УДК 517.982

L. Halbeisen*

A SET-THEORETIC APPROACH TO COMPLETE MINIMAL SYSTEMS IN BANACH SPACES OF BOUNDED FUNCTIONS

L. Halbeisen. *A set-theoretic approach to complete minimal systems in Banach spaces of bounded functions*, Matematychni Studii, **20** (2003) 162–166.

Using independent families from combinatorial set theory, it is shown that for every infinite cardinal κ, $\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*$ contains a subspace which is isomorphic to a Hilbert space of dimension 2^κ. This provides a new proof for the first step in the construction of complete minimal systems in Banach spaces of bounded functions.

Л. Халбейзен. *Теоретико-множественный подход к полным минимальным системам в банаховых пространствах ограниченных функций* // Математичні Студії. – 2003. – Т.20, №2. – С.162–166.

Используя независимые семейства из комбинаторной множественной теории, мы доказываем, что для каждого бесконечного кардинала κ $\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*$ содержит подпространство, изоморфное гильбертовому пространству размерности 2^κ. В результате получено новое доказательство для первого шага конструкции полных минимальных систем в банаховых пространствах ограниченных функций.

1. Introduction. Let X be a Banach space and let $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X$ be an arbitrary set of vectors of X. Let $[x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda]$ denote the *closure of the linear span* of $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. A set $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X$ is called a *complete system* if $[x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda] = X$, and it is called a *minimal system* if for every $\lambda' \in \Lambda$, $x_{\lambda'} \notin \big[x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda'\}\big]$. A *complete minimal system*, abbreviated c.m.s., is a complete system which is also minimal.

Using functionals, we can characterize minimal systems (and consequently c.m.s.) also in the following way: Let X be a Banach space. A pair of sequences $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X$ and $\{f_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X^*$ is called a *biorthogonal system* if $f_{\lambda'}(x_\lambda) = \delta^\lambda_{\lambda'}$. Now, a sequence $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X$ is minimal if and only if there is a sequence $\{f_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq X^*$ such that the pair $\big(\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \{f_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\big)$ is a biorthogonal system. A biorthogonal system which corresponds to a complete minimal system is called *a complete biorthogonal system.*

Even though not every Banach space has a c.m.s. (see e.g., [1] or [2]), it is known that ℓ_∞ has a c.m.s.. The first (not completely correct) proof for the existence of a c.m.s. in ℓ_∞ was given by W. Davis and W. Johnson in [3]. Later, B. Godun gave a correct (and slightly easier) proof in [4]. However, the crucial point in both proofs is the following result due to H. Rosenthal (cf. [5, Proposition 3.4]):

*The research for this paper was resumed during the *Workshop on Set Theory, Topology, and Banach Space Theory.* The workshop took place in June 2003 at *Queen's University Belfast* and was supported by the author's *Nuffield Foundation Grant* NAL/00513/G.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 46B15, 03E05, 46B26.

Proposition 1. *The space ℓ_∞^* contains a subspace isomorphic to a Hilbert space of dimension the continuum.*

Let us briefly sketch why Proposition 1 implies the existence of a c.m.s. in ℓ_∞: Let $Y \subseteq \ell_\infty$ be isomorphic to a Hilbert space of dimension the continuum. Since Y is reflexive, Y is weakly* closed (cf. e.g., [5, Proposition 1.2]), and therefore, $({}_\perp Y)^\perp = Y$, where ${}_\perp Y = \{x \in \ell_\infty : \forall y \in Y(y(x) = 0)\}$ and $({}_\perp Y)^\perp := \{x^* \in \ell_\infty^* : \forall x \in {}_\perp Y(x^*(x) = 0)\}$. Thus, $(\ell_\infty / {}_\perp Y)^*$ is isomorphic to the Hilbert space Y, which implies that also $\ell_\infty / {}_\perp Y$ is isomorphic to Y. Now, following [4], with the orthonormal basis in Y we can easily construct a c.m.s. in ℓ_∞. At this point we like to mention that starting with generalized version of Proposition 1 (cf. [5, p. 203, Remark 2]), a similar construction yields a c.m.s. in $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$ for any infinite cardinal κ.

Rosenthal's proof of Proposition 1 involves some deep results from functional analysis. On the other hand, from a set-theoretical point of view a c.m.s. in ℓ_∞ is just a set of bounded real-valued sequences, and therefore, it was natural to seek a more combinatorial or set-theoretical proof of Proposition 1 and the aim of this paper is to provide such a proof.

Acknowledgement. I like to thank Anatolij Plichko and Stephanie Halbeisen for pointing me out and explaining me the various steps in the construction of a c.m.s. in ℓ_∞.

2. Some set theory. 2.1. Set-theoretic terminology. Our set-theoretical axioms are the axioms of Zermelo and Fraenkel including the Axiom of Choice. All our set-theoretical notations and definitions are standard and can be found in textbooks like [6].

For a set x, the *cardinality* of x, denoted by $|x|$, is the least ordinal number α for which there exists a bijection $f : \alpha \to x$; such an ordinal number α is called a *cardinal number* (or just a *cardinal*). The least infinite ordinal number, which is also a cardinal, is denoted by ω, thus, $|\omega| = \omega$. In particular, $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is the set of natural numbers. A set x is called finite, if $|x| \in \omega$, otherwise it is called infinite. Further it is called countable, if $|x| \leq \omega$. For a set x, $\mathcal{P}(x)$ denotes the power set of x and $[x]^{<\omega}$ denotes the set of all finite subsets of x. For a cardinal κ, $|\mathcal{P}(\kappa)|$ is denoted by 2^κ. For example there exists a bijection between the reals $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$, hence $|\mathbb{R}| = |\mathcal{P}(\omega)| = 2^\omega$. For every infinite cardinal we have $2^\kappa > \kappa$ and $\left|[\kappa]^{<\omega}\right| = \kappa$.

2.2. Independent families. Let κ be an infinite cardinal and let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$, then $\mathcal{I}$ is called an *independent family* (on κ), if whenever m and $n-1$ belong to ω, and $x_0, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_{m+n}$ are distinct members of $\mathcal{I}$, then

$$\Big| \bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq m} x_i \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq n} x_{m+j} \Big| = \kappa .$$

To make this paper self-contained, let us prove the following result due to F. Hausdorff (cf. [7]):

Proposition 2. *For any infinite cardinal κ, there is an independent family on κ of cardinality 2^κ.*

Proof. We just follow Exercise (A6) on p. 288 of [6]. Let

$$J = \{\langle s, A\rangle : s \subseteq \kappa \text{ and } |s| < \omega \text{ and } A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(s)\} .$$

Notice that $|J| = \kappa$, so, it is enough to construct an independent family of cardinality 2^κ on J. For $x' \subseteq \kappa$, let $x := \{\langle s, A\rangle \in J : x' \cap s \in A\}$. Then $\mathcal{I} = \{x : x' \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa)\}$ is an independent family on J of cardinality 2^κ. Indeed, let $x'_0, \dots, x'_m, \dots, x'_{m+n}$ be distinct members of $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ (for some m and $n-1$ in ω). Then there is a finite set $s \subseteq \kappa$ such that for all i, j with $0 \le i < j \le m+n$ we have $x'_i \cap s \ne x'_j \cap s$. Let $A = \{s \cap x'_i : 0 \le i \le m\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(s)$, and for every $\alpha \in \kappa \setminus s$, let $s_\alpha = s \cup \{\alpha\}$ and $A_\alpha = A \cup \{t \cup \{\alpha\} : t \in A\}$. Then

$$\{\langle s_\alpha, A_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in \kappa \setminus s\} \subseteq \bigcap_{0 \le i \le m} x_i \setminus \bigcup_{1 \le j \le n} x_{m+j} ,$$

which implies that $\left| \bigcap_{i \le m} x_i \setminus \bigcup_{m < j \le n} x_j \right| = \kappa$, and therefore, $\mathcal{I}$ is an independent family on J of cardinality 2^κ. □

As an easy consequence we get the following

Fact. If $\mathcal{I} = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in 2^\kappa\}$ is an independent family on κ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are finitely many distinct elements of 2^κ, then $\left| \bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} y_{\alpha_i} \right| = \kappa$, where for every $1 \le i \le n$, the set y_{α_i} is either equal to the set x_{α_i} or to its complement $\kappa \setminus x_{\alpha_i}$.

2.3. The Banach spaces $\ell_2(\kappa)$ and $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$. Let κ be an infinite cardinal. The Banach space $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$ is the set of all bounded functions from κ to $\mathbb{R}$, where for $x \in \ell_\infty(\kappa)$, $\|x\| = \sup\{x(\alpha) : \alpha \in \kappa\}$. The Banach space $\ell_2(\kappa)$ is the set of all functions x from κ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{\alpha \in \kappa} x(\alpha)^2 =: \|x\|^2 < \infty$. It is common to write ℓ_2 and ℓ_∞ instead of $\ell_2(\omega)$ and $\ell_\infty(\omega)$ respectively. Like for ℓ_2 and ℓ_∞, one can show that $\ell_2(\kappa)^* = \ell_2(\kappa)$ and that $\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*$ is isometric to the space of all finitely additive signed measures μ of bounded variation on $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$, supplied with the norm $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(\kappa)$, where $|\mu|$ is the total variation of μ.
For $\alpha, \beta \in \kappa$, let

$$\delta_\alpha^\beta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let $e_\alpha \colon \kappa \to \{0,1\}$ be such that $e_\alpha(\beta) = \delta_\alpha^\beta$. It is easy to see that the set of vectors $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$ is a c.m.s. of $\ell_2(\kappa)$. On the other hand, the set $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$ is much too small to be a c.m.s. of $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$. In general, the cardinality of a complete minimal system S of an infinite dimensional real Banach space X is always equal to the density character of X. Indeed, on the one hand, the set of all finite linear combinations of S with rational coefficients is dense in X, and on the other hand, S is discrete in X. In particular, the density character of $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$ is 2^κ, so, any c.m.s. of $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$ must have cardinality 2^κ.

3. $\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*$ contains an isomorphic copy of $\ell_2(2^\kappa)$. Now we are ready to prove the main result.

Theorem. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal. Then any independent family on κ of cardinality 2^κ induces a subspace of $\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*$ which is isomorphic to the Hilbert space $\ell_2(2^\kappa)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in 2^\kappa\}$ be an independent family on κ of cardinality 2^κ (which exists by Proposition 2). Define a measure $\hat{\mu}$ on the set B of all Boolean combinations of elements of $\mathcal{I}$ by stipulating

- $\hat{\mu}(x_\alpha) = \hat{\mu}(\kappa \setminus x_\alpha) = 1/2$ (for all $x_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$),
- $\hat{\mu}(x_\alpha \cap x_\beta) = \hat{\mu}(x_\alpha \cap (\kappa \setminus x_\beta)) = 1/4$ (for all distinct $x_\alpha, x_\beta \in \mathcal{I}$),

and in general, if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are finitely many distinct elements of 2^κ and $0 \le j \le n$, then

$$\hat{\mu}\Big(\bigcap_{1\le i\le j} x_{\alpha_i} \cap \bigcap_{j<i\le n} (\kappa \setminus x_{\alpha_i})\Big) = 2^{-n}\,.$$

The measure $\hat{\mu}$ induces a normalized linear functional $\varphi_{\hat{\mu}}$ on a subspace of $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$. Thus, by the normed space version of the Hahn-Banach Extension Theorem, there is a normalized functional on all of $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$ which extends the functional $\varphi_{\hat{\mu}}$. In particular, there is a measure μ on $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ with $\|\mu\| = 1$, such that $\mu|_B \equiv \hat{\mu}$. For every $\alpha \in 2^\kappa$ let $f_\alpha \colon \kappa \to \{1, -1\}$ such that

$$f_\alpha(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda \in x_\alpha, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, for every $\alpha \in 2^\kappa$, let the measure μ_α on $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ be defined by

$$\mu_\alpha(E) = \mu(E \cap x_\alpha) - \mu\big(E \cap (\kappa \setminus x_\alpha)\,,$$

and let φ_α be the linear functional on $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$ induced by the measure μ_α. It is not hard to see that for all $\alpha, \beta \in 2^\kappa$, $\varphi_\alpha(f_\beta) = \delta_\alpha^\beta$ and that $\|\varphi_\alpha\|_{\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*} = 1$. Let $Y = [\varphi_\alpha : \alpha \in 2^\kappa] \subseteq \ell_\infty(\kappa)^*$, and let $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_{\alpha_i} \in Y$.

Claim. *For each $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ we have*

$$\Big\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_{\alpha_i} \Big\|_{\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*} = 2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i a_i \Big|\,.$$

Proof. For each $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ let $E_\varepsilon = \bigcap_{1\le i\le n} y_{\alpha_i}$, where

$$y_{\alpha_i} = \begin{cases} x_{\alpha_i} & \text{if } \varepsilon_i a_i \ge 0, \\ \kappa \setminus x_{\alpha_i} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the fact mentioned above, $|E_\varepsilon| = \kappa$, and by the properties of the measure μ we get $\mu(E) = 2^{-n}$. Notice that for any distinct ε and ε' in $\{-1, 1\}^n$ we have $E_\varepsilon \cap E_{\varepsilon'} = \varnothing$ and that $\kappa = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} E_\varepsilon$. Further, for every $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ let $f_\varepsilon \colon \kappa \to \{\pm 1, 0\}$ be such that

$$f_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda \in E_\varepsilon \text{ and } |\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n a_n| \ge 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } \lambda \in E_\varepsilon \text{ and } |\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n a_n| < 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let $f = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} f_\varepsilon$. It is not hard to verify that for each $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ we have

$$\big(a_1 \varphi_{\alpha_1} + \dots + a_n \varphi_{\alpha_n}\big)(f_\varepsilon) = 2^{-n}\, |\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n a_n|\,,$$

and therefore,

$$\Big(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_{\alpha_i}\Big)(f) = 2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i a_i \Big|\,.$$

Now, since the E_ε's are pairwise disjoint, $\|f\|_{\ell_\infty(\kappa)} = 1$, and by the construction of f we finally get

$$\Big\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_{\alpha_i} \Big\|_{\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*} = 2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i a_i \Big|\,.$$

□

Hence, by Khintchine's inequality, there is a constant $c = 1/\sqrt{2}$ such that

$$c \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2} \leq \Big\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \varphi_{\alpha_i} \Big\|_{\ell_\infty(\kappa)^*} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2}\,,$$

which implies that the space $Y \subseteq \ell_\infty(\kappa)^*$ is isomorphic to the Hilbert space $\ell_2(2^\kappa)$ and completes the proof. □

Remark. For an infinite cardinal κ, the Banach space $c_0(\kappa)$ is the set of all functions x from κ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $\{\alpha < \kappa : |x(\alpha)| > \varepsilon\}$ is finite. Now, the Theorem admits the following generalization: *Let κ be an infinite cardinal. Then the space $\big(\ell_\infty(\kappa)/c_0(\kappa)\big)^*$ contains a subspace which is isomorphic to $\ell_2(2^\kappa)$*. Consequently we get: *For every infinite cardinal κ, the space $\ell_\infty(\kappa)/c_0(\kappa)$ has a* c.m.s..

REFERENCES

1. Plichko A. *A Banach space without a fundamental biorthogonal system*, Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR, **254** (1980), №4 798–801 (in Russian); translation in Soviet Mathematical Doklady, **22** (1980), №2, 450–453.
2. Godun B. V., Kadets M. I. *Banach spaces without complete minimal systems*, Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya **14** (1980), №4, 67–68 (in Russian); translation in Functional Analysis and its Applications, **14** (1980), №4, 301–302.
3. Davis W. J., Johnson W. B. *On the existence of fundamental and total bounded biorthogonal systems in Banach spaces* Studia Mathematica, **45** (1973), 173–179.
4. Godun B. V. *Complete biorthogonal systems in Banach spaces*, Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya, **17** (1983), №1, 1–7 (in Russian); translation in Functional Analysis and its Applications **17** (1983), №1, 1–5.
5. Rosenthal H. P. *On quasi-complemented subspaces of Banach spaces, with an appendix on compactness of operators from $L^p(\mu)$ to $L^r(\mu)$*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **4** (1969), 176–214.
6. Kunen K. Set Theory, an Introduction to Independence Proofs, North Holland, Amsterdam (1983).
7. Hausdorff F. *Über zwei Sätze von G. Fichtenholz und L. Kantorovitch*, Studia Mathematica, **6** (1936), 18–19.

Department of Pure Mathematics, Queen's University Belfast,
Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland
halbeis@qub.ac.uk

Received 19.09.2003