

УДК 517.53

I. V. ANDRUSYAK, P. V. FILEVYCH

**THE GROWTH OF AN ENTIRE FUNCTION  
WITH A GIVEN SEQUENCE OF ZEROS**

I. V. Andrusyak, P. V. Filevych. *The growth of an entire function with a given sequence of zeros*, Matematychni Studii, **30** (2008) 115–124.

Let  $\zeta = (\zeta_n)$  be a sequence of complex numbers tending to  $\infty$ , and  $A(\zeta)$  be the class of entire functions with zeros at the points  $\zeta_n$  and only at them. We investigate the problem on minimal growth of functions from the class  $A(\zeta)$ . In particular, we prove the existence of an entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$  such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M_f(r)}{\ln N_\zeta(r)} = 1,$$

where  $M_f(r)$  is the maximum modulus of  $f$ , and  $N_\zeta(r)$  denotes the integrated counting function of  $\zeta$ .

И. В. Андрусак, П. В. Филевич. *Рост целой функции с заданной последовательностью нулей* // Математичні Студії. – 2008. – Т.30, №2. – С.115–124.

Пусть  $\zeta = (\zeta_n)$  – стремящаяся к  $\infty$  последовательность комплексных чисел, а  $A(\zeta)$  – класс целых функций с нулями в точках  $\zeta_n$  и только в них. Исследуется вопрос о минимальном росте функций из класса  $A(\zeta)$ , в частности, доказано существование целой функции  $f \in A(\zeta)$  такой, что

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M_f(r)}{\ln N_\zeta(r)} = 1,$$

где  $M_f(r)$  – максимум модуля  $f$ , а  $N_\zeta(r)$  – усредненная считающая функция  $\zeta$ .

**1. Introduction.** Denote by  $A$  the class of transcendental entire functions such that  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$ . For any  $f \in A$  and each  $r \geq 0$  put

$$M_f(r) = \max\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}, \quad T_f(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

Let  $L$  be the class of function that are positive continuous and increasing to  $+\infty$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

By  $\mathcal{Z}$  we denote the class of complex sequences  $\zeta = (\zeta_n)$  such that  $0 < |\zeta_0| \leq |\zeta_1| \leq \dots$  and  $\zeta_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $n_\zeta(r) = \sum_{|\zeta_n| \leq r} 1$  be the counting function, and

$$N_\zeta(r) = \int_0^r \frac{n_\zeta(t) - n_\zeta(0)}{t} dt + n_\zeta(0) \ln r$$

be the integrated counting function of the sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ . We say that  $f \in A(\zeta)$  if and only if  $f \in A$  and the sequence of zeros of the function  $f$  such that their moduli form a nondecreasing sequence coinciding with  $\zeta$ ; in this case by the Jensen formula (see [1, p.24])

$$N_\zeta(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta - \ln \frac{|f^{(\lambda)}(0)|}{\lambda!},$$

where  $\lambda = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : f^{(n)}(0) \neq 0\}$ , we have  $N_\zeta(r) \leq \ln M_f(r) + O(1)$  ( $r \rightarrow +\infty$ ).

By the classical Weierstrass theorem,  $A(\zeta) \neq \emptyset$  for any sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Moreover, if  $g \in A(\zeta)$ ,  $h \in A$ ,  $f(z) = g(z)e^{h(z)}$ , then  $f \in A(\zeta)$ . Using this fact, it is easily seen that the following statement is true: for any sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  and any function  $l \in L$  there exists an entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$  such that

$$l(N_\zeta(r)) = o(\ln M_f(r)) \quad (r \rightarrow +\infty).$$

In other words, one cannot specify any restriction on the growth from above for entire functions with a given sequence of zeros.

The converse problem considered by A. A. Gol'dberg is fundamentally more important ([2]): *how slow is the growth of  $\ln M_f(r)$  in comparison with the growth of  $N_\zeta(r)$  for entire functions  $f \in A(\zeta)$ ?* In particular, in [2] the following theorems are proved.

**Theorem A.** *For any sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  there exists an entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$  such that*

$$\ln \ln M_f(r) = o(N_\zeta(r)) \quad (E_f \not\ni r \rightarrow +\infty), \quad (1)$$

where  $E_f \subset (1, +\infty)$  is an exceptional set, which has a finite logarithmic measure (i.e.,  $\int_{E_f} \frac{dr}{r} < +\infty$ ).

**Теорема B.** *For any function  $\psi \in L$  such that  $\psi(x) = o(x)$ ,  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , there exist a sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  and a set  $E \subset (0, +\infty)$  of upper linear density 1 (i.e.,  $\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{r} \int_{E \cap (0,r)} dt = 1$ ) such that*

$$\psi(N_\zeta(r)) = o(\ln \ln M_f(r)) \quad (E \ni r \rightarrow +\infty) \quad (2)$$

for each entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$ .

Another version of statement similar to Theorem A is obtained in [3]. Actually, in [3] some weaker estimate of  $\ln M_f(r)$  is established, but this estimate holds outside a smaller exceptional set, namely: for any sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  there exists an entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$  such that (1) holds with "O" instead of "o" and with the set  $E_f$  of finite measure.

In connection with the stated results, the following *Problems* arise, which are the objects of consideration for our paper.

**Problem 1.** *To what extent the estimate of the exceptional set  $E_f$  in Theorem A can be improved with preservation of the statement of the theorem?*

**Problem 2.** *Find a necessary and sufficient condition on a function  $\varphi \in L$  under which for any sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  there exists an entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$  such that*

$$\ln \ln M_f(r) \leq \varphi(N_\zeta(r)), \quad (3)$$

for all  $r \geq r_f$  outside the exceptional set of a finite logarithmic measure (or a finite measure).

**Problem 3.** *Does there exist a function  $\varphi(x)$  positive on  $\mathbb{R}$  and increasing much more slowly than  $x$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  such that for any sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  there exists an entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$  and we have*

$$\underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M_f(r)}{\varphi(N_\zeta(r))} = 0?$$

**Problem 4.** *Does there exist a function  $\varphi \in L$  such that for any sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  there exists an entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$  satisfying the relation (3) for all  $r \geq r_f$  (without the exceptional set)?*

Concerning Problem 1, the following theorem is true.

**Theorem 1.** For any sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  there exist an entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$  and a function  $\alpha \in L$  such that (1) holds with the exceptional set  $E_f \subset (0, +\infty)$  that satisfy  $\int_{E_f} r^{\alpha(r)} dr < +\infty$ .

The following theorem is a slight generalization of Theorem B.

**Theorem 2.** For any function  $\psi \in L$  such that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\psi(x)}{x} = 0, \tag{4}$$

there exist a sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  and a set  $E = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (x_n, y_n)$  such that

$$1 < x_0 < y_0 < x_1 < y_1 < \dots, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln y_n}{\ln x_n} = +\infty \tag{5}$$

and (2) holds for any entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$ .

It is easy to show that the set  $E$  from Theorem 2 has upper density 1 (even upper logarithmic density 1). Besides, for this set one has

$$\int_E \frac{dr}{r \ln r} = \sum \ln \frac{\ln y_n}{\ln x_n} = +\infty.$$

As a consequence from Theorems 1 and 2 we obtain the following statement which solves Problem 2.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\varphi \in L$ , and  $h$  be a function positive on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\frac{c_1}{r \ln r} \leq h(r) \leq r^{c_2} \quad (r \geq r_0),$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are positive constants. For any sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  there exists an entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$  such that relation (3) holds for all  $r > 0$  outside the set  $E_f \subset (0, +\infty)$  satisfying  $\int_{E_f} h(r) dr < +\infty$  if and only if the condition (4) holds.

A positive answer to Problem 3 follows from the following statement.

**Theorem 4.** For any sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  there exists an entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$  such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M_f(r)}{\ln N_\zeta(r)} = 1. \tag{6}$$

The answer to Problem 4 is negative.

**Theorem 5.** For any function  $\varphi \in L$  there exists a sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that for all entire functions  $f \in A(\zeta)$  the following relation holds

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M_f(r)}{\varphi(\ln N_\zeta(r))} = \infty. \tag{7}$$

We remark that Theorems 1–4 remain true if they are reformulated for the Nevanlinna characteristic  $T_f(r)$  instead of  $\ln M_f(r)$  (this is obvious concerning Theorems 1 and 4; concerning Theorems 2 and 3 see their proofs). The following *Question* remains open: *is it allowed to replace  $\ln M_f(r)$  with  $T_f(r)$  in Theorem 5?*

By  $\mathcal{Z}^*$  we denote the class of finite or countable complex sequences  $\zeta = (\zeta_n)$  such that  $0 \leq |\zeta_0| \leq |\zeta_1| \leq \dots$  and, in the case of the countable sequences,  $\zeta_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For

$\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}^*$  we say that  $f \in A(\zeta)$  if and only if  $f \in A$  and the sequence of zeros of the function  $f$  such that moduli form a nondecreasing sequence coinciding with  $\zeta$ . It is easily seen that all theorems stated above remain true if  $\mathcal{Z}$  is replaced with  $\mathcal{Z}^*$  in them.

Remark also that problems, similar to ones considered here, but with  $n_\zeta(r)$  instead of  $N_\zeta(r)$ , are studied in the papers [2], [4–8].

**2. Auxiliary results.** Suppose that  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , and  $E(z, p)$  is the Weierstrass primary factor, that is

$$E(z, 0) = 1 - z; \quad E(z, p) = (1 - z)e^{z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{z^p}{p}}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The following statement of O. Blumenthal ([9]) is true.

**Lemma A.** For all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  the inequality  $\ln |E(z, p)| \leq |z|^{p+1}$  holds.

**Lemma 1.** For any sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  there exists a nonnegative sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$  such that  $\lambda_n \sim \frac{\ln n}{\ln |\zeta_n|}$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and for any sequence of nonnegative integers  $(p_n)$  such that  $p_n \geq [\lambda_n]$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ , the product

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{z}{\zeta_n}, p_n\right) \tag{8}$$

specifies an entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$ . Besides,  $\ln M_f(r) \leq G_f(r) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{|\zeta_n|}\right)^{p_n+1}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(\alpha_n)$  is any positive sequence increasing to  $+\infty$  such that  $\ln \alpha_n = o(\ln |\zeta_n|)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Put

$$\lambda_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha_n \geq |\zeta_n| \text{ or } n < 3; \\ \frac{\ln n + 2 \ln \ln n}{\ln |\zeta_n| - \ln \alpha_n}, & \text{if } \alpha_n < |\zeta_n| \text{ and } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Then the sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$  is nonnegative,  $\lambda_n \sim \frac{\ln n}{\ln |\zeta_n|}$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{|\zeta_n|}\right)^{\lambda_n} = \frac{1}{n \ln^2 n}$  ( $n \geq n_0$ ). Since  $\alpha_n \rightarrow +\infty$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{|\zeta_n|}\right)^{\lambda_n}$  converges for each fixed  $r \geq 0$ . According to the inequalities  $\lambda_n \leq p_n + 1$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ , the series  $G_f(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{|\zeta_n|}\right)^{p_n+1}$  also converges for each fixed  $r \geq 0$ . If  $|z| \leq r$  then by Lemma A  $\ln |f(z)| \leq G_f(r)$ , that is the product in (8) is convergent uniformly and absolutely on each compact set from  $\mathbb{C}$ . Therefore, it specifies a entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$ , besides,  $\ln M_f(r) \leq G_f(r)$ .  $\square$

The following statement is well known ([10, 11]).

**Lemma B.** Let a function  $l \in L$  have the right-hand derivative  $l'_+(x)$  at each point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and functions  $h$  and  $\psi$  be positive integrated on each finite segment. Then for the set  $E(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : l(x) > a, l'_+(x) > h(x)\psi(l(x))\}$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , the following estimate holds

$$\int_{E(a)} h(x) dx \leq \int_a^{\infty} \frac{dy}{\psi(y)}.$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $l \in L$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{l(r)}{\ln r} = 0$ . Then there exists a set  $E = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (x_n, y_n)$  such that relation (5) and  $l(r) = o(\ln r)$  ( $E \ni r \rightarrow +\infty$ ) hold.

*Proof.* The statement of lemma is trivial if  $l(r) = o(\ln r)$  ( $r \rightarrow +\infty$ ). In the other case the conditions of our lemma imply the existence of a function  $\gamma \in L$  such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\gamma(r)l(r)}{\ln r} = 0, \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\gamma(r)l(r)}{\ln r} = +\infty.$$

Consider the set  $E_0 = \{r > 1 : \gamma(r)l(r) < \ln r\}$ . Then  $E_0$  and  $E_0 \setminus (1, +\infty)$  are unbounded from above sets. Besides,  $E_0$  is open. Thus, the set  $E_0$  is a countable union of intervals. Let us select from this union a sequence of intervals  $(t_n; y_n)$  so that for all  $n$  the inequality  $2y_n < t_{n+1}$  holds and there exists a point  $x_n \in (t_n; y_n)$  at which  $\sqrt{\gamma(x_n)l(x_n)} = \ln x_n$ .

Suppose  $E = \bigcup (x_n, y_n)$ . Since  $E \subset E_0$  and  $l(r) = o(\ln r)$ ,  $E_0 \ni r \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $l(r) = o(\ln r)$ ,  $E \ni r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Further,  $\frac{\ln y_n}{\ln x_n} = \frac{\gamma(y_n)l(y_n)}{\sqrt{\gamma(x_n)l(x_n)}} \geq \sqrt{\gamma(y_n)} \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The lemma is proved. □

The following lemma ([1, c. 338–341]) is used in the proof of Theorem 2.

**Lemma C.** *If a sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  is positive and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\zeta_n} = \infty$ , then  $r = o(T_f(r))$ ,  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , for any entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$ .*

Suppose  $f(z) \in A$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $c_p(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ip\theta} \ln |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta$  is the  $p$ -th Fourier coefficient of the function  $\ln |f(re^{i\theta})|$ ,  $d_p(r) = \operatorname{Re} c_p(r)$ .

If  $\zeta = (\zeta_n)$  is the sequence of zeros of the function  $f$ ,  $f(0) \neq 0$  and  $\ln f(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p z^p$  in a neighborhood of the point 0, then for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  by the Poisson–Jensen formula ([1, p. 16–17]) one has

$$c_p(r) = \frac{1}{2} a_p r^p + \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{|\zeta_n| < r} \left( \left( \frac{r}{\zeta_n} \right)^p - \left( \frac{\overline{\zeta_n}}{r} \right)^p \right).$$

Further, for  $R > r$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_p(R) - \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^p c_p(r) &= \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{|\zeta_n| < R} \left( \left( \frac{R}{\zeta_n} \right)^p - \left( \frac{\overline{\zeta_n}}{R} \right)^p \right) - \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{|\zeta_n| < r} \left( \left( \frac{R}{\zeta_n} \right)^p - \left( \frac{\overline{\zeta_n} R}{r^2} \right)^p \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{r \leq |\zeta_n| < R} \left( \left( \frac{R}{\zeta_n} \right)^p - \left( \frac{\overline{\zeta_n}}{R} \right)^p \right) + \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{|\zeta_n| < r} \left( \left( \frac{\overline{\zeta_n} R}{r^2} \right)^p - \left( \frac{\overline{\zeta_n}}{R} \right)^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

From this the sequent statement immediately follows.

**Lemma 3.** *If an entire function  $f$  has only positive zeros  $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots$ , then*

$$d_p(R) - \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^p d_p(r) \geq \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{r \leq \zeta_n < R} \left( \left( \frac{R}{\zeta_n} \right)^p - \left( \frac{\zeta_n}{R} \right)^p \right), \quad R > r.$$

**Lemma D ([6, 4]).** *For any entire function  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  and each  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  the following inequalities hold*

$$d_0(r) + 2 \sum_{p=1}^s \left( 1 - \frac{p}{s} \right) d_p(r) \leq \ln M_f(r), \tag{9}$$

$$|c_s(r)| \leq \ln M_f(r), \quad r \geq r_0. \tag{10}$$

### 3. Proof of the theorems.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Suppose that  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Then, as it is known,  $\ln r = o(N_\zeta(r))$ ,  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus, there exists a function  $\alpha \in L$  such that  $\alpha(r) \ln r = o(N_\zeta(r))$ ,  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Let  $h(r) = r^{\alpha(r)}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  put  $p_n = [\sqrt{n}]$  and consider the product in (8). By Lemma 1, this product defines an entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$  such that  $\ln M_f(r) \leq G(r)$ , where

$$G(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_{n+1}}.$$

Put  $k(r) = (\ln G(r))'$ ,  $E_f = \{r > 0 : \ln G(r) > 1, k(r) > h(r) \ln^2 G(r)\}$ .

Let us show that for the functions  $\alpha \in L$ ,  $f \in A(\zeta)$  defined above and the set  $E_f$ , Theorem 1 is valid.

First, applying Lemma B with  $l(r) = \ln G(r)$ ,  $a = 1$  and  $\psi(y) = y^2$ , we obtain

$$\int_{E_f} r^{\alpha(r)} dr = \int_{E_f} h(r) dr \leq \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y^2} < +\infty.$$

Further, introduce the notation

$$\mu(r) = \max \left\{ \left( \frac{r}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_{n+1}} : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}, \quad \nu(r) = \max \left\{ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \left( \frac{r}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_{n+1}} = \mu(r) \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\mu(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\nu(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ , if  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Therefore as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mu(r) &= (p_{\nu(r)} + 1) \ln \frac{r}{r_{\nu(r)}} = \frac{p_{\nu(r)} + 1}{\nu(r) + 1} n_\zeta(r_{\nu(r)}) \ln \frac{r}{r_{\nu(r)}} \leq \frac{p_{\nu(r)} + 1}{\nu(r) + 1} \int_{r_{\nu(r)}}^r \frac{n_\zeta(t)}{t} dt = \\ &= \frac{p_{\nu(r)} + 1}{\nu(r) + 1} (N_\zeta(r) - N_\zeta(r_{\nu(r)})) = o(N_\zeta(r)). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, for all  $r > 0$  and  $c > 0$  we have

$$G(r) - \sum_{\sqrt{n} \leq c} \left( \frac{r}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_{n+1}} = \sum_{\sqrt{n} > c} \left( \frac{r}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_{n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\sqrt{n} > c} (p_n + 1) \left( \frac{r}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_{n+1}} \leq \frac{r}{c} G'(r).$$

Putting here  $c = 2rk(r) = 2r \frac{G'(r)}{G(r)}$ , we get

$$G(r) \leq 2 \sum_{\sqrt{n} \leq 2rk(r)} \left( \frac{r}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_{n+1}} \leq 2(2rk(r) + 1)^2 \mu(r),$$

hence if  $E_f \ni r \rightarrow +\infty$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \ln G(r) &\leq \ln 2 + 2 \ln(rh(r) \ln^2 G(r) + 1) + \ln \mu(r) \leq \\ &\leq 4(\ln r + \ln h(r) + 2 \ln \ln G(r)) + \ln \mu(r) = 8 \ln \ln G(r) + o(N_\zeta(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\ln G(r) = o(N_\zeta(r)), \quad E_f \ni r \rightarrow +\infty,$$

whence, in view of the inequality  $\ln M_f(r) \leq G(r)$ , we obtain (1). Theorem 1 is proved.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let for a function  $\psi \in L$  (4) holds. Then it is clear that for any constant  $c > 0$  we have

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\psi(c \ln[x])}{\ln[x]} = 0,$$

whence it follows that there exist a sequence  $(n_k)$  of integers such that  $n_0 \geq 1$ , and

$$\psi((n_0 + \dots + n_k) \ln n_{k+1}) = o(\ln n_{k+1}), \quad k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{11}$$

Construct the sequence  $\zeta = (\zeta_n)$  as follows:

$$\underbrace{n_0, \dots, n_0}_{n_0 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{n_1, \dots, n_1}_{n_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{n_k, \dots, n_k}_{n_k \text{ times}}, \dots$$

For this sequence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\zeta_n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\left( \frac{1}{n_k} + \dots + \frac{1}{n_k} \right)}_{n_k \text{ times}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 1 = \infty.$$

Then for each entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$ , by Lemma C we obtain

$$\ln r \leq \ln T_f(r), \quad r \geq r_f. \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, since  $n_\zeta(r) = n_0 + \dots + n_k$  for all  $r \in [n_k, n_{k+1})$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , we have

$$N_\zeta(n_{k+1}) = \int_{n_0}^{n_{k+1}} \frac{n_\zeta(t)}{t} dt \leq n_\zeta(n_{k+1} - 0) \int_1^{n_{k+1}} \frac{dt}{t} = (n_0 + \dots + n_k) \ln n_{k+1}.$$

Therefore, in view of (11),

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\psi(N_\zeta(r))}{\ln r} = 0.$$

By Lemma 2, there exists a set  $E = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (x_n, y_n)$  such that relations (5) and  $\psi(N_\zeta(r)) = o(\ln r)$ ,  $E \ni r \rightarrow +\infty$  are valid. Then, by (12) for each entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$ , we have  $\psi(N_\zeta(r)) = o(\ln T_f(r))$ ,  $E \ni r \rightarrow +\infty$ , whence we obtain (2). Theorem 2 is proved.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Consider any sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  and let us prove that there exists an entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$  such that relation (6) holds. Let

$$\rho_\zeta := \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln n_\zeta(r)}{\ln r} = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{\ln |\zeta_n|} \tag{13}$$

be the order of the counting function for the sequence  $\zeta$ . As it is well known [1, p. 63],  $n_\zeta(r)$  in (13) can be replaced with  $N_\zeta(r)$ .

First suppose that  $\rho_\zeta < +\infty$ . Let  $p$  be the genus of the sequence  $\zeta$ . Consider the entire function (8) with  $p_n = p$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , that is the Weierstrass canonical product of genus  $p$ . For this product in the case of  $p = 0$  we have (see [1, p. 273])

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M_f(r)}{N_\zeta(r)} = 1,$$

whence we derive (6). And if  $p > 0$  (thus  $\rho_\zeta > 0$ ), and  $(x_n)$  is a sequence increasing to  $+\infty$  such that  $\ln N_\zeta(x_n) \sim \rho_\zeta \ln x_n$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then by Borel's theorem [1, p. 79], according to which the order of  $f$  equals  $\rho_\zeta$ , we have  $\ln \ln M_f(x_n) \leq (\rho + o(1)) \ln x_n$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , whence we again obtain (6).

Let  $\rho_\zeta = +\infty$ , and  $(\lambda_n)$  be a nonnegative sequence such that the conclusions of Lemma 1 are true. According to (13) we have  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = +\infty$ , therefore the set

$$N = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \lambda_k \leq \lambda_n \text{ for all } k = 0, \dots, n\}$$

is infinite.

Consider also the set  $E = \{r > 0 : N_\zeta(r) > e^2, n_\zeta(r) > N_\zeta(r) \ln^2 N_\zeta(r)\}$ . Taking into account that  $n_\zeta(r) = r(N_\zeta(r))'_+$ , by Lemma B we have

$$\int_E \frac{dr}{r} \leq \int_{e^2}^\infty \frac{dy}{y \ln^2 y} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Remark that the logarithmic measure of the interval  $(r, er)$  is equal to 1. Thus, for any  $r > 0$  such that  $N_\zeta(r) > e^2$ , the interval  $(r, er)$  contains a point  $x$  that does not belong to the sequence  $(|\zeta_n|)$  such that  $n_\zeta(x) \leq N_\zeta(x) \ln^2 N_\zeta(x)$ .

Put

$$m(r) = \min \left\{ m \geq n_\zeta(r) + 1 : \sum_{n=m+1}^\infty \left( \frac{r}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{\lambda_n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Choose  $n_0 \in N$  so that  $r_{n_0} > 1$ ,  $N(r_{n_0}) > e^2$ , and on the interval  $(r_{n_0}, er_{n_0})$  choose a point  $x_0$  that does not belong to the sequence  $(|\zeta_n|)$  and such that  $n_\zeta(x_0) \leq N_\zeta(x_0) \ln^2 N_\zeta(x_0)$ .

Suppose that we have already defined integers  $n_0 < \dots < n_k$  and real numbers  $x_0 < \dots < x_k$  different from the members of the sequence  $(|\zeta_n|)$ . Notice that  $r_{n(x_k)} < x_k < r_{n(x_k)+1}$ , and put

$$n_{k+1} = \min \left\{ m \in N : m \geq n_k + 1, \sum_{n=n_\zeta(x_k)+1}^{m(x_k)} \left( \frac{x_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{\lambda_n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Then on the interval  $(r_{n_{k+1}}, er_{n_{k+1}})$  we select a point  $x_{k+1}$  that does not belong to the sequence  $(|\zeta_n|)$ , and  $n_\zeta(x_{k+1}) \leq N_\zeta(x_{k+1}) \ln^2 N_\zeta(x_{k+1})$ .

For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  by  $l_k$  we denote the largest index of the numbers  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{n_\zeta(x_k)}$ :

$$l_k = \max \{n \in \{0, \dots, n_\zeta(x_k)\} : \lambda_n = \max \{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{n_\zeta(x_k)}\}\}.$$

It is clear that  $n_k \leq l_k \leq n_\zeta(x_k)$ ,  $r_{l_k} \leq x_k \leq er_{l_k}$ .

For each  $n \in \{0, \dots, n_\zeta(x_0)\}$  put  $p_n = [\lambda_{l_0}]$  and let  $p_n = [\lambda_{l_{k+1}}]$  for each  $n \in \{n_\zeta(x_k) + 1, \dots, n_\zeta(x_{k+1})\}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Consider the product in (8), which by Lemma 1 specifies the entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$ . Let us prove that for this function relation (6) is satisfied.

For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln M_f(x_k) &\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( \frac{x_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_n+1} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{n_\zeta(x_k)} \left( \frac{x_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_n+1} + \sum_{n=n_\zeta(x_k)+1}^{m(x_k)} \left( \frac{x_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{\lambda_{n_{k+1}}} + \sum_{n=m(x_k)+1}^\infty \left( \frac{x_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{\lambda_n} \leq \\ &\leq x_k^{\lambda_{l_k}+1} \sum_{n=0}^{n_\zeta(x_k)} \left( \frac{1}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_n+1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} < x_k^{\lambda_{l_k}+1} G_f(1) + 1. \end{aligned}$$



Then we obtain, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \ln M_f(x_k) &\leq (1 + o(1))\lambda_{l_k} \ln x_k = (1 + o(1))\frac{\ln l_k}{\ln r_{l_k}} \ln r_{l_k} = (1 + o(1)) \ln l_k \leq \\ &\leq (1 + o(1)) \ln n_\zeta(x_k) \leq (1 + o(1)) \ln(N_\zeta(x_k) \ln^2 N_\zeta(x_k)) = \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \ln N_\zeta(x_k), \end{aligned}$$

whence we obviously derive (6). Theorem 4 is proved. □

*Proof of Theorem 5.* Let  $\varphi \in L$ . Let us prove that there exists a sequence  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that for any entire function  $f \in A(\zeta)$  relation (7) holds.

Let  $h(x) = e^{\varphi^2(x)}$  and  $\gamma \in L$  be any function such that

$$\gamma(x) \leq \min \left\{ \ln x; \frac{1}{3}h^{-1}(\ln x) \right\}, \quad x \geq x_0. \tag{14}$$

Put  $n_0 = 3$  and define inductively

$$n_k = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : m_{k-1} := n_0 + \dots + n_{k-1} < \frac{n}{k}; km_{k-1} < \min\{h^{-1}(\gamma(n)); \gamma(n)\} \right\} \tag{15}$$

for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let us generate the sequence  $\zeta$  in the following way:

$$\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n_0 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{e, \dots, e}_{n_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{e^k, \dots, e^k}_{n_k \text{ times}}, \dots$$

Let  $R_k = e^{k + \frac{\gamma(n_k)}{n_k}}$ . Then  $e^k < R_k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and, according to (14),  $R_k < e^{k+1}$  for each  $k \geq k_0$ .

Further, using (15), we obtain

$$N_\zeta(e^k) = \int_1^{e^k} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt \leq \int_1^{e^k} \frac{m_{k-1}}{t} dt = km_{k-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}; \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_\zeta(R_k) &= N_\zeta(e^k) + \int_{e^k}^{R_k} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt = N_\zeta(e^k) + \int_{e^k}^{R_k} \frac{m_k}{t} dt \leq \\ &\leq km_{k-1} + m_k \frac{\gamma(n_k)}{n_k} \leq 3\gamma(n_k), \quad k \geq k_0. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Consider any function  $f \in A(\zeta)$ . The following two cases are possible.

Case 1: there exist infinitely many  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $|c_p(e^k)| \geq \frac{1}{4}\gamma(n_k)$  for some integer  $p$ . In this case, for all such sufficiently large  $k$ , according to (10), (15) and (16), we have

$$\ln M_f(e^k) \geq \frac{1}{4}\gamma(n_k) \geq \frac{1}{4}h(km_{k-1}) \geq \frac{1}{4}h(N_\zeta(e^k)),$$

whence we easily obtain relation (7).

Case 2:  $|c_p(e^k)| < \frac{1}{4}\gamma(n_k)$  for all  $k \geq k_0$  and each integer  $p$ . In this case we put  $s_k = \left\lceil \frac{n_k}{\ln^2 n_k} \right\rceil$  and suppose  $1 \leq p \leq s_k$ ; then, according to (14), we obtain uniformly with respect to such  $p$

$$0 \leq \frac{p\gamma(n_k)}{n_k} \leq \frac{s_k \ln n_k}{n_k} \leq \frac{1}{\ln n_k} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, for  $k \geq k_0$ , accordingly to Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_p(R_k) &\geq \frac{n_k}{2p} \left( \left( \frac{R_k}{e^k} \right)^p - \left( \frac{e^k}{R_k} \right)^p \right) - \left( \frac{R_k}{e^k} \right)^p |c_p(e^k)| = \\ &= \frac{n_k}{2p} \left( e^{\frac{p\gamma(n_k)}{n_k}} - e^{-\frac{p\gamma(n_k)}{n_k}} \right) - e^{\frac{p\gamma(n_k)}{n_k}} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \gamma(n_k) \geq \frac{n_k}{2p} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2p\gamma(n_k)}{n_k} - \frac{1}{3} \gamma(n_k) = \frac{1}{3} \gamma(n_k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (9), (14), and (17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \ln M_f(R_k) &\geq \frac{1}{3} \gamma(n_k) \cdot 2 \sum_{p=1}^{s_k} \frac{s_k - p}{p} = \frac{1}{3} \gamma(n_k) (s_k - 1) > \\ &> \ln n_k \geq h(3\gamma(n_k)) \geq h(N_\zeta(R_k)), \quad k \geq k_0, \end{aligned}$$

whence we again obtain (7). Theorem 5 is proved.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. Гольдберг А.А., Островский И.В. Распределение значений мероморфных функций. – М.: Наука 1970.
2. Гольдберг А.А. *О представлении мероморфной функции в виде частного целых функций* // Изв. вузов. Мат. – 1972. – №10 (125). – С. 13–17.
3. Frank G., Hennekemper W., Polloczek G. *Über die Nullstellen meromorpher Funktionen und deren Ableitungen* // Math. Ann. – 1977. – V. 225. – S. 145–154.
4. Bergweiler W. *A question of Gol'dberg concerning entire functions with prescribed zeros* // J. Anal. Math. – 1994. – V. 63. – P. 121–129.
5. Хирівський І.В. *Мінімальне зростання цілих функцій із заданою послідовністю нулів* // Мат. студ. – 1994. – Т. 3. – С. 49–51.
6. Bergweiler W. *Canonical products of infinite order* // J. reine angew. Math. – 1992. – V. 430. – P. 85–107.
7. Miles J. *On the growth of entire functions with zero sets having infinite exponent of convergence* // Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. – 2002. – V. 27. – P. 69–90.
8. Sheremeta M.M. *A remark to the construction of canonical products of minimal growth* // Mat. fiz., anal., geom. – 2004. – V. 11, №2. – P. 243–248.
9. Blumenthal O. *Principes de la théorie des fonctions entières d'ordre infini*. – Paris: Gauthier-Villars, 1910.
10. Hayman W.K. *The local growth of power series: a survey of the Wiman–Valyron method* // Canad. Math. Bull. – 1974. – V. 17, №3. – P. 317–358.
11. Скасків О.Б. *О некоторых соотношениях между максимумом модуля и максимальным членом целого ряда Дирихле* // Мат. заметки. – 1999. – Т. 66, №2. – С. 282–292.

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics,  
Lviv Ivan Franko National University,  
tftj@franko.lviv.ua

Received 23.12.2007  
Revised 4.11.2008