

UDK 517.98, 517.5

YA. V. MYKYTYUK, N. S. SUSHCHYK

SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF THE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR WITH OPERATOR-VALUED POTENTIAL

Ya. V. Mykytyuk, N. S. Sushchyk. *Spectral properties of the Schrödinger operator with operator-valued potential*, Mat. Stud. **64** (2025), 49–70.

Let H be a separable Hilbert space, and let $\mathcal{H} := L_2(\mathbb{R}, H)$. In the space \mathcal{H} , we consider the self-adjoint Schrödinger operator of the form $T_q f = -f'' + qf$, where q is a reflectionless operator-valued potential. Let \mathcal{P}_+ and \mathcal{P}_- be the spectral projectors of the operator T_q corresponding to the positive half-line \mathbb{R}_+ and the negative half-line \mathbb{R}_- , respectively. Define $\mathcal{H}_\pm := \mathcal{P}_\pm \mathcal{H}$, and let $T_q^\pm := T_q|_{\mathcal{H}_\pm}$.

In this paper, we show that the operator T_q has trivial kernel, and that the operator T_q^+ is unitarily equivalent to the unperturbed operator T_0 . Next, let B be an arbitrary bounded negative operator in a separable Hilbert space H_1 ($\dim H_1 \leq \dim H$ if $\dim H < \infty$). Then we prove that there exists a reflectionless potential q such that T_q^- is unitarily equivalent to the operator B .

A key role in this work is played by solutions of the operator Riccati equation of the form

$$S'(x) = KS(x) + S(x)K - 2S(x)KS(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $K \in \mathcal{B}_+(H) \setminus \{0\}$, and $S: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$. Here, $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators acting in H , and $\mathcal{B}_+(H) = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(H) \mid A \geq 0\}$.

1. Introduction. This paper is devoted to the study of the spectral properties of the Schrödinger operator with a reflectionless operator-valued potential. It continues the investigation started in [1] and [2].

1.1. Reflectionless potentials of the Schrödinger operator. Let H be a separable Hilbert space with the inner product $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ that is linear in the first argument. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(H)$ the Banach algebra of all everywhere-defined linear continuous operators $A: H \rightarrow H$, and by $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}(H)$ the group of all invertible operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Also, let $\mathcal{B}_+(H)$ be the cone of nonnegative operators, and let I be the identity operator in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. The domain, range, kernel, and the spectrum of a linear operator will be denoted by $\text{dom}(\cdot)$, $\text{ran}(\cdot)$, $\ker(\cdot)$, and $\sigma(\cdot)$, respectively. For arbitrary operators $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, we write $A < B$ if $A \leq B$ and $\ker(B - A) = \{0\}$. If a sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ converges to an operator A in the strong operator topology, we write $A = \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$.

Denote by $\mathcal{H} := L_2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ the Hilbert space of square integrable functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H$ with the inner product

$$(f \mid g)_{\mathcal{H}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x) \mid g(x)) dx, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{H},$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34L40, 35J10, 47A62, 47A75.

Keywords: Schrödinger operator; reflectionless potentials; operator Riccati equation.

doi:10.30970/ms.64.1.49-70

and let \mathcal{I} be the identity operator in \mathcal{H} .

To simplify notation, we will use the following abbreviations for a function

$$z \mapsto F(z) \in \mathcal{B}(H): (F(z))^* = F^*(z), (F(z))^{-1} = F^{-1}(z).$$

Let $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ be the linear space of all continuous functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, and set

$$C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H)) := \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H)) \mid \|f\|_\infty < \infty\} \quad (\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(x)\|),$$

$$C_{b,s}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H)) := \{q \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad q^*(x) = q(x)\}.$$

We will associate every potential $q \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ with the Schrödinger operator $T_q: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$T_q f = -f'' + qf \tag{1}$$

on the domain $\text{dom } T_q := W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$, where $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ is the Sobolev space of functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H$. If the potential $q \in C_{b,s}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H))$, then the operator T_q is self-adjoint.

Throughout the paper, we denote by \mathcal{P}_+ (\mathcal{P}_-) the spectral projector of the operator T_q corresponding to the positive half-line \mathbb{R}_+ (the negative half-line \mathbb{R}_-). We also set $\mathcal{H}_\pm := \mathcal{P}_\pm \mathcal{H}$, and define $T_q^\pm := T_q|_{\mathcal{H}_\pm}$.

Let $q \in C_{b,s}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Consider the equation

$$-y'' + qy = zy. \tag{2}$$

As shown in [3], for every $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, there exist the Weyl–Titchmarsh $\mathcal{B}(H)$ -valued right $f_+(z, \cdot)$ and left $f_-(z, \cdot)$ normalized solutions of the equation (2), i.e., the solutions that satisfy the condition $f_+(z, 0) = f_-(z, 0) = I$ and for every $h \in H$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_\pm} \|f_\pm(z, x)h\|^2 dx < \infty.$$

The functions $m_\pm(z) := f'_\pm(z, 0)$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, are called the Weyl–Titchmarsh m -functions of the equation (2) on the half-lines \mathbb{R}_\pm . It is well-known (see [3]) that the equalities

$$m_\pm(\bar{z}) = m_\pm^*(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \tag{3}$$

hold, and the functions m_+ and $-m_-$ are Herglotz functions in the upper half-plane, i.e.,

$$\pm \text{Im } m_\pm(z) \geq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+. \tag{4}$$

Definition 1. Let $q \in C_{b,s}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ and let m_\pm be the Weyl–Titchmarsh functions of the equation (2). We call the potential q (the operator T_q) *reflectionless* if the $\mathcal{B}(H)$ -valued function

$$n_q(\lambda) := \begin{cases} m_+(\lambda^2), & \text{Im } \lambda > 0, \text{ Re } \lambda \neq 0; \\ m_-(\lambda^2), & \text{Im } \lambda < 0, \text{ Re } \lambda \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

has an analytic continuation to the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}$. Denote by \mathcal{Q} the set of all reflectionless potentials $q \in C_{b,s}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H))$.

In the scalar case, Definition 1 is equivalent to the definitions given in [4] and [5].

1.2. Basic results from [1] and [2]. Hereafter, we assume that K is an arbitrary operator in $\mathcal{B}_+(H) \setminus \{0\}$, and let P be the orthogonal projector that projects H onto the subspace $H_1 := \text{ran } \overline{K}$, and $P^\perp := I - P$.

Our construction is based on the operator Riccati equation of the form

$$S'(x) = KS(x) + S(x)K - 2S(x)KS(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{5}$$

where $S: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{S}(K)$ the set of all solutions S of the equation (5) such that $0 < S(0) < I$, $S'(0) \geq 0$. A function $S \in \mathcal{S}(K)$ is called *regular* if the operators $S(0)$ and $I - S(0)$ belong to $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}(H)$. The set of all regular functions $S \in \mathcal{S}(K)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\text{reg}}(K)$.

It turns out that every solution $S \in \mathcal{S}(K)$ generates a reflectionless potential $q_S \in \mathcal{Q}$. Moreover, an explicit formula can be given for the mapping $S \mapsto q_S$.

Proposition 1 ([1]). *Let $S \in \mathcal{S}(K)$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$S'(x) \geq 0, \quad 0 < S(x) < I, \quad (6)$$

$$S(x)P = PS(x), \quad S'(x)P^\perp = P^\perp S'(x) = 0, \quad S(x)P^\perp = S(0)P^\perp. \quad (7)$$

Moreover, the function S has an analytic continuation in the strip

$$\Pi_K := \{z = x + iy \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}, |y| < \pi/(2\|K\|)\}.$$

This continuation is given by the formula

$$S(z) = e^{zK}(S^{-1}(0) - I + e^{2zK})^{-1}e^{zK}, \quad z \in \Pi_K,$$

and the following estimate holds

$$\|S(z)\| \leq [\cos(y\|K\|)]^{-1}, \quad z \in \Pi_K, \quad y = \text{Im } z.$$

With every function $S \in \mathcal{S}(K)$, we associate the operators

$$\Gamma := \Gamma_S := S^{-1}(0) - I, \quad R := R_S := |S'(0)|^{1/2}S^{-1}(0),$$

and construct the following analytic operator-valued functions in Π_K :

$$\begin{aligned} L(z) &:= L_S(z) := e^{zK}(I - S(z)) + e^{-zK}S(z), \\ \Psi(z) &:= \Psi_S(z) := |S'(0)|^{1/2}L(z), \quad q(z) := q_S(z) := -4\Psi_S(z)K\Psi_S^*(\bar{z}). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

It follows from (7) that

$$P\Gamma = \Gamma P, \quad R = PR = RP, \quad \Psi(z) = P\Psi(z) = \Psi(z)P, \quad q(z) = Pq(z) = q(z)P \quad (z \in \Pi_K). \quad (9)$$

Theorem 1 ([1]). *Let $S \in \mathcal{S}(K)$. Then q_S is a reflectionless potential and*

$$\|q_S(z)\| \leq \frac{2\|K\|^2}{\cos^2(y\|K\|)}, \quad z \in \Pi_K, \quad y = \text{Im } z.$$

We define the following subsets of the set \mathcal{Q} of all reflectionless potentials:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(K) &:= \{q_S \mid S \in \mathcal{S}(K)\}, & \mathcal{Q}_{\text{reg}}(K) &:= \{q_S \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{reg}}(K)\}, \\ \mathcal{Q}_\pi &:= \bigcup_K \mathcal{Q}(K), & \mathcal{Q}_{\text{reg}} &:= \bigcup_K \mathcal{Q}_{\text{reg}}(K). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. The mapping $\mathcal{S}(K) \ni S \mapsto q_S \in \mathcal{Q}_\pi$ is surjective. However, it is not injective. Indeed, if $S, \tilde{S} \in \mathcal{S}(K)$ and $S(0)P = \tilde{S}(0)P$, then $\Psi_S = \Psi_{\tilde{S}}$ and $q_S = q_{\tilde{S}}$.

The case $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{reg}}$ is technically more convenient to study. For this reason, most of the results will first be established for $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{reg}}$. To extend them to the general case $q \in \mathcal{Q}_\pi$, we pass to the limit and apply the following proposition.

Proposition 2 ([1]). *Let $S \in \mathcal{S}(K)$ and*

$$S_\varepsilon(x) = e^{xK}(B_\varepsilon^{-1} - I + e^{2xK})^{-1}e^{xK}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1/2),$$

where $B_\varepsilon := \varepsilon I + (1 - 2\varepsilon)S(0)$. Then $S_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{reg}}(K)$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$. Moreover,

$$\|S(z) - S_\varepsilon(z)\| = o(1), \quad \|\Psi(z) - \Psi_{S_\varepsilon}(z)\| = o(1), \quad \|q(z) - q_{S_\varepsilon}(z)\| = o(1) \quad (10)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact sets in Π_K .

The following proposition describes the properties of the function Ψ , which, along with S , plays a central role in this paper.

Proposition 3 ([1]). *Let $S \in \mathcal{S}(K)$. Then*

$$-\Psi''(x) + q(x)\Psi(x) = -\Psi(x)K^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (11)$$

$$S'(x) = \Psi^*(x)\Psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (12)$$

$$\|\Psi(z)\| \leq \frac{\pi \|K\|^{1/2}}{2 \cos(y\|K\|)}, \quad z \in \Pi_K, \quad y = \operatorname{Im} z.$$

If, in addition, $S \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{reg}}(K)$, then $R, \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and

$$K\Gamma + \Gamma K = R^*R, \quad \Psi(z) = Re^{-zK}S(z) \quad (z \in \Pi_K). \quad (13)$$

1.3. Classes of operator-valued measures. Let $B(\mathbb{R})$ be the σ -algebra of all Borel subsets of the real line \mathbb{R} , and let $B_b(\mathbb{R})$ denote the ring of all bounded subsets in $B(\mathbb{R})$.

Definition 2. A mapping $\mu: B_b(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is called an *operator-valued measure* on \mathbb{R} if it satisfies the following conditions

- 1) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mu(A) \geq 0$ for all $A \in B_b(\mathbb{R})$;
- 2) the function μ is strongly countably additive, i.e., if $A = \bigsqcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j$ is a disjoint decomposition of a set $A \in B_b(\mathbb{R})$ into subsets $A_j \in B_b(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\mu\left(\bigsqcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j\right) = \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j).$$

We denote by \mathcal{M}_l (\mathcal{M}_b) the set of all operator-valued measures $\mu: B_b(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ for which the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{1+|t|} \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\mu(t) \right)$$

converges in the strong operator topology. Denote by \mathcal{M} the set of all measures $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b$ with compact support.

We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and let $r := (\|q\|_{\infty})^{1/2}$. Then there exists a unique measure $\nu_q \in \mathcal{M}$ such that*

$$n_q(\lambda) = i\lambda I + \int \frac{d\nu_q(t)}{t - i\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{supp} \nu_q \subset [-r, r], \quad \int d\nu_q(t) = -\frac{1}{2}q(0) \text{ and } m_{\pm}(\lambda^2) = n_q(\pm\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus i\mathbb{R}. \quad (14)$$

The mapping

$$\mathcal{Q} \ni q \mapsto \nu_q \in \mathcal{M} \quad (15)$$

is an analogue of the mapping constructed by V.A. Marchenko in the scalar case (see [4]). This mapping, which we call the Marchenko parametrization, plays an important role in the spectral theory of reflectionless potentials. The authors plan to devote a separate publication to its detailed study. In particular, we expect that the mapping (15) will be used to prove that $\mathcal{Q}_{\pi} = \mathcal{Q}$.

1.4. The formulation of the main result. The main result of this paper is

Theorem 3. *Let $S \in \mathcal{S}(K)$ and $q = q_S$. Then*

- (I) $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$;
- (II) *the operator T_q^- is unitarily equivalent to the operator $-K_1^2$, where $K_1 := K|_{H_1}$;*
- (III) *the operator T_q^+ is unitarily equivalent to the unperturbed operator T_0 .*

Interestingly, the results of this work imply the following fact: the operator T_q with potential $q \in \mathcal{Q}_\pi$ is uniquely determined by its negative part, i.e., the operator T_q^- . In particular, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 4. *Let $q \in C_{b,s}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H))$. Then*

- (I) *the operator $(-T_q^-)^{1/2}$ is a continuous positive integral operator on \mathcal{H}_- , acting according to the formula*

$$[(-T_q^-)^{1/2}\varphi](x) = \text{s-lim}_{a \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-a}^a \mathcal{K}_q(x, t)\varphi(t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_-,$$

where $\mathcal{K}_q: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a continuous bounded function uniquely determined by the operator T_q^- (by the potential q);

- (II) *if $q \in \mathcal{Q}_\pi$, then $q(x) = -4\mathcal{K}_q(x, x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proposition 4 leads to the following question.

Question 1. *Does the following equality hold*

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{q \in C_{b,s}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad q(x) = -4\mathcal{K}_q(x, x)\}?$$

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 2. In Section 3, we show that $\ker T_q = \{0\}$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. In Section 4, we study an isometric operator V that is closely related to the operator T_q^- . In Section 5, we construct an analogue of the classical transformation operator for T_q with $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{reg}}(K)$. In Section 6, we construct an isometric operator \mathfrak{A} that realizes a unitary equivalence between the operators T_q^+ and T_0 . Finally, in Section 7, we complete the proof of Theorem 3 and prove Proposition 4. In the Appendix, we prove a result concerning a certain special operator equation.

2. The proof of Theorem 2. Let $q \in C_{b,s}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H))$, and let $\alpha = \alpha^* \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. We denote by $\mathcal{T}_{q,\alpha}$ the self-adjoint Schrödinger operator acting in the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ by the formula

$$\mathcal{T}_{q,\alpha}f = -f'' + qf$$

on the domain

$$\text{dom } \mathcal{T}_{q,\alpha} := \{g \in W_2^2(\mathbb{R}_+, H) \mid (\cos \alpha)g(0) + (\sin \alpha)g'(0) = 0\}.$$

According to the results of [3], the Weyl–Titchmarsh function m_α of the operator $\mathcal{T}_{q,\alpha}$ admits the representation

$$m_\alpha(\lambda) = C_\alpha + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{1}{t - \lambda} - \frac{t}{1 + t^2} \right] d\rho_\alpha(t), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad (16)$$

where $C_\alpha = C_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\rho_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_l$. Moreover, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 4 ([3]). *The operator $\mathcal{T}_{q,\alpha}$ is unitarily equivalent to the multiplication operator Υ by the independent variable in the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}, d\rho_\alpha, H)$.*

In particular, Theorem 4 implies the identity $\text{supp } \rho_\alpha = \sigma(\mathcal{T}_{q,\alpha})$.

Lemma 1. *Let $q \in C_{b,s}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ and let $r := (\|q\|_\infty)^{1/2}$. Then the functions m_\pm admit analytic continuation to the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-r^2, \infty)$.*

Proof. Note that m_+ is the Weyl–Titchmarsh m -function of $\mathcal{T}_{q,0}$, i.e., $m_+ = m_0$. Hence,

$$m_+(z) = C + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{t-z} - \frac{t}{1+t^2} \right) d\rho(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(\mathcal{T}_{q,0}), \quad (17)$$

where $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_l$, $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $C = C^*$, and $\text{supp } \rho = \sigma(\mathcal{T}_{q,0})$. It is clear that $\sigma(\mathcal{T}_{q,0}) \subset [-r^2, +\infty)$. Therefore, $\text{supp } \rho \subset [-r^2, +\infty)$. It follows from (17) that m_+ admits an analytic continuation to the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-r^2, \infty)$.

It is easy to verify that the function $-m_-$ is the Weyl–Titchmarsh m -function for the operator $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{q},0}$ with the potential $\tilde{q}(x) := q(-x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, from the above proof, it follows that m_- also admits an analytic continuation to the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-r^2, \infty)$. \square

Lemma 2. *Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and let $r := (\|q\|_\infty)^{1/2}$. Then the function n_q has a unique representation of the form*

$$n_q(\lambda) = C + i\lambda D + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\rho(t)}{t - i\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}, \quad \text{Re } \lambda \neq 0, \quad (18)$$

where $\rho \in \mathcal{M}$, $C, D \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $C^* = C$, $D \geq 0$, and $\text{supp } \rho \subset [-r, r]$.

Proof. It follows from Definition 1 and the equalities (14) that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus i\mathbb{R}$,

$$m_\pm(\lambda^2) = n_q(\pm\lambda), \quad n_q^*(\bar{\lambda}) = m_\mp^*(\bar{\lambda}^2) = n_q(-\lambda). \quad (19)$$

Moreover, from (4) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } n_q(\lambda) &= \text{Im } m_+(\lambda^2) \geq 0, & \text{if } 0 < \arg \lambda < \pi/2, \\ \text{Im } n_q(\lambda) &= \text{Im } m_-(\lambda^2) \geq 0, & \text{if } 3\pi/2 < \arg \lambda < 2\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\text{Im } n_q(\lambda) \geq 0, \quad \text{if } \text{Re } \lambda > 0, \quad \text{Im } \lambda \neq 0. \quad (20)$$

In view of Definition 1 and Lemma 1, we have that the function n_q admits an analytic continuation to the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-ir, ir]$. Therefore, the function $L(\lambda) := n_q(-i\lambda)$ is analytic in the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-r, r]$. From (19) and (20), we obtain

$$L(\lambda) = L^*(\bar{\lambda}), \quad \text{Im } L(\lambda) \geq 0, \quad \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+ \setminus [-r, r]. \quad (21)$$

Thus, L is a Herglotz function. Therefore, it has a unique representation (see [3]) of the form

$$L(\lambda) = B + \lambda D + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{t-\lambda} - \frac{t}{1+t^2} \right) d\rho(t), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \quad (22)$$

where $B, D \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_l$, with $B = B^*$ and $D \geq 0$. From (21), we have $L(\xi) = L^*(\xi)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-r, r]$. According to the Stieltjes inversion formula (see [3]), for any interval $[a, b]$ disjoint from $[-r, r]$, we find

$$\rho((a, b]) = \pi^{-1} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{a+\delta}^{b+\delta} \text{Im } L(\xi + i\varepsilon) d\xi = \pi^{-1} \int_a^b \text{Im } L(\xi) d\xi = 0.$$

Therefore, $\rho \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\text{supp } \rho \subset [-r, r]$. Consequently, the representation (22) can be rewritten as

$$L(\lambda) = C + \lambda D + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\rho(t)}{t - \lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

where $C = B - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{t}{1+t^2} d\rho(t)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. In view of Lemma 2, it remains to prove that $C = 0$ and $D = I$ in the formula (18), and $\int d\rho(t) = -\frac{1}{2}q(0)$. Fix a function $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R})$ with support in $(-1, 0)$ such that $\varphi \geq 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) dt = 1$. Denote by A_ε the operator from H to \mathcal{H} acting according to the formula

$$[A_\varepsilon h](x) := \varepsilon^{-1} \varphi(x/\varepsilon) h, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad h \in H.$$

As shown in [3], the resolvent of the operator T_q has the form

$$\begin{aligned} & [(T_q - z\mathcal{I})^{-1}g](x) = \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^x f_+(z, x) W^{-1}(z) [f_-(\bar{z}, t)]^* g(t) dt + \int_x^\infty f_-(z, x) W^{-1}(z) [f_+(\bar{z}, t)]^* g(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $g \in \mathcal{H}$, and the operator

$$W(z) := m_-(z) - m_+(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \quad (24)$$

is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Set

$$T_{q,\lambda} := (T_q - \lambda^2 \mathcal{I})^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus i\mathbb{R}.$$

Using (23), we obtain that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus i\mathbb{R}$, $h \in H$ and $x \geq 0$ the following limit exists

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} [T_{q,\lambda} A_\varepsilon h](x) = f_+(\lambda^2, x) W^{-1}(\lambda^2) h. \quad (25)$$

From (23), we also have

$$(T_{0,\lambda} f)(x) = \frac{i}{2\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda|x-t|} f(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+. \quad (26)$$

Denote by \mathfrak{Q} the multiplication operator by the function q , i.e., $\mathfrak{Q}f := qf$, $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Put

$$\xi(q) := 1 + 2\|\mathfrak{Q}\| \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(q) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \mid \text{Re } z < \text{Im } z, \text{ Im } z > \xi(q)\}.$$

It is easy to check the identity

$$T_{q,\lambda} = T_{0,\lambda} - T_{0,\lambda} X_q(\lambda) T_{0,\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \Omega(q), \quad (27)$$

where $X_q(\lambda) := \mathfrak{Q}(I + T_{0,\lambda} \mathfrak{Q})^{-1}$. Since $\|T_{0,\lambda}\| \leq |\text{Im } \lambda|^{-1}$, we have

$$\|X_q(\lambda)\| \leq \xi(q) \quad \text{if } \lambda \in \Omega(q). \quad (28)$$

Define the operators $B_j(\lambda): \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H$ for $\lambda \in \Omega(q)$ ($j \in \{0, 1\}$) by

$$B_0(\lambda)g := (T_{0,\lambda}g)(0), \quad B_1(\lambda)g := (T_{0,\lambda}g)'(0), \quad g \in \mathcal{H}.$$

From (26), we obtain

$$B_0(\lambda)g = \frac{i}{2\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda|t|} g(t) dt, \quad B_1(\lambda)g = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\text{sign } t) e^{i\lambda|t|} g(t) dt, \quad g \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\|B_0(\lambda)\| = O(\lambda^{-3/2}), \quad \|B_1(\lambda)\| = O(\lambda^{-1/2}), \quad \Omega(q) \ni \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \quad (29)$$

It follows from (26) that for all $\lambda \in \Omega(q)$ and $h \in H$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} [T_{0,\lambda} A_\varepsilon h](x) = \frac{i}{2\lambda} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varepsilon^{-1} \varphi(t/\varepsilon) e^{i\lambda|x-t|} h dt = \frac{i}{2\lambda} e^{i\lambda|x|} h = [B_0(-\bar{\lambda})^* h](x).$$

Hence, using (27), we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} [T_{q,\lambda} A_\varepsilon h](x) = \frac{i}{2\lambda} e^{i\lambda|x|} h - [T_{0,\lambda} X_q(\lambda) [B_0(-\bar{\lambda})]^* h](x), \quad \lambda \in \Omega(q).$$

Taking into account (25), we conclude that for all $\lambda \in \Omega(q)$, $x \geq 0$, $h \in H$

$$f_+(\lambda^2, x) W^{-1}(\lambda^2) h = \frac{i}{2\lambda} e^{i\lambda x} h - [T_{0,\lambda} X_q(\lambda) [B_0(-\bar{\lambda})]^* h](x).$$

Since $f_+(\lambda^2, 0) = I$ and $f'_+(\lambda^2, 0) = m_+(\lambda^2)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} W^{-1}(\lambda^2) &= \frac{i}{2\lambda} I - B_0(\lambda) X_q(\lambda) [B_0(-\bar{\lambda})]^*, \\ m_+(\lambda^2) W^{-1}(\lambda^2) &= -\frac{1}{2} I - B_1(\lambda) X_q(\lambda) [B_0(-\bar{\lambda})]^*. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The estimates (28) and (29) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|2i\lambda W^{-1}(\lambda^2) + I\| &= O(\lambda^{-2}), \quad \Omega(q) \ni \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \\ \|2i\lambda m_+(\lambda^2) W^{-1}(\lambda^2) + i\lambda I\| &= O(\lambda^{-1}), \quad \Omega(q) \ni \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From these estimates, it follows that

$$\|W(\lambda^2) + 2i\lambda I\| = O(\lambda^{-1}), \quad \Omega(q) \ni \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \quad (31)$$

$$\|m_+(\lambda^2) - i\lambda I\| = O(\lambda^{-1}), \quad \Omega(q) \ni \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \quad (32)$$

Applying (18) and (19), we find

$$W(\lambda^2) = m_-(\lambda^2) - m_+(\lambda^2) = -n_q(\lambda) - n_q(-\lambda) = -2i\lambda \left(D + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\rho(t)}{t^2 + \lambda^2} \right). \quad (33)$$

In view of (31), we conclude that $D = I$. Then, it follows from (18) and (32) that $C = 0$.

It remains to prove the equality $\int d\rho(t) = -\frac{1}{2}q(0)$. Taking into account (33) and the fact that $D = I$, we obtain

$$W^{-1}((i\xi)^2) = \frac{1}{2\xi} \left(I + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\rho(t)}{t^2 - \xi^2} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\xi} \left(I + \xi^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\rho(t) + Y(\xi) \right),$$

where $\|Y(\xi)\| = O(\xi^{-4})$, $\xi \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence, in view of (30), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\rho(t) = -\lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} 2\xi B_0(i\xi) X_q(i\xi) [B_0(i\xi)]^*.$$

Since $\|X_q(i\xi) - \mathfrak{Q}\| = O(\xi^{-1})$, $\xi \rightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\rho(t) = -\lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\xi|t|} q(t) dt = -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2|y|} q(y/\xi) dy = -\frac{1}{2} q(0).$$

□

Theorem 2 and the equalities (33) imply the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *The equalities*

$$W(\lambda^2) = m_-(\lambda^2) - m_+(\lambda^2) = -2i\lambda \left(I + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu_q(t)}{t^2 + \lambda^2} \right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus i\mathbb{R}, \quad (34)$$

hold.

3. The absence of the zero eigenvalue. The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then $\ker T_q = \{0\}$.*

Before starting the proof, we make some preparatory steps.

It is well-known ([3]) that for any pair of self-adjoint operators $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ the functions m_α and m_β are connected by the relation

$$m_\alpha(\lambda) = (A_{21} + A_{22}m_\beta(\lambda))(A_{11} + A_{12}m_\beta(\lambda))^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

where the operators $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ are determined by the equality

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta & -\sin \beta \\ \sin \beta & \cos \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, if $\beta = 0$, then

$$m_\alpha(\lambda) = (-\sin \alpha + (\cos \alpha)m_0(\lambda))(\cos \alpha + (\sin \alpha)m_0(\lambda))^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}. \quad (35)$$

Lemma 3. *Let $\alpha = \alpha^* \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then $\ker \mathcal{T}_{q,\alpha} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Note that m_0 coincides with the function m_+ . Thus, in view of Theorem 2,

$$m_0(\lambda^2) = n_q(\lambda) = i\lambda I + \int \frac{d\nu_q(t)}{t - i\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus i\mathbb{R}. \quad (36)$$

Obviously, the function

$$r(\lambda) := n_q(-i\lambda) = \lambda I + \int \frac{d\nu_q(t)}{t - \lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$

is a Herglotz function. Let us consider the function

$$r_\alpha(\lambda) := (-\sin \alpha + (\cos \alpha)r(\lambda))(\cos \alpha + (\sin \alpha)r(\lambda))^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}. \quad (37)$$

Note that for the operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H \oplus H)$ defined by

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

the equality $A^*JA = J$ holds with $J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, according to results of Krein and Shmuljan ([7]), r_α is also a Herglotz function. In particular, we have

$$\|r_\alpha(z)\| = O(1/\operatorname{Im} z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad z \rightarrow 0. \quad (38)$$

On the other hand, taking into account the equalities (35), (36) and (37), we obtain

$$m_\alpha(\lambda^2) = r_\alpha(i\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus i\mathbb{R}. \quad (39)$$

It follows from (38) and (39) that

$$\|m_\alpha(2i\xi^2)\| = \|r_\alpha(\xi + i\xi)\| = O(\xi^{-1}), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \xi \rightarrow 0,$$

thus, $\|m_\alpha(i\xi)\| = O(\xi^{-1/2})$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\xi \rightarrow 0$. From this, in view of (17), we have that $\rho_\alpha(\{0\}) = 0$. This means that the point $\lambda = 0$ cannot be an eigenvalue of the multiplication operator Υ by the independent variable in the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}, d\rho_\alpha, H)$. According to Theorem 4, the operators Υ and $\mathcal{T}_{q,\alpha}$ are unitarily equivalent, and hence $\lambda = 0$ cannot be an eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{T}_{q,\alpha}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 5. Assume that $\varphi \in \ker T_q \setminus \{0\}$. It suffices to show that there exists a self-adjoint operator $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\ker \mathcal{T}_{q,\alpha} \neq \{0\}$. Indeed, in this case, we get a contradiction with Lemma 3, and hence $\ker T_q = \{0\}$.

If $\varphi(0) = 0$, then obviously the restriction of φ to $[0, \infty)$ is a nonzero function belonging to $\ker \mathcal{T}_{q,0}$.

Let $\varphi(0) \neq 0$. From the equality $-\varphi'' + q\varphi = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (q(x)\varphi(x) \mid \varphi(x))dx &= \int_0^\infty (\varphi''(x) \mid \varphi(x))dx = \\ &= \int_0^\infty [(\varphi'(x) \mid \varphi(x))]'dx - \int_0^\infty \|\varphi'(x)\|^2 dx = -(\varphi'(0) \mid \varphi(0)) - \int_0^\infty \|\varphi'(x)\|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

From this, it follows that the number $(\varphi'(0) \mid \varphi(0))$ is real. Denote by P_0 the orthoprojector onto the subspace $\{c\varphi(0) \mid c \in \mathbb{C}\}$ and set $\alpha := aP_0$, where

$$a := \operatorname{arctg} \left(-\frac{(\varphi'(0) \mid \varphi(0))}{\|\varphi(0)\|^2} \right).$$

Since

$$\cos \alpha = I - P_0 + (\cos a)P_0, \quad \sin \alpha = (\sin a)P_0, \quad P_0 = \frac{(\cdot \mid \varphi(0))}{\|\varphi(0)\|^2} \varphi(0),$$

we have

$$(\cos \alpha)\varphi(0) + (\sin \alpha)\varphi'(0) = (\cos a)\varphi(0) + (\sin a)P_0\varphi'(0) = 0.$$

Hence, the restriction of φ to $[0, \infty)$ is a nonzero function belonging to $\ker \mathcal{T}_{q,\alpha}$. \square

From Theorem 5, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *The equalities $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ and $\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_- = \mathcal{I}$ hold.*

4. The operator V . The main result of this section is

Theorem 6. *Let $S \in \mathcal{S}(K)$ and let $q := q_S$, $\Psi := \Psi_S$. Then the formula*

$$(Vh)(x) := \Psi(x)h, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad h \in H, \quad (40)$$

defines a partial isometry. Moreover, the operator V maps H into the Sobolev space $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ and satisfies

$$T_q V = -VK^2, \quad V^*V = P. \quad (41)$$

Here, P is the orthogonal projector from H onto the subspace H_1 .

First, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let $S \in \mathcal{S}(K)$. Then the limits $S(\pm\infty) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} S(x)$ exist, moreover, $S(-\infty)P = 0$ and $S(+\infty)P = P$.*

Proof. It follows from (6) that the limits $S(+\infty)$ and $S(-\infty)$ exist and

$$0 \leq S(-\infty) \leq S(0) \leq S(+\infty) \leq I. \quad (42)$$

Taking into account that (see (5))

$$S'(x) = KS(x) + S(x)K - 2S(x)KS(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (43)$$

we obtain the existence of the limits

$$S'(+\infty) = \text{s-lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} S'(x), \quad S'(-\infty) = \text{s-lim}_{x \rightarrow -\infty} S'(x).$$

Let $E_{\pm} := S(\pm\infty)$. For an arbitrary $h \in H$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (S'(x)h \mid h) dx = (E_+h \mid h) - (E_-h \mid h) \leq \|h\|^2. \quad (44)$$

Using the equalities $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} (S'(x)h \mid h) = (S'(\pm\infty)h \mid h)$ and the convergence of the integral in (44), we conclude $(S'(\pm\infty)h \mid h) = 0$, $h \in H$. Thus $S'(\pm\infty) = 0$. Passing to the limit in (43) as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, we get

$$KE_{\pm} + E_{\pm}K - 2E_{\pm}KE_{\pm} = 0.$$

Multiplying the last equality on both sides by P , we obtain

$$KPE_{\pm}P + PE_{\pm}PK - 2PE_{\pm}PKPE_{\pm}P = 0.$$

Let \tilde{E}_{\pm} be the restrictions of the operators $PE_{\pm}P$ on the subspace $H_1 = PH$, and let K_1 be the restriction of the operator K on H_1 . Then

$$K_1\tilde{E}_{\pm} + \tilde{E}_{\pm}K_1 - 2\tilde{E}_{\pm}K_1\tilde{E}_{\pm} = 0.$$

From this, in view of Lemma 10, we conclude that the operators \tilde{E}_+ and \tilde{E}_- are orthogonal projections.

From (42), it follows that for all $h \in H_1$

$$(\tilde{E}_-h \mid h) \leq (S(0)h \mid h) \leq (\tilde{E}_+h \mid h).$$

Since $0 < S(0) < I$, we get $\tilde{E}_- = 0$ and $\tilde{E}_+ = P$, thus $S(-\infty)P = 0$, $S(+\infty)P = P$. \square

Proof of Theorem 6. Using (12) and (7), we get

$$\|\Psi(x)h\|^2 = (S'(x)h \mid h) = (S'(x)Ph \mid Ph), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad h \in H.$$

Therefore, for an arbitrary $a > 0$,

$$\int_{-a}^a \|\Psi(x)h\|^2 dx = (S(a)Ph \mid Ph) - (S(-a)Ph \mid Ph).$$

In view of Lemma 4, we obtain that the operator V is bounded and

$$\|Vh\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\Psi(x)h\|^2 dx = \|Ph\|^2,$$

thus, $(V^*Vh \mid h) = (Ph \mid h)$, $h \in H$. Therefore, the operator V is a partial isometry and $V^*V = P$.

Next, we show that $\text{ran } V \subset W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ and that (41) holds. Let $h \in H$. By the analyticity of the function Ψ , it follows that Vh belongs locally to the Sobolev space $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$. Using the equality (11), we obtain

$$(Vh)''(x) = q(x)(Vh)(x) + (VK^2h)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (45)$$

Since the function q is bounded on the real axis, the right-hand side of (45) belongs to the space \mathcal{H} . Hence, we conclude that Vh belongs to the Sobolev space $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ and the equality (41) holds. \square

From Theorem 6, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *The space $\mathfrak{H}_- := \text{ran } V$ is an invariant subspace of the operator T_q , and the operator $T_q|_{\mathfrak{H}_-}$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator $-K_1^2$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{H}_- \subset \mathcal{H}_-$.*

Proof. Consider the operator $V_1: H_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_-$ defined by the formula $V_1 h := VPh$, $h \in H_1$. It follows from (41) that $T_q \mathfrak{H}_- \subset \mathfrak{H}_-$ and the operator V_1 maps H_1 unitarily onto \mathfrak{H}_- , moreover, $V_1^* T_q V_1 = -K_1^2$, i.e., the operator $T_q|_{\mathfrak{H}_-}$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator $-K_1^2$. From this, in particular, it follows that $T_q|_{\mathfrak{H}_-} < 0$, and thus $\mathfrak{H}_- \subset \mathcal{H}_-$. \square

5. Classical transformation operators. In this subsection, we construct analogs of the classical transformation operators for the operator T_q with $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{reg}}$. The main result of this subsection is

Theorem 7. *Let $S \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{reg}}(K)$, and let $q := q_S$, $\Psi := \Psi_S$. Then the formula*

$$(Uf)(x) := f(x) - \text{s-lim}_{a \rightarrow +\infty} \int_x^a \Psi(x) S^{-1}(t) \Psi^*(t) f(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (46)$$

defines an isometric operator in the space \mathcal{H} . Moreover, the operator U maps the Sobolev space $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ into itself and satisfies

$$T_q U = U T_0, \quad (47)$$

$$U U^* + V V^* = \mathcal{I}. \quad (48)$$

First, we prove two auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 5. *Let $S \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{reg}}(K)$ and $\Psi := \Psi_S$. Then the formula*

$$(\mathfrak{N}f)(x) := \int_x^\infty \Psi(x) S^{-1}(t) \Psi^*(t) f(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{N} := C_0(\mathbb{R}, H), \quad (49)$$

defines a bounded operator $\mathfrak{N}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, and

$$\|\mathfrak{N}f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = (\mathfrak{N}f | f)_{\mathcal{H}} + (f | \mathfrak{N}f)_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad f \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{N}. \quad (50)$$

Here, $C_0(\mathbb{R}, H)$ denotes the linear space of all continuous functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H$ with compact support in \mathbb{R} .

Proof. In view of (7), (9), and (12), we have

$$S'(x) = \Psi^*(x) \Psi(x), \quad S(x)P = PS(x), \quad P\Psi(x) = \Psi(x)P = \Psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (51)$$

Therefore, for arbitrary $h_1, h_2 \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b (\Psi(x)h_1 | \Psi(x)h_2) dx = \int_a^b (\Psi(x)Ph_1 | \Psi(x)Ph_2) dx = \\ & = \int_a^b (S'(x)Ph_1 | Ph_2) dx = (S(b)Ph_1 | Ph_2) - (S(a)Ph_1 | Ph_2) \quad (a < b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 4, it follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^t (\Psi(x)h_1 | \Psi(x)h_2) dx = (S(t)Ph_1 | Ph_2), \quad h_1, h_2 \in H, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (52)$$

Let $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, H)$ and set $\tilde{f}(t) := S^{-1}(t)\Psi^*(t)f(t)$. From the definition of the operator \mathfrak{N} , we have

$$\|\mathfrak{N}f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \iint_{x \leq t \leq \tau} (\Psi(x)\tilde{f}(t) \mid \Psi(x)\tilde{f}(\tau)) dx dt d\tau + \iint_{x \leq \tau \leq t} (\Psi(x)\tilde{f}(t) \mid \Psi(x)\tilde{f}(\tau)) dx dt d\tau.$$

Thus, taking into account (52) and (51), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{N}f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= \iint_{t \leq \tau} (S(t)P\tilde{f}(t) \mid P\tilde{f}(\tau)) dt d\tau + \iint_{\tau \leq t} (S(\tau)P\tilde{f}(t) \mid P\tilde{f}(\tau)) dt d\tau = \\ &= \iint_{t \leq \tau} (\Psi^*(t)f(t) \mid S^{-1}(\tau)\Psi^*(\tau)f(\tau)) dt d\tau + \iint_{\tau \leq t} (S^{-1}(t)\Psi^*(t)f(t) \mid \Psi^*(\tau)f(\tau)) dt d\tau = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(t) \mid (\mathfrak{N}f)(t)) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\mathfrak{N}f)(\tau) \mid f(\tau)) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

The function $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto ((\mathfrak{N}f)(t) \mid f(t)) \in \mathbb{C}$ is continuous with compact support, and hence $\|\mathfrak{N}f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 < \infty$ and the identity (50) holds. It follows from (50) that $\|\mathfrak{N}f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq 2\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|\mathfrak{N}f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$. Therefore, the operator \mathfrak{N} is bounded, and $\|\mathfrak{N}\|_{\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}} \leq 2$. \square

Corollary 4. *The formula (46) defines an isometric operator $U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. It follows from (50) that for all $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, H)$

$$\|Uf\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|(\mathcal{I} - \mathfrak{N})f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\mathfrak{N}f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - (\mathfrak{N}f \mid f)_{\mathcal{H}} - (f \mid \mathfrak{N}f)_{\mathcal{H}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

Since $C_0(\mathbb{R}, H)$ is everywhere dense in \mathcal{H} , the formula (46) defines an isometric operator $U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. \square

Lemma 6. *For all $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, H)$ the following equality holds*

$$\|\mathfrak{N}^*f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = (\mathfrak{N}f \mid f)_{\mathcal{H}} + (f \mid \mathfrak{N}f)_{\mathcal{H}} - \|V^*f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (53)$$

Proof. Using (51), we obtain that for arbitrary $h_1, h_2 \in H$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b (\Psi(x)S^{-1}(x)h_1 \mid \Psi(x)S^{-1}(x)h_2) dx &= \int_a^b (\Psi(x)S^{-1}(x)Ph_1 \mid \Psi(x)S^{-1}(x)Ph_2) dx = \\ &= \int_a^b (S^{-1}(x)S'(x)S^{-1}(x)Ph_1 \mid Ph_2) dx = - \int_a^b ([S^{-1}(x)]'(x)Ph_1 \mid Ph_2) dx = \\ &= (S^{-1}(a)Ph_1 \mid Ph_2) - (S^{-1}(b)Ph_1 \mid Ph_2) \quad (a < b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 4, we get that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_t^{+\infty} (\Psi(x)S^{-1}(x)h_1 \mid \Psi(x)S^{-1}(x)h_2) dx = (S^{-1}(t)Ph_1 \mid Ph_2) - (Ph_1 \mid Ph_2). \quad (54)$$

Let $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, H)$ and set $\tilde{f}(t) := \Psi^*(t)f(t)$. From the definition of \mathfrak{N} , we have

$$(\mathfrak{N}^*f)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \Psi(x)S^{-1}(x)\Psi^*(t)f(t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathfrak{N}^* f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= \iint\limits_{t \leq \tau \leq x} (\Psi(x)S^{-1}(x)\tilde{f}(t) \mid \Psi(x)S^{-1}(x)\tilde{f}(\tau))dxdt d\tau + \\ &+ \iint\limits_{\tau \leq t \leq x} (\Psi(x)S^{-1}(x)\tilde{f}(t) \mid \Psi(x)S^{-1}(x)\tilde{f}(\tau))dxdt d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Using (54), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathfrak{N}^* f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= \iint\limits_{t \leq \tau} (S^{-1}(t)\tilde{f}(t) \mid \tilde{f}(\tau))dtd\tau + \iint\limits_{\tau \leq t} (S^{-1}(t)\tilde{f}(t) \mid \tilde{f}(\tau))dtd\tau - \\ &- \iint\limits_{t \leq \tau} (\tilde{f}(t) \mid \tilde{f}(\tau))dtd\tau - \iint\limits_{\tau \leq t} (\tilde{f}(t) \mid \tilde{f}(\tau))dtd\tau = \\ &= \iint\limits_{t \leq \tau} (S^{-1}(t)\Psi^*(t)f(t) \mid \Psi^*(\tau)f(\tau))dtd\tau + \iint\limits_{\tau \leq t} (S^{-1}(t)\Psi^*(t)f(t) \mid \Psi^*(\tau)f(\tau))dtd\tau - \\ &- \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\Psi^*(t)f(t) \mid \Psi^*(\tau)f(\tau))dtd\tau = (f \mid \mathfrak{N}f)_{\mathcal{H}} + (\mathfrak{N}f \mid f)_{\mathcal{H}} - \|V^* f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5. *The equality $UU^* + VV^* = \mathcal{I}$ holds.*

Proof. The equality (53) implies that for all $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, H)$

$$\|U^* f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|(\mathcal{I} - \mathfrak{N}^*)f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\mathfrak{N}^* f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - (f \mid \mathfrak{N}f)_{\mathcal{H}} - (\mathfrak{N}f \mid f)_{\mathcal{H}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \|V^* f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

Hence,

$$((UU^* + VV^*)f \mid f)_{\mathcal{H}} = (f \mid f)_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, H).$$

Since $C_0(\mathbb{R}, H)$ is everywhere dense in \mathcal{H} , we get $UU^* + VV^* = \mathcal{I}$. □

Proof of Theorem 7. Assume that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied. In view of Corollaries 4 and 5, it remains to show that the operator U maps the Sobolev space $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ into itself and the equality (47) holds. Put

$$\Phi(x) := Re^{-xK}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then (see (13))

$$\Psi(x) = \Phi(x)S(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (55)$$

Let $f \in W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ and set

$$g(x) := f(x) - (Uf)(x) = \int_x^\infty \Psi(x)\Phi^*(t)f(t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The functions Ψ and Φ are analytic in the strip $|\operatorname{Im} z| < \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$, and hence $g \in W_{2,\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$. Note that by Corollary 4, we also have $g \in \mathcal{H}$. Direct calculations yield

$$g''(x) = \Psi''(x) \int_x^\infty \Phi^*(t)f(t)dt - 2(\Psi'\Phi^*f)(x) - [\Psi(\Phi^*f)'](x).$$

Since (see (11)) $\Psi''(x) = q(x)\Psi(x) + \Psi(x)K^2$, $(\Phi^*)''(x) = K^2\Phi^*(x)$, we get

$$g''(x) = q(x)g(x) + \Psi(x) \int_x^\infty (\Phi^*)''(t)f(t)dt - 2(\Psi'\Phi^*f)(x) - [\Psi(\Phi^*f)'](x).$$

Integrating by parts, we get

$$\int_x^\infty (\Phi^*)''(t)f(t)dt = [-(\Phi^*)'f + \Phi^*f'](x) + \int_x^\infty \Phi^*(t)f''(t)dt.$$

Thus,

$$g''(x) = q(x)g(x) + \Psi(x) \int_x^\infty \Phi^*(t)f''(t)dt - 2(\Psi\Phi^*)'(x)f(x).$$

Using (5), we obtain

$$2(\Psi\Phi^*)' = 2(\Phi S\Phi^*)' = 2\Phi(-KS - SK + S')\Phi^* = -4\Phi SKS\Phi^* = -4\Psi K\Psi^* = q,$$

and hence,

$$g''(x) = q(x)g(x) + \Psi(x) \int_x^\infty \Phi^*(t)f''(t)dt - q(x)f(x) = (qg + f'' - Uf'' - qf)(x). \quad (56)$$

It follows that $g'' \in \mathcal{H}$, which implies that $g \in W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$, and therefore $Uf \in W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$. From (56), it also follows that

$$(T_q Uf)(x) = (T_q f - T_q g)(x) = (-f'' + qf + g'' - qg)(x) = -(Uf'')(x) = (UT_0 f)(x).$$

□

Corollary 6. *Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{reg}}(K)$. Then*

- (I) $\mathcal{H}_+ = \text{ran } U$;
- (II) $UU^* = \mathcal{P}_+, \quad VV^* = \mathcal{P}_-$;
- (III) *the operator T_q^+ is unitarily equivalent to the operator T_0 .*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{H}_+ := \text{ran } U$. Consider the operator $U_1 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_+$ defined by the formula

$$U_1 g := U g, \quad g \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Since the operator U is isometric, the operator U_1 maps \mathcal{H} unitarily onto \mathfrak{H}_+ .

From Theorem 7, it follows that the linear space $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H) \cap \mathfrak{H}_+$ is everywhere dense in \mathfrak{H}_+ and $T_q \mathfrak{H}_+ \subset \mathfrak{H}_+$, $U_1^* T_q U_1 = T_0$. Therefore, the operator $T_q|_{\mathfrak{H}_+}$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator T_0 . In particular, this implies that $T_q|_{\mathfrak{H}_+} > 0$. Hence, $\mathfrak{H}_+ \subset \mathcal{H}_+$. Moreover, from (48), it follows that $\mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{H}_+ + \mathfrak{H}_-$, where $\mathfrak{H}_- = \text{ran } V$. Since (see Corollaries 2 and 3) $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$, $\mathfrak{H}_- \subset \mathcal{H}_-$, we conclude that $\mathcal{H}_+ = \mathfrak{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_- = \mathfrak{H}_-$. From this, the corollary follows. □

Let us show that the operator U is an analog of the classical transformation operator. Denote by $L_{2,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ the set of all functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H$ that belong locally to $L_2(\mathbb{R}, H)$, and equip the linear space

$$\mathcal{L}_+ := \left\{ f \in L_{2,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, H) \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \int_{-n}^\infty \|f(x)\|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

with the locally convex topology generated by the seminorms

$$\rho_n(f) := \left(\int_{-n}^\infty \|f(x)\|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad f \in \mathcal{L}_+, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Denote by e_λ the operator-valued function acting from \mathbb{R} to $\mathcal{B}(H)$ defined by

$$e_\lambda(x) := e^{i\lambda x} I, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $S \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{reg}}(K)$ and set $q := q_S$, $\Psi := \Psi_S$. Note that, according to Theorem 7, for an arbitrary $f \in \mathcal{L}_+$ the formula

$$(Uf)(x) = f(x) - \text{s-lim}_{a \rightarrow +\infty} \int_x^a \Psi(x) S^{-1}(t) \Psi^*(t) f(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (57)$$

defines a function $Uf \in \mathcal{L}_+$. Moreover, the extended operator $U: \mathcal{L}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_+$ is continuous. In view of (55), the formula (57) can be rewritten as

$$(Uf)(x) = f(x) - \text{s-lim}_{a \rightarrow +\infty} \int_x^a \Psi(x) \Phi^*(t) f(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (58)$$

Let us fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and $h \in H$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^a e^{i\lambda t} \Phi^*(t) h dt &= \int_x^a e^{-t(K-i\lambda I)} R^* h dt = e^{-x(K-i\lambda I)} K_\lambda R^* h - e^{-a(K-i\lambda I)} K_\lambda R^* h = \\ &= e^{-x(K-i\lambda I)} P K_\lambda R^* h - e^{-a(K-i\lambda I)} P K_\lambda R^* h. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{s-lim}_{a \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-aK} P h = 0$, the integral $\int_x^\infty e^{-t(K-i\lambda I)} R^* dt$ converges in the strong operator topology and (see (9))

$$\int_x^\infty e^{i\lambda t} \Phi^*(t) dx = e^{-x(K-i\lambda I)} P K_\lambda R^* = e^{i\lambda x} e^{-xK} K_\lambda R^*.$$

Therefore,

$$U(e_\lambda(\cdot)h)(x) = e^{i\lambda x} (I - \Psi(x) e^{-xK} K_\lambda R^*) h, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad h \in H. \quad (59)$$

In [2], it was proven that the formula

$$e(\lambda, x) = e^{i\lambda x} [I - \Psi(x) e^{-xK} K_\lambda R^*], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus \{0\},$$

defines the right Jost solution of the equation $-y'' + qy = \lambda^2 y$. Thus, the equality (59) means that the operator U maps the right $\mathcal{B}(H)$ -valued Jost solution of the equation $-y'' = \lambda^2 y$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$ into the right $\mathcal{B}(H)$ -valued Jost solution of the equation $-y'' + qy = \lambda^2 y$. It is well-known [6] that this is a characteristic property of the classical transformation operator for the Schrödinger operator.

Another property of the classical transformation operator is its triangularity (see [8]) with respect to the chain $\mathfrak{E} := \{E_\xi \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of orthoprojectors $E_\xi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$(E_\xi f)(x) := \chi_\xi(x) f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in \mathcal{L}_+,$$

where χ_ξ is the characteristic function of the half-line $(-\infty, \xi)$. The transformation operator U is lower-triangular with respect to the chain \mathfrak{E} , i.e., $(I - E_\xi) U E_\xi = 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

In this respect, the following question arises.

Question 2. *For which potentials $q \in \mathcal{Q}_\pi$ do there exist lower-triangular isometric operators $U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$ map the functions e_λ to the Jost solution of the equation $-y'' + qy = \lambda^2 y$?*

6. The operator \mathfrak{A} . Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}(K)$. Consider the function

$$f(\lambda, x) := e^{i\lambda x} [I - \Psi(x)D(\lambda, x)\Psi^*(0)]M^{-1}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{O}_M(K),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D(\lambda, x) &:= K_\lambda e^{-xK} + K_{-\lambda} e^{xK}, & K_\lambda &:= (K - i\lambda I)^{-1}, \\ M(\lambda) &:= I - 2\Psi(0)K(K^2 + \lambda^2 I)^{-1}\Psi^*(0), & \lambda &\in \mathcal{O}(K), \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{O}(K) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \pm i\lambda \notin \sigma(K)\}$, $\mathcal{Q}_M(K) := \{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(K) \mid M(\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}(H)\}$.

As shown in [1], the set $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{O}_M(K)$ is a compact subset of the imaginary axis and

$$f(\lambda, \cdot) = \begin{cases} f_+(\lambda^2, \cdot), & \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus i\mathbb{R}; \\ f_-(\lambda^2, \cdot), & \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus i\mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

Denote by \mathcal{T} the self-adjoint operator in \mathcal{H} defined by the formula

$$(\mathcal{T}g)(x) := x^2 g(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad g \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T} := \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 \|\varphi(x)\|^2 dx < \infty \right\}. \quad (61)$$

The main result of this section is

Theorem 8. *Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}(K)$ and $T = T_q$. Then the formula*

$$(\mathfrak{A}\varphi)(x) := \lim_{a \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-a}^a f(\xi, x) M^{1/2}(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (62)$$

defines an isometric operator $\mathfrak{A}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ for which the following equalities hold

$$T\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}\mathcal{T}, \quad \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{A}^* = \mathcal{P}_+.$$

First, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}(K)$. Then*

$$m_-(\lambda^2) - m_+(\lambda^2) = -2i\lambda M^{-1}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus i\mathbb{R}. \quad (63)$$

Proof. By definition, $m_\pm(\lambda^2) = f'_\pm(\lambda^2, 0)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus i\mathbb{R}$. Therefore, in view of (60), we have

$$m_-(\lambda^2) - m_+(\lambda^2) = f'(-\lambda, 0) - f'(\lambda, 0), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus i\mathbb{R}.$$

Since $f(\lambda, 0) = I$, $D'(\lambda, 0) = -i\lambda D(\lambda, 0)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\lambda, 0) &= i\lambda I - [\Psi'(0)D(\lambda, 0) + \Psi(0)D'(\lambda, 0)]\Psi^*(0)M^{-1}(\lambda) = \\ &= i\lambda I - [\Psi'(0)D(\lambda, 0) - i\lambda\Psi(0)D(\lambda, 0)]\Psi^*(0)M^{-1}(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $D(\lambda, 0) = D(-\lambda, 0)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f'(-\lambda, 0) - f'(\lambda, 0) &= -2i\lambda I - 2i\lambda\Psi(0)D(\lambda, 0)\Psi^*(0)M^{-1}(\lambda) = \\ &= -2i\lambda I + 2i\lambda[M(\lambda) - I]M^{-1}(\lambda) = -2i\lambda M^{-1}(\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

and hence, $m_-(\lambda^2) - m_+(\lambda^2) = -2i\lambda M^{-1}(\lambda)$. \square

Remark 2. It follows from (63) and (34) that

$$-2i\lambda W^{-1}(\lambda^2) = M(\lambda) = \left(I + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu_q(t)}{t^2 + \lambda^2} \right)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_M(K). \quad (65)$$

Proof of Theorem 8. In view of the equalities (60) and (65), the formula (23) for the resolvent of the operator T can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & [(T - \lambda^2 \mathcal{I})^{-1}g](x) = \\ & = -\frac{1}{2i\lambda} \left(\int_{-\infty}^x f(\lambda, x) M(\lambda) [f(\bar{\lambda}, t)]^* g(t) dt + \int_x^{\infty} f(-\lambda, x) M(\lambda) [f(-\bar{\lambda}, t)]^* g(t) dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Let us consider the $\mathcal{B}(H)$ -valued function

$$\gamma(\lambda, x, t) := f(\lambda, x) M(\lambda) [f(\bar{\lambda}, t)]^*, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{O}_M(K).$$

It is clear that this function depends continuously on the variables λ, x, t . Note also that $\gamma(\lambda, x, t) = \gamma^*(\bar{\lambda}, t, x)$, $M(\lambda) = M(-\lambda)$. Let $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, H)$. Then (66) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & ((T - \lambda^2 \mathcal{I})^{-1}\varphi | \varphi)_{\mathcal{H}} = \\ & = -\frac{1}{2i\lambda} \left(\iint_{t \leq x} (\gamma(\lambda, x, t)\varphi(t) | \varphi(x))_{\mathcal{H}} dt dx + \iint_{t \geq x} (\gamma(-\lambda, x, t)\varphi(t) | \varphi(x))_{\mathcal{H}} dt dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Since the right-hand side of (67) is continuous in the domain $\mathcal{O}_M(K)$, for an arbitrary $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+$ the following limits exist

$$\begin{aligned} & ((T - (\xi^2 \pm i0)\mathcal{I})^{-1}\varphi | \varphi)_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} ((T - (\pm\xi + i\varepsilon)\mathcal{I})^{-1}\varphi | \varphi)_{\mathcal{H}} = \\ & = \mp \frac{1}{2i\xi} \left(\iint_{t \leq x} (\gamma(\pm\xi, x, t)\varphi(t) | \varphi(x))_{\mathcal{H}} dt dx + \iint_{t \geq x} (\gamma(\mp\xi, x, t)\varphi(t) | \varphi(x))_{\mathcal{H}} dt dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & ((T - (\xi^2 + i0)\mathcal{I})^{-1}\varphi | \varphi)_{\mathcal{H}} - ((T - (\xi^2 - i0)\mathcal{I})^{-1}\varphi | \varphi)_{\mathcal{H}} = \\ & = -\frac{1}{2i\xi} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\gamma(\xi, x, t)\varphi(t) | \varphi(x))_{\mathcal{H}} dt dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\gamma(-\xi, x, t)\varphi(t) | \varphi(x))_{\mathcal{H}} dt dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Put $\delta(\xi, \varphi) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} [((T - (\xi + i0)\mathcal{I})^{-1}\varphi | \varphi)_{\mathcal{H}} - ((T - (\xi - i0)\mathcal{I})^{-1}\varphi | \varphi)_{\mathcal{H}}]$, $\xi > 0$.

According to Stone's formula (see [9]),

$$(\mathcal{P}_+\varphi | \varphi)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \delta(\xi, \varphi) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} 2\xi \delta(\xi^2, \varphi) d\xi.$$

It follows from (68) that

$$2\xi \delta(\xi^2, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\gamma(\xi, x, t)\varphi(t) | \varphi(x))_{\mathcal{H}} dt dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\gamma(-\xi, x, t)\varphi(t) | \varphi(x))_{\mathcal{H}} dt dx \right).$$

Thus,

$$(\mathcal{P}_+\varphi | \varphi)_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\gamma(\xi, x, t)\varphi(t) | \varphi(x))_{\mathcal{H}} dt dx d\xi. \quad (69)$$

Consider the auxiliary operator

$$(\mathfrak{D}\varphi)(\xi) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} M^{1/2}(\xi) f^*(\xi, x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, H),$$

on the domain $\text{dom } \mathfrak{D} := C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, H)$. By (69), we obtain

$$\|\mathfrak{D}\varphi\|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\gamma(\xi, x, t)\varphi(t) \mid \varphi(x))_{\mathcal{H}} dt dx d\xi = (\mathcal{P}_+\varphi \mid \varphi)_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|\varphi\|^2,$$

which means that the operator \mathfrak{D} is densely defined and bounded, moreover $\|\mathfrak{D}\| \leq 1$. It is easy to see that

$$(\mathfrak{A}\varphi \mid \psi)_{\mathcal{H}} = (\varphi \mid \mathfrak{D}\psi)_{\mathcal{H}} = (\mathfrak{D}^*\varphi \mid \psi)_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \varphi, \psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, H).$$

Hence, the formula (62) defines a bounded operator $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{D}^*$, and

$$(\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{A}^*\varphi \mid \varphi) = \|\mathfrak{D}\varphi\|^2 = (\mathcal{P}_+\varphi \mid \varphi)_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, H).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{A}^* = \mathcal{P}_+, \quad \mathfrak{A}^* = \mathfrak{A}^*\mathcal{P}_+, \quad \mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{P}_+\mathfrak{A}. \quad (70)$$

As shown in [1], $-f''(\lambda, x) + q(x)f(\lambda, x) = \lambda^2 f(\lambda, x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_M(K)$, hence $\mathfrak{A}\varphi \in \text{dom } T$ for all $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, H)$ and $T\mathfrak{A}\varphi = \mathfrak{A}\mathcal{T}\varphi$. From this and the closedness of the operators T and \mathcal{T} , we obtain

$$T\mathfrak{A}\varphi = \mathfrak{A}\mathcal{T}\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}. \quad (71)$$

Thus, it remains to prove the isometricity of the operator \mathfrak{A} .

Let the function $F: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be continuous with compact support in \mathbb{R}_+ . We show that the equality

$$F(T)\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}F(\mathcal{T}) \quad (72)$$

holds. Let us consider the operators

$$B_1 := (T\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{I})^{-1}, \quad B_2 := (\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I})^{-1}$$

and the continuous function $F_1: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$F_1(x) := \begin{cases} F(x^{-1} - 1), & x \in (0, 1]; \\ 0, & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

From (70) and (71), it follows that $(T\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{I})\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I})$, and hence, $(T\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{I})^{-1}\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I})^{-1}$. So,

$$B_1\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}B_2. \quad (73)$$

It is clear that the operators B_j ($j \in \{1, 2\}$) are self-adjoint, and their spectrum lies in the interval $[0, 1]$. From the equality (73), it follows that $\mathcal{P}(B_1)\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}\mathcal{P}(B_2)$ for arbitrary polynomials $\mathcal{P}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j$. Hence, $F_1(B_1)\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}F_1(B_2)$. It is easy to see that

$$F_1(B_1) = F(T), \quad F_1(B_2) = F(\mathcal{T}).$$

Thus, the equality (72) is proved.

Now we prove the isometricity of the operator \mathfrak{A} . To do this, it suffices to show that $\ker \mathfrak{A} = \{0\}$. Assume that $g \in \ker \mathfrak{A}$, and let $F: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary continuous function with compact support in \mathbb{R}_+ . In view of (72), we have

$$0 = F(T)\mathfrak{A}g = \mathfrak{A}F(\mathcal{T})g.$$

Note that

$$[F(\mathcal{T})g](\xi) = F(\xi^2)g(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

and thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\xi^2)f(\xi, x)M^{1/2}(\xi)g(\xi)d\xi = 0.$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. From the continuity of the function $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \ni (\xi, x) \mapsto f(\xi, x) \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, it follows that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\xi^2)f(\xi, x)M^{1/2}(\xi)g(\xi)d\xi = 0. \quad (74)$$

Since the function $\xi \mapsto M(\xi)$ is even and $f(\xi, 0) = I$, by setting $x = 0$ in (74), we obtain

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\xi^2) M^{1/2}(\xi) g(\xi) d\xi = \int_0^\infty F(\xi^2) M^{1/2}(\xi) (g(\xi) + g(-\xi)) d\xi. \quad (75)$$

The equality (75) holds for an arbitrary continuous functions $F: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with compact support in \mathbb{R}_+ . This implies that $M^{1/2}(\xi)(g(\xi) + g(-\xi)) = 0$ for almost every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Since $M(\xi) > 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+$, it follows that g must be an odd function. In view of the oddness of g , the formula (74) can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^\infty F(\xi^2) (f(\xi, x) - f(-\xi, x)) M^{1/2}(\xi) g(\xi) d\xi = 0, \quad x \in \Pi_K.$$

It is easy to see that the left-hand side of the equality can be differentiated with respect to the variable x . Hence,

$$\int_0^\infty F(\xi^2) (f'(\xi, 0) - f'(-\xi, 0)) M^{1/2}(\xi) g(\xi) d\xi = 0.$$

Since (see (64)) $f'(\xi, 0) - f'(-\xi, 0) = 2i\xi M^{-1}(\xi)$, we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \xi F(\xi^2) M^{-1/2}(\xi) g(\xi) d\xi = 0. \quad (76)$$

From the arbitrariness of the function F , it follows that $\xi M^{-1/2}(\xi) g(\xi) = 0$ almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}_+ , and therefore $g = 0$, i.e., $\ker \mathfrak{A} = \{0\}$. \square

7. Completion of the proof of Theorem 3. In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 3 and prove Proposition 4.

Lemma 8. *Let $S \in \mathcal{S}(K)$, $q := q_S$, and let V be the operator defined by the formula (40). Then $VV^* = \mathcal{P}_-$.*

Proof. Let $S \in \mathcal{S}(K)$, and let $S_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{reg}}(K)$ be as in Proposition 2. Define $V_\varepsilon := V_{S_\varepsilon}$. Let us show that

$$\text{s-lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} V_\varepsilon = V, \quad \text{s-lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} V_\varepsilon^* = V^*.$$

From (40) and (10), it follows that for all $h \in H$ and $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, H)$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} (V_\varepsilon h \mid \varphi)_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Psi_\varepsilon(x) h \mid \varphi(x)) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Psi(x) h \mid \varphi(x)) dx = (Vh \mid \varphi)_{\mathcal{H}},$$

i.e., $V_\varepsilon \rightarrow V$ in the weak operator topology as $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$. It follows from Theorem 6 that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, $h \in H$ we get

$$V_\varepsilon(I - P)h = 0 = V(I - P)h, \quad \|V_\varepsilon Ph\| = \|Ph\| = \|VPh\|.$$

Hence, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} V_\varepsilon h = Vh$, i.e., $\text{s-lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} V_\varepsilon = V$. Moreover, in view of Proposition 2, we have that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} V_\varepsilon^* \varphi = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi_\varepsilon^*(x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi^*(x) \varphi(x) dx = V^* \varphi$$

for an arbitrary $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, H)$. Since $C_0(\mathbb{R}, H)$ is everywhere dense in \mathcal{H} and $\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)} \|V_\varepsilon\| \leq 1$,

we conclude that $\text{s-lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} V_\varepsilon^* = V^*$.

According to Corollary 6, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, the operator $V_\varepsilon V_\varepsilon^*$ is the spectral projection of the operator T_{q_ε} corresponding to the negative half-line \mathbb{R}_- . Therefore,

$$(T_{q_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{I} - V_\varepsilon V_\varepsilon^*)g \mid g)_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1/2), \quad g \in W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H). \quad (77)$$

In view of Proposition 2, we can pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$ in (77). As a result, we obtain

$$(T_q(\mathcal{I} - VV^*)g \mid g)_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0, \quad g \in W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H). \quad (78)$$

Since V is a partial isometry, the operator VV^* is the orthogonal projection onto the subspace $\text{ran} V$. By Corollary 3, $VV^* \leq \mathcal{P}_-$. Suppose that $VV^* \neq \mathcal{P}_-$. Then there exists a nonzero

element $g_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathcal{P}_-g_0 = g_0$, $VV^*g_0 = 0$. From this, using (78) and the fact that $\mathcal{P}_-\mathcal{H} \subset W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$, we get that $(T_q g_0 \mid g_0)_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0$. This leads to a contradiction, since $T_q^- < 0$. Hence, $VV^* = \mathcal{P}_-$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. (I) In view of Corollary 2, we have $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$, $\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_- = \mathcal{I}$.

(II) From Corollary 3 and Lemma 8, it follows that the operator T_q^- is unitarily equivalent to the operator $-K_1^2$.

(III) Let \mathcal{F} denote the Fourier transform in \mathcal{H} defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(x) := \widehat{f}(x) = \lim_{a \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-a}^a e^{ixt} f(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H},$$

where the limit is understood in the topology of \mathcal{H} . Note that the operator \mathcal{F} is unitary and (see (61)) $T_0 = \mathcal{F}^* \mathcal{T} \mathcal{F}$. According to Theorem 8, the operator $\mathfrak{B}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{B}f := \mathfrak{A}\mathcal{F}f, \quad f \in \mathcal{H},$$

is also unitary and satisfies

$$T_q \mathfrak{B} = T_q \mathfrak{A} \mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{B} \mathcal{F}^* \mathcal{T} \mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{B} T_0.$$

Thus, the operator T_q^+ is unitarily equivalent to the operator T_0 . \square

Proof of Proposition 4. Let $q \in C_{b,s}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(H))$. Consider the operator $L := (-T_q \mathcal{P}_-)^{1/4}$. It is nonnegative and continuous ($\|L\| \leq \|q\|_{\infty}^{1/4}$). From the obvious equality

$$(L\varphi)'' = q(L\varphi) - T_q(L\varphi) = q(L\varphi) + L^5\varphi, \quad \varphi \in W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H),$$

it follows that $\|(L\varphi)''\| \leq 2\|q\|_{\infty}^{5/4}\|\varphi\|$. Hence, the operator L acts continuously from \mathcal{H} into $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the operator $\beta(x): \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H$ by $\beta(x)\varphi := (L\varphi)(x)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. From the above, the operators $\beta(x)$ are continuous and the operator-valued function $\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto \beta(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, H)$ is continuous and bounded. Since the operator $L: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is self-adjoint, we can easily verify that

$$L\psi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta^*(t)\psi(t)dt, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{H},$$

where the integral converges in the strong operator topology. Combining the above, we obtain

$$[(-T_q^-)^{1/2}\varphi](x) = (L^2\varphi)(x) = \lim_{a \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-a}^a \beta(x)\beta^*(t)\varphi(t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_-.$$

It is easy to see that the function $\mathcal{K}_q(x, t) := \beta(x)\beta^*(t)$ is the unique function for which the equality

$$[(-T_q^-)^{1/2}\varphi](x) = \lim_{a \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-a}^a \mathcal{K}_q(x, t)\varphi(t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_-,$$

holds.

Next, let $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\pi}$. From the equalities (41) and (8), it follows that $T_q \mathcal{P}_- = -VK^2V^*$, and hence, $(-T_q \mathcal{P}_-)^{1/2} = VKV^*$. From this, we get

$$[(-T_q^-)^{1/2}\varphi](x) = \lim_{a \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-a}^a \Psi(x)K\Psi^*(t)\varphi(t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_-.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{K}_q(x, t) = \Psi(x)K\Psi^*(t)$, $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$, and (see (8)) $-4\mathcal{K}_q(x, x) = -4\Psi(x)K\Psi^*(x) = q(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

Appendix. Some special operator equations. From the results of [10], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 9. *Let $K, M \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $K > 0$ and $KM + MK = 0$. Then $M = 0$.*

Using Lemma 9, we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 10. Let $K, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $K > 0$, $B \geq 0$, and

$$KB + BK - 2BKB = 0. \quad (79)$$

Then the operator B is an orthogonal projection that commutes with K .

Proof. Assume that $B \neq 0$. For an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, denote by P_ε the spectral projection of the operator B corresponding to the half-line (ε, ∞) . Suppose $P_\varepsilon \neq 0$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Put $H_\varepsilon := P_\varepsilon H$, and let us consider the auxiliary operators $K_\varepsilon := P_\varepsilon K P_\varepsilon|_{H_\varepsilon}$, $B_\varepsilon := B|_{H_\varepsilon}$. Clearly, $K_\varepsilon > 0$, and the operator B_ε is invertible in the algebra $\mathcal{B}(H_\varepsilon)$. Multiplying the equation (79) on both sides by P_ε , we get

$$K_\varepsilon B_\varepsilon + B_\varepsilon K_\varepsilon - 2B_\varepsilon K_\varepsilon B_\varepsilon = 0.$$

Then, multiplying this equation on both sides by B_ε^{-1} , we obtain

$$K_\varepsilon(B_\varepsilon^{-1} - I_\varepsilon) + (B_\varepsilon^{-1} - I_\varepsilon)K_\varepsilon = 0,$$

where I_ε is the identity operator in the algebra $\mathcal{B}(H_\varepsilon)$. Using this equation and Lemma 9, we conclude that $B_\varepsilon^{-1} - I_\varepsilon = 0$, i.e., $B_\varepsilon = I_\varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. This implies that $BP_\varepsilon = P_\varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence, $B = P_0$, where P_0 is the spectral projection of the operator B corresponding to the set $(0, \infty)$. Consequently, applying (79), we get

$$(I - B)KB = -(I - B)(BK - 2BKB) = 0, \quad BK(I - B) = -(KB - 2BKB)(I - B) = 0,$$

and therefore, $KB = (I - B + B)KB = BKB = BK(I - B + B) = BK$. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to sincerely thank Prof. Rostyslav Hryniv for his constructive comments and helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. Ya.V. Mykytyuk, N.S. Sushchyk, *An operator Riccati equation and reflectionless Schrödinger operators*, Mat. Stud., **61** (2024), №2, 176–187.
2. Ya.V. Mykytyuk, N.S. Sushchyk, *Jost solutions of Schrödinger operators with reflectionless operator-valued potentials*, Mat. Stud., **63** (2025), №1, 62–76.
3. F. Gesztesy, R. Weikard, and M. Zinchenko, *On spectral theory for Schrödinger operators with operator-valued potentials*, J. Diff. Equat., **255** (2013), №7, 1784–1827.
4. V.A. Marchenko, *The Cauchy problem for the KdV equation with nondecreasing initial data*, in What is integrability?, Springer Ser. Nonlinear Dynam., Springer, Berlin, 1991, 273–318.
5. I. Hur, M. McBride, C. Remling, *The Marchenko representation of reflectionless Jacobi and Schrödinger operators*, Trans. AMS, **368** (2016), №2, 1251–1270.
6. V.A. Marchenko, *Sturm–Liouville Operators and Their Applications*, Naukova Dumka Publ., Kiev, 1977 (in Russian); Engl. transl.: Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1986.
7. M.G. Krein, Ju. L. Smul’jan, *On linear-fractional transformations with operator coefficients*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2), **103** (1974), 125–152.
8. I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, M. Kaashoek, *Classes of linear operators*, V.2, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1990, 465 p.
9. N. Dunford, J. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, II. Interscience, New York, 1963.
10. G.K. Pedersen, *On the operator equation $HT + TH = 2K$* , Indiana U. Math. J., **25** (1976), №11, 1029–1033.

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv
Lviv, Ukraine
yamykytyuk@yahoo.com
n.sushchyk@gmail.com

Received 12.02.2025

Revised 20.09.2025