) \in \text{supp}(b)$. Define functions $\phi_{2i-1}, \phi_{2i}: A_i \rightarrow X_s$, $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ as follows

$$\phi_1 = f_1, \phi_2 = r_1 \circ f_2, \dots, \phi_{2i} = r_i \circ f_{2i}, \phi_{2i+1} = r_i \circ f_{2i+1}, \dots, \phi_{2k-1} = r_{k-1} \circ f_{2k-1}, \phi_{2k} = f_{2k}.$$

Evidently $d(\phi_{2i-1}, \phi_{2i}) < D$. Thus we obtain a chain with values in X_s connecting a and b such that $\sum_{i=1}^k d(\phi_{2i-1}, \phi_{2i}) < ND$. Since the family \mathcal{U}_s is ND -disjoint, ϕ_{2i-1} and ϕ_{2i} are \mathcal{U}_s -close for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Hence a and b belong to the same element of the family $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_s$. Thus the family $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_s$ is D -disjoint. The proof of the fact that each family $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_s$ is uniformly bounded is the same as in [6]. \square

Remark. For the functor $F = SP_G^n$ of symmetric power the inequality $\text{asdim } F(X) \leq n \text{ asdim}(X)$ from Theorem 1 was obtained in [7] using the characterization theorem for the asymptotic dimension in terms of approximation by simplicial complexes.

REFERENCES

1. V. Basmanov. *Covariant functors, retracts, and dimension*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. **271** (1983) №5, 1033–1036 (in Russian).
2. V. Basmanov. *Dimension and some functors with finite support*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mech. (1981) №6, 48–50 (in Russian).
3. G. Bell, A. Dranishnikov. *Asymptotic dimension*, Topology Appl. **155** (2008) №12, 1265–1296.
4. M. Gromov. *Asymptotic invariants for infinite groups*, LMS Lecture Notes. **182** (1993) №2.
5. E.V. Shchepin. *Functors and uncountable powers of compacta*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. **36** (1981) №3, 3–62.
6. O. Shukel'. *Functors of finite degree and asymptotic dimension zero*, Mat. Stud. **29** (2008) №1, 101–107.
7. O. Shukel', M. Zarichnyi. *Asymptotic dimension of symmetric powers*, Math. Bulletin of NTSh. **5** (2008) 304–311.

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv
tarasradul@yahoo.co.uk
oshukel@gmail.com

Received 23.01.2009