

B. V. VYNNYTSKYI, G. D. GALELYUK

ON ENTIRE DIRICHLET SERIES BOUNDED IN A STRIP

B. V. Vynnytskyi, G. D. Galelyuk. *On entire Dirichlet series bounded in a strip*, Matematychni Studii, **19** (2003) 213–216.

We establish a condition on exponents of an entire Dirichlet series under which its sum $F \equiv 0$ provided that F is bounded in a strip.

Б. В. Винницкий, Г. Д. Галелюк. *О целых рядах Дирихле, ограниченных в полосе* // Математичні Студії. – 2003. – Т.19, №2. – С.213–216.

Найдено условие на показатели целого ряда Дирихле, при котором его сумма $F \equiv 0$, если только F ограничена в полосе.

For an entire function $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n z^{\lambda_n}$ a problem of conditions on λ_n under which $f \not\equiv 0$ and f is bounded on a ray is investigated by J. Anderson and K. Binmore [1]. V. A. Martirosyan [2] investigated boundedness of such function in an angle. Analogues of Anderson-Binmore results for entire Dirichlet series

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n e^{z\lambda_n}, \quad z = x + iy, \quad (1)$$

are obtained in [3–7]. Complete analogues of Martirosyan results are unknown in spite of the investigations in [6]. In the present paper we obtain counterparts of such results for Dirichlet series. The method of proof is based on Carleman's formula [8]. We note that the similar arguments is used, for example, in [3].

So, let $0 < \sigma < +\infty$, $D_\sigma = \{z : |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq \sigma\}$, $0 < \lambda_n \uparrow +\infty$ and Dirichlet series (1) has the abscissa of absolute convergence $A = +\infty$. We put

$$S(r) = \sum_{\lambda_n \leq r} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} - \frac{\lambda_n}{r^2} \right), \quad S_0(r) = \sum_{\lambda_n \leq r} \frac{1}{\lambda_n}, \quad m_F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |d_n| e^{x\lambda_n}.$$

The following result is main in the paper.

Theorem. *If a function $F \not\equiv 0$ is bounded in D_σ , then there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that for all $r \geq 1$ and all $\tau \geq 1$*

$$\sum_{\lambda_n - \lambda_1/2 \leq r} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_1/2} - \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_1/2}{r^2} \right) \geq \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \ln r + \frac{\tau}{2} - \frac{2}{\pi r} \left(\ln^+ m_F(\tau) - \frac{\lambda_1 \tau}{2} \right) + c_1. \quad (2)$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30B50.

Proof. We put $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1/2$ and

$$G(z) = \int_{iv-\infty}^{iv+\infty} F(w) \exp\{-w(\lambda_0 + z)\} dw, \quad w = u + iv. \quad (3)$$

Clearly,

$$G(x + iy) \leq e^{vy} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F(u + iv)| \exp\{-u(\lambda_0 + x)\} du$$

and, since $|F(u + iv)| = O(e^{u\lambda_1})$ ($u \rightarrow -\infty$) and $|F(u + iv)| = O(1)$ ($u \rightarrow +\infty$) for all v , $|v| \leq \sigma$, the integral in (3) converges absolutely and uniformly on each compact set from the strip $\{z : -\lambda_0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_0\}$ and depends on $v \in [-\sigma, \sigma]$. Therefore, the function G is analytic in this strip. Moreover, choosing $v = -\sigma$ if $y > 0$, and $v = \sigma$ if $y \leq 0$, we have $|G(iy)| \leq c_2 \exp\{-\sigma|y|\}$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and some constant $c_2 > 0$.

For an arbitrary $\tau \in (0, +\infty)$ we write $G(z) = G_1(z, \tau) + G_2(z, \tau)$, where

$$G_1(z, \tau) = \int_{iv-\infty}^{iv+\tau} F(w) \exp\{-w(\lambda_0 + z)\} dw, \quad G_2(z, \tau) = \int_{iv+\tau}^{iv+\infty} F(w) \exp\{-w(\lambda_0 + z)\} dw.$$

Since for fixed $v \in [-\sigma, \sigma]$

$$G_1(z, \tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \int_{iv-\infty}^{iv+\tau} \exp\{-w(\lambda_0 + z - \lambda_n)\} dw = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n \exp\{(\tau + iv)(\lambda_n - \lambda_0 - z)\}}{\lambda_n - \lambda_0 - z},$$

the function $G_1(z, \tau)$ is meromorphic with poles $z_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_0$ and

$$|G_1(z, \tau)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|d_n| \exp\{\tau(\lambda_n - \lambda_0) + vy\}}{|y|}.$$

The function $G_2(z, \tau)$ is analytic in the half-plane $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z > -\lambda_0\}$ and

$$|G_2(z, \tau)| \leq c_3 \exp\{-\tau(\lambda_0 + x) + vy\}, \quad \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0.$$

Therefore, the function G is meromorphic in $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z > -\lambda_0\}$, $|G(iy)| \leq c_2 \exp\{-\sigma|y|\}$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$|G(z)| \leq \left(\frac{m_F(\tau)}{|y|} + \frac{c_3}{\lambda_0} \right) \exp\{-\sigma|y| - \tau(x + \lambda_0)\}, \quad \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0.$$

Hence, using Carleman's formula [8], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{\lambda_n - \lambda_0 \leq r} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_0} - \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_0}{r^2} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_1^r \left(\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \ln |G(it)| dt + \\ + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-r}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \ln |G(-it)| dt + \frac{1}{\pi r} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \ln |G(re^{i\theta})| \cos \theta d\theta &\leq \\ \leq -\frac{\sigma}{\pi} \ln r - \frac{\tau}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi r} (\ln^+ m_F(\tau) - \lambda_0 \tau) + c_1. \end{aligned}$$

□

As in [3], from Theorem we obtain some corollaries.

Corollary 1. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-2} < +\infty$,

$$\varliminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \left(S(r) - \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \ln r \right) = -\infty \quad (4)$$

and F is bounded in D_{σ} then $F \equiv 0$.

Indeed, if $F \not\equiv 0$ then by Theorem with $\tau = 1$ we have

$$\sum_{\lambda_n - \lambda_0 \leq r} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_0} - \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_0}{r^2} \right) \leq \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \ln r + O(1), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \lambda_0 = \lambda_1/2. \quad (5)$$

But

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_0} = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \frac{1}{\lambda_n(\lambda_n - \lambda_0)}$$

and

$$\sum_{\lambda_n - \lambda_0 \leq r} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_0}{r^2} = \sum_{\lambda_n \leq \lambda_0 + r} \frac{\lambda_n}{r^2} + O(1), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty,$$

because in view of the condition $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-2} < +\infty$ we have $n(r)/r^2 \rightarrow 0$ ($r \rightarrow +\infty$), where $n(r) = \sum_{\lambda_n \leq r} 1$. Therefore, condition (5) is equivalent to the condition $S(r) \geq \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \ln r + O(1)$ ($r \rightarrow +\infty$), which contradicts to (4).

Corollary 2. If

$$\varliminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_0(r)}{\ln r} < \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \quad (6)$$

and F is bounded in D_{σ} then $F \equiv 0$.

Indeed, from (6) it follows that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-2} < +\infty$ and

$$\varliminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S(r)}{\ln r} = \varliminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_0(r)}{\ln r} < \frac{\sigma}{\pi},$$

and by Corollary 1 $F \equiv 0$.

Corollary 3. Let $\ln m_f(x) \leq c_1 e^{\alpha x}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $c_1 > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. If

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-2} &< +\infty, \\ \varliminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \left(S(r) - \left(\frac{\sigma}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right) \ln r \right) &= -\infty \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and F is bounded in D_{σ} then $F \equiv 0$.

Indeed, if $F \not\equiv 0$ then by Theorem, as in the proof of Corollary 1, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda_n \leq \lambda_0 + r} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} - \frac{\lambda_n}{r^2} \right) \geq \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \ln r - \frac{\tau}{2} - \frac{2}{\pi r} (c_1 e^{\alpha \tau} - \lambda_0 \tau) + O(1), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty,$$

whence for $\tau = \frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \left(\frac{\pi r}{4c_1 \alpha} - \frac{\lambda_0}{c_1 \alpha} \right)$ we obtain

$$\sum_{\lambda_n \leq \lambda_0 + r} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} - \frac{\lambda_n}{r^2} \right) \geq \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \ln r + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \ln r + O(1), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (8)$$

that is we have a contradiction to (7).

Corollary 4. Let $\ln m_f(x) \leq c_1 e^{(\alpha+\varepsilon)x}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $c_1 > 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-2} < +\infty$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S(r)}{\ln r} < \frac{\sigma}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \quad (9)$$

and F is bounded in D_σ then $F \equiv 0$.

Indeed, if we choose $\tau = \frac{1}{\alpha + \varepsilon} \ln \frac{\pi r + 4\lambda_0}{c_1(\alpha + \varepsilon)}$ then from (2) we obtain (8), whence a contradiction to (9) follows.

We remark that if λ_n are positive integers then in view of results from [2] conditions (4), (6), (7) and (9) are necessary in order that corresponding statements are true.

REFERENCES

1. Anderson J. M., Binmore K. G. *On entire functions with gap power series* // Glasgow Math. J. – 1971. – V. 12, №2. – P.89–97.
2. Мартиросян В. А. *Теоремы единственности для целых функций в терминах их тейлоровских коэффициентов* // Изв. АН Арм ССР, сер. матем. – 1989.– Т. 24, №2. – С. 117–134.
3. Шеремета М. Н. *Теоремы единственности для целых рядов Дирихле* // Изв. вузов. Матем. – 1987. – №7. – С. 64–72.
4. Винницкий Б. В., Сорокинский В. М. *О поведении на действительной оси целых функций, представленных рядами Дирихле* // Укр. матем. журн. – 1981. – Т. 43, №2. – С.265–269.
5. Винницкий Б. В., Шаповаловский А. В. *О поведении на действительной оси целых функций, представленных рядами Дирихле с комплексными показателями* // Укр. матем. журн. – 1990. – Т. 53, №7. – С. 882–888.
6. Леонтьев А. Ф. *Последовательности полиномов из экспонент*. – М.: Наука, 1980. – 384 с.
7. Евграфов М. А. *Об одной теореме единственности для рядов Дирихле* // Успехи мат. наук. – 1962. – Т.17, №3. – С.169–175.
8. Гольдберг А.А., Островский И.В. *Распределение значений мероморфных функций*. – М.: Наука, 1970. – 591 с.

Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Drohobych State Pedagogical University

Received 20.10.2002