

УДК 515.12

Т. О. БАНАХ

## THE DIRECT LIMIT OF METRIZABLE ANR'S IS AN ANR FOR STRATIFIABLE SPACES

T. O. Banakh. *The direct limit of metrizable ANR's is an ANR for stratifiable spaces*, Matematychni Studii, **23** (2005) 92–98.

It is proven that the direct limit  $\varinjlim X_n$  of a sequence  $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$  metrizable A(N)R's is an A(N)R for stratifiable spaces.

Т. О. Банах. *Прямой предел метризуемых ANR-ов является ANR-ром для стратифицируемых пространств* // Математичні Студії. – 2005. – Т.23, №1. – С.92–98.

Доказано, что прямой предел  $\varinjlim X_n$  последовательности  $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$  метризуемых A(N)R-ов является A(N)R-ом для стратифицируемых пространств.

The theory of absolute extensors is one of the principal tools in infinite-dimensional topology. Initially the infinite-dimensional topology studied manifolds modeled on nice metrizable spaces like the separable Hilbert space  $\ell_2$  or the Hilbert cube  $Q = [0, 1]^\omega$ . But later its methods were used for studying infinite-dimensional manifolds modeled on certain non-metrizable model spaces like  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  or  $Q^\infty$ , see [4], [18].

Given a pointed topological space  $(M, *)$ , by  $M^\infty$  we denote the set

$$\{(x_i)_{i \in \omega} \in M^\omega : x_i = * \text{ for all but finitely many indices } i\}$$

endowed with the strongest topology inducing the original (product) topology on each  $n$ -power  $M^n = \{(x_i)_{i \in \omega} : x_i = * \text{ for all } i \geq n\}$ . It should be mentioned that for a homogeneous space  $M$  (like  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $Q$ ) the topological type of the space  $M^\infty$  is independent of the choice of the fixed point  $* \in M$ .

The topology of the space  $M^\infty$  is an example of a so-called inductive topology. We shall say that a topological space  $X$  carries the inductive topology with respect to a cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$  if the topology of  $X$  is the strongest topology inducing the original topology on each space  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ . In other words, a subset  $U \subset X$  is open (closed) in  $X$  if and only if for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  the intersection  $U \cap C$  is open (closed) in  $C$ . For example, a space  $X$  is a  $k$ -space if it carries the inductive topology with respect to the cover of  $X$  by compacta. A topological space  $X$  will be called an  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ -space (resp.  $k_\omega$ -space) if it carries the inductive topology with respect to some countable cover by closed metrizable (resp. compact) subspaces. It can be shown that each  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ -space carries the inductive topology with respect to an increasing cover  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \omega}$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54C55, 54E20, 54B99, 54H12.

by closed metrizable subspaces of  $X$ . In this case we shall say that  $X$  is *the direct limit* of the sequence  $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$  and write  $X = \varinjlim X_n$ .

In particular, the spaces  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  and  $Q^\infty$  are  $k_\omega$  and  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ . It is well known that  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  equipped with its natural linear structure is a locally convex linear topological space while  $Q^\infty$  is homeomorphic to a locally convex space (for example, to  $\ell_2$  carrying the bounded-weak topology). In fact, according to [1], [2] (see also [3]) each infinite-dimensional locally convex  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ -space is homeomorphic either to  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  or to  $Q^\infty$ . In this situation we can apply the Dugundji Theorem [11] and conclude that the spaces  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  and  $Q^\infty$  are absolute extensors for metrizable spaces.

We recall that a topological space  $X$  is an *absolute (neighborhood) extensor* for a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of topological spaces (briefly  $X$  is an  $A(N)R[\mathcal{C}]$ ) if each continuous map  $f: B \rightarrow X$  defined on a closed subspace  $B$  of a space  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  admits a continuous extension on the whole  $C$  (on a neighborhood of  $B$  in  $C$ ). A topological space  $X$  is called an *absolute (neighborhood) retract* for a class  $\mathcal{C}$  (briefly  $X$  is an  $A(N)R[\mathcal{C}]$ ) if  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $X$  is a (neighborhood) retract in each space  $Y \in \mathcal{C}$  containing  $X$  as a closed subspace. It is clear that a space  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is an  $A(N)R[\mathcal{C}]$  provided  $X$  is an  $A(N)E[\mathcal{C}]$ . The converse is true for some classes  $\mathcal{C}$ , in particular for the class  $\mathcal{M}$  of metrizable spaces, see [15].

The Dugundji Theorem mentioned above asserts that each convex subset  $X$  of a locally convex linear topological space is an  $AE(\mathcal{M})$ . This theorem was generalized by C. Borges [6] who proved that such an  $X$  is an absolute extensor for the class  $\mathcal{S}$  of stratifiable spaces. The class  $\mathcal{S}$  of stratifiable spaces contains the class  $\mathcal{M}$  of all metrizable spaces and (unlike to  $\mathcal{M}$ ) is closed with respect to many countable topological operations, see [6], [9], [13, §5]. In particular, a space is stratifiable if it carries the inductive topology with respect to a countable closed cover by stratifiable subspaces. This implies that each  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ -space is stratifiable. Hence the spaces  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  and  $Q^\infty$  being homeomorphic to stratifiable locally convex spaces are absolute retracts in the class of stratifiable spaces.

In [17] E. Pentsak started studying infinite-dimensional manifolds modeled on the space  $\ell_2^\infty$ , the direct limit of Hilbert spaces. In spite of some similarity in definitions, there is an essential difference between the spaces  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  and  $\ell_2^\infty$ : the natural linear operations on  $\ell_2^\infty$  fail to be continuous and thus  $\ell_2^\infty$  is not a linear topological space. Moreover, according to [1],  $\ell_2^\infty$  is homeomorphic to no topological group and no closed convex subset of a linear topological space. In this situation we can apply neither the Dugundji nor Borges Theorems to conclude that  $\ell_2^\infty$  is an absolute extensor for stratifiable spaces.

In this paper we develop an alternative approach allowing us to prove that (inspite of absence of a linear structure) the space  $\ell_2^\infty$  is an absolute extensor for stratifiable spaces. The main result of this note is

**Theorem.** *An  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ -space is an  $A(N)E[\mathcal{S}]$  if and only if it is an  $A(N)E[\mathcal{M}]$ .*

We shall say that a topological space  $X$  is a *direct limit of metrizable  $A(N)R$ s* if  $X$  carries the inductive topology with respect to an increasing closed cover  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by metrizable  $A(N)R[\mathcal{M}]$ s. According to [16], any such a space  $X$  is an  $A(N)E[\mathcal{M}]$ . This result together with Theorem yields

**Corollary.** *The direct limit of metrizable  $A(N)R$ 's is an  $A(N)R$  for stratifiable spaces.*

**Main Lemma.** Recall that an *equiconnected map* on a topological space  $X$  is a continuous function  $\lambda: X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  such that  $\lambda(x, y, 0) = x$ ,  $\lambda(x, y, 1) = y$ , and  $\lambda(x, x, t) = x$  for

every  $x, y \in X$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . A subset  $U$  of a space  $X$  equipped with an equiconnected function  $\lambda$  is called  $\lambda$ -convex, provided  $\lambda(U \times U \times [0, 1]) \subset U$ . An *equiconnected space* is a pair  $(X, \lambda)$  consisting of a topological space  $X$  and an equiconnected map  $\lambda$  on  $X$ . A topological space  $X$  is called *topologically convex* if it admits an equiconnected map  $\lambda$  such that the family of open  $\lambda$ -convex sets forms a base of the topology of  $X$ .

Extending the Borges Theorem [6] (asserting that each convex subset of a locally convex space is an AE[ $\mathcal{S}$ ]) R. Cauty proved that each topologically convex space is an AE[ $\mathcal{S}$ ]. This result of R. Cauty will be our main instrument in the proof of the AE-property of direct limits.

For a topological space  $X$ , by  $\exp(X)$  the set of all closed subsets of  $X$  is denoted. A map  $\mathcal{F}: Z \rightarrow \exp(X)$  of a topological space  $Z$  is called *upper semi-continuous* if for every open set  $U \subset X$  the set  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(U) = \{z \in Z \mid \mathcal{F}(z) \subset U\}$  is open in  $Z$ . We will use the following well known fact: if  $X$  is a normal topological space and  $\mathcal{F}: Z \rightarrow \exp(X)$  an upper semicontinuous map then for every closed subset  $F \subset X$  the map  $F \cap \mathcal{F}: Z \rightarrow \exp(F) \subset \exp(X)$  is upper semi-continuous, see [12].

For a map  $\mathcal{F}: Z \rightarrow \exp(X)$  and a subset  $A \subset Z$  let  $\mathcal{F}(A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} \mathcal{F}(a)$ . A map  $\mathcal{F}: X \rightarrow \exp(X)$  is called *monotone* if  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(x)) \subset \mathcal{F}(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a normal topological space,  $\lambda: X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  an equiconnected function, and  $\mathcal{F}: X \rightarrow \exp(X)$  an upper semi-continuous map such that  $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)$  for every  $(x, y, t) \in X \times X \times [0, 1]$ . Suppose that  $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$  is a sequence of subsets of  $X$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\mathcal{F}(X_n) \subset X_m$ . Then*

- (1) *the set  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  is  $\lambda$ -convex;*
- (2) *the function  $\lambda: \varinjlim X_n \times \varinjlim X_n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \varinjlim X_n$  is continuous;*
- (3) *if  $\mathcal{F}$  is monotone and  $X$  admits a base of open  $\lambda$ -convex subsets, then  $\varinjlim X_n$  has a base of open  $\lambda$ -convex sets too.*

*Proof.* The first statement follows trivially from  $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)$  and

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n\right) \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} X_m.$$

The proofs of the remaining two statements are a bit more complicated. At first let us make a remark.

Since for every  $x \in \varinjlim X_n$  we have  $\mathcal{F}(x) \subset X_m$  for some  $m$ , it is legal to write  $\mathcal{F}: \varinjlim X_n \rightarrow \exp(\varinjlim X_n)$ . Let us show that this map is upper semicontinuous. Fix an open set  $U \subset \varinjlim X_n$ . Because  $\varinjlim X_n$  has the direct limit topology, to show that  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $\varinjlim X_n$  it suffices to verify that the intersection  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(U) \cap X_n$  is open in  $X_n$  for every  $n$ . So, fix  $n$ , and find  $m$  with  $\mathcal{F}(X_n) \subset X_m$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(U) \cap X_n = \{x \in X_n \mid \mathcal{F}(x) \subset U \cap X_m\}$  is open in  $X_n$  because the set  $U \cap X_m$  is open in  $X_m$  and the restriction  $\mathcal{F}|_{X_n}: X_n \rightarrow \exp(X_m)$  is upper semi-continuous.

To show that the map  $\lambda: \varinjlim X_n \times \varinjlim X_n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \varinjlim X_n$  is continuous, fix a triple  $(x_0, y_0, t_0) \in \varinjlim X_n \times \varinjlim X_n \times [0, 1]$  and a neighborhood  $U \subset \varinjlim X_n$  of  $\lambda(x_0, y_0, t_0)$ . Find  $n$  such that  $x_0, y_0 \in X_n$  and  $m$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(X_n) \subset X_m$ . Let  $W \subset X$  be a neighborhood of  $\lambda(x_0, y_0, t_0)$  such that  $\overline{W} \cap X_m \subset U \cap X_m$  (here  $\overline{W}$  is the closure of  $W$  in  $X$ ). Observe that the set  $\overline{W} \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n)$  is closed in  $\varinjlim X_n$ . Then the map  $\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}: \varinjlim X_n \rightarrow \exp(\varinjlim X_n)$  is upper semi-continuous. Consequently, the set  $V = \{x \in \varinjlim X_n \mid \overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(x) \subset U\}$  is an open

neighborhood of  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  in  $\varinjlim X_n$ . Using the continuity of the map  $\lambda: X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ , we may find neighborhoods  $W(x_0), W(y_0) \subset X$ ,  $V(t_0) \subset [0, 1]$  of the points  $x_0, y_0, t_0$ , respectively, such that  $\lambda(W(x_0) \times W(y_0) \times V(t_0)) \subset W$ . Let  $V(x_0) = V \cap W(x_0)$ ,  $V(y_0) = V \cap W(y_0)$ . Evidently,  $V(x_0), V(y_0)$  are open neighborhoods of  $x_0, y_0$  in  $\varinjlim X_n$ . We claim that  $\lambda(V(x_0) \times V(y_0) \times V(t_0)) \subset U$ . Indeed, fix any  $(x, y, t) \in V(x_0) \times V(y_0) \times V(t_0)$  and remark that because of  $x, y \in V$  we have  $\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(x) \subset U$  and  $\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(y) \subset U$ . On the other hand, because  $x \in W(x_0), y \in W(y_0), t \in V(t_0)$ , we have  $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \overline{W}$ . Then, using the fact that  $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)$  we get  $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \overline{W} \cap (\mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)) = (\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(x)) \cup (\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(y)) \subset U$ . Therefore, the map  $\lambda: \varinjlim X_n \times \varinjlim X_n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \varinjlim X_n$  is continuous.

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is monotone and  $X$  has a basis of  $\lambda$ -convex open subsets. Fix any  $x_0 \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  and a neighborhood  $U \subset \varinjlim X_n$  of  $x_0$ . Find  $n$  such that  $x_0 \in X_n$  and  $m$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(X_n) \subset X_m$ . Let  $W$  be an open  $\lambda$ -convex neighborhood of  $x_0$  in  $X$  such that  $\overline{W} \cap X_m \subset U \cap X_m$ . Because of the upper semi-continuity of the map  $\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}: \varinjlim X_n \rightarrow \exp(\varinjlim X_n)$  the set  $V = \{x \in \varinjlim X_n \mid \overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(x) \subset U\}$  is open in  $\varinjlim X_n$ . We claim that the set  $O = W \cap V$  is a  $\lambda$ -convex open neighborhood of  $x_0$  in  $\varinjlim X_n$  such that  $O \subset U$ . Since  $x = \lambda(x, x, t) \in \mathcal{F}(x)$ , we get  $x \in \overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(x) \subset U$  for every  $x \in V \cap W$ , and thus  $O \subset U$ . To prove that  $O$  is  $\lambda$ -convex, fix a triple  $(x, y, t) \in O \times O \times [0, 1]$ . Since the set  $W \ni x, y$  is  $\lambda$ -convex,  $\lambda(x, y, t) \in W$ . To see that  $\lambda(x, y, t) \in V$ , observe that  $\mathcal{F}(\lambda(x, y, t)) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(x)) \cup \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(y)) \subset \mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)$ . Then  $\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(\lambda(x, y, t)) \subset \overline{W} \cap (\mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)) = (\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(x)) \cup (\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(y)) \subset U$  and thus  $\lambda(x, y, t) \in V$  (by the definition of  $V$ ). Therefore  $O = W \cap V$ , being an intersection of two  $\lambda$ -convex sets, is  $\lambda$ -convex.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem.** For an infinite set  $A$  by  $l^2(A) = \{(x_a)_{a \in A} \in \mathbb{R}^A \mid \sum_{a \in A} x_a^2 < \infty\}$  we denote the standard Hilbert space of density  $A$ , endowed with the norm  $\|(x_a)_{a \in A}\| = (\sum_{a \in A} x_a^2)^{1/2}$ . For two vectors  $x = (x_a)_{a \in A}$  and  $y = (y_a)_{a \in A}$  of  $l^2(A)$  we write  $x \leq y$  if  $x_a \leq y_a$  for every  $a \in A$ , and set  $\min\{x, y\} = (\min\{x_a, y_a\})_{a \in A} \in l^2(A)$ .

Let  $l_+^2(A) = \{x \in l^2(A) \mid x \geq 0\}$  denote the positive cone of  $l^2(A)$  and  $S_+(A) = \{x \in l^2(A) \mid x \geq 0, \|x\| = 1\}$  its positive unit sphere.

For a subset  $A' \subset A$  we identify  $l_+^2(A')$  with the subspace  $\{(x_a)_{a \in A} \in l_+^2(A) \mid x_a = 0 \text{ for } a \notin A'\}$  of  $l_+^2(A)$ .

Let  $X \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$  be an A(N)E[ $\mathcal{M}$ ]-space. Write  $X = \varinjlim X_n$ , where  $X_0 \subset X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$  is a sequence of closed metrizable subsets of  $X$ . Applying Hausdorff's Theorem on extending metrics [14], we may find a continuous metric  $\rho \leq 1$  on  $X$  which generates the topology of each  $X_n$ . According to Proposition 7.1 of [5, Ch.VI], there is an embedding  $g: (X, \rho) \rightarrow S_+(A_0)$  of the metric space  $(X, \rho)$  into the positive unit sphere  $S_+(A_0)$  of  $l^2(A_0)$  for some set  $A_0$ . Let  $A = A_0 \cup \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$  and  $A_n = A_0 \cup \{0, \dots, n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the embedding  $f: (X, \rho) \rightarrow S_+(A)$  defined for  $x \in X$  by  $f(x) = (f(x)_a)_{a \in A}$ , where

$$f(x)_a = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g(x)_a, & \text{if } a \in A_0; \\ 2^{-n}\rho(x, X_{n-1}), & \text{if } a = n \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2^{-n}\rho(x, X_{n-1}))^2\right)^{1/2}, & \text{if } a = 0. \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$f(X) \cap l_+^2(A_n) = f(X_n) \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1)$$

Let  $Y = \{y \in l_+^2(A) \mid \exists x \in X \text{ with } 0 \leq y \leq f(x)\}$ . Remark that  $Y \cap S_+(A) = f(X)$ , thus  $f(X)$  is a closed subset in  $Y$ . This and (1) imply

$$f(X_n) \text{ is a closed subset in } Y \cap l_+^2(A_n) \text{ for every } n. \quad (2)$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $Y_n = Y \cap l_+^2(A_n)$  and remark that  $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$  (because of (1)). Denote by  $\tau$  the direct limit topology  $\varinjlim Y_n$  on  $Y$ . We will apply Main Lemma and [7, 1.4] in order to show that the space  $(Y, \tau)$  is an  $\text{AE}[\mathcal{S}]$ . For every  $y \in Y$  let  $\mathcal{F}(y) = \{x \in l_+^2(A) \mid 0 \leq x \leq y\}$ . One can easily check that each set  $\mathcal{F}(y)$  is a compactum lying in  $Y$ , and the map  $\mathcal{F}: Y \rightarrow \text{exp}(Y)$  is upper semi-continuous and monotone.

On  $Y$  let us consider the equiconnected function  $\lambda: Y \times Y \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  defined for  $(x, y, t) \in Y \times Y \times [0, 1]$  by the formula

$$\lambda(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} (1 - 2t)x + 2t \min\{x, y\}, & \text{if } t \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ (2 - 2t) \min\{x, y\} + (2t - 1)y, & \text{if } t \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Evidently,  $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)$  for every  $(x, y, t)$ . Observe also that  $\mathcal{F}(Y_n) \subset Y_n$  for every  $n$  and that  $Y$  admits a base of open  $\lambda$ -convex sets. Thus it is legal to apply Main Lemma to conclude that the equiconnected function  $\lambda: (Y, \tau) \times (Y, \tau) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$  is continuous and the space  $(Y, \tau)$  admits a base of open  $\lambda$ -convex subsets. Finally, applying Theorem 1.4 of [7], we get  $(Y, \tau)$  is an  $\text{AE}[\mathcal{S}]$ .

Now let us return to our initial space  $X$ . It follows from (1) and (2) that the map  $f: X = \varinjlim X_n \rightarrow (Y, \tau) = \varinjlim Y_n$  is a closed embedding. Using the fact that  $X$  is an  $\text{A}(\mathbb{N})\text{E}[\mathcal{M}]$ , we may easily prove that  $X$  is an  $\text{A}(\mathbb{N})\text{E}[\mathcal{M}_\omega]$ . Since  $(Y, \tau) \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$ , this yields  $f(X)$  is a (neighborhood) retract of  $(Y, \tau) \in \text{AE}[\mathcal{S}]$ , and consequently,  $X$  is an  $\text{A}(\mathbb{N})\text{E}[\mathcal{S}]$ .

### Concluding remarks and open questions.

1. For stratifiable  $k_\omega$ -spaces our Theorem was known since R. Cauty [7, 4.2].
2. In light of Theorem, one could ask: Is every stratifiable  $\text{ANE}(\mathcal{M})$ -space an  $\text{ANE}(\mathcal{S})$ ? The answer is “no”: any countable space with a unique non-isolated point and without non-trivial convergent sequences can serve as a suitable counterexample.
3. Suppose that  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$  is a sequence of countable sets with  $A_n \neq A_{n+1}$  for every  $n$ . It follows from the proof of Lemma that the semilattice operation  $\min$  is continuous on the direct limit  $\varinjlim l_+^2(A_n)$ . Applying [10] we conclude that each space  $l_+^2(A_n)$  is homeomorphic to the separable Hilbert space  $l_2$ . Using this fact, one can easily show that the direct limit  $\varinjlim l_+^2(A_n)$  is homeomorphic to the direct limit  $l_2^\infty$ , considered by Pentsak [17]. Therefore we conclude that the space  $l_2^\infty$  supports the structure of a topological semilattice (even Lawson semilattice). This fact is of interest because the topology of the space  $l_2^\infty$  is not compatible with many other algebraical structures. For example,  $l_2^\infty$  is homeomorphic to no closed convex set in a linear topological space and no topological group (more generally, no closed multiplicative subset (or multiplicative subset with unity) in a topological group), see [1].

**Question 1.** Is the space  $l_2^\infty$  homeomorphic to a topological lattice? to a convex set in a linear topological space?

In connection with the second part of this question let us make the following observation. Denote by  $Q = [-1, 1]^\omega$  the Hilbert cube and by  $s = (-1, 1)^\omega$  its pseudo-interior. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $Q_n = (1 - \frac{1}{n})Q = [-1 + \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}]^\omega \subset Q$ . Let  $\lambda$  denote the equiconnected

function on  $s \times Q$  generated by the convex structure of  $s \times Q$ , i.e.  $\lambda(x, y, t) = (1 - t)x + ty$ . Evidently, the set  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} s \times Q_n \subset s \times Q$  is convex. It can be easily shown that the direct limit  $\varinjlim s \times Q_n$  is homeomorphic to  $l_2^{\infty}$ . We claim that the equiconnected function  $\lambda$  is continuous on  $\varinjlim s \times Q_n$ . To see this, apply Main Lemma with the map  $\mathcal{F}: s \times Q \rightarrow \exp(s \times Q)$  defined by  $\mathcal{F}(x) = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}Q \times Q$ . Therefore,  $l_2^{\infty}$  admits a kind of a convex structure, but that, of course, does not answer Question 1.

4. Corollary rises the following

**Question 2.** Suppose that a stratifiable space  $X$  is a direct limit  $\varinjlim X_n$  of a sequence  $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$  of closed subsets of  $X$  such that each  $X_n$  is an  $A(N)R[\mathcal{S}]$ . Is the space  $X$  equiconnected? an  $A(N)R[\mathcal{S}]$ ?

5. Having in mind Question 2 and Main Lemma let us introduce a definition. For a topological space  $X$  let  $2^X$  denote the set of all compact subsets of  $X$ . We call a space  $X$  *compactly equiconnected* if  $X$  admits an equiconnected function  $\lambda: X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  and an upper semi-continuous map  $\mathcal{F}: X \rightarrow 2^X$  such that  $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)$  for every  $(x, y, t) \in X \times X \times [0, 1]$ . Analysing the proof of Theorem, we see that every metrizable space embeds as a closed subset into a compactly equiconnected metrizable AR.

**Question 3.** Does every stratifiable space embeds as a closed subset into a compactly equiconnected stratifiable space? Equivalently, is every absolute retract for stratifiable spaces compactly equiconnected?

6. In connection with Theorem and Questions 2 and 3, let us consider the following surprising example. According to [8] there is a strongly countable-dimensional metrizable compactum  $K$  such that the free convex set  $P_{\infty}(K)$  over  $K$  is not an AE for the class of all metrizable compacta and thus  $P_{\infty}(K)$  is not an  $AE(\mathcal{S})$ . Writing  $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ , where  $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$  is a tower of finite-dimensional compacta, we see that the stratifiable convex (and thus equiconnected) space  $P_{\infty}(K)$  which is not an  $AE(\mathcal{S})$  can be written as  $P_{\infty}(K) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{\infty}(K_n)$ , where each  $P_{\infty}(K_n) \subset P_{\infty}(K_{n+1})$  is a closed convex  $AE(\mathcal{S})$ -subspace of  $P_{\infty}(K)$ .

7. The author would like to express his sincere thanks to Robert Cauty for fruitful and stimulating discussions on the subject of the paper.

## REFERENCES

1. Banach T. *On topological groups containing a Fréchet-Urysohn fan*, Matem. Studii, **9** (1998) no.2, 149–154.
2. Banach T. *Topological classification of strong nuclear (LF)-spaces*, Studia Math., **138** (2000), 201–208.
3. Banach T., Zdomsky L. *The topological structure of (homogeneous) spaces and groups with countable  $cs^*$ -character*, Appl. Gen. Top. (to appear)
4. Базилевич Л., Зарічний М. Вступ до теорії нескінченновимірних многовидів, ІЗМН. Київ, 1996, 40 с.
5. Bessaga C., Pełczyński A. *Selected topics in infinite-dimensional topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1975.
6. Borges C.J.R. *On stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **17** (1966), 1–16.
7. Cauty R. *Convexité topologique et prolongement des fonctions continues*, Compositio Math., **27** (1973), 233–271.
8. Cauty R. *Sur les rétractes absolus  $P_n$ -valués de dimension finie*, preprint.

9. Ceder J.G. *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **11** (1961), 105–126.
10. Dobrowolski T., Toruńczyk H. *Separable complete ANR's admitting a group structure are Hilbert manifolds*, Top. Appl. **12** (1981), 229–235.
11. Dugundji J. *An extension of Tietze's theorem*, Pacific J. Math., **1** (1951), 353–367.
12. Engelking R. *General topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1977.
13. Gruenhage G. *Generalized metric spaces*, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology (K.Kunen and J.Vaughan, eds.) Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984, 423–501 p.
14. Hausdorff F. *Erweiterung einer stetigen Abbildung*, Fund. Math., **30** (1938), 40–47.
15. Hu S.-T. *Theory of Retracts*, Detroit, Wayne State Univ. Press, 1965.
16. Kodama Y. *Note on an absolute neighborhood extensor for metric spaces*, J. Math. Soc. of Japan, **8**, no.3, 206–215.
17. Pentsak E. *On manifolds modeled on direct limits of  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal ANR's*, Matematychni Studii (1995), no.5, 107–116.
18. Sakai K. *On  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ -manifolds and  $Q^\infty$ -manifolds*, Topology Appl., **18** (1984), 69–79.

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv

*Received 11.11.2003*