

УДК 517.95

I. B. BEREZNYTS'KA

**INVERSE PROBLEM OF DETERMINATION OF THE SOURCE
IN A GENERAL PARABOLIC EQUATION**

I. B. Berezhnyts'ka. *Inverse problem of determination of the source in a general parabolic equation*, Matematychni Studii, **18** (2002) 169–176.

Existence and uniqueness theorems for the inverse problem of the determination of the source in a general parabolic equation are proved. The first and second boundary problems are considered. Overdetermination condition is of nonlocal and integral type.

И. Б. Березницкая. *Обратная задача определения источника в общем параболическом уравнении* // Математичні Студії. – 2002. – Т.18, №2. – С.169–176.

Доказаны теоремы существования и единственности для обратной задачи определения источника в общем параболическом уравнении. Рассмотрены краевые задачи первого и второго рода, а условия переопределения нелокального и интегрального типа.

Many authors have investigated problems of determination of unknown source. In [1, 2, 3] problems with local overdetermination condition were considered. The next point in the investigation of such problems was consideration of a nonlocal overdetermination condition [4, 5].

In this paper we use a nonlocal and integral condition for general parabolic equation. Two problems with boundary data of the first and second kind were considered. In [5] such problem was investigated but with a nonlocal overdetermination condition. In existence and uniqueness theorems we use properties of a Volterra integral equation of the second kind.

Results of these problems may be used in the investigation of the uniqueness of the coefficient inverse problems.

In the domain $Q_T = \{(x, t) : 0 < x < h, 0 < t < T\}$ consider the equation

$$u_t = a(x, t)u_{xx} + b(x, t)u_x + c(x, t)u + f(t)g_0(x, t) + g_1(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in Q_T, \quad (1)$$

the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in [0, h], \quad (2)$$

the boundary data of the second kind

$$u_x(0, t) = \mu_1(t), \quad u_x(h, t) = \mu_2(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (3)$$

and the overdetermination condition of the nonlocal and integral type

$$\nu_1(t)u(0,t) + \nu_2(t)u(h,t) + \nu_3(t) \int_0^h u(x,t)dx = \mu_3(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (4)$$

Definition 1. A pair of functions $(f(t), u(x, t)) \in H^{\alpha/2}[0, T] \times H^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(\overline{Q_T})$ [6, p.16], $0 < \alpha < 1$, which satisfies conditions (1)–(4), is called a solution of problem (1)–(4).

Theorem 1. Suppose that the problem data satisfy the following conditions:

$$(A_1) \quad \varphi(x) \in H^{2+\alpha}[0, h], \quad \mu_i(t) \in H^{(1+\alpha)/2}[0, T], \quad i \in \{1, 2\}, \\ \nu_i(t), \mu_3(t) \in H^{1+\alpha/2}[0, T], \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ a(x, t), b(x, t), c(x, t), g_i(x, t) \in H^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(\overline{Q_T}), \quad i \in \{0, 1\};$$

$$(A_2) \quad a(x, t) > 0, \quad (x, t) \in \overline{Q_T};$$

$$(A_3) \quad \nu_1(t)g_0(0, t) + \nu_2(t)g_0(h, t) + \nu_3(t) \int_0^h g_0(x, t)dx \neq 0;$$

$$(A_4) \quad \varphi'(0) = \mu_1(0), \quad \varphi'(h) = \mu_2(0), \\ \nu_1(0)\varphi(0) + \nu_2(0)\varphi(h) + \nu_3(0) \int_0^h \varphi(x)dx = \mu_3(0).$$

Then problem (1)–(4) possesses a unique solution for $x \in [0, h]$, $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. Let

$$u(x, t) = v(x, t) + w(x, t),$$

be a solution of the problem, where the function $v(x, t)$ satisfies

$$v_t = a(x, t)v_{xx} + b(x, t)v_x + c(x, t)v + g_1(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in Q_T, \quad (5)$$

$$v(x, 0) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in [0, h], \quad (6)$$

$$v_x(0, t) = \mu_1(t), \quad v_x(h, t) = \mu_2(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (7)$$

If conditions (A_1) are satisfied then direct problem (5)–(7) has a unique solution $v(x, t) \in H^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(\overline{Q_T})$ [6, p.364]. The function $w(x, t)$ is a solution of the problem

$$w_t = a(x, t)w_{xx} + b(x, t)w_x + c(x, t)w + f(t)g_0(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in Q_T, \quad (8)$$

$$w(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in [0, h], \quad (9)$$

$$w_x(0, t) = w_x(h, t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (10)$$

$$\nu_1(t)w(0,t) + \nu_2(t)w(h,t) + \nu_3(t) \int_0^h w(x,t)dx = \mu_4(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (11)$$

where

$$\mu_4(t) = \mu_3(t) - \nu_1(t)v(0,t) - \nu_2(t)v(h,t) - \nu_3(t) \int_0^h v(x,t)dx.$$

Let a pair of functions $(f(t), w(x,t))$ be a solution of problem (8)–(10). By using equation (8) and boundary conditions (10) we differentiate (11) with respect to t ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu_1'(t)w(0,t) + \nu_1(t)(a(0,t)w_{xx}(0,t) + c(0,t)w(0,t) + f(t)g_0(0,t)) + \\ & + \nu_2'(t)w(h,t) + \nu_2(t)(a(h,t)w_{xx}(h,t) + c(h,t)w(h,t) + f(t)g_0(h,t)) + \\ & + \nu_3'(t) \int_0^h w(x,t)dx + \nu_3(t) \left(\int_0^h a(x,t)w_{xx}(x,t)dx + \int_0^h b(x,t)w_x(x,t)dx + \right. \\ & \left. + \int_0^h c(x,t)w(x,t)dx + f(t) \int_0^h g_0(x,t)dx \right) = \mu_4'(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following equation for the function $f(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & f(t) \left(\nu_1(t)g_0(0,t) + \nu_2(t)g_0(h,t) + \nu_3(t) \int_0^h g_0(x,t)dx \right) + \\ & + \nu_1(t)a(0,t)w_{xx}(0,t) + \nu_2(t)a(h,t)w_{xx}(h,t) + (\nu_1'(t) + \nu_1(t)c(0,t))w(0,t) + \\ & + (\nu_2'(t) + \nu_2(t)c(h,t))w(h,t) + \nu_3'(t) \int_0^h w(x,t)dx + \\ & + \nu_3(t) \left(\int_0^h a(x,t)w_{xx}(x,t)dx + \int_0^h b(x,t)w_x(x,t)dx + \right. \\ & \left. + \int_0^h c(x,t)w(x,t)dx \right) = \mu_4'(t). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Write the solution of problem (8)–(10) by means of the Green function of the second boundary problem $G_2(x, t, \xi, \tau)$ [5] as follows

$$w(x, t) = f(\tau) d\tau \int_0^h G_2(x, t, \xi, \tau) g_0(\xi, \tau) d\xi. \quad (13)$$

Then according to [7, p.21] we have

$$w_{xx}(x, t) = f(\tau) d\tau \int_0^h G_{2xx}(x, t, \xi, \tau) g_0(\xi, \tau) d\xi. \quad (14)$$

Substitute (13), (14) in (12) and obtain the following equation

$$f(t) \left(\nu_1(t) g_0(0, t) + \nu_2(t) g_0(h, t) + \nu_3(t) \int_0^h g_0(x, t) dx \right) + K(t, \tau) f(\tau) d\tau = \mu'_4(t), \quad (15)$$

where for function $K(t, \tau)$ the following estimate holds [7, p.23]:

$$|K(t, \tau)| \leq \frac{\text{const}}{(t - \tau)^\mu}, \quad 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} < \mu < 1.$$

If condition (A_3) is satisfied then equation (15) is a Volterra integral equation of the second kind and it has a unique solution $f(t) \in H^{\alpha/2}[0, T]$ [8, p.422]. Since the function $f(t) \in H^{\alpha/2}[0, T]$ is known, the direct problem (8)–(10) has a unique solution $w(x, t) \in H^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(\overline{Q_T})$ [6, p.364]. \square

Let us consider boundary data of the first kind

$$u(0, t) = \mu_1(t), \quad u(h, t) = \mu_2(t), \quad (16)$$

and an overdetermination condition

$$\nu_1(t) u_x(0, t) + \nu_2(t) u_x(h, t) + \nu_3(t) \int_0^h u(x, t) dx = \mu_3(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (17)$$

Definition 2. A pair of function $(f(t), u(x, t)) \in H^{\alpha/2}[0, T] \times H^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(\overline{Q_T})$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, which satisfies conditions (1), (2), (16), (17) is called a solution of problem (1), (2), (16), (17).

Theorem 2. Suppose that the problem data satisfy the following conditions:

- (A₁) : $\varphi(x) \in H^{2+\alpha}[0, h]$, $\mu_i(t) \in H^{1+\alpha/2}[0, T]$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$,
 $\nu_i(t)$, $\mu_3(t) \in H^{(1+\alpha)/2}[0, T]$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,
 $a(x, t)$, $g_i(x, t) \in H^{1+\alpha, \alpha/2}(\overline{Q_T})$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $b(x, t)$, $c(x, t) \in H^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(\overline{Q_T})$;
(A₂) : $a(x, t) > 0$, $(x, t) \in \overline{Q_T}$;

$$(A_3) : \frac{\nu_1(t)g_0(0,t)}{\sqrt{a(0,t)}} - \frac{\nu_2(t)g_0(h,t)}{\sqrt{a(h,t)}} \neq 0;$$

$$(A_4) : \varphi(0) = \mu_1(0), \varphi(h) = \mu_2(0), \\ \nu_1(0)\varphi'(0) + \nu_2(0)\varphi'(h) + \nu_3(0) \int_0^h \varphi(x)dx = \mu_3(0).$$

Then problem (1), (2), (16), (17) possesses a unique solution for $x \in [0, h]$, $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. Consider the problem with $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$, $x \in [0, h]$, $\mu_1(t) \equiv \mu_2(t) \equiv 0$, $t \in [0, T]$, $g_1(x, t) \equiv 0$, $(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_T$. Let the function $f(t)$ be known. Write the solution of the direct problem (1), (2), (16) by using the Green function of the first boundary problem $G_1(x, t, \xi, \tau)$ as

$$u(x, t) = f(\tau) d\tau \int_0^h G_1(x, t, \xi, \tau) g_0(\xi, \tau) d\xi$$

and substitute it in the overdetermination condition (17):

$$I_1(t) + I_2(t) = \mu_3(t), \tag{18}$$

where

$$I_1(t) = f(\tau) d\tau \int_0^h (\nu_1(t) G_{1x}(0, t, \xi, \tau) + \nu_2(t) G_{1x}(h, t, \xi, \tau)) g_0(\xi, \tau) d\xi, \tag{19}$$

$$I_2(t) = \nu_3(t) \int_0^h dx f(\tau) d\tau \int_0^h G_1(x, t, \xi, \tau) g_0(\xi, \tau) d\xi. \tag{20}$$

Since the Green function can be written [5] as

$$G_1(x, t, \xi, \tau) = Z(x, t, \xi, \tau) + G(x, t, \xi, \tau)$$

with the principal part

$$Z(x, t, \xi, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi a(\xi, \tau)(t - \tau)}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - \xi)^2}{4a(\xi, \tau)(t - \tau)}\right) \tag{21}$$

and

$$|G(x, t, \xi, \tau)| \leq \frac{C}{(t - \tau)^{(1-\alpha)/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - \xi)^2}{4a_0(t - \tau)}\right), \quad a_0 = \max_{\bar{Q}_T} a(x, t),$$

substitute (21) in (19), (20). Consider

$$\tilde{I}_{11}(t) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \nu_1(t) \frac{f(\tau)}{(t - \tau)^{3/2}} d\tau \times \\ \int_0^h \frac{g_0(\xi, \tau)}{a^{3/2}(\xi, \tau)} \xi \exp\left(-\frac{\xi^2}{4a(\xi, \tau)(t - \tau)}\right) d\xi. \tag{22}$$

Let us change the variable t into σ , multiply () by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\sigma}}$, integrate from 0 to t and change the order of integration

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{I}_{11}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{t-\sigma}} d\sigma &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} f(\tau) d\tau \int_{\tau}^t \frac{\nu_1(\sigma) d\sigma}{\sqrt{(t-\sigma)(\sigma-\tau)^3}} \times \\ &\times \int_0^h \frac{g_0(\xi, \tau)}{a^{3/2}(\xi, \tau)} \xi \exp\left(-\frac{\xi^2}{4a(\xi, \tau)(\sigma-\tau)}\right) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Use the substitutions

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \frac{\xi}{2\sqrt{\sigma-\tau}}, \\ z &= \frac{\sigma-\tau}{t-\tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{I}_{11}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{t-\sigma}} d\sigma &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} f(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 \frac{\nu_1(z(t-\tau)+\tau)}{\sqrt{(1-z)z}} dz \times \\ &\times \int_0^{\frac{h}{2\sqrt{z(t-\tau)}}} \frac{g_0(2\eta\sqrt{z(t-\tau)}, \tau)}{a^{3/2}(2\eta\sqrt{z(t-\tau)}, \tau)} \eta \exp\left(-\frac{\eta^2}{a(2\eta\sqrt{z(t-\tau)}, \tau)}\right) d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Differentiate (24) with respect to t :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\tilde{I}_{11}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{t-\sigma}} d\sigma \right) &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} f(t) \nu_1(t) \frac{g_0(\theta, t)}{\sqrt{a(\theta, t)}} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} f(\tau) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\nu_1(z(t-\tau)+\tau)}{\sqrt{(1-z)z}} dz \times \right. \\ &\times \left. \int_0^{\frac{h}{2\sqrt{z(t-\tau)}}} \frac{g_0(2\eta\sqrt{z(t-\tau)}, \tau)}{a^{3/2}(2\eta\sqrt{z(t-\tau)}, \tau)} \eta \exp\left(-\frac{\eta^2}{a(2\eta\sqrt{z(t-\tau)}, \tau)}\right) d\eta \right) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Transformation $\tilde{I}_{12}(t)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\tilde{I}_{12}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{t-\sigma}} d\sigma \right) = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} f(t) \nu_2(t) \frac{g_0(h, t)}{\sqrt{a(h, t)}} -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}f(\tau)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\int_0^1\frac{\nu_2(z(t-\tau)+\tau)}{\sqrt{(1-z)z}}dz\times\right. \\
 & \left.\times\int_0^{\frac{h}{2\sqrt{z(t-\tau)}}}\frac{g_0(h-2\sqrt{z(t-\tau)},\tau)}{a^{3/2}(h-2\sqrt{z(t-\tau)},\tau)}\eta\exp\left(-\frac{\eta^2}{a(2\sqrt{z(t-\tau)},\tau)}\right)d\eta\right)d\tau. \quad (26)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using (23), for $I_2(t)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{I_2(\sigma)}{\sqrt{t-\sigma}}d\sigma\right) &= f(\tau)d\tau\int_0^h\frac{g_0(\xi,\tau)d\xi}{\sqrt{a(\xi,\tau)}}\int_0^h\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\int_0^1\frac{\nu_3(z(t-\tau)+\tau)}{\sqrt{(1-z)z}}\right. \\
 & \left.\times\exp\left(-\frac{(x-\xi)^2}{4a(\xi,\tau)z(t-\tau)}\right)dz\right)dx. \quad (27)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (25), (26), (27) we obtain from (18) the following equation for $f(t)$:

$$f(t)\left(\frac{\nu_1(t)g_0(0,t)}{\sqrt{a(0,t)}}-\frac{\nu_2(t)g_0(h,t)}{\sqrt{a(h,t)}}\right)+K(t,\tau)f(\tau)d\tau=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\mu_3(\sigma)}{\sqrt{t-\sigma}}d\sigma\right). \quad (28)$$

If conditions (A_1) are satisfied then for the function $K(t,\tau)$ the following estimate holds [9, Lemma 4]

$$|K(t,\tau)|\leq\frac{\text{const}}{(t-\tau)^{(2-\alpha)/2}}.$$

Equation (28) possesses a unique solution $f(t)\in H^{\alpha/2}[0,T]$ [8]. Then the direct problem (1), (2), (16) has a unique solution $u(x,t)\in H^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(\bar{Q}_T)$. \square

REFERENCES

1. Прилепко А. И., Соловьев В. В. *Теоремы резрешимости и метод Рунге в обратных задачах для уравнения параболического типа. I* // Дифференц. уравнения. – 1987. – Т.23, №10. – С.1791–1799.
2. Соловьев В. В. *Существование решения в “целом” обратной задачи определения источника в квазилинейном уравнении параболического типа* // Дифференц. уравнения. – 1996. – Т.32, №4. – С.536–544.
3. Иванчов М. І. *Обернені задачі теплопровідності з нелокальними умовами* // Доп. НАН України. – 1997. – №5. – С.15–21.
4. Иванчов М. І. *Про визначення невідомого джерела у рівнянні теплопровідності з нелокальними крайовими умовами* // Укр. мат. журн. – 1995. – Т.47, №10. – С.1438–1441.
5. Иванчов М. І. *Обернені задачі теплопровідності з нелокальними умовами.* — Препринт. — Київ, 1995. — 84 с.
6. Ладыженская О. А., Солонников В. А., Уральцева Н. Н. *Линейные и квазилинейные уравнения параболического типа.* — М.: Наука, 1967. — 736 с.

7. Фридман А. Уравнения с частными производными параболического типа. – М.: Мир, 1967. – 428 с.
8. Канторович Л. В., Акилов Г. П. Функциональный анализ. - М.: Наука, 1977. – 744 с.
9. Камынин Л. И. *Об одной краевой задаче теории теплопроводности с неклассическими граничными условиями* // ЖВММФ – 1964. – Т.4, №6. – С.1006–1026.

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Lviv National University

Received 20.09.2002