

УДК 517.5

B. V. VYNNYTS'KYI, V. L. SHARAN

**ON SINGULAR BOUNDARY FUNCTIONS OF A CLASS
OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS IN THE HALF-PLANE**

B. V. Vynnyts'kyi, V. L. Sharan. *On singular boundary functions of a class of analytic functions in the half-plane*, Matematychni Studii, **19** (2003) 89–96.

We describe singular boundary functions of functions $f \not\equiv 0$ which are analytic in the half-plane $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ and satisfy the condition

$$(\exists \tau_1 \in (0; 1)) (\exists c_1 > 0) (\forall z \in \mathbb{C}_+) : |f(z)| \leq c_1 \exp(\eta^{\tau_1}(c_1|z|)),$$

where $\eta : [0; +\infty) \rightarrow (0; +\infty)$ is an increasing function such that $\ln \eta(r)$ is a convex function in $\ln r$ on $[1; +\infty)$.

Б. В. Винницький, В. Л. Шаран. *О сингулярных граничных функций одного класса функций, аналитических в полуплоскости* // Математичні Студії. – 2003. – Т.19, №1. – С.89–96.

Получено описание сингулярных граничных функций аналитических в полуплоскости $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ функций $f \not\equiv 0$, удовлетворяющих условию

$$(\exists \tau_1 \in (0; 1)) (\exists c_1 > 0) (\forall z \in \mathbb{C}_+) : |f(z)| \leq c_1 \exp(\eta^{\tau_1}(c_1|z|)),$$

где $\eta : [0; +\infty) \rightarrow (0; +\infty)$ — возрастающая функция такая, что функция $\ln \eta(r)$ является выпуклой относительно $\ln r$ на $[1; +\infty)$.

Let a function f be analytic and bounded in each half-disk $Q_R = \{z : |z| < R, \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$, $0 < R < +\infty$. The equality

$$h(t_2) - h(t_1) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +0} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \ln |f(x + iy)| dy - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \ln |f(iy)| dy, \quad t_1 < t_2$$

where $f(iy)$ are the angular boundary values of the function f on the imaginary axis, defining [1, p. 23] up to a constant summand values at the points of continuity a nonincreasing on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ function h such that $h'(t) = 0$ almost everywhere. This function h is called a *singular boundary function* of the function f . It is known [1, p. 30], [2, p. 189–190] that a nonincreasing on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ function h such that $h'(t) = 0$ almost everywhere is a singular boundary function of some analytic and bounded in the half-plane $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ function if and only if

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|dh(t)|}{1+t^2} < +\infty. \tag{1}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D40, 30D99.

Let $\eta: [0; +\infty) \rightarrow (0; +\infty)$ be an increasing function such that $\ln \eta(r)$ is a convex function in $\ln r$ on $[1; +\infty)$. Denote by B_η the class of analytic functions $f \not\equiv 0$ in the half-plane \mathbb{C}_+ which satisfy the condition

$$(\exists \tau_1 \in (0; 1)) (\exists c_1 > 0) (\forall z \in \mathbb{C}_+) : |f(z)| \leq c_1 \exp(\eta^{\tau_1}(c_1|z|)). \quad (2)$$

We shall prove here the following statement.

Theorem 1. *A nonincreasing on $(-\infty; +\infty)$ function h such that $h'(t) = 0$ almost everywhere is a singular boundary function of some function $f \in B_\eta$ if and only if*

$$(\exists \tau_2 \in (0; 1)) (\exists c_2 > 0) (\forall r \geq 1) : P(r) \leq \frac{\eta^{\tau_2}(c_2 r)}{r} + c_2, \quad (3)$$

where

$$P(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_1^r \left(\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) (|dh(t)| + dh(-t)).$$

In order to prove Theorem 1 we need the following statements.

Lemma 1. *If a function $\ln \eta(r)$ is convex in $\ln r$ on $[1; +\infty)$, then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \ln \eta(r) / \ln r = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} r\eta'(r) / \eta(r) = \gamma_0 \in (0; +\infty], \quad (4)$$

where η' is the right-hand derivative of the function η .

Proof. It follows from the convexity of the function $\ln \eta(r)$ in $\ln r$ on $[1; +\infty)$ that there exist finite or infinite limits

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \ln \eta(r) / \ln r \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} r\eta'(r) / \eta(r).$$

Applying l'Hospital's rule we obtain (4). □

Lemma 2. *If a function $\ln \eta(r)$ is convex in $\ln r$ on $[1; +\infty)$, then condition (3) is equivalent to each of the following conditions*

$$(\exists \tau_3 \in (0; 1)) (\exists c_3 > 0) (\forall r \geq 1) : P_0(r) \leq \frac{\eta^{\tau_3}(c_3 r)}{r} + c_3, \quad (5)$$

$$(\exists \tau_4 \in (0; 1)) (\exists c_4 > 0) (\forall r \geq 1) : p(r) \leq \eta^{\tau_4}(c_4 r) + c_4, \quad (6)$$

where

$$P_0(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_1^r \frac{|dh(t)| + dh(-t)}{t^2}, \quad p(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_1^r \frac{|dh(t)| + dh(-t)}{t}.$$

Proof. The equivalence of conditions (3) and (5) follows from the inequalities

$$P(r) \leq P_0(r) \leq \frac{4}{3}P(2r).$$

Further, prove equivalence of conditions (3) and (6). If condition (3) is fulfilled, then (6) follows from the inequality $P(2r) \geq \frac{3p(r)}{4r}$. Let condition (6) be fulfilled. Since

$$P(r) = \int_1^r \left(\frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) p(t) dt, \quad (7)$$

we have from (6)

$$P(r) \leq \int_1^r \left(\frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \eta^{\gamma_4}(c_4 t) dt + c_5. \quad (8)$$

If $\gamma_0 > 1$, then using the l'Hospital's rule we obtain (3) from (8). If $\gamma_0 \leq 1$, then $\eta(r) = r^{\gamma_0(1+o(1))}$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Then condition (6) is equivalent to the condition

$$(\exists c_6 > 0) (\exists \gamma_1 < \gamma_0) (\forall r \geq 1) : p(r) \leq r^{\gamma_1} + c_6,$$

and condition (3) is equivalent to the condition

$$P(r) = O(1), \quad r \in [1; +\infty).$$

In this case from (7) we obtain that condition (3) follows from (6). Thus, conditions (3) and (6) are equivalent. \square

Lemma 3. *Let a function $f \not\equiv 0$ be analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ and bounded in each half-disk Q_R . Then for all $r \in [1; +\infty)$ we have*

$$P(r) \leq \frac{1}{\pi r} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \ln |f(re^{i\varphi})| \cos \varphi d\varphi + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_1^r \left(\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \ln |f(it) \cdot f(-it)| dt + c_7,$$

where $f(it)$ are the angular boundary values of the function f on the imaginary axis.

This statement follows from the generalized Carleman's formula [1, p. 26–27].

Proof of Theorem 1. Sufficiency. Without loss generality, we may assume that ± 1 are points of continuity of the function h and $h(t) \not\equiv \text{const}$.

1. If $\gamma_0 \leq 1$, then $\eta(r) = r^{\gamma_0(1+o(1))}$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Then the class B_η coincides with the class of functions $f \not\equiv 0$ analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ , for which

$$(\exists \gamma_2 < \gamma_0) (\exists c'_1 > 0) (\forall z \in \mathbb{C}_+) : |f(z)| \leq c'_1 \exp(|z|^{\gamma_2}), \quad (9)$$

and condition (3) is equivalent to the condition $P(r) = O(1)$, $r \in [1; +\infty)$, i.e. the condition (because condition (3) is equivalent to condition (5))

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|dh(t)|}{1+t^2} < +\infty. \quad (10)$$

If condition (10) holds, then [1, p. 30] the function

$$f(z) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(-itz + 1) dh(t)}{(t^2 + 1)(it - z)} \right)$$

is analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ and satisfies condition (9).

2. If $1 < \gamma_0 < +\infty$, then $\eta(r) = r^{\gamma_0(1+o(1))}$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Then the class B_η coincides with the class of functions $f \not\equiv 0$ analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ , for which

$$(\exists \gamma_3 < \gamma_0) (\exists c_1'' > 0) (\forall z \in \mathbb{C}_+) : |f(z)| \leq c_1'' \exp(|z|^{\gamma_3}), \quad (11)$$

and condition (3) is equivalent the condition

$$(\exists \gamma_4 < \gamma_0) (\exists c_8 > 0) (\forall r \geq 1) : P(r) \leq r^{\gamma_4-1} + c_8. \quad (12)$$

If condition (12) holds, then [1, p. 35] the function

$$f(z) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(-itz + 1)^{q+1} dh(t)}{(t^2 + 1)^{q+1} (it - z)} \right), \quad q = [\gamma_4],$$

is analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ and satisfies condition (11), where $[x]$ is the integer part of a number x .

3. Let $\gamma_0 = +\infty$. We consider the function

$$f(z) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(-itz + 1)^{q(|t|)+1} dh(t)}{(t^2 + 1)^{q(|t|)+1} (it - z)} \right), \quad (13)$$

where a nondecreasing function $q: [0; +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ will be chosen below. It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\{t: |it-z| \geq 1\}} \frac{(-itz + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}}{(t^2 + 1)^{q(|t|)+1} (it - z)} dh(t) - \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\{t: |it-z| < 1\}} \frac{(-itz + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}}{(t^2 + 1)^{q(|t|)+1} (it - z)} dh(t) \right) = \exp(I_1 + I_2). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{(-itz + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}}{(t^2 + 1)^{q(|t|)+1} (it - z)} \right| \leq \frac{(|t||z| + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}}{(t^2 + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}} \leq \frac{(2(|z| + 1))^{q(|t|)+1}}{(|t| + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}},$$

for $|it - z| \geq 1$, we have

$$|I_1| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\{t: |it-z| \geq 1\}} \frac{(2(|z| + 1))^{q(|t|)+1}}{(|t| + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}} |dh(t)|. \quad (15)$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\{t: |it-z| < 1\}} \frac{(-itz + 1)^{q(|t|)+1} - (t^2 + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}}{(t^2 + 1)^{q(|t|)+1} (it - z)} dh(t) - \\ - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\{t: |it-z| < 1\}} \frac{1}{it - z} dh(t) = I_{2,1} + I_{2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $|it - z| < 1$

$$\left| \frac{(-itz + 1)^{q(|t|)+1} - (t^2 + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}}{(t^2 + 1)^{q(|t|)+1} (it - z)} \right| \leq \frac{4^{q(|t|)+1} (|z| + 2)^{q(|t|)}}{(|t| + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}},$$

we obtain

$$|I_{2,1}| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\{t:|it-z|<1\}} \frac{4^{q(|t|)+1} (|z| + 2)^{q(|t|)}}{(|t| + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}} |dh(t)|. \quad (16)$$

Finally, since the function h is nonincreasing, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} I_{2,1} = \frac{x}{\pi} \int_{\{t:|it-z|<1\}} \frac{dh(t)}{|it - z|^2} \leq 0. \quad (17)$$

Therefore, from (14)–(17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln |f(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\{t:|it-z|\geq 1\}} \frac{(2(|z| + 1))^{q(|t|)+1}}{(|t| + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}} |dh(t)| + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\{t:|it-z|<1\}} \frac{4^{q(|t|)+1} (|z| + 2)^{q(|t|)}}{(|t| + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}} |dh(t)| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(4(|z| + 2))^{q(|t|)+1}}{(|t| + 1)^{q(|t|)+1}} |dh(t)| = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(4(|z| + 2))^{q(t)+1}}{(t + 1)^{q(t)+1}} (|dh(t)| + dh(-t)). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Now we construct a function q such that the integral

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(4(|z| + 2))^{q(t)+1}}{(t + 1)^{q(t)+1}} (|dh(t)| + dh(-t))$$

is convergent. Then from (18) it follows that the integral defining the function f converges uniformly in each compact set from \mathbb{C}_+ and the function f is analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ .

We pass to construction of a function q by a method close to [3]. Let $M_\theta(r) = \max\{|\theta(z)| : |z| = r\}$ and $\mu_\theta(r) = \max\{|\theta_n| r^n : n \geq 0\}$ be the maximum modulus and the maximum term of an entire function θ , respectively, with the Taylor coefficients θ_n . Choose numbers Δ_0 and τ_0 such that $\tau_4 < \Delta_0 < \tau_0 < 1$, and let $c_0 = 4c_4$, $\Delta = \tau_0/\Delta_0$. Then $\Delta > 1$. Since the function $\Delta_0 \ln \eta(c_0 r)$ is a convex function in $\ln r$ and $\ln r = o(\Delta_0 \ln \eta(c_0 r))$, there exists (see [4]) an entire function ψ such that

$$\Delta_0 \ln \eta(c_0 r) = (1 + o(1)) \ln M_\psi(r), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (19)$$

It is well known that for each entire function ψ and for any $r > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mu_\psi(r) \leq M_\psi(r) \leq (1 + 1/\varepsilon) \mu_\psi((1 + \varepsilon)r). \quad (20)$$

Then from (19) and (20) we have

$$\tau_0 \ln \eta(c_0 r) = \Delta \Delta_0 \ln \eta(c_0 r) = \Delta (1 + o(1)) \ln M_\psi(r) \geq \ln \mu_\psi(r), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\tau_0 \ln \eta(c_0 r) = \Delta (1 + o(1)) \ln M_\psi(r) \leq \tau_0/\tau_5 \ln \mu_\psi(2r), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \tau_4 < \tau_5 < \Delta_0 < \tau_0 < 1.$$

Thus,

$$\mu_\psi(r) \leq \eta^{\tau_0}(c_0 r), \quad \mu_\psi(r) \geq \eta^{\tau_5}(c_0 r/2), \quad r \geq r_0. \quad (21)$$

Let $\hat{\psi}(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\psi}_n z^n$ be Newton's majorant [5, 7] of the function ψ , $\varkappa_n(\hat{\psi}) = |\hat{\psi}_{n-1}|/|\hat{\psi}_n|$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\varkappa_0(\hat{\psi}) = 0$. It is well known [6, 7] that $\mu_{\hat{\psi}}(r) = \mu_\psi(r)$, the sequence $\varkappa_n(\hat{\psi})$ is nondecreasing, $\varkappa_n(\hat{\psi}) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\mu_{\hat{\psi}}(r) = |\hat{\psi}_n| r^n$ for $\varkappa_n(\hat{\psi}) \leq r \leq \varkappa_{n+1}(\hat{\psi})$. Choose a function q such that $\varkappa_{q(t)}(\hat{\psi}) \leq t+1 < \varkappa_{q(t)+1}(\hat{\psi})$. Then $\hat{\psi}_{q(t)}(t+1)^{q(t)} = \mu_{\hat{\psi}}(t+1)$, $\hat{\psi}_{q(t)} r^{q(t)} \leq \mu_{\hat{\psi}}(r)$, $r > 0$. Therefore, from (20) and (21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(4(|z|+2))^{q(t)+1}}{(t+1)^{q(t)+1}} (|dh(t)| + dh(-t)) = \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{(4(|z|+2))^{q(t)+1}}{(t+1)^{q(t)+1}} (|dh(t)| + dh(-t)) + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{(4(|z|+2))^{q(t)+1}}{(t+1)^{q(t)+1}} (|dh(t)| + dh(-t)) \leq \\ & \leq c_8 (|z|+2)^{c_9} + 8\pi (|z|+2) \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{(4(|z|+2))^{q(t)}}{(t+1)^{q(t)}} dp(t) = \\ &= c_8 (|z|+2)^{c_9} + 8\pi (|z|+2) \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\hat{\psi}_{q(t)} (4(|z|+2))^{q(t)}}{\hat{\psi}_{q(t)} (t+1)^{q(t)}} dp(t) \leq c_8 (|z|+2)^{c_9} + \\ & + 8\pi (|z|+2) \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\mu_{\hat{\psi}}(4(|z|+2))}{\mu_{\hat{\psi}}(t+1)} dp(t) \leq c_8 (|z|+2)^{c_9} + \\ & + 8\pi (|z|+2) \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\eta^{\tau_0}(4c_0(|z|+2))}{\eta^{\tau_5}(c_0(t+1)/2)} dp(t). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Thus, from (6), (18) and (22) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln |f(z)| & \leq c_8 (|z|+2)^{c_9} + 8 (|z|+2) \eta^{\tau_0}(4c_0(|z|+2)) \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dp(t)}{\eta^{\tau_5}(c_0(t+1)/2)} \leq \\ & \leq c_8 (|z|+2)^{c_9} + 8 (|z|+2) \eta^{\tau_0}(16c_4(|z|+2)) \left(c_{10} + c_{11} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\eta'(2c_4 t)}{\eta^{\tau_5-\tau_4+1}(2c_2(t+1))} dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tau_5 > \tau_4$, the last integral converges. Therefore the function f is analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ and using that $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \ln \eta(r)/\ln r = +\infty$ we obtain

$$|f(z)| \leq c_{12} \exp(\eta^{\tau_0}(8c_2|z|)), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Thus, $f \in B_\eta$.

We shall show that h is a singular boundary function of function f (proof of this fact is analogous to [1, p. 25]). First, we shall show that the moduli of angular boundary values of the function f are equal to 1 almost everywhere. It suffices to show that it holds on the each closed interval $[-a; a] \subset \mathbb{R}$. To this end we note that $f(z) = f_1(z) + f_2(z) + f_3(z)$, where

$$f_1(z) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-a-1}^{a+1} \frac{dh(t)}{it-z} \right),$$

$$f_2(z) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-a-1}^{a+1} \frac{(-itz+1)^{q(|t|)+1} - (t^2+1)^{q(|t|)+1}}{(t^2+1)^{q(|t|)+1} (it-z)} dh(t) \right),$$

$$f_3(z) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|t|>a+1} \frac{(-itz+1)^{q(|t|)+1} dh(t)}{(t^2+1)^{q(|t|)+1} (it-z)} \right).$$

By a property of the Poisson-Stieltjes integral [8, p. 57-62] it holds for f_1 . Further, since the real part of the expression

$$\frac{(-itz+1)^{q(|t|)+1} - (t^2+1)^{q(|t|)+1}}{(t^2+1)^{q(|t|)+1} (it-z)}$$

contains x , $x = \operatorname{Re} z$, we have $\lim_{\mathbb{C}_+ \ni z \rightarrow iy} |f_2(z)| = 1$. Moreover, using the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(-itz+1)^{q(|t|)+1}}{(t^2+1)^{q(|t|)+1} (it-z)} &= \frac{(x+i(t-y))(ty+1)^{q(|t|)+1}}{(t^2+1)^{q(|t|)+1} ((t-y)^2+x^2)} + \\ &+ \frac{(x+i(t-y)) \left((-itz+1)^{q(|t|)+1} - (ty+1)^{q(|t|)+1} \right)}{(t^2+1)^{q(|t|)+1} ((t-y)^2+x^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that $\lim_{\mathbb{C}_+ \ni z \rightarrow iy} |f_2(z)| = 1$.

If $t_1, t_2 \in [-a; a]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{x \rightarrow +0} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \ln |f(x+iy)| dy - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \ln |f(iy)| dy = \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +0} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\ln |f_1(x+iy)| dy + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \ln |f_2(x+iy)| dy + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \ln |f_3(x+iy)| dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

By a property of the Poisson-Stieltjes integral [8, p. 57-62]

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +0} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \ln |f_1(x+iy)| dy = h(t_2) - h(t_1),$$

and the limits of two last integrals are equal to 0.

Necessity. Let h be a singular boundary function of some function $f \in B_\eta$. Then it is bounded in each half-disk Q_R . Thus, [8, p. 182] f has almost everywhere on the imaginary axis the angular boundary values $f(iy)$, and $|f(iy)| \leq c_1 \exp(\eta^{\tau_1}(c_1|y|))$ for almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then from Lemma 1 we obtain

$$P(r) \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^r \frac{\eta^{\tau_1}(c_1 t)}{t^2} dt + \frac{2\eta^{\tau_1}(c_1 r)}{\pi r} + c_{13}. \quad (23)$$

If $\gamma_0 \leq 1$, then $\int_1^r \frac{\eta^{\tau_1}(c_1 t)}{t^2} dt = O(1)$ for $r \in [1; +\infty)$. If $\gamma_0 > 1$, then using l'Hospital's rule and (4), we get

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_1^r \frac{\eta^{\tau_1}(c_1 t)}{t^2} dt}{\frac{\eta^{\tau_1}(c_1 r)}{r}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\tau_1 c_1 r \frac{\eta'(c_1 r)}{\eta(c_1 r)} - 1} = \frac{1}{\tau_1 \gamma_0 - 1} = c_{14} < +\infty,$$

because τ_1 can be chosen such that $\tau_1 \gamma_0 > 1$. Hence from (23) we obtain (3). \square

REFERENCES

1. Говоров Н. В. Краевая задача Римана с бесконечным индексом. – М.: Наука, 1986. – 240 с.
2. Гофман К. Банаховы пространства аналитических функций. – М.: ИЛ, 1963. – 337 с.
3. Винницький Б. В., Шепарович І. Б. *Про інтерполяційні послідовності деяких класів цілих функцій* // Матем. студії. – 1999. – Т.12, №2. – С.76–84.
4. Clunie J., Kövari I. *On integral functions having prescribed asymptotic growth, II* // Canad. J. Math. – 1968. – V.20, №1. – P.7–20.
5. Валирон Ж. Аналитические функции. – М.: ГИТТЛ, 1957. – 235 с.
6. Поля Г., Сеге Г. Задачи и теоремы из анализа: В 2-х т. – М.: Наука, 1978. – Т.2. – 432 с.
7. Шеремета М. М. Цілі ряди Діріхле. – К.: ІСДО, 1993. – 168 с.
8. Привалов И. И. Граничные свойства аналитических функций. – М.;Л.: Гостехтеоретиздат, 1950. – 336 с.

Institute of Physics and Mathematics,
Drohobych State Pedagogical University

Received 20.08.2002