

SOME OPEN PROBLEMS IN THEORY OF FUNCTIONS OF A COMPLEX VARIABLE

PROBLEM 1 (M. SHEREMETA). Let (n_p) be an increasing sequence of natural numbers, $n_0 = 0$. It is proved in [1] that in order that for every analytic in $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ function f the univalence in \mathbb{D} of all its derivatives $f^{(n_p)}$ would imply that f is an entire function it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \ln n_p - \frac{1}{n_p} \sum_{j=1}^p (n_j - n_{j-1}) \ln(n_j - n_{j-1}) \right\} = +\infty.$$

Find a necessary and sufficient condition on (n_p) in order that for every analytic in \mathbb{D} function f the univalence in \mathbb{D} of all its derivatives $f^{(n_p)}$ would imply that f can be analytically extended onto the disc $\mathbb{D}_R = \{z : |z| < R\}$ with $R > 1$?

[1] Sheremeta M.M. *Disproving of a Shah hypothesis on univalent functions* // *Matematychni Studii*. **2** (1993) 46–48.

PROBLEM 2 (M. SHEREMETA). Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an increasing to infinity sequence of nonnegative numbers and let $S(\Lambda)$ be the class of entire (absolutely convergent in \mathbb{C}) Dirichlet series $F(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \exp(s\lambda_n)$, $s = \sigma + it$. Put $M(\sigma, F) = \sup\{|F(\sigma + it)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $\mu(\sigma, F) = \max\{|a_n| \exp(\sigma\lambda_n) : n \geq 0\}$, and denote by $L_{\text{нз}}$ the class of nonnegative continuous increasing to ∞ functions φ such that $\varphi(2x) \sim \varphi(x)$, $x \rightarrow +\infty$.

Find a necessary and sufficient condition for Λ in order that for each function $F \in S(\Lambda)$ the relation $\varphi(\ln M(\sigma, F)) \sim \varphi(\ln \mu(\sigma, F))$ holds for $0 \leq \sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ outside of a set of finite measure where $\varphi \in L_{\text{нз}}$.

[For an information on results obtained in this direction see the article of M.M. Sheremeta contained in this issue].

PROBLEM 3 (M. SHEREMETA). Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an increasing to ∞ sequence of nonnegative numbers and L be the class of continuous nonnegative increasing to ∞ on $[0, +\infty)$ functions. Denote by $S_{\psi}(\Lambda)$ the class of entire (absolutely convergent in \mathbb{C}) Dirichlet series $F(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \exp(s\lambda_n)$, $s = \sigma + it$ such that $|a_n| \leq \exp\{-\lambda_n \psi(\lambda_n)\}$, when $n \geq n_0$, $\psi \in L$. We say that $\psi \in L_{\text{нз}}$ if $\psi \in L$ and $\psi(2x) \sim \psi(x)$, when $x \rightarrow +\infty$.

In [1] it is proved the following

Theorem. *Let $\psi \in L$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\ln n / \lambda_n \psi(\lambda_n)) = q < 1$, and let a function f be such that $\varphi(\ln x) \in L_{\text{нз}}$ and the function $\ln \varphi(x)$ in concave. In order that for every function $F \in S_{\psi}(\Lambda)$ the relation $\varphi(\ln M(\sigma, F)) \sim \varphi(\ln \mu(\sigma, F))$ holds for $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$*

it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(\ln n + \psi(\lambda_n)\gamma(\psi(\lambda_n)))}{\varphi(\psi(\lambda_n))\gamma(\psi(\lambda_n))} = 1.$$

Here, $M(\sigma, F) = \sup\{|F(\sigma + it)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\mu(\sigma, F) = \max\{|a_n| \exp(\sigma \lambda_n) : n \geq 0\}$.

It is also shown in [1] that the condition $\varphi(\ln x) \in L_{\text{нз}}$ is essential in this Theorem.

Is the condition of concavity of the function $\ln \varphi(x)$ essential?

- [1] Sheremeta M.N. *On relationships between the maximal term and the maximum modulus of an entire Dirichlet series* // Mat. Zametki. 1992. V.51, № 5. P.141–148.

PROBLEM 4 (M. SHEREMETA). Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be an entire transcendent function with entire coefficients, (γ_k) be a sequence of sign changes of the sequence (a_n) , and $M_f(r) = \max\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}$.

In [1] it was made an effort to prove the following

Theorem. *If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/\gamma_k < \infty$, then*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (\ln |f(x)| / \ln M_f(x)) = 1.$$

Later, it turned out that in the proof of this theorem [1] is an essential gap which the author can't remove. We leave this as an open problem.

- [1] Sheremeta M.N. *On a property of entire functions with real Taylor coefficients* // Mat. Zametki. 1975. V.18, № 3. P.395–402.

PROBLEM 5 (M. SHEREMETA). Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an increasing up to ∞ sequence of nonnegative numbers and $S(\Lambda)$ be the class of entire (absolutely convergent in \mathbb{C}) Dirichlet series $F(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \exp(s\lambda_n)$, $s = \sigma + it$. Put $M(\sigma, F) = \sup\{|F(\sigma + it)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\mu(\sigma, F) = \max\{|a_n| \exp(\sigma \lambda_n) : n \geq 0\}$. O.B. Skaskiv [1] has shown the following. In order that for every function $F \in S(\Lambda)$ the relationship $M(\sigma, F) \sim \mu(\sigma, F)$ holds as $0 \leq \sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ out of a set of finite measure it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Under this condition we have $\ln M(\sigma, F) - \ln \mu(\sigma, F) \rightarrow \infty$ and, moreover,

$$\sqrt{\ln M(\sigma, F)} - \sqrt{\ln \mu(\sigma, F)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

as $0 \leq \sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ out of a set of finite measure. It can be shown that the condition (1) is not necessary to satisfy (2) for every function $F \in S(\Lambda)$.

What is a necessary and sufficient condition in order that for every function $F \in S(\Lambda)$ the relationship (2) holds as $0 \leq \sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ out of a set of finite measure.

- [1] Skaskiv O.B. *Maximum modulus and maximal term of an entire Dirichlet series* // Dop. AN URSR, ser. A. 1984, № 11. P.22–24.

PROBLEM 6 (M. ZABOLOTSKYĬ). Let f be a meromorphic transcendent function, $\rho(f(z)) = |f'(z)|/(1 + |f(z)|^2)$ be its spherical derivative, $\mu(r, f) = \max\{\rho(f(z)) : |z| = r\}$, $T(r, f)$ be Nevanlinna characteristic function and Φ be a convex with respect to logarithm on $[1, +\infty)$ function such that $\Phi(2r) = O(\Phi(r))$, $r \rightarrow \infty$. Denote by $W(f, \Phi)$ the class of meromorphic functions f such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(r, f) &= O(\Phi'(r)), & r \rightarrow \infty, \\ T(r, f) &\neq O(\Phi(r)), & r \rightarrow \infty.\end{aligned}$$

It is proved in [1] that the functions of the class $W(f, \Phi)$ fail to have Nevanlinna exceptional values.

Conjecture. *There exists a meromorphic transcendent function f of the class $W(f, \Phi)$ such that $\Delta(\infty, f) > 0$ where $\Delta(a, f)$ is the Valiron defect of f in the point a , $\Phi(r)/\ln r \rightarrow \infty$, $r \rightarrow \infty$.*

Remark. In the case $\Phi(r) = \ln r$ the class $W(f, \Phi)$ coincides with that of exceptional in the sense of Julia functions. The functions of this class fail to have Valiron (and, therefore, Nevanlinna) exceptional values.

[1] Zabolotskyĭ M.V. *A generalization of a theorem of Anderson and Clunie* // *Matematychni Studii*. **1** (1991) 61–66.

PROBLEM 7 (M. ZABOLOTSKYĬ). It is proved in [1] that, if f_1, f_2 are meromorphic functions of zero genus with positive zeros and negative poles, $N(r, 0, f_1) \geq N(r, 0, f_2)$, $N(r, \infty, f_1) \geq N(r, \infty, f_2)$, then $T(r, f_1) \geq T(r, f_2)$. Here $N(r, a, f)$, $T(r, f)$ are the standard denotations from Nevanlinna theory.

Is the analogous statement valid for functions of higher growth category? In particular, for f_1, f_2 of order 1 and middle type.

More precisely, it can be formulated as follows. Find the least growth category of functions f_1 and f_2 for which the above mentioned statement is valid.

[1] Zabolotskyĭ M.V. *Some relationships for Nevanlinna characteristics of meromorphic functions of zero genus* // *Ukr. mat. zh.* 1981. V.33, № 6. P.805–810.