

УДК 517.535

## A NEW APPLICATION OF FUCHS-HAYMAN'S FUNCTION

I.E. CHYZHYKOV

I.E. Chyzhykov. *A new application of Fuchs-Hayman's function*, Matematychni Studii, **9**(1998) 7–15.

In this paper we use Fuchs-Hayman's function to solve a generalized “narrow” inverse problem of the Nevanlinna's theory in the class of analytic in the closed half-plane functions.

I. In 1962 W.H.J. Fuchs and W.K. Hayman [6] constructed the entire function  $F(z)$  of infinite order with given finite deficient values and deficiencies satisfying the condition  $\sum_n \delta_n \leq 1$ . In 1976 M.O. Girnyk [2] showed that the function  $F\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$  was a solution of the “narrow” inverse problem of Nevanlinna's theory in the class of analytic in the unit disc functions. Later on E.D. Fineberg [4] solved the same problem in the class of analytic in the half-plane functions of infinite order in terms of Tsuji characteristics. She also used the function  $F(z)$ .

Tsuji's characteristics and Nevanlinna's characteristics are usually used in the value distribution theory of functions which are analytic or meromorphic in the half-plane. Tsuji's characteristics naturally define the deficient values and the deficiencies in sense of Tsuji. Introduce these characteristics as in [1]:

$$\mathfrak{m}(r, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\varkappa(r)}^{\pi - \varkappa(r)} \log^+ |f(r \sin \theta e^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta}, \quad \mathfrak{m}(r, a, f) \equiv \mathfrak{m}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right),$$

$$\mathfrak{N}(r, f) = \int_1^r \frac{\mathfrak{n}(t, f) dt}{t^2}, \quad \mathfrak{N}(r, a, f) \equiv \mathfrak{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right),$$

where  $r > 1$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\varkappa(r) = \arcsin \frac{1}{r}$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}(t, f)$  is a number of poles of the function  $f(z)$  which belong to the set  $\{z : |z| > 1, |z - \frac{it}{2}| \leq \frac{t}{2}\}$ . Finally,  $\mathfrak{T}(r, f) = \mathfrak{m}(r, f) + \mathfrak{N}(r, f)$ . Further, we put

$$\rho_T[f] = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mathfrak{T}(r, f)}{\log r}, \quad \delta_T(a, f) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathfrak{m}(r, a, f)}{\mathfrak{T}(r, f)}.$$

Values  $\rho_T[f]$  and  $\delta_T(a, f)$  are called the order and the deficiency in the point  $a$  in the sense of Tsuji respectively.

In 1981 M.O. Girnyk [3] proved a generalization of the defect relation

$$\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta(a, f) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a \neq 0, \infty} \delta(a, f^{(k)}) \leq 1 \quad (1.1)$$

which holds in the subclass of entire functions that satisfy the condition  $\log T(2r, f^{(k)}) = o(T(r, f^{(k)}))$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Sharpness of relation (1.1) was shown.

In first part of this paper we shall prove the analog of Girnyk's result for the case of analytic in the closed half-plane functions. In the second part we generalize Fineberg's result.

Consider the class  $\mathcal{K}$  of analytic in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+ = \{z : \operatorname{Im} z \geq 0\}$  functions  $f$  that satisfy the condition

$$\log \mathfrak{T}(2r, f^{(k)}) = o(\mathfrak{T}(r, f^{(k)})), \quad r \rightarrow \infty, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (1.2)$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  then (compare with [1], p.128)

$$\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta_T(a, f^{(k)}) \leq \delta_T(0, f^{(k+1)}), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (1.3)$$

Inequality (1.3) gives

$$(\forall f \in \mathcal{K}) \quad \sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta_T(a, f) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a \neq 0, \infty} \delta_T(a, f^{(k)}) \leq 1. \quad (1.4)$$

Sharpness of inequality (1.3) is established by the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(a_{k,n})$  be an arbitrary sequence of finite complex numbers such that  $a_{k,i} \neq a_{k,j}$  as  $j \neq i$ ,  $a_{k,n} \neq 0$  as  $k \geq 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq N_k \leq \infty$ ,  $(\delta_{k,n})$  a sequence of positive numbers such that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} \delta_{k,n} \leq 1$ . There is a function  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\rho_T[f] = \infty$ ,  $\delta_T(a_{k,n}, f^{(k)}) = \delta_{k,n}$  and  $f^{(k)}$  has no other finite deficient values.*

To prove Theorem 1 we need the following lemma (analog of Lemma 4.3 [6], p.84).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\varphi(t)$  be a non-negative, bounded, local-integrable on positive ray function such that*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \varphi(t) dt = l.$$

Then

$$I(r) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\varkappa(r)}^{\pi/2} \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta} \varphi(\frac{1}{2} r \sin 2\theta) d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta} = \frac{(l + o(1))e^r}{2\sqrt{\pi r} \sqrt{r}}, \quad r \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where  $\varkappa(r) = \arcsin \frac{1}{r}$ .

*Proof of Lemma 1.* Let's consider the case  $\varphi(\theta) \equiv 1$ . Then

$$I(r) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\varkappa(r)}^{\pi/2} \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta} d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta}.$$

Let  $t = r \sin^2 \theta$  be a new variable of integration. This replacement yields  $\theta = \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{t}{r}}$  and  $\theta'(t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t(r-t)}}$ . Hence, integrating by parts and using the equality  $\int \frac{dt}{t^{3/2}\sqrt{r-t}} = -\frac{2}{r} \sqrt{\frac{r-t}{t}}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\varkappa(r)}^{\pi/2} \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta} d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{1/r}^r \frac{e^t dt}{t^{3/2}\sqrt{r-t}} = -\frac{e^t}{\pi r} \sqrt{\frac{r-t}{t}} \Big|_{1/r}^r + \frac{1}{\pi r} \int_{1/r}^r e^t \sqrt{\frac{r-t}{t}} dt = \\ &= \frac{e^{1/r}}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{r^2}} + \frac{1}{\pi r} \left( \int_{1/r}^{r-r^\alpha} e^t \sqrt{\frac{r-t}{t}} dt + \int_{r-r^\alpha}^r e^t \sqrt{\frac{r-t}{t}} dt \right) \equiv \frac{I_1 + I_2}{\pi r} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . It is easy to see that

$$I_1 = \int_{1/r}^{r-r^\alpha} e^t \sqrt{\frac{r-t}{t}} dt = O\left(r^2 e^{r-r^\alpha}\right), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{r-r^\alpha}^r e^t \sqrt{\frac{r-t}{t}} dt = \frac{(1+o(1))}{\sqrt{r}} \int_{r-r^\alpha}^r e^t \sqrt{r-t} dt = \frac{(1+o(1))e^r}{\sqrt{r}} \int_{r-r^\alpha}^r e^{t-r} \sqrt{r-t} dt = \\ &= \frac{(1+o(1))e^r}{\sqrt{r}} \int_0^{r^\alpha} e^{-u} \sqrt{u} du = \frac{(1+o(1))\sqrt{\pi}e^r}{2\sqrt{r}}, \quad r \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have  $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\varkappa(r)}^{\pi/2} \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta} d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta} = \frac{(1+o(1))e^r}{2\sqrt{\pi r}\sqrt{r}}$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . It remains to show that

$$\int_{\varkappa(r)}^{\pi/2} \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta} (\varphi(\frac{1}{2}r \sin 2\theta) - l) d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta} = o\left(\frac{e^r}{r\sqrt{r}}\right), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Putting  $\varepsilon(r) = \frac{1}{r^{2/3}}$ , we evidently have

$$\int_{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)}^{\pi/2} \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta} d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta} = O\left(\frac{e^r \varepsilon(r)}{r}\right) = o\left(\frac{e^r}{r\sqrt{r}}\right) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2} \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta} d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta} = O\left(e^{3r/4}\right) = o\left(\frac{e^r}{r\sqrt{r}}\right), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Thus, it is enough to show that the relation

$$\int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta} (\varphi(\frac{1}{2}r \sin 2\theta) - l) d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta} = o\left(\frac{e^r}{r\sqrt{r}}\right) \quad (1.5)$$

holds. Following [1], p.168–169 we define for  $\theta \in (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon(r))$

$$\Phi(\theta) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}r \sin 2\theta} \varphi(t) dt - \frac{l}{2}r \sin 2\theta.$$

Then  $\Phi'(\theta) = (\varphi(\frac{1}{2}r \sin 2\theta) - l)r \cos 2\theta$ . The range of  $\theta$  provides that there exists a constant  $K_1 > 0$  such that inequality  $r \sin 2\theta \geq K_1 r^{\frac{1}{3}}$  holds uniformly on  $\theta$ . Lemma's conditions give

$$\Phi(\theta) = o(r \sin 2\theta), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty \quad (1.6)$$

uniformly on  $\theta$ . Let  $\chi(\theta) = \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta}}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\theta}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta} (\varphi(\frac{1}{2}r \sin 2\theta) - l) d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta} &= \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} \chi(\theta) d\Phi(\theta) = \\ &= \chi(\theta) \Phi(\theta) \Big|_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} - \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} \chi'(\theta) \Phi(\theta) d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

A simple calculation yields  $\chi'(\theta) < 0$  for  $\theta \in (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon(r))$  if  $r$  is sufficiently large. Therefore relation (1.6) implies

$$\int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} \chi'(\theta) \Phi(\theta) d\theta = o(1) \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} \chi'(\theta) r \sin 2\theta d\theta.$$

But integrating by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} \chi'(\theta) r \sin 2\theta d\theta &= \chi(\theta) r \sin 2\theta \Big|_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} - 2 \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} \chi(\theta) r \cos 2\theta d\theta = \\ &= 2 \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta} \cos \theta}{r \sin \theta \cos 2\theta} \Big|_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} - 2 \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta} d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta} = -\frac{(2 + o(1))\varepsilon(r)e^r}{r} + \frac{4e^{3/4r}}{\sqrt{3}r} - \end{aligned}$$

$$-2 \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta} d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta} = o\left(\frac{e^r}{r\sqrt{r}}\right) - 2 \int_{\pi/3}^{\pi/2-\varepsilon(r)} \frac{e^{r \sin^2 \theta} d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta}. \quad (1.8)$$

Thus, (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8) yield relation (1.5). The lemma is proved.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Our proof follows closely that given in [3]. We write  $\delta_{0,0} = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} \delta_{k,n}$ . Define a bijective conformity between  $(a_{k,n})$  and  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\nu_{k,n} \in \mathbb{N}$  corresponds to  $a_{k,n}$ . If  $S$  be an arbitrary subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  then the value

$$d(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(S \cap [0, n])}{n}$$

is called the density of  $S$  whenever the limit exists. According to Lemma 4.4 ([6], p.86), we can choose  $S_{k,n}$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \bigcup S_{k,n}$ ,  $S_{i,j} \cap S_{i',j'} = \emptyset$  as  $i \neq i'$  or  $j \neq j'$ ,  $d(S_{k,n}) = \delta_{k,n}$  and  $\nu > (1 + |a_{k,n}|)2^{\nu_{k,n}}$  as  $n > 0$ ,  $\nu \in S_{k,n}$ .

For every  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$  we write

$$\psi_\nu(z) = \begin{cases} e^z, & |\nu| \in S_{0,0}, \\ a_{k,n} \frac{z^k}{k!}, & |\nu| \in S_{k,n}, n > 0. \end{cases}$$

The function we are looking for is defined by the equality

$$f(z) = \exp(-e^{-iz} + iz) \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_\nu(z) E_\nu(-iz),$$

where  $E_\nu(z)$  is an entire function,  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ , from Lemma 4.1 ([6], p.81–82).

**Lemma 2.** *There is an asymptotic representation*

$$f(z) = \psi_m(z) + O(|z|^2 e^{|z|} |\exp(-e^{-iz} + iz)|) \\ z \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{Re } z \in (-(2m+1)\pi, -(2m-1)\pi), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.9)$$

Lemma 2 follows from Lemma 1 [3].

For arbitrary  $h \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  we put

$$\varphi_{k,n}^h(t) = \begin{cases} \cos(|t + 2\pi\nu| + h), & |t + 2\pi\nu| < \frac{\pi}{2} - h, |\nu| \in S_{k,n}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $|\nu| \in S_{k,n}$  one obtains

$$\int_{2\pi\nu-\pi}^{2\pi\nu+\pi} \cos(|t+2\pi\nu|+h) dt = \int_{-\pi/2+h}^{\pi/2-h} \cos(|t|+h) dt = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2-h} \cos(t+h) dt = 2(1-\sin h).$$

It is obvious that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \varphi_{k,n}^h(t) dt = \frac{\delta_{k,n}}{\pi} (1 - \sin h)$ . Let  $z = x + iy$  and  $|x + 2\pi\nu| < \frac{\pi}{2} - h$ ,  $|\nu| \in \mathcal{S}_{k,n}$ . We write  $C_h = \{\zeta : |\zeta - z| = h\}$ . Then, using Cauchy's Theorem and Lemma 2, we have  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $n > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(k)}(z) - a_{k,n}| &= |f^{(k)}(z) - \psi_\nu^{(k)}(z)| = \left| \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_{C_h} \frac{f(\zeta) - \psi_\nu(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{k+1}} d\zeta \right| \leq \\ &\leq K_2 \frac{k!}{h^k} (|z| + h)^2 e^{|z|+h} \exp(-e^{y-h} \varphi_{k,n}^h(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_2$  is a constant independent of  $z$  and  $h$ .

Thus,

$$\log^+ \left| \frac{1}{f^{(k)}(z) - a_{k,n}} \right| \geq e^{y-h} \varphi_{k,n}^h(x) + O(|z|), \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Putting  $z = r \sin \theta e^{i\theta}$ , we get  $x = \frac{1}{2} r \sin 2\theta$ ,  $y = r \sin^2 \theta$ . Now using Lemma 1 one obtains

$$\mathfrak{m}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}(z) - a_{k,n}}\right) \geq (1 + o(1)) \delta_{k,n} \frac{1 - \sin h}{e^h} \frac{e^r}{2\sqrt{\pi^3 r} \sqrt{r}}, \quad r \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.10)$$

Futhermore, we have (see Lemma 3 for the details)

$$\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\psi(z)|}{1 + \nu^2} = O(e^{|z|}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.11)$$

From (1.9) and (1.11) it is easy to deduce that  $|\psi(z)| \leq K_3 |z|^2 e^{|z|}$ , where  $K_3$  is a constant independent of  $\nu$ . Using Lemma 2 we give an estimate  $\log^+ |f(z)| \leq e^y (-\cos x)^+ + O(|z|)$ . Thus, by Lemma 1

$$\mathfrak{T}(r, f) = \mathfrak{m}(r, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\varkappa(r)}^{\pi - \varkappa(r)} \frac{\log^+ |f(r \sin \theta e^{i\theta})| d\theta}{r \sin^2 \theta} + O(r) \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{e^r}{2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} r \sqrt{r}}. \quad (1.12)$$

From the definition of the class  $\mathcal{K}$  and the logarithmic derivative lemma ([1], p.141) we conclude that

$$(\forall k \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \mathfrak{T}(r, f^{(k)}) \leq (1 + o(1)) \mathfrak{T}(r, f^{(k-1)}), \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.13)$$

Using inequalities (1.10) and (1.12) and tending  $h$  to the zero, we obtain

$$\delta_T(a_{k,n}, f^{(k)}) \geq \delta_{k,n}, \quad n > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (1.14)$$

Similarly one can show that

$$\delta_T(0, f - e^z) \geq \delta_{0,0}. \quad (1.15)$$

To complete the proof it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta_T(a, f) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a \neq 0, \infty} \delta_T(a, f^{(k)}) + \delta_T(0, f - e^z) \leq 1. \quad (1.16)$$

Let  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q_1, \dots, q_s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using the inequality

$$\sum_{a \neq \infty} \mathfrak{m}(r, a, f) \leq \mathfrak{m}(r, 0, f') + \mathfrak{Q}(r, f),$$

where  $\mathfrak{Q}(r, f) = o(\mathfrak{T}(r, f))$ , outside, perhaps, a set  $E$ , with  $\text{mes} E < \infty$ , we yield

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^s \sum_{n=1}^{q_j} \mathfrak{m}(r, 0, f - a_{j,n}) + \mathfrak{m}(r, 0, f - e^z) &\leq \\ &\leq \mathfrak{m}(r, 0, f^{(s+1)}) + \mathfrak{m}(r, 0, f^{(s+1)} - e^z) + \mathfrak{Q}(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

One writes  $h(z) = e^{-z} f^{(s+1)}(z) + 1$ . We note that  $\mathfrak{T}(r, e^z) = O(\log r)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}(r, 1, h) + \mathfrak{m}(r, 2, h) &= \mathfrak{m}(r, 0, f^{(s+1)}) + \mathfrak{m}(r, 0, f^{(s+1)} - e^z) + O(\mathfrak{T}(r, e^z)) \leq \\ &\leq \mathfrak{T}(r, h) + O(\log r) \leq \mathfrak{T}(r, f^{(s+1)}) + O(\log r). \end{aligned} \quad (1.18)$$

It follows from (1.17) that

$$(\forall s \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \mathfrak{T}(r, h) = \mathfrak{T}(r, f^{(s+1)}) + O(\log r).$$

Thus, taking into account (1.13), (1.17) and the definition of  $h(z)$  we give (1.16). The statement of Theorem 1 follows from (1.14) and (1.15).

The theorem is proved.

*Remark.* The relation (1.4) holds for functions from the class  $\mathcal{K}'$  of analytic in  $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z : \text{Im } z > 0\}$  and in a some neighborhood of the zero functions  $f$  satisfying condition (2). Theorem 1 reminds correct if we require  $f \in \mathcal{K}'$  instead of  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ .

**II. Theorem 2.** *Let  $f(z)$  be an analytic and not constant in the closed half-plane,  $\psi_k(z)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, p$ ,  $p \geq 2$  distinct analytic functions such that  $\mathfrak{T}(r, \psi_k) = o(\mathfrak{T}(r, f))$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, p$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^p \mathfrak{m}(r, \psi_k, f) \leq \mathfrak{T}(r, f) + S(r), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $S(r) = O(\log \mathfrak{T}(r, f) + \log r)$  outside, perhaps, a set  $E$  of finite measure in the case when  $f$  has an infinite order.

In the case  $f$  is entire this is a result of Chuang Chi-tai [5]. His proof used elementary properties of the characteristics, the basic theorems and the logarithmic derivative lemma. It can be see that applying the scheme of the proof from [5] one deduces Theorem 2.

Relation (2.1) implies

$$\sum_k \delta_T(\psi_k, f) \leq 1, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\psi_k$  are functions defined for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In order to state our next theorem, we must introduce a notation. Let  $M(r, g) = \max\{g(\sin \theta e^{i\theta}) : \theta \in [0, \pi]\}$ , where  $g(z)$  is analytic in the closed half-plane.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\alpha(z)$  be an analytic in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$  function such that  $\log^+ M(r, \alpha) = o(\frac{e^r}{r^{3/2}})$ ,  $(a_k)$  a sequence of analytic in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$  functions satisfying  $\log^+ M(r, a_k) = o(\log^+ M(r, \alpha))$ ,  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $(\delta_k)$  a sequence of positive numbers such that  $\sum_k \delta_k \leq 1$  holds. There exists an analytic in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$  function  $f(z)$  satisfying  $\delta_T(a_k, f) = \delta_k$ . For any analytic in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$  function  $b(z)$  satisfying  $M(r, b) = o(M(r, \alpha))$ ,  $r \rightarrow \infty$  and  $b(z) \not\equiv a_k(z)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the equality  $\delta_T(b, f) = 0$  holds.*

*Proof.* We put  $\delta_0 = 1 - \sum_{k \geq 1} \delta_k$ . Using the properties of  $a_k(z)$  we can write for  $z = r \sin \theta e^{i\theta}$ ,  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$

$$(\forall a_k(z)) \quad (\exists b_k \in \mathbb{R}_+) : \forall r > 1 \quad M(r, a_k) \leq b_k M(r, \alpha).$$

According to Lemma 4.4 [6] there are sets  $S_k$  with the following properties: i)  $\bigcup_k S_k = \mathbb{Z}_+$ ; ii)  $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$  iff  $i \neq j$ ; iii)  $d(S_k) = \delta_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ; iv)  $k > 0$ ,  $\nu \in S_k \Rightarrow \nu > (1 + b_k)2^k$ .

Define  $\psi_\nu(z)$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f(z)$  by

$$\psi_\nu(z) = \begin{cases} \alpha(z), & |\nu| \in S_0, \\ a_k(z), & |\nu| \in S_k, \end{cases}$$

$$f(z) = \exp(-e^{-iz} + iz) \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_\nu(z) E_\nu(-iz).$$

**Lemma 3.** *There is an asymptotic representation*

$$f(z) = \psi_m(z) + O(|z|^2 M(r, \alpha) |\exp(-e^{-iz} + iz)|),$$

$$z = r \sin \theta e^{i\theta} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \operatorname{Re} z \in (-(2m+1)\pi, -(2m-1)\pi), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.* We prove the relation

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\psi_\nu(z)|}{1 + \nu^2} = O(M(r, \alpha)). \quad (2.4)$$

Simple calculations yield ( $z = r \sin \theta e^{i\theta}$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\psi_\nu(z)}{1 + \nu^2} &= \left( \sum_{|\nu| \in S_0} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{|\nu| \in S_n} \right) \frac{|\psi_\nu(z)|}{1 + \nu^2} \leq 2M(r, \alpha) \sum_{\nu=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1 + \nu^2} + \\ &+ \sum_{\nu \geq 1} \sum_{|\nu| \in S_n} \frac{|a_n(z)|}{1 + \nu^2} \leq 6M(r, \alpha) + 2M(r, \alpha) \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\nu > (1+b_n)2^n} \frac{b_n}{1 + \nu^2} \leq \\ &\leq 6M(r, \alpha) + 2M(r, \alpha) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{|b_n|}{2^n |b_n|} = O(M(r, \alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Further, arguing as in Lemma 4.2 ([6], p.83–84), we obtain the assertion of Lemma 3.



We put

$$\varphi(t) = (-\cos t)^+, \quad \varphi_k(t) = \begin{cases} \cos t, & -(2m + \frac{1}{2})\pi \leq t \leq -(2m - \frac{1}{2})\pi, m \in S_k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Evidently,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \varphi(t) dt = \frac{1}{\pi}, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^r \varphi_k(t) dt = \frac{\delta_k}{\pi}.$$

It is easy to conclude from (2.4) and Lemma 2 that  $|\psi_\nu(z)| \leq K_4 |z|^2 M(r, \alpha)$ , here  $K_4$  is a constant independent from  $\nu$ ,  $z = r \sin \theta e^{i\theta}$ .

Thus, using (2.3) we obtain

$$\log^+ |f(z)| \leq e^y (-\cos t)^+ + O(M(r, \alpha)).$$

Then applying Lemma 1 one has

$$\mathfrak{T}(r, f) \leq \frac{(1 + o(1))e^r}{2\pi^{3/2}r\sqrt{r}} + O(\log^+ M(r, \alpha)) = \frac{(1 + o(1))e^r}{2\pi^{3/2}r\sqrt{r}}, \quad r \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.5)$$

Analogously,  $z = r \sin \theta e^{i\theta}$ ,

$$|f(z) - a_k(z)| \leq K_5 (|z|^2 M(r, \alpha) |\exp(-e^{-iz} + iz)|),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \log^+ \left| \frac{1}{f(z) - a_k(z)} \right| &\geq \operatorname{Re}(e^{-iz} - iz) + O(\log |z|^2 + \log M(r, \alpha)) = \\ &= e^y \varphi_k(x) + O(\log r + \log^+ M(r, \alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1 again we obtain  $\delta_T(a_k, f) \geq \delta_k$ . Similarly one can prove that  $\delta_T(\alpha, f) \geq \delta_0$ . Therefore from (2.2) we give  $\delta_T(a_k, f) = \delta_k$  and  $\delta_T(b, f) = 0$  when  $M(r, b) = o(M(r, \alpha))$ .

Theorem 1 is proved.

## REFERENCES

1. Гольдберг А.А., Островский И.В. Распределение значений мероморфных функций. – М.: Наука, 1970.
2. Гирнык М.А. К обратной задаче теории распределения значений для функций, аналитических в единичном круге Укр. мат. журн. – 1977. – Т.29, №1. – С.32–39.
3. Гирнык М.А. О дефектах производной целой функции Укр. мат. журн. – 1981. – Т.33, №4. – С.510–513.
4. Файнберг Е.Д. Исследование о росте и распределении значений функций, мероморфных в полуплоскости. Канд. диссертация. Харьков, 1979.
5. Chuang Chi-tai. Une généralisation d'une inégalité de Nevanlinna Sci. Sinica. – 1964. – V.XIII, №6. – P.887–895.
6. Hayman W.K. Meromorphic functions. – Oxford: University Press. 1964.

Lviv University, Department of Mechanics and Mathematics

Received 15.05.1997

Revised 15.10.1997