УДК 517.537+517.547

## O. B. Skaskiv, I. E. Chyzhykov

## CORRECTIONS TO THE PAPER "THE NEVANLINNA CHARACTERISTICS AND MAXIMUM MODULUS OF GAP POWER SERIES"

The formulation of Lemma 3 in [1] was incorrect. Actually, the authors have proved in [1] the following

**Lemma 3'.** If the conditions of Lemma 2 are satisfied, then  $\forall \sigma \in [-1; 0) \setminus E_4$ ,  $d_0E_4 = 0$ , we have

$$\ln \gamma \left( \sigma + \frac{\Gamma(v_0(\sigma))}{v_0(\sigma)} \right) \le \ln \gamma(\sigma) + \frac{1}{\beta(v_0(\sigma))}, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $\beta(v)$  is a positive continuous increasing to  $+\infty$  function such that (3.2) holds with the function  $\Gamma_1(v) = \Gamma(v)\beta(v)$  instead of  $\Gamma(v)$ . Moreover,  $E_3 \subset E_4$ .

Remark 1. In [1, inequality (3.4)] "ln"-s were omitted.

Remark 2. Lemma 3 was used in the proof of Lemma 6 in [1]. Inequality (3.4) turned out to be sufficient to prove Lemma 6.

**Lemma 6.** Let conditions (2.1) of Theorem 1 and (3.2) of Lemma 2 hold with  $\Gamma(t) = n_f^*(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{n}_f(t)\beta(t)$ , where  $\beta(t)$  as above. Then

$$\Lambda(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n_k > v(r)} |a_k| r^{n_k} = o(1), \quad r \to 1 - 0, r \notin E_6,$$

where  $d_1E_6=0$ , and v(r) is the unique solution of the equation  $n_f^*(v)=3\ln\mu(r,f)$ .

Proof of Lemma 6. We put  $\sigma = r - 1$ ,  $\gamma(\sigma) = 3 \ln \mu(1 + \sigma, f)$ . Thus the conditions of Lemmas 2 and 3 hold. They yield that outside a set  $E_6$  such that  $d_1E_6 = 0$  we have

$$\ln\left(3\ln\mu\left(r + \frac{n_f^*(v(r))}{v(r)}, f\right)\right) \le \ln\left(3\ln\mu(r, f)\right) + \frac{1}{\beta(v(r))},\tag{3.18}$$

where v(r) is the unique solution of the equation  $n_f^*(v) = 3 \ln \mu(r, f)$ . Inequality (3.18) implies for  $r \to 1 - 0$ ,  $r \notin E_6$ ,

$$\mu(r + \delta^*(r), f) \le \mu(r, f)^{\exp\left\{1/\beta(v(r))\right\}} = \mu(r, f)^{1+o(1)}, \tag{3.19}$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30B10, 30D35.

where  $\delta^*(r) = n_f^*(v(r))/v(r)$ . Using (3.19), we obtain as  $r \to 1 - 0$ ,  $r \notin E_6$ ,

$$\Lambda(r) = \sum_{n_k > v(r)} |a_k| r^{n_k} \le \mu(r + \delta^*(r), f) \sum_{n_k > v(r)} \left(\frac{r}{r + \delta^*(r)}\right)^{n_k} \le$$

$$\le \mu(r, f)^{1+o(1)} \left(\frac{r}{r + \delta^*(r)}\right)^{v(r)} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\delta^*(r)}{r}\right)^{-n} =$$

$$= (1 + o(1))\mu(r, f)^{1+o(1)} \exp\left\{-v(r)\ln\left(1 + \frac{\delta^*(r)}{r}\right)\right\} \frac{1}{\delta^*(r)} =$$

$$= (1 + o(1))\mu(r, f)^{1+o(1)} \exp\left\{-(1 + o(1))v(r)\delta^*(r) - \ln\delta^*(r)\right\} =$$

$$= (1 + o(1))\mu(r, f)^{1+o(1)} \exp\left\{-(1 + o(1))n_f^*(v(r)) + \ln v(r)\right\}.$$

Take into account that  $\ln t \leq \frac{1}{2}\hat{n}_f(t) = o(n_f^*(t))$  as  $t \to +\infty$  and recall that  $n_f^*(v) = 3 \ln \mu(r, f)$ . Thus,

$$\Lambda(r) \le (1 + o(1))\mu(r, f)^{1 + o(1)} \exp\{-(1 + o(1))n_f^*(v(r))\} =$$

$$= \exp\{-(2 + o(1))\ln \mu(r, f)\} = o(1), \quad r \to 1 - 0, r \not\in E_6.$$

Lemma 6 is proved.

Thus, Theorem 1 remains valid.

## REFERENCES

1. Skaskiv O. B., Chyzhykov I. E. The Nevanlinna characteristics and maximum modulus of gap power series, Mat. Studii 13 (2000), no. 2, 125–133.

Lviv National University, Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics

Received 25.02.2001