

УДК 517.537.72

P. V. FILEVYCH, S. I. FEDYNYAK

## ON BELONGING OF ENTIRE DIRICHLET SERIES TO CONVERGENCE CLASS

P. V. Filevych, S. I. Fedynyak. *On belonging of entire Dirichlet series to convergence class*, Matematychni Studii, **16** (2001) 57–60.

Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of nonnegative numbers increasing to  $+\infty$  and  $S(\Lambda)$  be the class of entire Dirichlet series  $F(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{s\lambda_n}$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ . Put  $M(\sigma, F) = \max\{|F(s)| : \operatorname{Re} s = \sigma\}$  and let  $\mu(\sigma, F) = \max\{|a_n| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_n\} : n \geq 0\}$  be the maximal term of the function  $F \in S(\Lambda)$ . We prove that in order that  $\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\sigma\rho} \ln \mu(\sigma, F) d\sigma < \infty$  imply  $\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\sigma\rho} \ln M(\sigma, F) d\sigma < \infty$  for every  $F \in S(\Lambda)$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $\ln n = O(\lambda_n)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

П. В. Филевич, С. И. Федыняк. *О принадлежности целого ряда Дирихле к классу сходимости* // Математичні Студії. – 2001. – Т.16, №1. – С.57–60.

Пусть  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  — возрастающая к  $+\infty$  последовательность неотрицательных чисел, а  $S(\Lambda)$  — класс целых рядов Дирихле  $F(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{s\lambda_n}$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ . Положим  $M(\sigma, F) = \max\{|F(s)| : \operatorname{Re} s = \sigma\}$  и пусть  $\mu(\sigma, F) = \max\{|a_n| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_n\} : n \geq 0\}$  — максимальный член функции  $F \in S(\Lambda)$ . Доказано, что для того, чтобы из неравенства  $\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\sigma\rho} \ln \mu(\sigma, F) d\sigma < \infty$  следовало неравенство  $\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\sigma\rho} \ln M(\sigma, F) d\sigma < \infty$  для любой  $F \in S(\Lambda)$ , необходимо и достаточно, чтобы  $\ln n = O(\lambda_n)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of nonnegative numbers increasing to  $+\infty$  and  $S(\Lambda)$  be the class of entire Dirichlet series

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{s\lambda_n}, \quad s = \sigma + it. \quad (1)$$

For  $F \in S(\Lambda)$  let  $M(\sigma, F) = \max\{|F(s)| : \operatorname{Re} s = \sigma\}$  and let  $\mu(\sigma, F) = \max\{|a_n| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_n\} : n \geq 0\}$  be the maximal term of series (1).

As in [1] we say that entire Dirichlet series (1) belongs to convergence class if and only if the condition

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\ln M(\sigma, F)}{e^{\sigma\rho}} d\sigma < \infty, \quad (2)$$

is valid, where  $0 < \rho < +\infty$ . According to the Cauchy inequality,  $\mu(\sigma, F) \leq M(\sigma, F)$  and therefore (2) implies the inequality

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma, F)}{e^{\sigma\rho}} d\sigma < \infty. \quad (3)$$

In [2] it is noted that if for series (1) the condition

$$\ln n = O(\lambda_n), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4)$$

holds then (3) implies (2).

At the Lviv regional workshop in Mathematical Analysis M. M. Sheremeta formulated a problem to determine a necessary and sufficient condition on the sequence  $\Lambda$  under which for every entire Dirichlet series  $F \in S(\Lambda)$  inequalities (2) and (3) are equivalent. It turns out that (4) is just such a condition, i.e. the following theorem is true.

**Theorem.** *Let  $\rho \in (0; +\infty)$ . In order that for every Dirichlet series  $F \in S(\Lambda)$  inequality (3) implies inequality (2) it is necessary and sufficient that the sequence  $\Lambda$  satisfies condition (4).*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove that if condition (4) is not valid then there exists Dirichlet series  $F \in S(\Lambda)$  such that (3) holds and (2) fails.

Using Lemma 1 from [3] it is easy to show that from every increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence of nonnegative numbers  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  such that  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\ln n / \lambda_n) = +\infty$ , we may choose a subsequence  $\Lambda^* = \{\lambda_k^*\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  for which  $\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\ln k / \lambda_k^*) = +\infty$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln k}{\lambda_k^* \ln \lambda_k^*} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Therefore we may find increasing to  $+\infty$  sequences  $\{k_p\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{l_p\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$  of positive integers and positive numbers respectively such that for every  $p \geq 0$  the relations  $\ln k_p = l_p \lambda_{k_p}^*$ ;

$$\lambda_{m_p}^* > 2\lambda_{k_p}^*, \quad m_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [k_{p+1}/2]; \quad (6)$$

$$0 \leq \varkappa_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho^{-1} \ln(l_{p+1} \lambda_{k_{p+1}}^*) \uparrow +\infty; \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l_p} < \infty; \quad \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varkappa_p \lambda_{k_p}^*}{e^{\varkappa_p \rho}} < \infty \quad (8)$$

hold.

We put  $a_{k_0}^* = 1$ ,

$$a_{k_{p+1}}^* = \left( \prod_{i=0}^p e^{\varkappa_i (\lambda_{k_{i+1}}^* - \lambda_{k_i}^*)} \right)^{-1}, \quad p \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

Let also

$$a_k^* = a_{k_p}^* \exp\{-\varkappa_p (\lambda_k^* - \lambda_{k_p}^*)\}, \quad \text{if } k \in [m_p; k_{p+1}) \text{ and } p \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

If the value  $a_k^*$  for some  $k \geq 0$  is not defined yet, then we put  $a_k^* = 0$ . We remark that  $a_k^* \leq 1$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

Let us consider the Dirichlet series

$$F^*(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^* e^{s\lambda_k^*} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left( a_{k_p}^* e^{s\lambda_{k_p}^*} + \sum_{k=m_p}^{k_{p+1}-1} a_k^* e^{s\lambda_k^*} \right). \quad (11)$$

From (9) and (7) it follows that

$$\frac{\ln |a_{k_p}^*| - \ln |a_{k_{p+1}}^*|}{\lambda_{k_{p+1}}^* - \lambda_{k_p}^*} = \varkappa_p \uparrow + \infty, \quad p \rightarrow \infty. \quad (12)$$

From (12) and (10) we easily obtain the inequality  $a_k^* \leq \exp\{-\varkappa_p(\lambda_k^* - \lambda_{k_p}^*)\}$  if  $k \in [m_p; k_{p+1}]$  and  $p \geq 0$ , and therefore according to (6)

$$a_k^* \leq \exp\left\{-\varkappa_p\left(\lambda_k^* - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{m_p}^*\right)\right\} \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\varkappa_p\lambda_k^*\right\}, \quad k \in [m_p; k_{p+1}], \quad p \geq 0. \quad (13)$$

Using (13), (7) and (5) for all  $k \in [m_p; k_{p+1}]$  and  $p \geq 0$  we have

$$\frac{\ln k}{-\ln a_k^*} \leq \frac{\ln k_{p+1}}{\varkappa_p \lambda_k^*/2} \leq \frac{2\rho \ln(2m_p + 2)}{\lambda_k^* \ln(l_{p+1}\lambda_{k_{p+1}}^*)} \leq \frac{2\rho \ln(2m_p + 2)}{\lambda_{m_p}^* \ln(l_{p+1}\lambda_{m_p}^*)} = o(1), \quad p \rightarrow \infty,$$

hence  $\ln k = o(\ln |a_k^*|)$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . In view of the latter inequality the abscissa of absolute convergence of the series (11) can be found [4] by the formula  $\sigma_a = \varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_k^*} \ln \frac{1}{|a_k^*|}$ . From (13) we obtain  $\sigma_a = +\infty$  and thus  $F^* \in S(\Lambda^*)$ .

Further we remark that (12) implies the equalities

$$\mu(\sigma, F^*) = |a_{k_{p+1}}^*| e^{\sigma \lambda_{k_{p+1}}^*}, \quad \text{if } \sigma \in [\varkappa_p; \varkappa_{p+1}) \text{ and } p \geq 0, \quad (14)$$

and also  $\mu(\varkappa_p, F^*) = |a_{k_p}^*| \exp\{\varkappa_p \lambda_{k_p}^*\}$  if  $p \geq 0$ . Therefore from (13) for all  $p \geq 0$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varkappa_p}^{\varkappa_{p+1}} \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma, F^*)}{e^{\sigma \rho}} d\sigma &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\varkappa_p}^{\varkappa_{p+1}} \ln \mu(\sigma, F^*) d e^{-\sigma \rho} = \\ &= -\frac{\ln \mu(\sigma, F^*)}{\rho e^{\sigma \rho}} \Big|_{\varkappa_p}^{\varkappa_{p+1}} + \frac{\lambda_{k_{p+1}}^*}{\rho} \int_{\varkappa_p}^{\varkappa_{p+1}} \frac{d\sigma}{e^{\sigma \rho}} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\ln \mu(\varkappa_p, F^*)}{\rho e^{\varkappa_p \rho}} + \frac{\lambda_{k_{p+1}}^*}{\rho^2 e^{\varkappa_p \rho}} \leq \frac{\varkappa_p \lambda_{k_p}^*}{\rho e^{\varkappa_p \rho}} + \frac{1}{\rho^2 l_{p+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence and from (8) we conclude that for  $F = F^*$  (3) holds.

On the other hand, (11), (10) and (12) give:

$$M(\varkappa_p, F^*) \geq \sum_{k=m_p}^{k_{p+1}-1} a_k^* e^{\varkappa_p \lambda_k^*} = \sum_{k=m_p}^{k_{p+1}-1} a_{k_p}^* e^{\varkappa_p \lambda_{k_p}^*} = (k_{p+1} - m_p) \mu(\varkappa_p, F^*) \geq k_{p+1}, \quad p \geq p_0.$$

Thus  $\ln M(\varkappa_p, F^*) \geq \ln k_{p+1} = l_{p+1} \lambda_{k_{p+1}}^* = e^{\rho \varkappa_p}$ ,  $p \geq p_0$ , and therefore for  $F = F^*$  relation (2) does not hold.

In order to complete the proof of the theorem it is enough to put  $a_n = a_k^*$  if  $\lambda_n = \lambda_k^* \in \Lambda^*$  and  $a_n = 0$  if  $\lambda_n \notin \Lambda^*$  and consider Dirichlet series (4) with coefficients defined in such a way. This series belongs to  $S(\Lambda)$  and (3) is valid for it, while (2) is not valid.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. Kamthan P. K. *A theorem on step functions. II* // Istanbul Univ. Fen. Fac. Mecm. A. **28** (1963), 65–69.
2. Мулява О. М. *Про класи збіжності рядів Діріхле* // Укр. матем. ж. **51** (1999), №11, 1485–1494.
3. Шеремета М. Н. *О поведени максимуму модуля цілого ряду Діріхле вне исключительного множества* // Матем. заметки. **57** (1995), №2, 283–296.
4. Мулява О. М. *Про абсцису збіжності ряду Діріхле* // Матем. студії. **9** (1998), №2, 171–176.

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Lviv National University

*Received 22.03.2001*