

УДК 517.53

M. M. SHEREMETA, M. T. BORDULYAK

**A PROBLEM IN THE THEORY OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS
OF BOUNDED INDEX**

M. M. Sheremeta, M. T. Bordulyak. *A problem in the theory of entire functions of bounded index*, Matematychni Studii, **15** (2001) 105–108.

A problem on the relation between the l -index boundedness of an entire function and the growth of its index in the sense of G. Frank is stated.

М. Н. Шеремета, М. Т. Бордуляк. *Одна проблема в теории целых функций ограниченного индекса* // Математичні Студії. – 2001. – Т.15, №1. – С.105–108.

Поставлена задача о связи между ограниченностью l -индекса целой функции и ростом ее индекса в смысле Г. Франка.

Definitions. Let l be a positive continuous function on $[0, +\infty)$. An entire function f is said to be of bounded l -index [1] if there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\frac{|f^{(n)}(z)|}{n!l^n(|z|)} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{k!l^k(|z|)} : 0 \leq k \leq N \right\}.$$

The least of such N is called the l -index of f and denoted by $N(l; f)$. For $l(r) \equiv 1$ whence we obtain the definition [2] of entire function of bounded index $N(f) = N(l; f)$.

An entire function f is said to be of bounded l - M -index [3] if there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $r \in [0, +\infty)$

$$\frac{M(r, f^{(n)})}{n!l^n(r)} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{M(r, f^{(k)})}{k!l^k(r)} : 0 \leq k \leq N \right\},$$

where $M(r, f) = \max\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}$. For $l(r) \equiv 1$ whence we obtain the definition [4] of entire function of bounded M -index.

Clearly, if f is an entire function of bounded l -index then f is an entire function of bounded l - M -index.

As in [5], we denote $c_f(a) = \max \left\{ \frac{|f^{(n)}(a)|}{n!} : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}$, $k_f(a) = \max \left\{ k : \frac{|f^{(k)}(a)|}{k!} = c_f(a) \right\}$ and $I(r, f) = \sup\{k_f(a) : |a| \leq r\}$. Then f is an entire function of bounded index if and only if $I(r, f) = O(1)$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D15.

Finally, let $J(r, f) = \max \left\{ j : \frac{M(r, f^{(j)})}{j!} \geq \frac{M(r, f^{(n)})}{n!} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}$. Then [6] $J(r, f) \leq I(r, f)$.

Some results on the growth. In [7–8] it is shown that if an entire function f is of bounded index then

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M_f(r)}{r} \leq N(f) + 1.$$

Let K be the class of positive analytic on $[0, +\infty)$ functions l such that $l'(x) = o(l^2(x))$, $x \rightarrow +\infty$, and Q be the class of positive continuous on $[0, +\infty)$ functions l such that $l(x + O(1/l(x))) = O(l(x))$, $x \rightarrow +\infty$. It is known [1; 9, p. 72] that if $l \in K$ and f is of bounded l -index then

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M(r, f)}{L(r)} \leq N(l; f) + 1, \quad L(r) = \int_0^r l(x) dx, \tag{1}$$

If $l \in Q$ and f is of bounded l -index then [9, p. 71] $\ln M(r, f) = O(L(r))$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$.

Finally, in [5-6] it is shown that if an entire function f has the order $\rho < \infty$ then

$$\max\{0, \rho - 1\} \leq \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln^+ J(r, f)}{\ln r} \leq \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln^+ I(r, f)}{\ln r} \leq \rho.$$

Problems. The main problem consists in establishment of a relation between the behaviour of $I(r, f)$ and the boundedness of the l -index of an entire function f .

If $l(r) \equiv 1$ and f is of bounded l -index then $I(r, f) = O(l(r))$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, the following problem is actual: *for which functions l the boundedness of the l -index of an entire function f implies the relation $I(r, f) = O(l(r))$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$?*

We remark, that if $l \in K$ and $l(r) \rightarrow 0$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$ then there is not exist an entire function f of bounded l -index such that $I(r, f) = O(l(r))$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Indeed, if such function f exists then in view of (1) $\ln M(r, f) = o(r)$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$, and, thus, f has zeroes. Therefore, $I(r, f) \geq 1$ for greater r . On other hand, since $I(r, f)$ is nondecreasing and $I(r, f) = O(l(r)) = o(1)$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$, then $I(r, f) \equiv 0$.

We can show only certain conditions on l in order that the boundedness of the l -index of an entire function f implies the relation $J(r, f) = O(l(r))$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$.

By Ω we denote a class of positive on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ functions Φ such that the derivative Φ' is continuous, positive and increasing to $+\infty$ on $(-\infty, +\infty)$. For $\Phi \in \Omega$ let $\Psi(x) = x - \Phi(x)/\Phi'(x)$ be a function associated with Φ in sense of Newton. Then Ψ is continuous and increasing to $+\infty$ on $(-\infty, +\infty)$.

Theorem. *Let $\Phi \in \Omega$, $\Phi'(x) = O(\Phi'(\Psi(x)))$ and $\Phi'(x + O(e^{-x})) = O(\Phi'(x))$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $l \in K$ and $l(r) = \Phi'(\ln r)/r$ for $r \geq r_0$. If an entire function f is of bounded l -index then $J(r, f) = O(l(r))$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. Since $l \in K$ and $l(r) = \frac{\Phi'(\ln r)}{r}$ for $r \geq r_0$, we have $L(r) = \Phi(\ln r) + O(1)$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$, and from (1) we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M(r, f)}{\Phi(\ln r)} \leq N(l; f) + 1. \tag{2}$$

By Hadamard's theorem the function $\ln M(e^x, f)$ is convex on $(-\infty, +\infty)$, i.e.

$$\ln M(e^x, f) = A + \int_{x_0}^x \omega(t)dt, \tag{3}$$

where ω is nondecreasing function on $(-\infty, +\infty)$. □

In [10] the following lemma is proved.

Lemma 1. *Let C be the class of convex functions P on $[a, b)$ and let Φ be a continuously differentiable function on $[a, b)$ such that $\Phi'(x) \rightarrow +\infty, x \rightarrow b$. In order that for each $P \in C$*

$$\left(\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow b} \frac{P(x)}{\Phi(x)} < +\infty \right) \implies \left(\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow b} \frac{P'(x)}{\Phi'(x)} < +\infty \right),$$

where P' is right-side derivatives of P , it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow b} \frac{1}{\Phi'(x)} \inf_{t > x} \frac{\Phi'(t)}{t - x} < +\infty.$$

If $\Phi \in \Omega$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi'(x)} \inf_{t > x} \frac{\Phi'(t)}{t - x} &= \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi'(x)} \frac{\Phi(\Psi^{-1}(x))}{\Psi^{-1}(x) - x} = \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Phi(x)}{\Phi'(\Psi(x))(x - \Psi(x))} = \\ &= \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Phi'(x)}{\Phi'(\Psi(x))}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $\Phi'(x) = O(\Phi'(\Psi(x)))$, $x \rightarrow +\infty$, by Lemma from (2) and (3) we obtain $\omega(t) = O(\Phi'(t))$, $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

In [6] it is proved that $J(r, f) \ln 2 \leq \ln \frac{M(r+2, f)}{M(r, f)}$ for all $r \geq 0$. Hence, since $\Phi'(x + O(e^{-x})) = O(\Phi'(x))$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(r, f) &\leq \frac{1}{\ln 2} (\ln M(e^{\ln(r+2)}, f) - \ln M(e^{\ln r}, f)) = \frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_{\ln r}^{\ln(r+2)} \omega(t)dt \leq \\ &\leq C_1 \int_{\ln r}^{\ln(r+2)} \Phi'(t)dt \leq C_1 \Phi'(\ln(r+2)) \ln \left(1 + \frac{2}{r} \right) \leq \\ &\leq 2C_1 \frac{\Phi'(\ln r)}{r} \frac{\Phi'(\ln r + 2/r)}{\Phi'(\ln r)} \leq C_2 \frac{\Phi'(\ln r)}{r} = C_2 l(r), \quad C_j = \text{const.} \end{aligned}$$

Remark. The condition $l \in K$ can be replaced by the condition $l \in Q$.

REFERENCES

1. Kuzyk A. D., Sheremeta M. M. *Entire functions of bounded value l -distribution*, Matem. Zametki. **39** (1986), no. 1, 3–13 (in Russian).

2. Lepson B. *Differential equations of infinite order, hyperdirichlet series and entire functions of bounded index*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. V.2. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1968, 298–307.
3. Abuarabi Sh., Sheremeta M. M. *Entire functions of bounded l - M -index*, Dopovidi AN URSR, ser.A.(1989), 3–5 (in Ukrainian).
4. Fricke G. H., Shah S. M., Sisarcik W. *A characterization of entire functions of exponential type and M -bounded index*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **23** (1973), 405–412.
5. Frank G. *Über den Index einer ganzen Funktionen*, Ark. der Math. **22** (1971), 175–180.
6. Frank G., Mues E. *Über das Wachstum des Index ganzer Funktionen*, Math. Ann. **195** (1972), 114–120.
7. Hayman W. K. *Differential inequalities and local valency*, Pacific J. Math. (1973), 117–137.
8. Shah S. M. *Entire functions of bounded index*, Lect. Notes in Math. **589** (1977), 117–145.
9. Sheremeta M. M. *Analytic functions of bounded index*, Lviv: VNTL Publishers., 1999, 141 pp.
10. Bratishchev A. V. *On the converse of L'Hospital's rule*, Meh. sploshnoj sredy, Rostov-na-Donu: Izd. RSU, 1985, 28–42 (in Russian).

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Lviv National University

Received 15.05.2000