УДК 511

## A. M. BRYDUN, A. A. KONDRATYUK

## ON THE FOURIER SERIES OF THE ZETA-FUNCTION LOGARITHM ON THE VERTICAL LINES

A. M. Brydun, A. A. Kondratyuk. On the Fourier series of the zeta-function logarithm on the vertical lines, Matematychni Studii, 22 (2004) 97–104.

The Jensen-Littlewood theorem for a rectangle is generalized. The generalization is applied to the study of Fourier's series of the Riemann zeta-function logarithm on the vertical lines.

А. М. Бридун, А. А. Кондратюк. Метод рядов Фурье для логарифма дзета-функции на вертикальных прямых // Математичні Студії. – 2004. – Т.22, №1. – С.97–104.

Обобщена теорема Литтлвуда-Иенсена для прямоугольника. Это обобщение применено к изучению ряда Фурье логарифма дзета-функции на вертикальных прямых.

Introduction and main results. The Riemann zeta-function is defined as

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad \text{or} \quad \zeta(s) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}s > 1,$$

where the product is over all prime p.

This function was first considered by Leonhard Euler for real s in 1737. He also represented it as the product over the primes. G. F. B. Riemann showed (1859) that  $\zeta(s)$  had a meromorphic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  with a single pole at s=1.

In his fundamental paper [1] (see also [2]) J. Littlewood established for a rectangle an analogue of the well-known Jensen theorem and deduced from it, in particular, that

$$\int_{\sigma}^{1} N(\eta, T) d\eta = O\left(T \log \frac{1}{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad \sigma > 1/2, \quad T \to \infty,$$

where  $N(\sigma, T)$  is the number of zeroes of the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$  whose imaginary part  $\gamma$  satisfies  $0 < \gamma < T$  and the real part is greater than  $\sigma$ . Further, this result was improved [2].

Our purpose is to generalize the Jensen-Littlewood theorem for a rectangle and apply the generalized theorem for the study of the zeta-function and its zeroes.

If f(s) is a holomorphic function in the rectangle  $R = \{s = \sigma + it : 0 < t < T, \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$  then the function  $\log |f(s)|$  is subharmonic in R,  $\Delta \log |f(s)| \ge 0$  in the sense of distributions

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11M06, 11M26.

on R where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator and  $\frac{1}{2\pi}\Delta\log|f(s)|=\sum_{\rho}\delta(s-\rho)$ . Here  $\{\rho\}$  is the sequence of zeroes of f taking into account their multiplicities and  $\delta(s-\rho)$  is the Dirac measure concentrated at the point  $\rho$ .

Denote the measure  $\frac{1}{2\pi}\Delta \log |f(s)|$  by  $\mu$ , consider the orthogonal system  $\left\{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{T}kt}\right\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , on [0,T] and the Fourier-Stielties coefficients

$$N_k(\alpha, T) = \iint_{R} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}kt} d\mu(s) = \sum_{\rho_j \in R} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}k\gamma_j}, \quad \gamma_j = \text{Im}\rho_j.$$

If  $f(s) = \zeta(s)$ ,  $\alpha = \sigma$  and  $\beta \ge 1$  we have  $N_0(\sigma, T) = N(\sigma, T)$ . The coefficients  $N_k(\alpha, T)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , determine completely the distribution of zeroes of the function f in R.

Define  $\log \zeta(s)$  as usually [1], [2] (see also  $\mathbf{1}^0$  below) and denote its Fourier coefficients by

$$l_k(\sigma, T) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{T}kt} \log \zeta(\sigma + it) dt, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Generalizing the Jensen-Littlewood theorem, we establish connections between  $N_k(\sigma, T)$  and  $l_k(\sigma, T)$  and some their properties.

By the Parseval equality and the Hausdorff-Young inequality the coefficients  $l_k(\sigma, T)$  are connected with the integral means of  $\log \zeta(s)$ . It is easy to prove the following

**Proposition.** i) The Riemann Hypothesis (RH) for the zeta-function is equivalent to the following assertion.

For any fixed  $\sigma$ ,  $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ , and any fixed T > 0 there exists  $C(\sigma, T)$  such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \left|\log|\zeta(\sigma+it)|\right|^{q} dt\right)^{1/q} \le C(\sigma,T) \tag{1}$$

for all q > 1.

ii) For the validity of RH the following condition is sufficient. For any fixed  $\sigma$ ,  $0 < \sigma < 1$ , and any fixed T > 0, there exists  $c(\sigma, T)$  such that

$$||l_k(\sigma, T)||_p \le c(\sigma, T) \tag{2}$$

for all p, 1 , where

$$||l_k(\sigma,T)||_p = \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |l_k(\sigma,T)|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

Indeed, if RH holds then  $\log |\zeta(\sigma+it)|$  is continuous on  $[0,T], 1/2 < \sigma < 1$  and we have (1) with  $C(\sigma,T) = \max \{ |\log |\zeta(\sigma+it)| | : 0 \le t \le T \}$ .

Conversely if we have (1) for all  $q \ge 1$  then  $\sup_{0 < t < T} \left| \log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| \right| < +\infty, 1/2 < \sigma < 1$ , and we obtain  $\zeta(\sigma + it) \ne 0$ .

Using the Hausdorf-Young inequality we obtain (1) from (2).

Note also that with the use of the Parseval equality, the results of A. Selberg [3], M. Balazard and A. Ivič [4] give

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| l_k(\frac{1}{2}, T) \right|^2 = \log \log T + O\left(\sqrt{\log \log T}\right), \quad T \to \infty,$$

and

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |l_k(\sigma, T)|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{p} \frac{1}{p^{2k\sigma}} + r(\sigma, T),$$

for fixed  $\sigma$ ,  $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ , where p denotes primes,  $r(\sigma, T) = O(T^{c(\sigma)-1})$ ,  $0 < c(\sigma) < 1$ ,  $T \to +\infty$ .

Put  $K = 2\pi k/T$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , T > 0.

**Theorem 1.** The following relations hold:

$$l_{k}(\sigma,T) = \frac{2\pi}{T} e^{K\sigma} \int_{\sigma}^{\beta} e^{-K\eta} N_{k}(\eta,T) d\eta + \frac{i}{T} e^{K\sigma} \int_{\sigma}^{\beta} e^{-K\eta} (\log \zeta(\eta + iT) - \log \zeta(\eta)) d\eta + e^{K(\sigma - \beta)} l_{k}(\beta,T), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\frac{2\pi}{T} \int_{\sigma}^{\beta} N_{k}(\eta,T) d\eta = l_{k}(\sigma,T) + K \int_{\sigma}^{\beta} l_{k}(\eta,T) d\eta + \frac{i}{T} \int_{\sigma}^{\beta} (\log \zeta(\eta) - \log \zeta(\eta + iT)) d\eta - l_{k}(\beta,T), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$(4)$$

 $0 < \sigma < \beta < 1$ .

For  $\beta \geq 1$  the relations are slightly modified (see the remark below), because  $\zeta(s)$  has the pole at s=1.

If k = 0 both of the relations give the Jensen-Littlewood theorem.

We prove also the following properties of the Fourier coefficients  $l_k(\sigma, T)$ .

**Theorem 2.** For fixed T > 0 the Fourier coefficients  $l_k(\sigma, T)$  are continuous functions of  $\sigma$ . They are bounded for  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 1/2$ ,  $T \geq 1$ , by a constant depending of  $\sigma_0$ . The Fourier coefficient  $l_0(\sigma, T)$  is bounded if  $\sigma \geq 1/2$ ,  $T \geq 1$ .

## 1<sup>0</sup>. Preliminary Lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** ([5]) If  $u(t) \ge 0$  on [0,T] and  $I = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T u(t) dt$  exists, then

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \log^{+} u(t) dt \le \max(1, \log I).$$

Lemma HL ([1]).

$$\int_{2}^{T} |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^{2} dt \le AVT, \quad 2 \le T, \quad 1/2 \le \sigma \le 2,$$

where  $A = \text{const}, V = \min\{\log T, (\sigma - 1/2)^{-1}\}.$ 

To formulate the following lemma we introduce some notions.

Let  $\varphi$  be a holomorphic function in the closure of the rectangle  $R_{\alpha} = \{s = \sigma + it : \alpha < \sigma < \beta, 0 < t < T\}$  that does not have zeroes on  $\partial R_{\alpha}$ . Denote by  $\{\rho_j\}$  the set of its zeroes in  $R_{\alpha}$ ,  $\rho_j = \sigma_j + it_j$ .

Let  $\log \varphi(\beta)$  be determined. Put

$$\log \varphi(s) - \log \varphi(\beta) = \int_{\beta}^{s} \frac{\varphi'(\xi)}{\varphi(\xi)} d\xi,$$

where the integral is taken along a path in  $\overline{R_{\alpha}}$  with the slits  $\left\{\tau\sigma_{j}+i\,t_{j}:\frac{\alpha}{\sigma_{j}}\leq\tau\leq1\right\}$ , whose endpoints are  $\beta$  and s.

Further, we denote

$$l_k(\sigma, T) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-iKt} \log \varphi(\sigma + it) dt, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$N_k(\sigma, T) = \sum_{\rho_j \in R_\sigma} e^{-iKt_j}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad t_j = \text{Im}\rho_j,$$

$$M_k(\sigma, T) = \frac{2\pi}{T} \int_0^\beta N_k(\eta, T) d\eta, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Denote also  $N_0(\sigma, T) = N(\sigma, T)$ . The function  $N(\sigma, T)$  gives the number of zeroes of  $\varphi$  in  $R_{\sigma}$ .

Lemma 2. The following relations hold:

$$l_{k}(\alpha, T) = \frac{2\pi}{T} e^{K\alpha} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} e^{-K\sigma} N_{k}(\sigma, T) d\sigma +$$

$$+ \frac{i}{T} e^{K\alpha} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} e^{-K\sigma} \left(\log \varphi(\sigma + iT) - \log \varphi(\sigma)\right) d\sigma + e^{K(\alpha - \beta)} l_{k}(\beta, T), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$M_{k}(\alpha, T) = l_{k}(\alpha, T) + K \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} l_{k}(\sigma, T) d\sigma +$$

$$+ \frac{i}{T} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left(\log \varphi(\sigma) - \log \varphi(\sigma + iT)\right) d\sigma - l_{k}(\beta, T), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$(6)$$

The proof is a routine calculation based on the known idea of integrating the Argument Principle and consists in frequent integration by parts and elementary transformations.

Theorem 1 is Lemma 2 formulated for  $\zeta(s)$ .

**Remark.** If  $\sigma > 1$  then neither zeroes nor poles of  $\zeta(s)$  lie in R. So,  $N_k(\sigma, T) = 0$ ,  $\eta \geq \sigma$ ,  $\log \zeta(s)$  is a holomorphic function on  $\overline{R}$  [2] and relation (3) takes the form

$$l_k(\sigma, T) = \frac{i}{T} e^{K\sigma} \int_{\sigma}^{\beta} e^{-K\eta} \left( \log \zeta(\eta + iT) - \log \zeta(\eta) \right) d\eta + e^{K(\sigma - \beta)} l_k(\beta, T), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (7)

This is Cauchy's theorem for the holomorphic function  $e^{-Ks} \log \zeta(s)$  on  $\overline{R}$ . Integrating (7) over  $\sigma$  from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  we obtain

$$l_k(\alpha, T) + K \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} l_k(\sigma, T) d\sigma + \frac{i}{T} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (\log \zeta(\sigma) - \log \zeta(\sigma + iT)) d\sigma - l_k(\beta, T) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

after routine calculations. This is (4) for  $\sigma = \alpha$ .

**2**<sup>0</sup>. **Proof of Theorem 2.** Let k=0 then relation (3) written for  $\zeta(s)$  implies

$$l_0(\sigma, T) = \frac{2\pi}{T} \int_{\sigma}^{\beta} N_0(\eta, T) d\eta + \frac{i}{T} \int_{\sigma}^{\beta} (\log \zeta(\eta + iT) - \log \zeta(\eta)) d\eta + l_0(\beta, T).$$
 (8)

If in (8) we make  $\beta \to +\infty$  then the last term disappears, and the first integral of the right side is equal to  $\int_{\sigma}^{1} N_0(\eta, T) d\eta$ . Taking into account that  $\zeta(s)$  has the simple pole at s = 1 we obtain

$$l_0(\sigma, T) = \frac{2\pi}{T} \int_{\sigma}^{1} N_0(\eta, T) d\eta - \frac{i}{T} \int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} (\log \zeta(\eta) - \log \zeta(\eta + iT)) d\eta, \tag{9}$$

where

$$N_0(\sigma, T) = \begin{cases} N(\sigma, T) - \frac{1}{2}, & \sigma < 1, \\ 0, & \sigma > 1. \end{cases}$$

It was proved in [1] that

$$\int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} (\log \zeta(\eta) - \log \zeta(\eta + iT)) d\eta = O(\log T), \quad T \to +\infty, \quad 1/2 \le \sigma \le 2, \tag{10}$$

So, (9) gives

$$l_0(\sigma, T) = \frac{2\pi}{T} \int_{\sigma}^{1} \left( N(\eta, T) - \frac{1}{2} \right) d\eta + O\left(\frac{\log T}{T}\right), \quad T \to +\infty.$$

Using Selberg's result (see, for example, [2, p. 240])

$$\int_{1/2}^{1} N(\sigma, T) d\sigma = O(T), \quad T \to +\infty, \tag{11}$$

we obtain

$$l_0(\sigma, T) = O(1), \quad T \to +\infty, \quad 1/2 \le \sigma.$$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If in (3) we make  $\beta \to +\infty$  then

$$\frac{2\pi}{T} \int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} e^{-K\eta} N_k(\eta T) d\eta = e^{-K\sigma} l_k(\sigma, T) + \frac{i}{T} \int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} e^{-K\eta} \left(\log \zeta(\eta) - \log \zeta(\eta + iT)\right) d\eta, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $N_k(\sigma, T) = \sum_{\rho_j \in R_\sigma} e^{-iK\gamma_j} - \frac{1}{2}$ . Consequently,

$$|l_k(\sigma, T)| \le \frac{2\pi}{T} \int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} e^{-K(\eta - \sigma)} N_k(\eta, T) d\eta + \frac{1}{T} \left| \int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} e^{-K(\eta - \sigma)} \left( \log \zeta(\eta) - \log \zeta(\eta + iT) \right) d\eta \right|. \tag{12}$$

We will estimate the integral on the right side of inequality (12). Integration by parts in the second integral of the right side of relation (12) gives

$$\left| \int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} e^{-K(\eta - \sigma)} \left( \log \zeta(\eta) - \log \zeta(\eta + iT) \right) d\eta \right| =$$

$$= \left| \int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} e^{-K(\eta - \sigma)} d \int_{\eta}^{+\infty} \left( \log \zeta(\omega) - \log \zeta(\omega + iT) \right) d\omega \right| =$$

$$= \left| -\int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} \left( \log \zeta(\eta) - \log \zeta(\eta + iT) \right) d\eta + \right|$$

$$+ K \int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} e^{-K(\eta - \sigma)} \int_{\eta}^{+\infty} \left( \log \zeta(\omega) - \log \zeta(\omega + iT) \right) d\omega d\eta \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} \left( \log \zeta(\eta) - \log \zeta(\eta + iT) \right) d\eta \right| +$$

$$+ K \left| \int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} e^{-K(\eta - \sigma)} \int_{\eta}^{+\infty} \left( \log \zeta(\omega) - \log \zeta(\omega + iT) \right) d\omega d\eta \right|.$$

$$(13)$$

Applying (10) to both last integral in (13) we have

$$\left| \int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} e^{-K(\eta - \sigma)} \left( \log \zeta(\eta) - \log \zeta(\eta + iT) \right) d\eta \right| = O(\log T), \quad T \to +\infty.$$
 (14)

Using once more Selberg's result (11) and the inequality  $|N_k(\sigma, T)| \leq |N(\sigma, T)|$  we obtain from (12) and (14)

$$|l_k(\sigma, T)| = O(1), \quad T \to +\infty, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now let  $1/2 < \sigma_0 \le \sigma \le 2$ ,  $1 \le T$ .

Taking the imaginary parts in (9) and using some properties of  $\zeta(s)$  J. Littlewood obtained [1]:

$$2\pi \int_{1/2}^{1} N(\sigma, T) d\sigma = \int_{0}^{T} \log \left| \zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right| dt + O(\log T), \quad T \to +\infty.$$

Since the left side of this equality is nonnegative, we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \log^{-} |\zeta(\sigma + it)| dt \le \int_{0}^{T} \log^{+} |\zeta(\sigma + it)| dt + O(\log T), \quad T \to +\infty.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left| \log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| \right| dt \le 2 \int_{0}^{T} \log^{+} |\zeta(\sigma + it)| dt + O(\log T), \quad T \to +\infty.$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 1 and Lemma HL for sufficiently large T we obtain

$$\frac{2}{T-2} \int_{2}^{T} \log^{+} |\zeta(\sigma+it)| dt \le \max\left(1, \log\left(\frac{1}{T-2} \int_{2}^{T} |\zeta(\sigma+it)|^{2} dt\right)\right) \le$$

$$\le \max\left(1, \log\left(\frac{AT}{T-2} \min\left(\log T, \frac{1}{\sigma_{0} - \frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)\right) \le \log\frac{AT}{T-2} + \log\frac{1}{\sigma_{0} - \frac{1}{2}} =$$

$$= \log\frac{1}{\sigma_{0} - \frac{1}{2}} + O(1), \quad T \to +\infty.$$

So,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \left| \log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| \right| dt \le \frac{2}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \log^{+} |\zeta(\sigma + it)| dt + O(1) = 
= \log \frac{1}{\sigma_{0} - \frac{1}{2}} + O(1), \quad T \to +\infty.$$
(15)

Denote the Fourier coefficients of  $\log |\zeta(s)|$  and  $\arg \zeta(s)$  by  $C_k(\sigma, T)$  and  $A_k(\sigma, T)$  respectively.

It follows from (15) that the Fourier coefficients  $C_k(\sigma, T)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are bounded for  $\sigma_0 \le \sigma \le 2$ ,  $1 \le T$ .

Since 
$$l_k(\sigma, T) = C_k(\sigma, T) + i A_k(\sigma, T), A_{-k}(\sigma, T) = \overline{A_k(\sigma, T)}$$
, and

$$|A_k(\sigma, T)| \le |l_k(\sigma, T)| + |C_k(\sigma, T)| = \log \frac{1}{\sigma_0 - \frac{1}{2}} + O(1), \quad T \to +\infty, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

we have

$$|l_{-k}(\sigma, T)| \le |C_k(\sigma, T)| + |A_k(\sigma, T)| = \log \frac{1}{\sigma_0 - \frac{1}{2}} + O(1), \quad T \to +\infty, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the constant in O(1) is absolute. This completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Littlewood J. E. On the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc. 22 (1924), 295–318.
- 2. Titchmarsh J. C. The theory of the Riemann zeta-function, Second edition, Revised by D. R. Heath-Brown, Oxford, 1986.
- 3. Selberg A. Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series, in "Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory" (eds. E. Bombieri et al.), Università di Salerno, Salerno, 1992, 367–385; also Collected Papers (Vol. II), Springer Verlag, Berlin etc., 1991, 47–63.
- 4. Balazard M., Ivič M. The mean square of logarithm of the zeta-function, Glasgow Math. J. 42 (2000), 157–166.
- 5. Kondratyuk A., Kshanovskyy I. On the logarithmic derivative of a meromorphic function, Matematychni Studii **21** (2004), no.1, 98–100.

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics Lviv National University kond@franko.lviv.ua, a\_brydun@franko.lviv.ua

> Received 28.12.2003 Revised 8.07.2004