

УДК 517.53

P. V. FILEVYCH

THE BAIRE CATEGORIES AND WIMAN'S INEQUALITY FOR ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

P. V. Filevych. *The Baire categories and Wiman's inequality for entire functions*, *Matematychni Studii*, **20** (2003) 215–221.

By the classical Wiman-Valiron theorem, for every entire function f there exists an increasing to $+\infty$ sequence (r_n) such that $\ln M(r_n, f) - \ln \mu(r_n, f) \leq (\frac{1}{2} + o(1)) \ln \ln \mu(r_n, f)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $M(r, f)$ is the maximum modulus and $\mu(r, f)$ is the maximal term of f . It is known that in this theorem the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ cannot be replaced by a smaller number. Using the concept of the Baire category, it is shown in the presented paper that for the majority of entire functions the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ may be replaced by $\frac{1}{4}$.

П. В. Филевич. *Категории Бэра и неравенство Вимана для целых функций* // Математичні Студії. – 2003. – Т.20, №2. – С.215–221.

Согласно классической теореме Вимана-Валирона, для любой целой функции f существует возрастающая к $+\infty$ последовательность (r_n) такая, что $\ln M(r_n, f) - \ln \mu(r_n, f) \leq (\frac{1}{2} + o(1)) \ln \ln \mu(r_n, f)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, где $M(r, f)$ — максимум модуля, а $\mu(r, f)$ — максимальный член f . Известно, что в этой теореме постоянную $\frac{1}{2}$ нельзя заменить меньшим числом. Используя понятие бэровской категории, в настоящей работе показано, что для большинства целых функций постоянную $\frac{1}{2}$ можно заменить на $\frac{1}{4}$.

1. Introduction. For numbers $r \geq 0$, $\eta > 0$ and an entire function

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1)$$

let $M(r, f) = \max\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}$ be the maximum modulus, $\mu(r, f) = \max\{|a_n| r^n : n \geq 0\}$ be the maximal term, $G_2(r, f) = (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 r^{2n})^{1/2}$,

$$\Delta(r, f) = \frac{\ln M(r, f) - \ln \mu(r, f)}{\ln \ln \mu(r, f)}, \quad E(\eta, f) = \{r > 1 : M(r, f) > \mu(r, f) \ln^\eta \mu(r, f)\}.$$

By the classical Wiman-Valiron theorem, for every entire function f and each $\eta > \frac{1}{2}$ the set $E(\eta, f)$ has the finite logarithmic measure, i.e.

$$\int_{E(\eta, f)} \frac{dr}{r} < \infty.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30B10, 30B20, 30D20.

It is easy to check that this implies (see also [1]) that for every entire function f there exists a set $E(f) \subset (1, +\infty)$ of finite depending only on f logarithmic measure such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{\substack{r \rightarrow +\infty \\ r \notin E(f)}} \Delta(r, f) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, for each $\eta > \frac{1}{2}$ there exists a number $r_0(\eta, f) > 1$ such that $E(\eta, f) \subset (1, r_0(\eta, f)) \cup E(f)$.

Note that in the Wiman–Valiron theorem the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ cannot be replaced by a smaller number. Indeed, for the entire function $f(z) = e^z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n/n!$ we have $M(r, f) = e^r$ and [2]

$$\mu(r, f) = \frac{r^{[r]}}{[r]!} \sim \frac{e^r}{\sqrt{2\pi r}}, \quad r \rightarrow +\infty, \tag{2}$$

and hence

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{M(r, f)}{\mu(r, f) \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu(r, f)} = \sqrt{2\pi}. \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, from the articles [3–6] it follows that for majority of entire functions (in the sense of the Lebesgue measure or the probability) the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ may be replaced by $\frac{1}{4}$. We confine only by results from [4] and [5].

Below always $\theta = (\theta_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of nonnegative integers. Consider entire function (1) and put

$$f_t(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{i\theta_n t} a_n z^n, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that the function f_t is also entire and completely determined by the function f and the sequence θ . Furthermore, $\mu(r, f_t) = \mu(r, f)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \geq 0$.

Theorem A [4]. *If a sequence θ has the Hadamard gaps, i.e.*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_{n+1}}{\theta_n} > 1,$$

then for every entire function f there exists a set $E(f) \subset (1, +\infty)$ of finite logarithmic measure such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{\substack{r \rightarrow +\infty \\ r \notin E(f)}} \Delta(r, f_t) \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is known [7, p.45] that for the function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n/n!$ the inequality

$$G_2(r, f) > \frac{e^r}{4r^{1/4}}, \quad r > r_0, \tag{4}$$

is valid. Since, by the Parseval equality,

$$M(r, f_t) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f_t(re^{i\varphi})|^2 \delta\varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = G_2(r, f),$$

from (2) and (4) we obtain

$$\underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{M(r, f_t)}{\mu(r, f) \ln^{\frac{1}{4}} \mu(r, f)} \geq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8}}.$$

Hence, in Theorem A the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ cannot be replaced by a smaller number.

Theorem A is generalized and refined in [5]. In particular, from results obtained in [5], the next assertion follows.

Theorem B [5]. *If a sequence θ satisfies*

$$\delta(\theta) := \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \frac{\theta_n}{\theta_{n+1} - \theta_n}}{\ln n} \leq \delta \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \tag{5}$$

then for every entire function f there exists a set $E(f) \subset (1, +\infty)$ of finite logarithmic measure such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{\substack{r \rightarrow +\infty \\ r \notin E(f)}} \Delta(r, f_t) \leq \frac{1 + 3\delta}{4 + 2\delta}$$

for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We note that $\delta(\theta) \leq 0$ for each sequence θ with the Hadamard gaps. Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{1 + 3\delta}{4 + 2\delta} < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \delta \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Next, we assume that a sequence θ satisfies condition (5). Let $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(f, \theta, E) &= \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \overline{\lim}_{\substack{r \rightarrow +\infty \\ r \notin E}} \Delta(r, f_t) \leq \frac{1 + 3\delta}{4 + 2\delta} \right\}, \\ F_2(f, \theta) &= \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \int_{E(\eta, f_t)} \frac{dr}{r} < \infty \text{ for all } \eta > \frac{1 + 3\delta}{4 + 2\delta} \right\}, \\ F_3(f, \theta) &= \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \Delta(r, f_t) \leq \frac{1 + 3\delta}{4 + 2\delta} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then from Theorem B we see that for every entire function f there exists a set $E(f)$ of finite logarithmic measure such that the set $F_1(f, \theta, E(f))$ is large in the sense of the Lebesgue measure. From this it follows that $F_2(f, \theta)$ and $F_3(f, \theta)$ are large in the sense of the Lebesgue measure.

Like the Lebesgue measure, the Baire categories are also important concepts that are useful proving that some properties are typical for a subclass of analytic functions. In particular, these concepts were applied in the value distribution theory [10, 11] as well as for investigation of the radial growth of entire functions [9]. In this connection Prof. M. Sheremeta and Prof. O. Skaskiv posed the question: Is it possible to obtain an estimate for a number of entire functions for which the Wiman-Valiron inequality can be improved in terms of Baire's categories.

In this paper we consider the following specific question: *Is it true that for every entire function f there exists a set $E(f)$ of finite logarithmic measure such that the set $F_1(f, \theta, E(f))$*

is large in the sense of the Baire categories, i.e. $F_1(f, \theta, E(f))$ is a residual set in \mathbb{R} ? (We recall that a set $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called [8] residual in \mathbb{R} , if its complement $F' := \mathbb{R} \setminus F$ is a set of the first Baire category in \mathbb{R} .)

It is clear, that if the answer to the question is affirmative, then the sets $F_2(f, \theta)$ and $F_3(f, \theta)$ are residual in \mathbb{R} . However, in reality, the situation is rather complicated. Shortly speaking, below we obtain the negative answer to the question, but we show that for every entire function f the set $F_3(f, \theta)$ is residual in \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 1. *Let a sequence θ have the Hadamard gaps and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$. There exists a constant $C = C(\theta) > 0$ such that for each sequence (r_n) increasing to $+\infty$ the set*

$$F_4 = \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{M(r_n, f_t)}{\mu(r_n, f) \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu(r_n, f)} \geq C \right\}$$

is residual in \mathbb{R} .

For every set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ we introduce the notation $E^* := (1, +\infty) \setminus E$. By Theorem 1, if $\sup E^* = +\infty$ (for example, if E is a set of finite logarithmic measure) and $f(z) = e^z$, then $F_1(f, \theta, E)$ is a set of the first Baire category in \mathbb{R} . Hence, from Theorem 1 the negative answer to the question formulated above follows.

We note, that the condition of the Hadamard's lacunarity of a sequence θ in Theorem 1 appears as a consequence of the method applied in proof of this theorem. Certainly, this condition is redundant. We can make such a conclusion considering in some sense the extreme case $\theta_n = n$ for all $n \geq 0$. In this case, for $f(z) = e^z$ and each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$M(r, f_t) = \max_{\varphi} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^n e^{in(\varphi+t)}}{n!} \right| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{n!} = e^r.$$

Therefore, from equality (3), which is valid for $f(z) = e^z$, we obtain the analogous equality for f_t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. in this case Theorem 1 is also valid.

Theorem 2. *If for a sequence θ condition (5) is fulfilled, then for every entire function f the set $F_3(f, \theta)$ is residual in \mathbb{R} .*

The following question is open.

Question 1. *Is it true that for every entire function f the set $F_2(f, \theta)$ is residual in \mathbb{R} ?*

The answer to the following simpler question is also unknown.

Question 2. *Is it true that there exist a number $\eta < \frac{1}{2}$ and a sequence θ such that for every entire function f the set of those $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for which the set $E(\eta, f_t)$ has the finite logarithmic measure (or at least the zero logarithmic density) is residual in \mathbb{R} ?*

2. Auxiliary results. We apply the following lemmas in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. The first of them is elementary.

Lemma 1. *Let a function $\alpha = \alpha(x, y)$ be continuous in $[a, b] \times [c, d]$. Then the function $\beta(x) = \max\{\alpha(x, y) : y \in [c, d]\}$ is continuous in $[a, b]$.*

Lemma 2. [12, 13] For every $q > 1$ there exist positive constants $A = A(q)$ and $B = B(q)$ such that for each interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and every trigonometrical polynomial $P(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n e^{i\lambda_n t}$, for which $|I| \geq \frac{B}{\lambda_1} > 0$ and $\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \geq q$, $1 \leq n \leq N-1$, there exists a point $t_0 \in I$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} P(t_0) \geq A \sum_{n=1}^N |c_n|.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Let (r_n) be an arbitrary sequence increasing to $+\infty$, $f(z) = e^z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n/n!$, θ be a sequence with the Hadamard gaps, and $q = \inf\{\frac{\theta_{n+1}}{\theta_n} : n \geq 0\}$. It is clear that $q > 1$.

Let $A = A(q)$ and $B = B(q)$ be the positive constants from Lemma 2, $C = A\sqrt{2\pi} > 0$. Then the constant C depends only on the sequence θ .

Consider a positive sequence (C_n) , increasing to C , and put, for fixed integers $k \geq 0$ and $m \geq 0$,

$$F_{m,k} = \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{M(r_l, f_t)}{\mu(r_l, f_t) \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu(r_l, f_t)} \leq C_m \text{ for all } l \geq k \right\}.$$

Since for every fixed $r \geq 0$ the function

$$\alpha(t, \varphi) = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{i\theta_n t} \frac{r^n e^{in\varphi}}{n!} \right|$$

is continuous in \mathbb{R}^2 and periodic in the variables t and φ , the function $\beta(t) = \max_{\varphi} \alpha(t, \varphi) = M(r, f_t)$ is continuous at every point $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by Lemma 1. Consequently, the set $F_{m,k}$ is closed in \mathbb{R} .

Now we show that the set $F'_{m,k}$ is everywhere dense. In order to do this we consider an arbitrary interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, $|I| > 0$, and show that it contains some point $t_0 \in F'_{m,k}$.

We choose an integer $p \geq 1$ and a number $\varepsilon > 0$ so that the inequalities

$$|I| \geq \frac{B}{\theta_p}, \quad 1 - 2\varepsilon > \sqrt{\frac{c_m}{c}} \quad (6)$$

be fulfilled. (Recall that k and m are fixed.)

Next, by (3) and the relation $r^p = o(e^r)$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$, we can define the numbers

$$x_1 = \inf \left\{ r \geq 0 : e^r \geq \sqrt{2\pi}(1 - 2\varepsilon)\mu(r, f) \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu(r, f) \right\},$$

$$x_2 = \inf \left\{ r \geq 0 : \sum_{n=0}^p \frac{r^n}{n!} \leq \frac{A}{A+1} \varepsilon e^r \right\},$$

respectively.

Choose integers $l \geq k$ and $s > p$ so that the inequalities

$$r_l > \max\{x_1, x_2\}, \quad \sum_{n=s+1}^{\infty} \frac{r_l^n}{n!} \leq \frac{A}{A+1} \varepsilon e^{r_l} \quad (7)$$

be fulfilled. By Lemma 2, in the interval I there exists a point t_0 such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=p}^s e^{i\theta_n t_0} \frac{r_l^n}{n!} \geq A \sum_{n=p}^s \frac{r_l^n}{n!}. \quad (8)$$

Using the definitions of x_1 and x_2 , by (8), (7) and (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M(r_l, f_{t_0}) &= \max_{\varphi} |f_{t_0}(r_l e^{i\varphi})| \geq |f_{t_0}(r_l)| \geq \operatorname{Re} f_{t_0}(r_l) \geq \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=p}^s e^{i\theta_n t_0} \frac{r_l^n}{n!} - \sum_{n \notin [p, s]} \frac{r_l^n}{n!} \geq \\ &\geq A \sum_{n=p}^s \frac{r_l^n}{n!} - \sum_{n \notin [p, s]} \frac{r_l^n}{n!} = A \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_l^n}{n!} - (1+A) \sum_{n \notin [p, s]} \frac{r_l^n}{n!} \geq A e^{r_l} - (1+A) \frac{2A}{A+1} \varepsilon e^r \geq \\ &\geq \frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (1-2\varepsilon)^2 \sqrt{2\pi} \mu_f(r_l, f) \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu_f(r_l, f) > c_m \mu_f(r_l, f) \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu_f(r_l, f), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $t_0 \in F'_{m,k}$.

Since the set $F_{m,k}$ is closed in \mathbb{R} and its complement $F'_{m,k}$ is everywhere dense, $F_{m,k}$ is nowhere dense. Hence, $F = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} F_{m,k}$ is a set of the first category. But $F_4 = F'$. Therefore, F_4 is a residual set. Thus, the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. Let f be an arbitrary entire function, and θ be a sequence, satisfying (5). Show that the set $F_3(f, \theta)$ is residual in \mathbb{R} .

Consider a sequence (c_n) such that

$$c_n \downarrow \frac{1+3\delta}{4+2\delta}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Fix integers $m \geq 0$, $k \geq 0$, and put

$$F_{m,k} = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : M(r, f_t) \geq \mu(r, f) \ln^{c_m} \mu(r, f) \text{ for all } r > k\}.$$

As it has been stated above, for every fixed $r \geq 0$ the function $\beta(t) = M(r, f_t)$ is continuous at every point $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, the set $F_{m,k}$ is closed in \mathbb{R} . Furthermore, it is easy to see from Theorem B, the set $F'_{m,k}$ is everywhere dense. Therefore, $F_{m,k}$ is nowhere dense and $F = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} F_{m,k}$ is a set of the first category. Since $F_3(f, \theta) = F'$, the set $F_3(f, \theta)$ is residual in \mathbb{R} . Theorem 2 is proved.

REFERENCES

1. Rosenbloom P. *Probability and entire functions* // Stud. Math. Anal. Related Topics. – Stanford: Calif. Univ. Press, 1962. – P. 325–332.
2. Поля Г., Сега Г. Задачи и теоремы из анализа. Т.2. – М.: Наука, 1978.
3. Erdős P., Rényi A. *On random entire functions* // Zastosowania Mat. – 1969. – V. 10. – P. 47–55.
4. Steele J. M. *Sharper Wiman inequality for entire functions with rapidly oscillating coefficients* // J. Math. Anal. Appl. – 1987. – V. 123. – P. 550–558.
5. Філевич П.В. *Деякі класи цілих функцій, в яких майже напевне можна покращити нерівність Вімана–Валірона* // Мат. Студії. – 1996. – Т. 6. – С. 59–66.

6. Філевич П.В. *Співвідношення між максимумом модуля і максимальним членом для випадкових цілих функцій* // Мат. Студії. – 1997. – Т. 7. – №2. – С. 157–166.
7. Clunie J., Hayman W. K. *The maximum term of a power series, 2* // J. Anal. Math. – 1965. – V. 14. – P. 15–65.
8. Окстоби Дж. Мера и категория. – М.: Мир, 1974.
9. Anderson J.M. *The radial growth of integral functions* // J. London Math. Soc.(2). – 1970. – V.2. – P.318–320.
10. Єременко О.Е. *Про валіронівські дефекти цілих характеристичних функцій скінченного порядку* // Укр. мат. журн. – 1977. – Т.29, №6. – С.807–809.
11. Єременко О.Э. *О считающих функциях последовательностей a -точек для функций, голоморфных в круге* // Теория функц., функ. анализ и их прил. – 1977. – Вып.31. – С.59–62.
12. Weiss M. *Concerning a theorem of Paley on lacunary power series* // Acta Math. – 1959. – V. 102. – P. 225–238.
13. Kanane J.-P., Weiss M., Weiss G. *On lacunary power series* // Ark. Mat. – 1963. – V. 5. – P. 1–26.

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Lviv Ivan Franko National University

Received 15.09.2003