

УДК 517.53

M. V. ZABOLOTSKII

AN EXAMPLE OF ENTIRE FUNCTION OF STRONGLY REGULAR GROWTH

M. V. Zabolotskii. *An example of entire function of strongly regular growth*, Matematychni Studii, **13** (2000) 145–148.

We construct an entire function of zero order of strongly regular growth such that its zeros do not have any angular density with respect to the function $v(r) = r^{\lambda(r)}$, where $\lambda(r)$ is a proximate order of the counting function $n(r)$.

Н. В. Заболоцкий. *Пример целой функции сильно регулярного роста* // Математичні Студії. – 2000. – Т.13, №2. – С.145–148.

Построена целая функция нулевого порядка сильно регулярного роста такая, что её нули не имеют угловой плотности относительно функции $v(r) = r^{\lambda(r)}$, где $\lambda(r)$ — уточненный порядок считающей функции $n(r)$.

Let f be an entire function of positive order and completely regular growth (c.r.g.) by Levin-Pfluger [1, p. 182]. The necessary and sufficient condition of c.r.g. of functions f of non-entire order is the existence of the angular density $\Delta(\psi)$ of its zeros relatively the comparison function $V(r) = r^{\rho(r)}$, $\rho(r)$ be the proximate order of function f .

In the case of zero order the proximate order $\rho(r)$ of function f will not be the proximate order of the counting function $n(r)$ of its zeros. Then $n(r) = o(V(r))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Hence zeros of an entire function of zero order always have the angular density $\Delta(\psi) = 0$. Therefore in case of zero order we consider the comparison function $v(r) = r^{\lambda(r)}$, where $\lambda(r)$ is the proximate order of the function $n(r)$.

In [2] it was introduced a new notion of regular growth for entire functions of zero order. Let f be an entire function, $f(0) = 1$, $n(r, \alpha, \beta)$ be the number of zeros $a_n = |a_n|e^{i\alpha_n}$ of the function f in the sector $\{z : |z| \leq r, \alpha < \arg z \leq \beta\}$, $\ln f$ is a univalent branch of $\text{Ln } f$ in the domain $D = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{re^{i\alpha_n} : r \geq |a_n|\}$ such that $\ln f(0) = 0$. The ray $\arg z = \theta$ is ordinary, if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} n(r, \theta - h, \theta + h)/v(r) = 0.$$

We shall say that a function f has a strongly regular growth (s.r.g.) if for all ordinary rays $\arg z = \theta$ we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E} \frac{\ln f(re^{i\theta}) - N(r)}{v(r)} = H_f(\theta),$$

where E is some set of zero relative measure, i.e., $\text{mes}(E \cap [0, r]) = o(r)$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$), and $N(r) = \int_0^r n(t)/tdt$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D15.

Without loss of generality suppose that the ray $\arg z = -\pi$ is ordinary for zeros of the functions. We say that the set of roots of an entire function has angular density if the limit $\Delta(\psi) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} n(r, -\pi, \psi)/v(r)$ exists for all ψ with the exception, perhaps, of ψ belonging to some countable set.

Theorem A [2]. *Let f be an entire function which zeros have angular density $\Delta(\psi)$. Then f has a s.r.g., moreover for all ordinary rays $\arg z = \theta$ we have*

$$H_f(\theta) = i \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \arg_{-\pi} e^{i(\theta-\psi-\pi)} d\Delta(\psi), \quad -\pi \leq \theta < \pi,$$

where $\arg_{-\pi} z$ is the value of $\arg z \in [-\pi, \pi)$. On the contrary, let f be an entire function whose zeros lie on a finite system of rays $\arg z = \theta_j, j = 1, \dots, k$. and f has a s.r.g. Then zeros f have angular density $\Delta(\psi)$, moreover

$$\Delta(\psi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{Im} \sum_{-\pi \leq \theta_j < \psi} (H_f(\theta_j - 0) - H_f(\theta_j + 0)).$$

The following theorem implies that s.r.g. of entire function f is not a sufficient condition for existence of angular density of its zeros. Thus, this indicates that the condition of zeros placement on a finite system of rays is essential in Theorem A.

Theorem. *Let $\alpha(r)$ be a continuous positive increasing to $+\infty$ on $[0, +\infty)$ function. Then for arbitrary increasing to $+\infty$ on $[0, +\infty)$ function $\beta(r)$ there exist an entire function $f, f(0) = 1$, and a set E of zero relative measure such that for all $\theta, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, with the exception of θ belonging to a countable set, the following holds*

$$\ln f(re^{i\theta}) = N(r) + o(\beta(r)), \quad r \rightarrow \infty, \quad r \notin E, \tag{1}$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r)}{\alpha(r)} = 1 \tag{2}$$

and zeros of f do not have any angular density with respect to the function $\alpha(r)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we shall assume that $\beta(r) \geq 0$ and $\beta(r) < \alpha(r)$ for all $r > 0$. We choose two increasing sequences (r_k) of positive numbers and n_k of positive integers by the induction. Let $r_1 \geq 1$ be such that $\alpha(r_1) \in \mathbb{N}, n_1 = \alpha(r_1)$, and assume that r_2, r_3, \dots, r_m and n_2, n_3, \dots, n_m are already chosen. Then we choose r_{m+1} such that

$$r_{m+1} > 4r_m, \quad \frac{\alpha(r_m)}{\alpha(r_{m+1})} < \frac{1}{m}, \tag{3}$$

$$\alpha(r_{m+1}) \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \beta(r_{m+1}) > \pi(m+2)^2, \tag{4}$$

and $n_{m+1} = \alpha(r_{m+1}) - \alpha(r_m)$.

We consider the entire function $f(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} (1 - (z/r_k)^{n_k})$. Let $r_m \leq r < r_{m+1}$. Then

$$n(r) = \sum_{r_k \leq r} n_k = \alpha(r_1) + \sum_{r_k \leq r} (\alpha(r_k) - \alpha(r_{k-1})) = \alpha(r_m) \leq \alpha(r),$$

whence (2) follows. Further, if $0 \leq \alpha < \beta < 2\pi$, then

$$n(r, \alpha, \beta) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2\pi} \sum_{r_k \leq r} n_k = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2\pi} \alpha(r_m), \quad r \rightarrow \infty,$$

and from (3) we have that the limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} n(r)/\alpha(r)$ does not exist.

Let's show that f satisfies condition (1). Let $z = re^{i\theta}$, $\theta \neq 2\pi j/n_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n_k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f(re^{i\theta}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \ln \left(1 - \left(\frac{z}{r_k} \right)^{n_k} \right) = \int_0^z \frac{f'(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} d\zeta = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} n_k \int_0^z \frac{-\zeta^{n_k-1} d\zeta}{r_k^{n_k} - \zeta^{n_k}} = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} n_k \int_0^r \frac{t^{n_k-1} dt}{t^{n_k} - r_k^{n_k} e^{-in_k\theta}} = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} J_k. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Set $\tau = t^{n_k}$, $\varphi_k = -n_k\theta$, $R_k = r_k^{n_k}$, $R = r^{n_k}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Im} J_k| &= \left| \operatorname{Im} \int_0^R \frac{d\tau}{\tau - R_k e^{i\varphi_k}} \right| = \left| \int_0^R \frac{R_k \sin \varphi_k d\tau}{|\tau - R_k e^{i\varphi_k}|^2} \right| = \\ &= \left| R_k \sin \varphi_k \int_0^R \frac{d\tau}{(\tau - R_k \cos \varphi_k)^2 + R_k^2 \sin^2 \varphi_k} \right| = \left| \operatorname{arctg} \frac{\tau - R_k \cos \varphi_k}{R_k \sin \varphi_k} \right|_0^R < \pi, \end{aligned}$$

and owing to (4)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} |\operatorname{Im} J_k| \leq (m+1)\pi < \frac{\beta(r_m)}{m+1}. \quad (6)$$

Let $r_m \leq r < r_{m+1}$. Then from (3) for $k \geq m+2$ we obtain $\frac{r}{r_k} \leq 4^{m+1-k}$. Since $-\ln(1-x) \leq 2x$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$, we have

$$|J_k| \leq \int_0^r \frac{n_k t^{n_k-1} dt}{r_k^{n_k} - t^{n_k}} = -\ln \left(1 - \left(\frac{r}{r_k} \right)^{n_k} \right) \leq 2 \left(\frac{r}{r_k} \right)^{n_k} \leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^{k-m-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{k=m+2}^{+\infty} |J_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = 1, \quad (7)$$

and from (5)–(7) we have

$$|\arg f(re^{i\theta})| \leq \frac{\beta(r)}{m+1} + 1 = o(\beta(r)), \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8)$$

Find the asymptotics of $\ln |f(re^{i\theta})|$. We have ($R = r^{n_k}$)

$$\operatorname{Re} J_k = \ln \frac{R}{R_k} + \ln \left| 1 - \frac{R_k e^{-i\varphi_k}}{R} \right| = n_k \ln \frac{r}{r_k} + \ln \left| 1 - \left(\frac{r_k}{r} \right)^{n_k} e^{in_k\theta} \right|. \quad (9)$$

Let $r_m + \frac{r_m}{n_m} \leq r \leq r_{m+1} + \frac{r_{m+1}}{n_{m+1}}$. Then for $k \leq m-1$ it holds

$$-2 \left(\frac{r_k}{r} \right)^{n_k} \leq \ln \left(1 - \left(\frac{r_k}{r} \right)^{n_k} \right) \leq \ln \left| 1 - \left(\frac{r_k}{r} \right)^{n_k} e^{in_k\theta} \right| \leq \ln \left(1 + \left(\frac{r_k}{r} \right)^{n_k} \right) \leq \left(\frac{r_k}{r} \right)^{n_k}. \quad (10)$$

Further,

$$\ln \left(1 - \left(\frac{r_m}{r} \right)^{n_m} \right) \leq \operatorname{Re} J_m = \ln \left| 1 - \left(\frac{r_m}{r} \right)^{n_m} e^{in_m\theta} \right| \leq \ln \left(1 + \left(\frac{r_m}{r} \right)^{n_m} \right) \leq \ln 2. \quad (11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left(1 - \left(\frac{r_m}{r} \right)^{n_m} \right) &\geq \ln \left(1 - \left(\frac{r_m}{r_m + r_m/n_m} \right)^{n_m} \right) \geq \\ &\geq \ln \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{1}{n_m} \right)^{-n_m} \right) \geq \ln \left(1 - \frac{2}{e} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Analogously, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} J_{m+1} \leq \ln \left| 1 - \left(\frac{r}{r_{m+1}} \right)^{n_{m+1}} e^{in_{m+1}\theta} \right| \leq \ln \left(1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_{m+1}} \right)^{n_{m+1}} \right) \leq \ln 2, \quad (13)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} J_{m+1} \geq \ln \left(1 - \left(\frac{r}{r_{m+1}} \right)^{n_{m+1}} \right) \geq \ln \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_{m+1}} \right)^{n_{m+1}} \right) \geq \ln \left(1 - \frac{2}{e} \right). \quad (14)$$

From (7) and (9)–(14) for $r \notin E$, $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{+\infty} (r_k - r_k/n_k, r_k + r_k/n_k)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \ln |f(re^{i\theta})| - \sum_{k=1}^m n_k \ln \frac{r}{r_k} \right| &\leq \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} |\operatorname{Re} J_k| + |\operatorname{Re} J_m| + \\ + |\operatorname{Re} J_{m+1}| + \sum_{k=m+2}^{+\infty} |J_k| &\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{4^{n_k}} + O(1) = O(1), \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

From (8) and (15) we have (1), since the relative measure of the set E is zero. Indeed, for $r_m \leq r \leq r_{m+1}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{mes} (E \cap [0, r]) &\leq \frac{2r_1}{n_1} + \frac{2r_2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{2r_m}{n_m} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{2r_m}{4^{m-1}n_1} + \frac{2r_m}{4^{m-2}n_2} + \dots + \frac{2r_m}{4n_{m-1}} + \frac{2r_m}{n_m} = 2r_m \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{4^{m-k}n_k}, \end{aligned}$$

and by the Töplitz theorem, we have

$$\operatorname{mes} (E \cap [0, r]) = o(r_m) = o(r), \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

REFERENCES

1. Левин Б. Я. *Распределение корней целых функций*, М.: Гостехиздат, 1956.
2. Заболоцкий Н. В. *Сильно регулярный рост целых функций нулевого порядка*, *Мат. заметки* **63** (1998), №2, 196–208.