

УДК 517.983

NONSTANDARD STURM-LIOUVILLE DIFFERENCE OPERATOR, II

V.E. LYANTSE, YU.M. YAVORSKY

V.E. Lyantse, Yu.M. Yavorsky. *Nonstandard Sturm-Liouville difference operator, II*, Matematychni Studii, **11**(1999) 71–82.

This article extends the investigation with the same title printed in the previous volume of “Mat. studii”. We consider the spectrum and spectral expansion of a nonstandard ordinary singular Sturm-Liouville operator L in finite differences. The resolvent of L is considered and its norm is estimated.

В.Э. Лянце, Ю.М. Яворский. *Нестандартный разностный оператор Штурма-Лиувилля, II*// Математичні Студії. – 1999. – Т.11, № 1. – С.71–82.

Эта статья продолжает исследование с таким же названием, напечатанное в предыдущем томе “Мат. студий”. Рассматриваются спектр и спектральное разложение нестандартного обыкновенного сингулярного оператора Штурма-Лиувилля L в конечных разностях. Рассматривается резольвента L и оценивается ее норма.

This paper is the continuation of the paper “Nonstandard Sturm-Liouville difference operator” (see [16]).¹

6. Relative standardness. To vary notions of standardness we use different norms.

6.1. Definition. For $r > 0$ we denote by H_r the Banach space of functions $x \in \mathbb{C}^T$ corresponding to

$$\|x\|_r := \max_{t \in T} |x(t)| r^{-t}. \quad (6.1)$$

Also by \mathbf{H}_r we denote the Banach space of functions $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ corresponding to

$$\|\xi\|_r := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} |\xi(t)| r^{-t}.$$

In the sequel we suppose that r is standard, therefore \mathbf{H}_r is standard, too. As before, $\Pi\xi$ denotes the restriction of $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to T .

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47S20.

¹Research partially supported by a grant from the Fundamental Research State Foundation.

6.2. Definition. A function $x \in \mathbb{C}^T$ is said to be r -standard and we write $x \in {}^{nst}H_r$ iff $x = \Pi\xi$ for some $\xi \in {}^{st}\mathbf{H}_r$. Evidently, such ξ is unique. It is called the *standardized image* of x and denoted by $\bullet x$.

6.3. Definition. A function $x \in \mathbb{C}^T$ is said to be r -nearstandard and we write $x \in {}^{nst}H_r$ iff $(\exists y \in {}^{st}H_r) (|x - y|_r \approx 0)$. Such y is denoted by ${}^\circ x$ and called the *shadow* of x . The standardized image of ${}^\circ x$ is denoted by $\bullet x$ and called the *shadow* of x in \mathbf{H}_r . Thus $\bullet x \in {}^{st}\mathbf{H}_r$, ${}^\circ x = \Pi\bullet x$, $|x - {}^\circ x|_r \approx 0$.

6.4. Remark. It is easy to see that definitions 6.2, 6.3 are co-ordinated with each other and with previous definitions. For instance, let $x \in {}^{nst}H$ and $\xi = \bullet x$ = the shadow of x in \mathbf{H} . Then $\xi \in {}^{st}\mathbf{H} = {}^{st}\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ and therefore $\|\xi\| \ll \infty$. Hence $\forall r \geq 1$ $\xi \in {}^{st}\mathbf{H}_r$ and $\|x - \Pi\xi\| \approx 0$ implies $\|x - \Pi\xi\|_r \approx 0$. We see that the same ξ is the shadow of x in \mathbf{H} and in \mathbf{H}_r , $r \geq 1$.

Denote by $\Xi(\cdot, \rho)$ the solution of the equation $(\mathbf{1} - \lambda)\Xi = 0$ (see (4.20)), which takes the same initial values $\Xi(0, \rho) = 0$, $\Xi(1, \rho) = \rho - \rho^{-1}$ as the solution $Z(\cdot, \rho)$ of the equation $(\ell - \lambda)Z = 0$, $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}(\rho + \rho^{-1})$.

6.5. Proposition. *Let $r \geq 1$ be standard. For any $\rho \in W$ (see (5.6)), if $|\rho| < r$, then the function $Z(\cdot, \rho)$ is r -nearstandard and*

$$\bullet Z(\cdot, \rho) = \Xi(\cdot, {}^\circ \rho). \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. From (3.5) and (3.6) we see that

$$Z_r := |Z(\cdot, \rho)|_r \ll \infty. \quad (6.4)$$

To prove $|Z(\cdot, \rho) - \Pi\Xi(\cdot, {}^\circ \rho)|_r \approx 0$, we note that $\Xi(\cdot, \rho)$ is a solution of the ‘‘integral’’ equation (compare with (3.4))

$$\Xi(t, \rho) = \frac{2}{\rho - \rho^{-1}} \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} b(u)Z_0(t-u, \rho) + Z_0(t, \rho), \quad t \geq 2. \quad (6.5)$$

Put $Z(t) := |Z(t, \rho) - \Pi\Xi(t, \rho)|$, $t \in T$. Then (3.4) and (6.5) yield

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t) &\leq \frac{2}{|\rho - \rho^{-1}|} \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} |b(u)Z(u)Z_0(t-u, \rho)| + \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{|\rho - \rho^{-1}|} \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} |a(u) - b(u)||Z(u, \rho)|Z_0(t-u, \rho). \end{aligned}$$

By (6.4), $\forall t \in T$ $|Z(t, \rho)| \leq Z_r r^t$. Therefore,

$$Z(t) \leq \frac{2}{|\rho - \rho^{-1}|} \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} |b(u)|Z(u)Z_r r^{t-u} + \frac{2}{|\rho - \rho^{-1}|} \|a - b\|_1 Z_r^2 r^t.$$

Denote $E(t) := Z(t)r^{-t}$ and note that $|Z(\cdot, \rho) - \Pi\Xi(\cdot, {}^\circ \rho)|_r \leq \|E(\cdot)\|_\infty$. We have $E(t) \leq \frac{2}{|\rho - \rho^{-1}|} \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} |b(u)|E(u) + \gamma$, where $\gamma := \frac{2}{|\rho - \rho^{-1}|} Z_r^2 \|a - b\|_1 \approx 0$. Since

$E(t+1) - E(t) = \frac{2}{|\rho - \rho^{-1}|} Z_r |b(t)| E(t)$, we obtain $E(t+1) \leq \gamma \cdot \exp \frac{2}{|\rho - \rho^{-1}|} Z_r \|b\|_1 \approx 0$.
□

6.6. Remark. Let $\rho \in W$ (see (5.6)) be such that $|e(\rho)| \gg 0$. Then $\forall^{st} r \geq \rho$

$$\hat{e}(\cdot, \rho) \in {}^{nst}H_r. \quad (6.6)$$

This follows from 6.5 and (5.26). Using equation (5.21) we can prove that $\bullet \hat{e}(\cdot, \rho)$ is a solution of the equation $(\ell - \lambda)\hat{e} = 0$, for which

$$\hat{e}(t, \rho) = \rho^t [1 + o(1)], \quad |t| \rightarrow \infty \quad (6.7)$$

uniformly relative $|\rho| > 1 + \alpha$, for every $\alpha > 0$.

Let us consider also c_0 -standardness. By c_0 we denote the Banach space of functions $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ for which $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \xi(t) = 0$, with the norm $\|\xi\|_{\infty} := \max_{t \in \mathbb{N}} |\xi(t)|$.

6.7. Definition. A function $x \in \mathbb{C}^T$ is said to be c_0 -standard iff $\exists \xi \in {}^{st}c_0$ $x = \Pi \xi$. Such ξ is called the *standardized image* of x and we write $x \in {}^{c_0 st} \mathbb{C}^T$, $\xi = \bullet x$. A function $x \in \mathbb{C}^T$ is said to be c_0 -nearstandard and we write $x \in {}^{c_0 nst} \mathbb{C}^T$ iff $\exists y \in {}^{c_0 st} \mathbb{C}^T$

$\|x - y\|_{\infty} \approx 0$. Such y is denoted by ${}^{\circ}x$ and called the *shadow* of x . The standardized image of ${}^{\circ}x$ is denoted by $\bullet x$ and called the *shadow* of x in c_0 .

6.8. Proposition. A function $x \in \mathbb{C}^T$ is c_0 -nearstandard iff

$$\|x\|_{\infty} \ll \infty \text{ and } \forall t \approx \infty \ x(t) \approx 0. \quad (6.8)$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $\xi = \bullet x$, i.e. $\xi \in {}^{st}c_0$ and $\|x - \Pi \xi\|_{\infty} \approx 0$. Then $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq \|\xi\|_{\infty} + 1 \ll \infty$ and $\forall t \approx \infty \ x(t) \approx \xi(t) \approx 0$.

(\Leftarrow) Let ξ be the standard extension to \mathbb{N} of $({}^{\circ}[x(t)])_{t \in {}^{st}\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose that the sequence $(\xi(t))_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a point of accumulation $\gamma \neq 0$. Then there exists a strongly increasing sequence $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of numbers $t_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(t_n) = \gamma$. By transfer, we may assume that γ and $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are standard. Therefore $\forall n \approx \infty \ t_n \approx \infty$ and $\xi(t_n) \approx \gamma \gg 0$. This is absurd, for $\forall t \approx \infty \ \xi(t) \approx x(t) \approx 0$. □

Propositions 5.5 and 6.8 imply the following assertion.

6.9. Proposition. Let $\rho \in W_m$ (see (5.12)), then the function $T \ni t \mapsto e(t, \rho) - \rho^{-t}$ is c_0 -nearstandard.

7. Outside eigenvalues. So we call eigenvalues $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}(\rho + \rho^{-1})$ of the operator L for which $|\rho| \gg 1$ (that is, for which the distance from λ to the segment $[-1, +1] \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an appreciable real number).

7.1. Theorem. Let λ be an outside eigenvalue of the operator L . Then the eigenfunction $Z(\cdot, \rho)$ corresponding to λ is $\|\cdot\|$ -nearstandard. This means that $Z(\cdot, \rho)$ is square integrable:

$$\|Z(\cdot, \rho)\| \ll \infty \text{ and } \forall t \approx \infty \ \sum_{u=t+1}^m |Z(u, \rho)|^2 \approx 0. \quad (7.1)$$

The shadow ${}^\circ\lambda$ of λ is an eigenvalue of the operator $\mathbf{L} := \bullet L$ (see (4.20), (4.21); compare with 6.5) and

$$\bullet Z(\cdot, \rho) = \Xi(\cdot, {}^\circ\rho). \quad (7.2)$$

Proof. Up to a constant factor, a unique eigenfunction of L relative to λ is $Z(\cdot, \rho)$. (This means that the geometric multiplicity of any eigenvalue of L is equal to 1). Since $Z(m+1, \rho) = 0$, formula (5.26) may be rewritten as follows

$$Z(t, \rho) = \hat{e}(\rho)[f(t, \rho) - e(t, \rho)], \quad (7.3)$$

where

$$f(t, \rho) := \frac{\hat{e}(t, \rho)}{\rho^{m+1}\hat{e}(m+1, \rho)}. \quad (7.3')$$

(We recall that $e(m+1, \rho) = \rho^{-m-1}$.) In view of 5.9, 5.10, $|\hat{e}(\rho)| \ll \infty$ and in virtue of 5.3 $e(\cdot, \rho) \in {}^{nst}H$. By (5.23), there exists a $C \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < C \ll \infty$, such that $|\hat{e}(t, \rho)| \leq C|\rho|^t$. Moreover, $\rho^{-m-1}\hat{e}(m+1, \rho) \approx 1$. Hence $|f(t, \rho)| \leq C|\rho|^{-(2m+2-t)}$ and $f(\cdot, \rho)$ is nearstandard trivially: $\|f(\cdot, \rho)\| \approx 0$.

Note that

$$\|L_0\| = 1, \quad \|L\| \leq 1 + \|a\|_\infty. \quad (7.4)$$

Since $|\lambda| \leq \|L\|$, we have $|\lambda| \ll \infty$, $|\rho| \ll \infty$, hence ${}^\circ\lambda$, ${}^\circ\rho$ are determined. By Remark 4.13, $\bullet[(L-\lambda)Z(\cdot, \rho)] = (\bullet L - {}^\circ\lambda)\bullet Z(\cdot, {}^\circ\rho)$. For $\bullet L = \mathbf{L}$, $\bullet Z(\cdot, \rho) = \Xi(\cdot, {}^\circ\rho)$, and

$(L-\lambda)Z(\cdot, \rho) = 0$, we get $(\mathbf{L} - {}^\circ\lambda)\Xi(\cdot, {}^\circ\rho) = 0$. \square

Let λ be some eigenvalue of L . If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(L-\lambda)^n x = 0$, where $x \in \mathbb{C}^T \setminus \{0\}$, then x is called a *fundamental* function of L , belonging to λ . Theorem 7.1 can be extended to fundamental functions. We begin with

7.2. Lemma. *Let $k \in {}^{nst}H$ and*

$$\forall x \in H \quad \forall t \in T \quad Kx(t) := \sum_{u=1}^t k(t-u)x(u). \quad (7.5)$$

Then $K \in {}^{nst}\mathcal{B}(H)$ and

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbf{H} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{N} \quad (\bullet K)\xi(t) = \sum_{u=1}^t (\bullet k)(t-u)\xi(u). \quad (7.6)$$

Proof. Denote $\forall \xi \in \mathbf{H} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{N} \quad \mathbf{K}\xi(t) := \sum_{u=1}^t (\bullet k)(t-u)\xi(u)$. Then $\mathbf{K} \in {}^{st}\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{H})$. Let $x \in H$, $t \in T$, then $Kx(t) - \mathbf{K}Kx(t) = \sum_{u=1}^t [k(t-u) - \bullet k(t-u)]x(u)$. Hence $\|(K - \mathbf{K}K)x\| \leq \|k - \Pi(\bullet k)\| \cdot \|x\|$. Since $\|k - \Pi(\bullet k)\| \approx 0$, we get $\|K - \mathbf{K}K\| \approx 0$. \square

Denote by $s(\cdot, \lambda)$ and $\sigma(\cdot, \lambda)$ respectively solutions of equations $(\ell - \lambda)s = 0$ and $(\mathbf{1} - \lambda)\sigma = 0$ with initial values

$$s(0, \lambda) = \sigma(0, \lambda) = 0, \quad s(1, \lambda) = \sigma(1, \lambda) = 1. \quad (7.7)$$

Obviously, for $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}(\rho + \rho^{-1})$ we have

$$s(t, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\rho - \rho^{-1}} Z(t, \rho), \quad \sigma(t, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\rho - \rho^{-1}} \Xi(t, \rho). \quad (7.8)$$

Put

$$s_k := \left(\frac{d}{d\zeta} \right)^k s(\cdot, \zeta)_{\zeta=\lambda}, \quad \sigma_k := \left(\frac{d}{d\zeta} \right)^k \sigma(\cdot, \zeta)_{\zeta=\circ\lambda}. \quad (7.9)$$

7.3. Theorem. *Let $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}(\rho + \rho^{-1})$ be an outside eigenvalue of L . Denote by H_λ the space of fundamental functions of L , belonging to λ . Then*

$$n_\lambda := \dim H_\lambda \ll \infty \quad (7.10)$$

(recall that n_λ is the algebraic multiplicity of λ) and

$$(\forall x \in H_\lambda) (\|x\| \ll \infty \implies x \in {}^{nst}H). \quad (7.11)$$

Moreover, n_λ coincides with the multiplicity of λ as a root of the equation $s(m+1, \lambda) = 0$ (and with the multiplicity of ρ as a root of the equation $Z(m+1, \rho) = 0$). Besides, $(s_0, \dots, s_{n_\lambda-1})$ is a total chain of fundamental functions, belonging to λ (that is, a basis of H_λ). At last,

$$\bullet s_k = \sigma_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, n_\lambda - 1. \quad (7.12)$$

Proof. By Leibnitz's rule $(\ell - \lambda)s_1 = s_0$, $(\ell - \lambda)s_2 = s_1$, ... Functions s_0, s_1, \dots take initial values $s_0(0) = s_1(0) = \dots = 0$. So $(L - \lambda)s_0 = 0$, $(L - \lambda)^2 s_1 = 0$, $(L - \lambda)^3 s_2 = 0$, ... iff $s_0(m+1) = 0$, $s_1(m+1) = 0$, $s_2(m+2) = 0$, ... Hence in view of (7.9), $s_0, \dots, s_{n_\lambda-1}$ is a total chain iff the multiplicity of λ as a root of the equation $s(m+1, \lambda) = 0$ is equal to n .

Note that the solution of the equation $(\ell - \lambda)x = f$ which takes the initial value $x(0) = 0$ can be represented in the following form (compare with (3.2)):

$$x(t) = -2 \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} f(u) s(t-u, \lambda) + x(1) s(t, \lambda). \quad (7.13)$$

Hence, by Lemma 7.2, if $f \in {}^{nst}H$, then $x \in {}^{nst}H$. Indeed, for Theorem 7.1, $s(\cdot, \lambda) \in {}^{nst}H$. In view of $s(1, \zeta) \equiv 1$ we have $s_k(1) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$. By $(\ell - \lambda)s_k = s_{k-1}$ and (7.13),

$$s_k(t) = -2 \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} s_{k-1}(u) s(t-u, \lambda). \quad (7.13')$$

Let $n \in {}^{st}\mathbb{N}$ and $n \leq n_\lambda$. By the (external) induction, from (6.12') and Lemma 7.2 we obtain

$$s_0, \dots, s_{n-1} \in {}^{nst}H. \quad (7.14)$$

Note that $\forall k \in {}^{st}\mathbb{N} \forall t \in {}^{st}\mathbb{N} (\bullet s_k)(t) \approx s_k(t)$. Since $s_k(1) = 0$ and $\bullet s_k$ is standard, we have $\bullet s_k(1) = 0$, $k \geq 1$. Since $(L - \lambda)s_1 = s_0$ and $\bullet s_0 = \sigma(\cdot, \circ\lambda)$ (see (7.2)), in

virtue of 4.13 we have $(\mathbf{L} - \circ\lambda) \bullet s_1 = \sigma(\cdot, \circ\lambda)$. This implies (7.12) for $k = 1$. By the (external) finite induction one can obtain it for $k > 1$, $k \ll \infty$. Denote by \mathbf{H}_μ the space of fundamental functions of L relative to an eigenvalue μ of \mathbf{L} . It is known (see [15]) that for any eigenvalue μ of \mathbf{L} one has $\dim H_\mu < \infty$. Hence \mathbf{L} is standard, $\dim \mathbf{H}_\mu \ll \infty$. All this leads us to the conclusion, that is

$$\dim H_\lambda \leq \dim \mathbf{H}_{\circ\lambda} \ll \infty. \quad (7.15)$$

8. Resolvent. Let ζ be a regular value of L . Since $\dim H \in \mathbb{N}$, $L - \zeta$ is an isomorphism $H \rightarrow H$. The relation

$$R(\zeta)y(t) := (L - \zeta)^{-1}y(t) = \sum_{u=1}^m R(t, u, \zeta)y(u), \quad t \in T, \quad y \in H, \quad (8.1)$$

uniquely determines the matrix $R(\cdot, \cdot, \zeta)$. We call it the *Green function* of L . Let us express this function by solutions of the equation $(\ell - \zeta)x = 0$. Besides the solution $s(\cdot, \zeta)$, which satisfies initial conditions $s(0, \zeta) = 0$, $s(1, \zeta) = 0$, we use also a solution $v(\cdot, \zeta)$ with initial values at the right end of T , $v(m+1, \zeta) = 0$, $v(m, \zeta) = c$. It turns out that the constant c may be chosen so that $v(\cdot, \zeta)$ and $e(\cdot, \rho)$ are infinitely close with each other.

8.1. Proposition. *Let $v(\cdot, \zeta)$ be a solution of the equation $\ell v = \zeta v$ such that*

$$v(m+1, \zeta) = 0, \quad v(m, \zeta) = \rho^{-m} - \rho^{-m-2}, \quad (8.2)$$

where

$$\zeta = -\frac{1}{2}(\rho + \rho^{-1}), \quad |\rho| \gg 1. \quad (8.3)$$

Then

$$\|v(\cdot, \zeta) - e(\cdot, \zeta)\| \approx 0. \quad (8.4)$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that

$$\forall t \in T \quad v(t, \zeta) = \rho^{-m-1} \hat{e}(m+1, \rho) e(t, \rho) - \rho^{-2m-2} \hat{e}(t, \rho). \quad (8.5)$$

By 5.9 and 5.10, we have $\forall t \in T \quad |\hat{e}(t, \rho)| \leq C_{|\rho|} |\rho|^t$, where $C_{|\rho|} \ll \infty$. Hence

$$\|\rho^{-2m-2} \hat{e}(\cdot, \rho)\| \leq C_{|\rho|} |\rho|^{-2m-2} [|\rho|^2 + \dots + |\rho|^{2m}]^{1/2} \approx 0.$$

Besides, by (5.21), $\rho^{-m-1} \hat{e}(m+1, \rho) \approx 1$. Hence,

$$\forall t \in T \quad v(t, \zeta) \approx e(t, \rho). \quad (8.6)$$

From (8.5) we see also that $v(\cdot, \zeta) \in {}^{nst}H$ and that (8.4) is true. \square

8.2. Remark. Let

$$v(\zeta) := v(t, \zeta)s(t+1, \zeta) - v(t+1, \zeta)s(t, \zeta). \quad (8.7)$$

$v(\zeta)$ is the Wronskian of solutions of the equation $\ell x = \zeta x$, therefore it is not depending upon t . If we put in the first place $t = 0$ and secondly $t = m$, we obtain

$$v(\zeta) = v(0, \zeta) = (\rho^{-m} - \rho^{-m-2})s(m+1, \zeta) = \rho^{-m-1}Z(m+1, \rho). \quad (8.8)$$

Then for $\zeta \neq \pm 1$

$$v(\zeta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow s(m+1, \zeta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Z(m+1, \zeta) = 0. \quad (8.9)$$

Therefore $v(\zeta) = 0$ iff λ is an eigenvalue of L . If ζ is a regular value of L , functions $v(\cdot, \zeta)$ and $s(\cdot, \zeta)$ form a fundamental system of solutions of the equation $\ell x = \zeta x$.

8.3. Proposition. *Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ be some regular value of L . Then $\forall t \in T, \forall u \in T$*

$$R(t, u, \zeta) = \begin{cases} -\frac{2}{v(\zeta)}s(t, \zeta)v(u, \zeta) & \text{for } t \leq u, \\ -\frac{2}{v(\zeta)}s(u, \zeta)v(t, \zeta) & \text{for } t \geq u. \end{cases} \quad (8.10)$$

In particular, $R(\cdot, \cdot, \zeta)$ is symmetric (but not Hermitian):

$$R(t, u, \zeta) = R(u, t, \zeta). \quad (8.11)$$

Proof. From (8.1) we see that $R(\cdot, u, \zeta) = R(\zeta)\delta_u$, where δ_u is the Kronecker delta concentrated at the point u . Therefore,

$$(\ell_t - \zeta)R(t, u, \zeta) = \delta_u(t), \quad R(v, u, \zeta) = R(m+1, \zeta) = 0. \quad (8.12)$$

The first equation shows that

$$R(t, u, \zeta) = \begin{cases} A(u)s(t, \zeta) & \text{for } t < u, \\ B(u)v(t, \zeta) & \text{for } t > u. \end{cases} \quad (8.13)$$

To determine $A(u)$, $B(u)$, $R(u, u, \zeta)$ we substitute successively $t = u - 1$, $t = u$, $t = u + 1$ in (8.12). We find that

$$\begin{aligned} -1/2[A(u)s(u-2, \zeta) + R(u, u, \zeta)] + [a(u-1) - \zeta]A(u)s(u-1, \zeta) &= 0, \\ -1/2[A(u)s(u-1, \zeta) + B(u)v(u+1, \zeta)] + [a(u) - \zeta]R(u, u, \zeta) &= 1, \\ -1/2[R(u, u, \zeta) + B(u)v(u+2, \zeta)] + [a(u+1) - \zeta]B(u)v(u+1, \zeta) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\ell s = \zeta s$, $\ell v = \zeta v$, the first and third equations yield

$$R(u, u, \zeta) = A(u)s(u, \zeta) = B(u)v(u, \zeta).$$

Thus the second equation can be transformed to

$$-1/2[A(u)s(u+1, \zeta) + B(u)v(u+1, \zeta)] = 1.$$

Hence

$$A(u) = -\frac{2v(u, \zeta)}{v(\zeta)}, \quad B(u) = -\frac{2s(u, \zeta)}{v(\zeta)}. \quad \square \quad (8.14)$$

We want to show that for $\zeta = -\frac{1}{2}(\rho + \rho^{-1})$, $|\rho| \gg 1$, the resolvent $R(\zeta)$ is nearstandard. To this end we use the following assertion.

8.4. Lemma. *Let $U = U_r$, $V = V_r$ be operators defined as follows: $\forall x \in H$ $Ux(1) = 0$ and $\forall t \in T$*

$$Ux(t) = r^{-t} \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} r^{u-1} x(u), \quad Vx(t) = r^{t-1} \sum_{u=t}^m r^{-u} x(u). \quad (8.15)$$

Then for $r > 1$

$$\|v\| \leq \frac{1}{(r-1)r}, \quad \|V\| \leq \frac{1}{r-1}. \quad (8.16)$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $Ux(t+1) = r^{-1}Ux(t) + r^{-2}x(t)$, $Vx(t+1) = rVx(t) - x(t)$. Therefore $\|Ux\| \leq r^{-1}\|Ux\| + r^{-2}\|x\|$, $\|Vx\| \leq r\|Vx\| + \|x\|$. \square

8.5. Proposition. *Let $\zeta = -\frac{1}{2}(\rho + \rho^{-1})$ be a regular value of L and $1 \ll |\rho| \ll \infty$. Then $R(\zeta) := (L - \zeta)^{-1} \in {}^{nst}\mathcal{B}(H)$, ${}^\circ\zeta$ is a regular value of \mathbf{L} and $\bullet[R(\zeta)] = \mathbf{R}({}^\circ\zeta) := (\mathbf{L} - {}^\circ\zeta)^{-1}$, where $\mathbf{L} = \bullet L$.*

Proof. By (3.7), (5.8), (8.4), and (8.10) there exists a real $C \ll \infty$ such that $(\forall x \in H) (\forall t \in T) (1 \ll |\rho| < r \implies |Rx(t)| \leq C|U_r x(t) + V_r x(t)|$. Therefore by (8.16),

$$\|R(\zeta)\| \leq C \frac{r+1}{r-1} = \frac{C_1}{r-1} \ll \infty. \quad (8.17)$$

It is known (see [15]) that $\forall \xi \in \mathbf{H} \forall t \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathbf{R}(\zeta) = -\frac{2}{\varepsilon(\zeta)} \left[\sigma(t, \zeta) \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} \varepsilon(u, \zeta) \xi(u) + \varepsilon(t, \zeta) \sum_{u=t}^{\infty} \sigma(u, \zeta) \xi(u) \right],$$

where $\varepsilon(\cdot, {}^\circ\zeta) = \bullet e(\cdot, \zeta)$, $\sigma(\cdot, {}^\circ\zeta) = \bullet s(\cdot, \zeta)$. Hence $\forall x \in H, \forall t \in T$ $[R(\zeta) - \mathbf{R}(\zeta)Q]x(t) = -\frac{2}{v(\xi)} s(t, \zeta) \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} v(u, \zeta)x(u) + \frac{2}{\varepsilon(\zeta)} \sigma(t, \zeta) \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} \varepsilon(u, \zeta)x(u) - \frac{2}{v(\zeta)} v(t, \zeta) \sum_{u=t}^m s(u, \zeta)x(u) - \frac{2}{\varepsilon(\zeta)} \varepsilon(t, \zeta) \sum_{u=t}^m \sigma(u, \zeta)x(u)$. In view of $|s(\cdot, \zeta) - v(\cdot, \zeta)|_r \approx 0$ and $\|v(\cdot, \zeta) - \varepsilon(\cdot, \zeta)\| \approx 0$ and in virtue of (3.7) and (5.8) (recall that $s = (\rho - \rho^{-1})Z$) there exists a real $C_2 \approx 0$ such that $\|[R(\zeta) - \mathbf{R}(\zeta)Q]x(t)\| \leq C_2[U_r x(t) + V_r x(t)]$. Thus,

$$\|R(\zeta) - \mathbf{R}(\zeta)Q\| \leq \frac{C_1 C_2}{r-1} \approx 0. \quad \square \quad (8.18)$$

8.6. Remark. As $R(\zeta) \in {}^{nst}\mathcal{B}(H)$, the equality $\bullet[R(\zeta)] = \mathbf{R}({}^\circ\zeta)$ is a simple corollary of 4.16.

Inequality (8.17) gives us an upper estimation when ρ tends in ρ -plain to the circle $|\rho| = 1$. Let us obtain a lower estimation.

8.7. Proposition. *Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ be a regular value of the operator L . Then*

$$\|R(\zeta)\| \geq 2 \frac{\|v(\cdot, \zeta)\|}{|v(\zeta)|}. \quad (8.19)$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$x_n(t) = \begin{cases} s(t, \zeta) & \text{for } t \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{for } t > n. \end{cases}$$

By (8.10), $\forall t > n$

$$R(\zeta)x_n(t) = -\frac{2v(t, \zeta)}{v(\zeta)} \sum_{u=1}^n |x_n(u)|^2.$$

Hence $|R(\zeta)x_n(t)| = 2 \left| \frac{v(t, \zeta)}{v(\zeta)} \right| \|x_n\|^2$ and $\|R(\zeta)\| \geq 2 \frac{\|v(\cdot, \zeta)\|}{|v(\zeta)|} \|x_n\|$. This implies (8.19), because $\|x_n\| \geq |s(1, \zeta)| = 1$.

8.8. Corollary. *Suppose that $\zeta = -\frac{1}{2}(\rho + \rho^{-1})$ and $|\rho| \approx 1$. Then $\|R(\zeta)\| \approx \infty$. (On this account we may speak that the halo of the segment $[-1, +1]$ contains the continuous spectrum of L .)*

Proof. It is easy to verify that for $|\rho| \approx 1$

$$v(t, \zeta) = e(t, \rho) - \rho^{-2m-2} \tilde{e}(t, \rho). \quad (8.20)$$

From the proof of Proposition 5.8 we see that (see (5.12)) $\forall \rho \in W_m \ \|v(\cdot, \zeta)\| \approx \infty$. \square

9. Spectral expansion. With any eigenvalue λ of L there is associated the spectral projector:

$$\mathcal{P}_\lambda := -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint (L - \zeta)^{-1} d\zeta, \quad (9.1)$$

i.e. the residue of the resolvent with respect to its pole λ . The path of integration in (9.1) is, for instance, a small circle (with a positive orientation) with center λ , which separates λ from other eigenvalues of L . We have

$$H_\lambda = \mathcal{P}_\lambda H, \quad (9.2)$$

where H_λ is the space of fundamental functions of L , related to λ . Let $\mathcal{P}(\cdot, \cdot, \lambda)$ be the matrix of \mathcal{P}_λ with respect to the natural basis of H , that is

$$\forall x \in H \ \forall t \in T \ \mathcal{P}_\lambda x(t) = \sum_{u=1}^m \mathcal{P}(t, u, \lambda) x(u). \quad (9.3)$$

9.1. Proposition. *Let m_λ be the multiplicity of λ as a root of the equation $v(\zeta) = 0$ (or the equation $s(m+1, \zeta) = 0$ which is equivalent). Then*

$$\mathcal{P}(t, u, \lambda) = \frac{2}{(m_\lambda - 1)!} \left(\frac{d}{d\zeta} \right)^{m_\lambda - 1} (\zeta - \lambda)^{m_\lambda} \frac{v(1, \zeta)}{v(\zeta)} s(t, \zeta) s(u, \zeta) \Big|_{\zeta=\lambda}. \quad (9.4)$$

Proof. Denote by $c(\cdot, \zeta)$ the solution of the equation $\ell c = \zeta c$ with initial values $c(0, \zeta) = 1$, $c(1, \zeta) = 0$. It is evident that

$$\forall t \in T \ v(t, \zeta) = v(1, \zeta) s(t, \zeta) + v(\zeta) c(t, \zeta); \quad (9.5)$$

we recall that $v(\zeta) := v(0, \zeta)$ and note that $c(t, \zeta)$ is a polynomial with respect to ζ (of degree $t - 2$). Therefore the last formula can be rewritten as

$$R(t, u, \zeta) = -\frac{2v(1, \zeta)}{v(\zeta)} s(t, \zeta) s(u, \zeta) + \dots \quad (9.6)$$

where \dots is some polynomial in ζ . But $\mathcal{P}(t, u, \zeta)$ is the residue of $R(t, u, \zeta)$ at pole λ :

$$\mathcal{P}(t, u, \lambda) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint R(t, u, \zeta) d\zeta. \quad (9.7)$$

Thus, by the elementary formula for the residue of an analytic function, we obtain (9.4). \square

9.2. Definition. An operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is said to be *S-compact* and we write $A \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(H)$ iff

$$(\forall x \in H) (\|x\| \ll \infty \implies Ax \in {}^{nst}H). \quad (9.8)$$

9.3. Remark. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(H)$, then $\|A\| \ll \infty$.

Indeed, since $\forall y \in {}^{nst}H$ $\|y\| \approx \|\circ y\| \ll \infty$, by permanence we obtain $\sup\{\|Ax\| : \|x\| \leq 1\} \ll \infty$. \square

9.4. Proposition. Let $\mathcal{P}^2 = \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(H)$, then $\text{rank } \mathcal{P} \ll \infty$. Besides, $\mathcal{P}^* \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(H)$ iff \mathcal{P} can be represented as follows

$$\forall x \in H \quad \mathcal{P}x = \sum_{i \leq n} (x|f_i)e_i, \quad n = \text{rank } \mathcal{P}, \quad (9.9)$$

where $e_i, f_i \in {}^{nst}H$.

Proof. Let (e_1, \dots, e_n) be a basis of $\text{im } \mathcal{P}$ and $\forall i$ $\|e_i\| \ll \infty$. Then $e_i = \mathcal{P}e_i \in {}^{nst}H$. The set $E := \{\bullet e_1, \dots, \bullet e_n\}$ is standard and all its elements are standard in the usual sense. Therefore $n = \text{card } E \ll \infty$. Evidently, if $\forall i$ $e_i, f_i \in {}^{nst}H$, then operator (9.9) and its adjoint are *S-compact*. Conversely, suppose that \mathcal{P}^* is *S-compact* too. Choose (e_1, \dots, e_n) as an orthonormal basis of $\text{im } \mathcal{P}$ and define $\forall i$ $f_i = \mathcal{P}^*e_i$. Then we get $f_i \in {}^{nst}H$ and $\forall x \in H$ $\sum_{i \leq n} (x|f_i)e_i = \sum_{i \leq n} (\mathcal{P}x|e_i)e_i = \mathcal{P}x$. \square

9.5. Definition. An eigenvalue λ of the operator L is said to be *authentic* iff spectral projectors \mathcal{P}_λ and \mathcal{P}_λ^* both are *S-compact*.

9.6. Theorem. An eigenvalue of the operator L is authentic iff it is outside.

Proof. Let λ be an outside eigenvalue of L and n_λ its algebraic multiplicity. By (7.11), $\forall k < n_\lambda$ $\left(\frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)^k s(\cdot, \zeta)|_{\zeta=\lambda} \in {}^{nst}H$. From formula (9.4) and Proposition 9.4 we conclude that \mathcal{P}_λ and \mathcal{P}_λ^* both are *S-compact*. Therefore λ is authentic. On the other hand, by 5.8, if λ is not outside, none solution x of the equation $\ell x = \lambda x$ is nearstandard. In particular, $s(\cdot, \lambda) \notin {}^{nst}H$ and $\mathcal{P}_\lambda \notin \mathcal{B}_\infty(H)$. \square

9.7. Theorem. Let λ be an authentic eigenvalue of the operator L . Then there exists a standard neighbourhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ of λ such that any eigenvalue λ' of L , belonging to U , is infinitely close to λ : $\lambda' \approx \lambda$. Moreover we have $\sum_{\lambda' \approx \lambda} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda'} \in {}^{nst}\mathcal{B}(H)$ and

$$\bullet \left(\sum_{\lambda' \approx \lambda} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda'} \right) = \mathbf{P}_{\circ\lambda}, \quad (9.10)$$

where $\mathbf{P}_{\circ\lambda}$ is the spectral projector of $\mathbf{L} = \circ L$ corresponding to $\circ\lambda$.

Proof. Note that $\circ\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{L} and it is isolated in the spectrum of \mathbf{L} . Let Γ be a circle with the center $\circ\lambda$ and with a standard radius enough small to separate $\circ\lambda$ from the remainder of the spectrum of \mathbf{L} . Let U be the neighbourhood of $\circ\lambda$ with the boundary Γ . Any eigenvalue $\lambda' \in U$ of \mathbf{L} must be infinitely close to λ . Indeed, otherwise there exists an eigenvalue $\circ(\lambda')$ of \mathbf{L} in U different from $\circ\lambda$. By Proposition 8.5, we have $\forall \zeta \in \Gamma$ $(L - \zeta)^{-1} \approx \Pi(\mathbf{L} - \zeta)^{-1}Q$. Thus $\sum_{\lambda' \approx \lambda} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda'} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint (L - \zeta)^{-1} d\zeta \approx -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \Pi \oint (\mathbf{L} - \zeta)^{-1} d\zeta Q = \Pi \mathbf{P}_{\circ\lambda} Q$, hence we obtain (9.10). \square

9.8. Corollary. *Outside any standard neighbourhood of the segment $[-1, +1] \subset \mathbb{C}$ the set of eigenvalues of L (taking into account the algebraic multiplicity) is finite (in the standard sense).*

Denote by $\sigma(L)$ the spectrum of L . Since $\dim H \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma(L)$ consists of eigenvalues only and

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(L)} \mathcal{P}_\lambda = \mathbb{I}_H. \quad (9.11)$$

For simplicity suppose that any eigenvalue of L is simple. Then formula (9.11) may be transformed as follows.

9.9. Proposition. *Let $\forall \lambda \in \sigma(L)$ $m_\lambda = 1$. Put*

$$\forall x \in H \quad \forall \lambda \in \sigma(L) \quad \tilde{x}(\lambda) := \frac{2v(1, \lambda)}{v'(\lambda)} \sum_{u \in T} x(u)s(u, \lambda). \quad (9.12)$$

(We note that $v'(\lambda) \neq 0$, whenever $m_\lambda = 1$.) Then

$$\forall t \in T \quad x(t) = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(L)} \tilde{x}(\lambda)s(t, \lambda). \quad (9.13)$$

Proof. If $\lambda \in \sigma(L)$ and $m_\lambda = 1$, formula (9.4) takes the form

$$\mathcal{P}(t, u, \lambda) = \frac{2v(1, \lambda)}{v'(\lambda)} s(t, \lambda)s(u, \lambda). \quad (9.14)$$

Therefore, in view of (9.12),

$$\mathcal{P}_\lambda x = \tilde{x}(\lambda)s(\cdot, \lambda), \quad (9.14')$$

and (9.11) implies (9.13). \square

9.10. Remark. To multiplication of operators there corresponds multiplication of their matrices. Since $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^2 = \mathcal{P}_\lambda$, $\mathcal{P}_\lambda \mathcal{P}_\mu = 0$ whenever $\lambda, \mu \in \sigma(L)$ and $\lambda \neq \mu$, we have

$$\sum_{u \in T} \mathcal{P}(t, u, \lambda)\mathcal{P}(u, t', \lambda) = \mathcal{P}(t, t', \lambda), \quad \sum_{u \in T} \mathcal{P}(t, u, \lambda) = \mathcal{P}(u, t', \mu) = 0$$

for $\lambda \neq \mu$. If $\forall \lambda \in \sigma(L)$ $m_\lambda = 1$, this implies

$$\frac{2v(1, \lambda)}{v'(\lambda)} \sum_{u \in T} [s(u, \lambda)]^2 = 1, \quad (9.15)$$

$$\sum_{u \in T} s(u, \lambda)s(u, \mu) = 0 \text{ for } \lambda \neq \mu. \quad (9.16)$$

From (9.14) we find

$$\forall \lambda \in \sigma(L) \quad \|\mathcal{P}_\lambda\| = \frac{2|v(1, \lambda)|}{|v'(\lambda)|} \|s(\cdot, \lambda)\|^2 = \frac{\|s(\cdot, \lambda)\|^2}{\sum_{u \in T} [s(u, \lambda)]^2}. \quad (9.17)$$

If $\text{Im } a(t) \equiv 0$, we have $L = L^*$. Thus $\|\mathcal{P}_\lambda\| = 1$ and

$$\|s(\cdot, \lambda)\| = \sqrt{\frac{|v'(\lambda)|}{2|v(1, \lambda)|}}, \quad \lambda \in \sigma(L). \quad (9.18)$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M.A. Naimark, *Investigation of the spectrum and expansion in eigenfunctions of a non-selfadjoint differential operator of the 2nd order on the half-axis*, Trudy Moskow. Mat. Obshch. **3** (1954), 181–270. (Russian)
- [2] V.E. Lyantse, *The non-selfadjoint differential operator of the second order on the half-axis. Appendix 2*, M.A. Naimark, Linear differential operators, part 2, F. Ungar Publishing CO, New York, 1968, pp. 292–331.
- [3] V.A. Marchenko, *Eigenfunction expansions for non-selfadjoint singular differential operators of the second order*, Matem. Sb. **52** (1960), 739–788. (Russian)
- [4] N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear operators, Part 3. Spectral operators*, Wiley-Interscience, New York-London-Sydney-Toronto, 1971.
- [5] V.E. Lyantse, *On the differential operator with the spectral singularities*, Mat. Sb. **64** (1964), no. 4, 521–561, **65** (1964), no. 1, 47–103. (Russian)
- [6] V.E. Lyantse, *Expansion in eigenfunction*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. **11** (1966), no. 8, 921–950, **11** (1966), no. 10, 1187–1224.
- [7] V.E. Lyantse, *Perturbation of continuous spectrum*, Dokl. AN SSSR **187** (1969), 514–517. (Russian)
- [8] V.A. Blashchak, *On the second-order differential operator on the whole axis with the spectral singularities*, Dokl. AN UkrSSR **1** (1966), 38–41. (Russian)
- [9] E. Nelson, *Internal Set Theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1977), 1165–1198.
- [10] E. Nelson, *Radically Elementary Probability Theory*, Princeton University Press, 1987.
- [11] F. Diener, *Cours d'analyse non standard*, Offices des Publications Universitaires, Alger, 1983.
- [12] R. Lutz, M. Goze, *Non standard analysis: a practical guide with applications* (Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 881), Springer Verlag, Berlin et New York, 1981.
- [13] P. Cartier, *Perturbations singulières et analyse non standard*, Astérisque, Séminaire Bourbaki **92–93** (1982).
- [14] G. Reeb, *Mathématique non standard (essai de vulgarisation)*, Bull. AP-MEP **328** (1981), 258–273.
- [15] W. Lyantse, *Spectrum and resolvent of finite difference operator*, Ukr. Math. J. **20** (1968), no. 4, 489–503 **21** (1969), no. 4, 461–474.
- [16] V. Lyantse, Yu. Yavorsky, *Nonstandard Sturm-Liouville difference operator*, Mat. studii **10** (1998), no. 1, 58–64.

Department of Mathematics, Lviv State University
wlanc@litech.lviv.ua

Received 1.09.1997