

УДК 519.4

I. PROTASOV, K. PROTASOVA

CLOSENESS AND LINKNESS IN BALLEANS

I. Protasov, K. Protasova. *Closeness and linkness in ballean*, Mat. Stud. **53** (2020), 100–108.

A set X endowed with a coarse structure is called ballean or coarse space. For a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) , we say that two subsets A, B of X are close (linked) if there exists an entourage $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $A \subseteq E[B]$, $B \subseteq E[A]$ (either A, B are bounded or contain unbounded close subsets). We explore the following general question: which information about a ballean is contained and can be extracted from the relations of closeness and linkness.

1. Introduction. Given a set X , a family \mathcal{E} of subsets of $X \times X$ is called a *coarse structure* on X if

- each $E \in \mathcal{E}$ contains the diagonal Δ_X , $\Delta_X = \{(x, x) \in X : x \in X\}$;
- if $E, E' \in \mathcal{E}$ then $E \circ E' \in \mathcal{E}$ and $E^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}$, where $E \circ E' = \{(x, y) : \exists z((x, z) \in E, (z, y) \in E')\}$, $E^{-1} = \{(y, x) : (x, y) \in E\}$;
- if $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\Delta_X \subseteq E' \subseteq E$ then $E' \in \mathcal{E}$;
- $\bigcup \mathcal{E} = X \times X$.

A subfamily $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is called a *base* for \mathcal{E} if, for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists $E' \in \mathcal{E}'$ such that $E \subseteq E'$. For $x \in X$, $A \subseteq X$ and $E \in \mathcal{E}$, we denote $E[x] = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in E\}$, $E[A] = \bigcup_{a \in A} E[a]$ and say that $E[x]$ and $E[A]$ are *balls of radius E around x and A* .

The pair (X, \mathcal{E}) is called a *coarse space* [14] or a ballean [11], [13].

For a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) , a subset $B \subseteq X$ is called *bounded* if $B \subseteq E[x]$ for some $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and $x \in X$. A ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is called *bounded (unbounded)* if X is bounded (unbounded). The family $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$ of all bounded subsets of (X, \mathcal{E}) is called the *bornology* of (X, \mathcal{E}) . We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\#$ the set of all ultrafilters φ on X such that $X \setminus B \in \varphi$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$.

Definition 1. Given a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) , we say that subsets A, B of X are

- *close* (write $A\delta B$) if there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $A \subseteq E[B]$, $B \subseteq E[A]$;
- *linked* (write $A\lambda B$) if either A, B are bounded or there exist unbounded subsets $A' \subseteq A$, $B' \subseteq B$ such that $A'\delta B'$.

The relations δ and λ , called *closeness* and *linkness*, are special kinds of asymptotic proximities [9]. We note that δ and λ play the central part in ultrafilter description of the Higson's corona $\nu(X, \mathcal{E})$ of (X, \mathcal{E}) , see [8] and Section 6. The negation of λ (namely,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54E99, 54D80.

Keywords: coarse structure; ballean; coarse space; closeness; linkness; rigidity; stability.

doi:10.30970/ms.53.1.100-108

asymptotic disjointness) is used for definition of normal balleans, see [7] and Section 4. The relation δ is an equivalence and each δ -class is a connected component in the hyperballean of (X, \mathcal{E}) , see [5], [12].

In above and other cases, δ and λ were used as a tool for studying balleans, but δ and λ are interesting for their own sake. In this paper, we concentrate around the following general questions:

Which properties of a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) can be recognized by $\delta_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$ or $\lambda_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$?

How can one detect if a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is uniquely determined by $\lambda_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$ or $\delta_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$?

To make these questions more precise, we need some definitions.

Definition 2. We say that two balleans (X, \mathcal{E}) , (X, \mathcal{E}') are δ -equivalent (λ -equivalent) if $\delta_{(X, \mathcal{E})} = \delta_{(X, \mathcal{E}'})$ ($\lambda_{(X, \mathcal{E})} = \lambda_{(X, \mathcal{E}'})$).

Every δ -equivalent balleans are λ -equivalent, but not vice versa, see Section 2.

If (X, \mathcal{E}) , (X, \mathcal{E}') , are λ -equivalent then $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})} = \mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E}'})$.

Definition 3. A ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is called δ -rigid (λ -rigid) if, for every coarse structure \mathcal{E}' on X such that $\delta_{(X, \mathcal{E})} = \delta_{(X, \mathcal{E}'})$ ($\lambda_{(X, \mathcal{E})} = \lambda_{(X, \mathcal{E}'})$), we have $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}'$.

Thus, every δ -rigid (λ -rigid) ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is uniquely determined by the relation $\delta_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$ ($\lambda_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$). Every λ -rigid ballean is δ -rigid.

For balleans (X, \mathcal{E}) , uniquely determined by $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$ see [4].

Definition 4. We say that a class \mathcal{K} of balleans is

- δ -stable (λ -stable), for any two δ -equivalent (λ -equivalent) balleans (X, \mathcal{E}) , (X, \mathcal{E}') , if $(X, \mathcal{E}) \in \mathcal{K}$ then $(X, \mathcal{E}') \in \mathcal{K}$;
- δ -rigid (λ -rigid), for any two δ -equivalent (λ -equivalent) balleans (X, \mathcal{E}) , $(X, \mathcal{E}') \in \mathcal{K}$, we have $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}'$.

By [9, Theorem 3.4], for every ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) , there exists the strongest by inclusion coarse structure \mathcal{E}_δ on X such that (X, \mathcal{E}) , (X, \mathcal{E}_δ) are δ -equivalent. Analogously, there exists the strongest by inclusion coarse structure \mathcal{E}_λ on X such that (X, \mathcal{E}) , (X, \mathcal{E}_λ) are λ -equivalent.

Definition 5. We say that a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is δ -strong (λ -strong) if $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_\delta$ ($\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_\lambda$).

In Section 2 we prove that every discrete ballean is λ -rigid, every coarsely discrete ballean is δ -rigid but needs not to be λ -rigid.

In Section 3 we show that the class of metrizable balleans is λ -rigid but not λ -stable, the class of submetrizable balleans is λ -stable. Every metrizable ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is λ -strong (so δ -strong) but (X, \mathcal{E}) is λ -rigid if and only if (X, \mathcal{E}) is discrete. Is every metrizable ballean δ -rigid? This question remains open.

In Section 4 we prove that the class of normal balleans is λ -stable, but not δ -rigid.

In Section 5 we prove that the class of finitary group balleans is λ -rigid.

In Section 6 we show that the parallelity relation on $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\#$ uniquely defines $\delta_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$ and apply this statement to balleans (X, \mathcal{E}) with finite $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\#$. We conclude the paper with an ultrafilter characterization of the normality.

2. Discrete balleans. We recall that a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is *discrete* if, for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists a bounded subset B such that $E[x] = \{x\}$ for each $x \in X \setminus B$. A subset $Y \subseteq X$ is called *discrete* if the subballean $(Y, \mathcal{E}|_Y)$ is discrete.

Theorem 1. *For a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) , the following statements are equivalent:*

1. (X, \mathcal{E}) is discrete;
2. if $Y \subseteq X$, $Z \subseteq X$ and $Y \delta Z$ then $Y \setminus Z$ and $Z \setminus Y$ are bounded;
3. if $Y \subseteq X$, $Z \subseteq X$ and $Y \lambda Z$ then either Y, Z are bounded or $Y \cap Z$ is unbounded.

Proof. The implications $(1) \implies (2) \implies (3)$ are evident.

We assume that (3) holds but (X, \mathcal{E}) is not discrete. Then there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that the set $\{x \in X : |E[x]| > 1\}$ is unbounded. We choose an unbounded subset Y of X such that $|E[y]| > 1$ for each $y \in Y$ and $E[y] \cap E[y'] = \emptyset$ for all distinct $y, y' \in Y$. For each $y \in Y$, we pick $z_y \in E[y] \setminus \{y\}$ and denote $Z = \{z_y : y \in Y\}$. Clearly, $Y \lambda Z$ but $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$ contradicting (3). \square

Corollary 1. *Every discrete ballean is λ -rigid and hence δ -rigid.*

To continue, we need the following construction from [6].

Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be an unbounded ballean and φ be a filter on X such that $X \setminus B \in \varphi$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$. We denote by \mathcal{E}_φ a coarse structure on X with the base $\{H_{(E, \phi)} : E \in \mathcal{E}, \Phi \in \varphi\}$, where

$$H_{(E, \phi)}[x] = \begin{cases} \{x\} & \text{if } x \in \Phi, \\ E[x] \setminus \Phi & \text{if } x \in X \setminus \Phi. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{E}_\varphi \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})} = \mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E}_\varphi)}$.

Theorem 2. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a ballean, $E_0 \in \mathcal{E}$. Assume that there exists an unbounded subset Y of X such that $|E_0[y]| > 1$, $y \in Y$ and every unbounded subset of Y can be partitioned in two unbounded subsets. Then there exists an ultrafilter φ on X such that $\mathcal{E}_\varphi \subsetneq \mathcal{E}$ and $(X, \mathcal{E}), (X, \mathcal{E}_\varphi)$ are λ -equivalent, so (X, \mathcal{E}) is not λ -rigid.*

Proof. We take an arbitrary ultrafilter $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\#$ such that $Y \in \varphi$. The definition of the coarse structure \mathcal{E}_φ ensures that $\mathcal{E}_\varphi \subsetneq \mathcal{E}$.

We take arbitrary subsets A, B of X linked in (X, \mathcal{E}) and show that A, B are linked in (X, \mathcal{E}_φ) . If A, B are bounded in (X, \mathcal{E}) then A, B are bounded in (X, \mathcal{E}_φ) , so we suppose that A, B are unbounded in (X, \mathcal{E}) . We choose unbounded subsets $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$ which are close in (X, \mathcal{E}) . If $A' \cap Y$ and $B' \cap Y$ are bounded then A', B' are close in (X, \mathcal{E}_φ) by the construction of \mathcal{E}_φ . We suppose that $A' \cap Y$ is unbounded and partition $A' \cap Y$ in two unbounded subsets C, D . Since φ is an ultrafilter, either $C \notin \varphi$ or $D \notin \varphi$. Hence, either C or D is linked to B' in (X, \mathcal{E}_φ) . \square

Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a ballean. We recall that a subset Y of X is *large* if there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $X = E[Y]$.

Clearly, Y is large if and only if $Y \delta X$. We note that every unbounded subset of X is linked with X .

A ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is called *coarsely discrete* if X contains a large discrete subset.

Theorem 3. *Every coarsely discrete ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is δ -rigid.*

Proof. Assuming that a coarse structure \mathcal{E}' on X is δ -equivalent to \mathcal{E} , we shall prove that $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}$.

Let Y be a large discrete subset of (X, \mathcal{E}) . Then there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $E[Y] = X$. Since $Y \delta X$, there exists $E' \in \mathcal{E}'$ such that $E'[Y] = X$. The δ -equivalence of the coarse structures $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ implies the δ -equivalence of the induced coarse structures $\mathcal{E}|_Y$ and $\mathcal{E}'|_Y$. Now Corollary 1 implies that $\mathcal{E}|_Y = \mathcal{E}'|_Y$. Taking into account that Y is large in both balleans (X, \mathcal{E}) and (X, \mathcal{E}') , we conclude that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}'$. \square

Example 1. We define a coarse structure \mathcal{E} on the set \mathbb{N} of natural numbers such that the ballean $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{E})$ is δ -rigid but not λ -rigid. We denote

$$A = \{(2n + 1, 2n + 2), (2n + 2, 2n + 1), (n, n) : n \in \omega\}$$

and put $\mathcal{E} = \{(F \times F) \cup A : F \subset \mathbb{N}, |F| < \omega\}$. Since the set $2\mathbb{N}$ is large and discrete in $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{E})$, by Theorem 3, $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{E})$ is δ -rigid. By Theorem 2 with $Y = 2\mathbb{N}$, $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{E})$ is not λ -rigid.

3. Ordinal and submetrizable balleans. We recall that a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is *ordinal* if \mathcal{E} has a base linearly ordered by inclusion. In this case \mathcal{E} has a base well-ordered by inclusion.

A ballean X, \mathcal{E} is called *metrizable* if there exists a metric d on X such that \mathcal{E} has the base $\{\{(x, y) : d(x, y) < r\} : r \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$. A ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is metrizable if and only if \mathcal{E} has a countable base [12, Theorem 2.1.1]. Hence, every metrizable ballean is ordinal.

We denote by \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{L} the cases of metrizable and ordinal balleans.

Theorem 4. *The following statements hold:*

1. every ordinal ballean is λ -strong;
2. \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{L} are λ -rigid;
3. an ordinal ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is λ -rigid if and only if (X, \mathcal{E}) is discrete;
4. \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{L} are not λ -stable.

Proof. (1) let (X, \mathcal{E}) be an unbounded ordinal ballean, $\{L_\lambda : \lambda < \kappa\}$ be a base of \mathcal{E} well-ordered by inclusion. We take a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}') λ -equivalent to (X, \mathcal{E}) and show that $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$. Assume the contrary and choose $E' \in \mathcal{E}' \setminus \mathcal{E}$. Then we can choose a κ -sequence $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ in X such that

- $L_\alpha[x_\alpha] \cap L_\beta[x_\beta] = \emptyset, \alpha < \beta < \kappa;$
- $E'[x_\alpha] \cap E'[x_\beta] = \emptyset, \alpha < \beta < \kappa;$
- $E'[x_\alpha] \setminus L_\alpha[x_\alpha] \neq \emptyset, \alpha < \kappa.$

For each $\alpha < \kappa$, we pick $y_\alpha \in E'[x_\alpha] \setminus L_\alpha[x_\alpha]$ and put $X' = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}, Y = \{y_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$. Then X', Y are close in (X, \mathcal{E}') . For each $E \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists $\beta < \kappa$ such that $E[x_\alpha] \subseteq L_\alpha[x_\alpha], \alpha < \beta$. Hence, $E[X'] \cap Y$ is bounded and X', Y are not linked in \mathcal{E} contradicting λ -equivalence of (X, \mathcal{E}) and (X, \mathcal{E}') .

(2) follows from (1).

(3) We note that every unbounded subset of an ordinal ballean can be partitioned in two unbounded subsets and apply Theorem 2.

(4) follows from Theorem 2. \square

By Theorem 3, the statement (3) of Theorem 4 does not hold for δ in place of λ .

Question 1. *Is every metrizable ballean δ -rigid? Equivalently, is \mathcal{M} δ -stable?*

We recall that an unbounded ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is *submetrizable* if there exists a coarse structure \mathcal{E}' on X such that $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{E}'$ and (X, \mathcal{E}') is unbounded and metrizable.

For a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) , a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *macro-uniform* if, for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists $r_E \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\text{diam } f(E[x]) \leq r_E$ for each $x \in X$. We denote $\text{mu}(X, \mathcal{E})$ the family of all macro-uniform functions on X .

By [13, Theorem 2.2.3], an unbounded ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is submetrizable if and only if there exists an unbounded function $f \in \text{mu}(X, \mathcal{E})$.

Theorem 5. *If balleans (X, \mathcal{E}) , (X, \mathcal{E}') are λ -equivalent, then $\text{mu}(X, \mathcal{E}) = \text{mu}(X, \mathcal{E}')$.*

Proof. We assume that there exists $f \in \text{mu}(X, \mathcal{E}') \setminus \text{mu}(X, \mathcal{E})$ and choose $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and a sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in X such that $\text{diam } f(E[y_n]) > 2n$. For each $n \in \omega$, we pick $z_n \in E[y_n]$ such that $|f(y_n) - f(z_n)| > n$. Passing to subsequences, we may suppose that $|f(y_n) - f(z_m)| > n$ for all $m \geq n$. We denote $Y = \{y_n : n \in \omega\}$, $Z = \{z_n : n \in \omega\}$ and note that $Y \delta_{(X, \mathcal{E})} Z$. Since $f \in \text{mu}(X, \mathcal{E}')$, for every $E' \in \mathcal{E}'$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{diam } f(E'[y_n]) < k$ for all $n \in \omega$. Then $E'[Y] \cap Z$ is finite, so Y, Z are not linked in (X, \mathcal{E}') . \square

Corollary 2. *The class of submetrizable balleans is λ -stable.*

A ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is called *mu-bounded* if (X, \mathcal{E}) is not submetrizable. For mu-bounded balleans, see [2]. By Corollary 2, the class of mu-bounded balleans is λ -stable.

4. Normal balleans. For a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) , two subsets A, B of X are called *asymptotically disjoint* if for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$ the intersection $E[A] \cap E[B]$ is bounded. We note that unbounded subsets A, B are asymptotically disjoint if and only if A, B are not linked.

A subset U of X is called an *asymptotic neighbourhood* of a subset A if for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$ the set $E[A] \setminus U$ is bounded.

A ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is called *normal* [7] if any two asymptotically disjoint subsets have disjoint asymptotic neighbourhoods.

A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *slowly oscillating* if for any $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a bounded subset B of X such that $\text{diam } f(E[x]) < \varepsilon$ for each $x \in X$. By [6, Theorem 2.2], a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is normal if and only if, for any two disjoint and asymptotically disjoint subsets A, B of X , there exists a slowly oscillating function $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f|_A = 0$, $f|_B = 1$.

We denote by $\text{so}(X, \mathcal{E})$ and $\text{sob}(X, \mathcal{E})$ the families of all and all bounded slowly oscillating functions on X .

Theorem 6. *If balleans (X, \mathcal{E}) , (X, \mathcal{E}') are λ -equivalent then $\text{sob}(X, \mathcal{E}) = \text{sob}(X, \mathcal{E}')$.*

Proof. We suppose the contrary and let $f \in \text{sob}(X, \mathcal{E}') \setminus \text{sob}(X, \mathcal{E})$. We denote $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})} = \mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E}')$ and take $\varepsilon > 0$ and $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that, for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $x_B \in X \setminus B$ such that $\text{diam } f(E[x_B]) > \varepsilon$. We pick $y_B \in E[x_B]$ such that $|f(x_B) - f(y_B)| > \varepsilon$. Then we enumerate $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$, denote $Z = \{x_B : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ and define a mapping $h: Z \rightarrow X$ as follows.

We put $h(x_{B_0}) = y_{B_0}$ and let h is already defined for each x_{B_α} , $\alpha < \beta$. If h is not defined at x_{B_β} then we put $f(x_{B_\beta}) = y_{B_\beta}$.

We take an ultrafilter $p \in \mathcal{B}^\#$ such that $Z \in p$, denote $q = h^\beta(p)$ and observe that $|f^\beta(p) - f^\beta(q)| \geq \varepsilon$. We choose $P \in p$, $Q \in p$ such that $P \subseteq Z$, $Q \subseteq Z$, $|f(x) - f^\beta(p)| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$, $x \in P$ and $|f(x) - f^\beta(q)| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$, $x \in Q$. By the construction of h , we have $P \delta_{(X, \mathcal{E})} Q$, so $P \lambda_{(X, \mathcal{E})} Q$. By the assumption, $P \lambda_{(X, \mathcal{E}')} Q$. Hence, there exist $E' \in \mathcal{E}'$ and an unbounded

$P' \subseteq P$ such that $E'[x] \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in P'$. Since f is slowly oscillating in (X, \mathcal{E}') , there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\text{diam } f(E'[x]) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ for each $x \in X \setminus B$. Then $f(E'[x]) \cap Q = \emptyset$ for each $x \in P' \setminus B$ and we get a contradiction with the choice of P' . \square

Corollary 3. *The class of normal balleans is λ -stable.*

If $(X, \mathcal{E}), (X, \mathcal{E}')$ are metrizable and $\text{sob}(X, \mathcal{E}) = \text{sob}(X, \mathcal{E}')$, then $\lambda_{(X, \mathcal{E})} = \lambda_{(X, \mathcal{E}')}$ and, by Theorem 4(2), $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}'$.

We show that the class of normal balleans is not δ -rigid.

Example 2. We denote by S_ω the group of all permutations of ω , by \mathcal{E} the coarse structure on ω with the base

$$\{(x, y) : y \in \{x\} \cup Fx\} : F \in [S_\omega]^{<\omega}\}$$

and take the strongest coarse structure \mathcal{E}' on ω such that $\mathcal{B}_{(\omega, \mathcal{E}')} = [\omega]^{<\omega}$, see Example 3 in [3]. Then $\mathcal{E} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}'$ and every infinite subset of ω is large in (ω, \mathcal{E}) and (ω, \mathcal{E}') . Hence, $\delta_{(\omega, \mathcal{E})} = \delta_{(\omega, \mathcal{E}')}$ and $(\omega, \mathcal{E}), (\omega, \mathcal{E}')$ are normal because any two infinite subsets of X are not asymptotically disjoint in (ω, \mathcal{E}) and (ω, \mathcal{E}') .

Question 2. *Is $\text{so}(X, \mathcal{E}) = \text{so}(X, \mathcal{E}')$ for any λ -equivalent balleans $(X, \mathcal{E}), (X, \mathcal{E}')$?*

Following [3], we say that a ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) has *bounded growth* if, there exists a mapping $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$ such that for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$, the set $\{x \in X : E[x] \not\subseteq f(x)\}$ is bounded.

Question 3. *Is the class of balleans of bounded growth λ -stable?*

5. Finitary balleans. For a group G , we denote by \mathcal{F}_G the coarse structure on G with the base

$$\{(x, y) \in G \times G : y \in \{x\} \cup Fx\} : F \in [G]^{<\omega}\}$$

and say that (G, \mathcal{F}_G) is the *finitary group ballean* of G . The following theorem show that the class of finitary group balleans is λ -rigid.

Theorem 7. *Let G, H be group on a set X such that $\lambda_{(X, \mathcal{F}_G)} = \lambda_{(X, \mathcal{F}_H)}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_G = \mathcal{F}_H$.*

Proof. If X is countable then the statement follows from Theorem 4(2) because $\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_H$ have countable bases, so we suppose that X is uncountable.

We denote by $\cdot, *$ the group operations in G, H and, on the contrary, assume that $\mathcal{F}_G \setminus \mathcal{F}_H \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ such that, for any $H \in [X]^{<\omega}$, there exists $x \in X$ such that $F \cdot x \setminus H * x \neq \emptyset$.

We use the following observation:

- (\star) for every countable subset Y of X , there exists a countable subset Z such that $Y \subset Z$ and, for every $A \in [Y]^{<\omega}$, there exists $z \in Z$ such that $F \cdot z \setminus A * z \neq \emptyset$.

We fix an arbitrary countable subset Y_0 of X containing F and apply (\star) to get a countable subgroup Z_0 of G , $Y_0 \subset Z_0$. Then we denote by Y_1 the subgroup of H generated by Z_0 . Analogously, for Y_1 we use (\star) to get the subgroup Z_1 of G , $Y_1 \subset Z_1$, and denote by Y_2 the subgroup of H generated by Z_1 . After ω steps, we put $S = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} Y_n$ and note that S is a subgroup of G and S is a subgroup of H .

By the construction of S , for every $A \in [S]^{<\omega}$, there exists $x \in S$ such that $F \cdot x \setminus A * x \neq \emptyset$. Hence, the restriction of \mathcal{F}_G and \mathcal{F}_H to S are distinct. Since S is countable, we get a contradiction to Theorem 4(2). \square

A ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is called *finitary* if for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $|E[x]| < n$ for each $x \in X$. By [9], for every finitary ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) , there exists a group S of permutations of X such that \mathcal{E} has the base

$$\{ \{(x, y) : y \in \{x\} \cup Fx\} : F \in [S]^{<\omega} \}.$$

Example 2 shows that the class of finitary ballians is not δ -stable.

Question 4. *Is the class of finitary ballians δ -rigid?*

The product of two λ -rigid ballians needs not to be λ -rigid: take two metrizable unbounded discrete ballians and apply Corollary 1 and Theorem 2.

Question 5. *Is the product of two δ -rigid ballians δ -rigid?*

A ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is called *cellular* if \mathcal{E} has a base consisting of equivalence relations. Equivalently [4, Theorem 3.1.3], (X, \mathcal{E}) is cellular if $\text{asdim}(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$.

Question 6. *Is the class of cellular ballians λ -stable?*

Remark 1. As was recently shown by Banach [1], the answers to Questions 4 and 6 are negative under CH (more precisely, under $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c}$, and $\Delta_\omega^\circ = \mathfrak{c}$, respectively).

5. Ultrafilters. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a ballean. We endow X with the discrete topology and consider the *Stone–Čech compactification* βX of X . We take the points of βX to be the ultrafilters on X . Then $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\sharp$ is a closed subset of βX .

Given any $r, q \in \mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\sharp$, we say that r, q are *parallel* (and write $r \parallel_{(X, \mathcal{E})} q$) if there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $E[P] \in q$ for each $P \in p$. By [7, Lemma 4.1], $\parallel_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$ is an equivalence on $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\sharp$. We denote by $\sim_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$ the minimal (by inclusion) closed (in $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\sharp \times \mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\sharp$) equivalence such that $\parallel_{(X, \mathcal{E})} \subseteq \sim_{(X, \mathcal{E})}$. The quotient $\nu(X, \mathcal{E})$ is called the *Higson corona* of (X, \mathcal{E}) .

By [8, Proposition 1], $r \sim_{(X, \mathcal{E})} q$ if and only if $h^\beta(p) = p^\beta(q)$ for every slowly oscillating function $h: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Theorem 8. *Let $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ be coarse structures on a set X such that $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\sharp = \mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E}')}^\sharp$ and $\parallel_{(X, \mathcal{E})} = \parallel_{(X, \mathcal{E}'')}$. Then $(X, \mathcal{E}), (X, \mathcal{E}')$ are δ -equivalent. If $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\sharp$ is finite, then $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}'$.*

Proof. We suppose that there exist $P \subseteq X, Q \subseteq X$ such that $P \delta_{(X, \mathcal{E})} Q$ and $P \setminus E'(Q) \neq \emptyset$ for each $E' \in \mathcal{E}'$.

Since $P \delta_{(X, \mathcal{E})} Q$, there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $P \subseteq E[Q]$ and $E = E^{-1}$. For each $x \in P$, we pick $f(x) \in Q$ such that $(x, f(x)) \in E$. If $p \in \mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\sharp$ and $P \in p$ then $p \parallel_{(X, \mathcal{E})} f^\beta(p)$ and $Q \in f^\beta(p)$. Thus, each ultrafilter $p \in \mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\sharp$ such that $P \in p$ is parallel in $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\sharp$ to some ultrafilter q such that $Q \in q$.

We take an arbitrary ultrafilter r such that $P \setminus E'[Q] \in r$ for each $E' \in \mathcal{E}$. Then $P \in r$ and r is not parallel in $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E}')}^\sharp$ to each ultrafilter q such that $Q \in q$. Hence, $\parallel_{(X, \mathcal{E})} \neq \parallel_{(X, \mathcal{E}'')}$.

To prove the second statement, it suffices to show that (X, \mathcal{E}) is coarsely discrete and apply Theorem 3.

We choose a representative from each class of parallel ultrafilter in $\mathcal{B}_{(X, \mathcal{E})}^\sharp$. Let p_1, \dots, p_m be the set of obtained representatives. Let $E \in \mathcal{E}$. We choose $P_1 \in p_1, \dots, P_n \in p_n$ such that $E[P_i] \cap P_j = \emptyset$. Then $P_1 \cup \dots, P_n$ is discrete and large in (X, \mathcal{E}) , so (X, \mathcal{E}) is coarsely discrete. \square

Remark 2. Let $\mathcal{B}_{(X,\mathcal{E})}^\# = \mathcal{B}_{(X,\mathcal{E}')}^\#$. Does $\delta_{(X,\mathcal{E})} = \delta_{(X,\mathcal{E}')} implies $\|\!|(X,\mathcal{E}) = \|\!|(X,\mathcal{E}')$?$

The answer to this question is negative. We take the balleans $(\omega, \mathcal{E}), (\omega, \mathcal{E}')$ from Example 11 and note that $p, q \in \omega^*$ are parallel in $\mathcal{B}_{(\omega,\mathcal{E})}^\#$ if and only if $p = f^\beta(q)$ for some bijection $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$. On the other hand, we partition $\omega = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} W_n$ so that $|W_n| = n + 1$ and take a mapping $h: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $h(W_n) = \{a_n\}$, $a_n \in W_n$. We choose $p \in \omega^*$ such that p and $h^\beta(p)$ are not isomorphic. By the choice of h, p and $h^\beta(p)$ are parallel in $\mathcal{B}_{(X,\mathcal{E}')}^\#$ but not in $\mathcal{B}_{(X,\mathcal{E})}^\#$.

Theorem 9. If $(X, \mathcal{E}), (X, \mathcal{E}')$ are finitary balleans and $\|\!|(X,\mathcal{E}) = \|\!|(X,\mathcal{E}')$ then $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}'$.

Proof. Let G, G' be groups of permutations of X defining $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$. We assume that $\mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}' \neq \emptyset$. Then there is $H \in [G]^{<\omega}$ such that, for every $A \in [G']^{<\omega}$, the set $Y_A = \{x \in X: Hx \setminus Ax \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite. We take $p \in \mathcal{B}_{(X,\mathcal{E})}^\#$ such that $Y_A \in p$ for each $A \in [G']^{<\omega}$. Let $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$. We take $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G'$ such that $h_1 p = g_1 p, \dots, h_n p = g_n p$ and put $B = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$. Then $\{x \in X: h_1 x = g_1 x, \dots, h_n x = g_n x\} \in p$ and $Y_B \notin p$, contradict the choice of p . \square

Theorem 10. For a normal ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) and $p, q \in \mathcal{B}_{(X,\mathcal{E})}^\#$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $p \sim q$;
- (2) $P \lambda Q$ for each $P \in p, Q \in q$;
- (3) $(p, q) \in cl\|$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). If P, Q are unbounded and asymptotically disjoint then, by the normality of (X, \mathcal{E}) , there exists a slowly oscillating function $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f|_P = 1, f|_Q = 0$, so $f^\beta(p) \neq f^\beta(q)$ and p, q are not equivalent.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). We choose $E \in \mathcal{E}$, unbounded subsets $P' \subseteq P, Q' \subseteq Q$ such that there is a bijection $h: P' \rightarrow Q'$ satisfying $(x, h(x)) \in E, x \in P'$. If $r \in \mathcal{B}_{(X,\mathcal{E})}^\#$ and $P' \in r$ then $r \|\!| h^\beta(r)$ and $P \in r, Q \in h^\beta(r)$.

The implication (3) \Rightarrow (1) follows from definitions of \sim and $\|\!|$. \square

Theorem 11. A ballean (X, \mathcal{E}) is normal if and only if $\sim = cl\|$.

Proof. By Theorem 10, if (X, \mathcal{E}) is normal then $\sim = cl\|$. We assume that $\sim = cl\|$ and show that any two unbounded asymptotically disjoint subsets P, Q of X have disjoint asymptotic neighborhoods.

Let $p, q \in \mathcal{B}_{(X,\mathcal{E})}^\#, P \in p, Q \in q$. Since P, Q are asymptotically disjoint, we have $(p, q) \notin cl\|$, so there exists a slowly oscillating function $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f^\beta(p) = 1, f^\beta(q) = 0$. We denote $P' = \{x \in P: f(x) > 3/4\}, Q' = \{x \in Q: f(x) < 1/2\}$. Then $P' \in p, Q' \in q$ and P', Q' have disjoint asymptotic neighborhoods.

We fix $p \in \mathcal{B}_{(X,\mathcal{E})}^\#$ such that $P \in p$ and apply above paragraph to find $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathcal{B}_{(X,\mathcal{E})}^\#, Q_1 \in q_1, \dots, Q_n \in q_n$ and $P' \in p$ such that P' and $Q_1 \cup \dots \cup Q_n$ have asymptotically disjoint neighborhoods and $Q \setminus (Q_1 \cup \dots \cup Q_n)$ is bounded. It follows that P', Q have disjoint asymptotic neighborhoods.

At last, we find $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathcal{B}_{(X,\mathcal{E})}^\#$ and $P_1 \in p_1, \dots, P_m \in p_m$ such that $p_1 \cup \dots \cup p_m$ and Q have disjoint asymptotic neighborhoods and $P \setminus (P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_m)$ is bounded. Hence, P and Q have disjoint asymptotic neighborhoods. \square

REFERENCES

1. T. Banach, *Small uncountable cardinals in large-scale topology*, preprint arxiv.org/abs/2002.08800.
2. T. Banach, I. Protasov, *Functional boundedness of balleanes: coarse versions of compactness*, *Axioms*, 2019, **8**, 33; doi: 10.3390/axioms 8010033.
3. T. Banach, I. Protasov, *The normality and bounded growth of balleanes*, arXiv: 1810.07979.
4. T. Banach, I. Protasov, *Minmax bornologies*, *Ukr. Math. Bull.*, **16** (2019), 496–502.
5. D. Dikranjan, I. Protasov, K. Protasova, N. Zava, *Balleans, hyperballeans and ideals*, *Appl. Gen. Topology*, **20** (2019), 431–447.
6. O. Petrenko, I. Protasov, *Balleans and filters*, *Math. Stud.*, **38** (2012), 3–11.
7. I. Protasov, *Normal ball structures*, *Math. Stud.*, **20** (2003), 3–16.
8. I. Protasov, *Coronas of balleanes*, *Topology Applications*, **149** (2005), 149–160.
9. I. Protasov, *Asymptotic proximities*, *Appl. Gen. Topology*, **9** (2008), 189–195.
10. I.V. Protasov, *Balleans of bounded geometry and G -space*, *Algebra Discrete Math.*, **2008**, №2, 101–108.
11. I. Protasov, T. Banach, *Ball structures and colorings of groups and graphs*, *Math. Stud. Monogr. Ser.*, V.11, VNTL, Lviv, 2003.
12. I. Protasov, K. Protasova, *On hyperballeans of bounded geometry*, *Europ. J. Math.*, **4** (2018), 1515–1520.
13. I. Protasov, M. Zarichnyi, *General Asymptopogy*, *Math. Stud. Monogr.*, V.12, VNTL, Lviv, 2007.
14. J. Roe, *Lectures on Coarse Geometry*, *AMS University Lecture Ser.*, V.31, Providence, RI, 2003.

Faculty of Computer Science and Cybernetics
Kyiv University, Kyiv, Ukraine
i.v.protasov@gmail.com
ksuha@freenet.com.ua

Received 25.11.2019

Revised 22.02.2020