УДК 517.5

## R. DMYTRYSHYN, I. NYZHNYK

## ON APPROXIMATION OF SOME LAURICELLA-SARAN'S HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS $F_M$ AND THEIR RATIOS BY BRANCHED CONTINUED FRACTIONS

R. Dmytryshyn, I. Nyzhnyk. On approximation of some Lauricella-Saran's hypergeometric functions  $F_M$  and their ratios by branched continued fractions, Mat. Stud. **63** (2025), 136–145.

The paper considers the problem of approximating Lauricella-Saran's hypergeometric functions  $F_M(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2; a_1, c_2; z_1, z_2, z_3)$  by rational functions, which are approximants of branched continued fraction expansions – a special family functions. Under the conditions of positive definite values of the elements of the expansions, the domain of analytic continuation of these functions and their ratios is established. Here, the domain is an open connected set. It is also proven that under the above conditions, every branched continued fraction expansion converges to the function that is analytic in a given domain of analytic continuation at least as fast as a geometric series with a ratio less then unity.

1. Introduction. Special family functions (such as Appell, Horn, Lauricella-Saran, and other) have been the subject of study by both pure and applied mathematicians from their inception to nowadays ([24, 25, 35]). The interest in these special functions is largely due to their importance in applications in various fields of science and engineering ([14, 37]).

Their representations are of considerable matter for the computation of special functions. Recently, some interesting results have appeared on the representation of Appell's functions  $F_2$  ([1, 21]) and  $F_4$  ([28]), Horn's functions  $H_3$  ([3]),  $H_4$  ([7], see also [16, 19, 20]),  $H_6$  ([8, 15, 27]), and  $H_7$  ([4, 29, 30]), Lauricella-Saran's functions  $F_K$  ([2, 17]) and  $F_M$  ([33]), generalization of hypergeometric functions  ${}_3F_2$  ([6]) and  ${}_4F_3$  ([32]), confluent hypergeometric function  $\Phi_D^{(N)}$  ([5]), and other ([22, 23, 34]) as branched continued fractions (a rather intriguing special family of functions).

The Lauricella-Saran's hypergeometric functions  $F_M$  ([31, 36]) are defined by

$$F_M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2; \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{p,q,r=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_p (\alpha_2)_{q+r} (\beta_1)_{p+r} (\beta_2)_q}{(\gamma_1)_p (\gamma_2)_{q+r}} \frac{z_1^p z_2^q z_3^r}{p! q! r!}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \notin \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\}$ ,  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ ,  $(\cdot)_k$  is the Pochhammer symbol.

doi:10.30970/ms.63.2.136-145

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 33C65, 32A17, 41A20, 32A10, 40A99, 30B40.

Keywords: hypergeometric function; branched continued fraction; holomorphic functions; rational approximation; rate of convergence; analytic continuation.

Let  $\mathfrak{J} = \{1, 2\}$  and for  $k \geq 1$ 

$$\mathfrak{J}_k = \{i(k) = (i_0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) : i_r \in \mathfrak{J}, \ 0 \le r \le k\}.$$

The following result directly follows from Theorem 3.1 ([33]).

**Theorem 1.** For each  $i_0 \in \mathfrak{J}$  the function

$$\frac{F_M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2; \alpha_1, \gamma_2; \mathbf{z})}{(1 - \delta_{i_0}^1 z_1) F_M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 1, \beta_1 + \delta_{i_0}^1, \beta_2 + \delta_{i_0}^2; \alpha_1, \gamma_2 + 1; \mathbf{z})},$$
(2)

where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\alpha_1, \gamma_2 \notin \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\}$ , and  $\delta_i^j$  is the Kronecker symbol, has a formal branched continued fraction

$$q_{i_0}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_1=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(1)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(1)}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_2=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(2)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(2)}(\mathbf{z}) + \dots}},$$
(3)

where

$$q_{i_0}(\mathbf{z}) = 1 - \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_{i_0} + 1}{\gamma_2} \frac{(1 - \delta_{i_0}^2 z_1) z_{4-i_0}}{1 - z_1} - \frac{\beta_{3-i_0}}{\gamma_2} \frac{(1 - \delta_{i_0}^1 z_1) z_{1+i_0}}{1 - z_1}$$
(4)

and for  $i(k) \in \mathfrak{J}_k$  and  $k \geq 1$ 

$$p_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{(\alpha_2 + k) \left(\beta_{i_k} + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \delta_{i_r}^{i_k}\right)}{(\gamma_2 + k - 1)(\gamma_2 + k)} \frac{(1 - \delta_{i_k}^2 z_1)^2 z_{4-i_k} (1 - \delta_{i_k}^1 z_1 - z_{4-i_k})}{(1 - z_1)^2},$$
(5)
$$q_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z}) = 1 - \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_{i_k} + k + 1 + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \delta_{i_r}^{i_k}}{\gamma_2 + k} \frac{(1 - \delta_{i_k}^2 z_1) z_{4-i_k}}{1 - z_1} - \frac{\beta_{3-i_k} + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \delta_{i_r}^{3-i_k}}{\gamma_2 + k} \frac{(1 - \delta_{i_k}^1 z_1) z_{1+i_k}}{1 - z_1}.$$
(6)

This study delves the convergence problem of branched continued fractions (3), which, in turn, provides, in particular, the domains of extension of functions (2). In [24] one can find analytical extensions of the Lauricella-Saran's hypergeometric functions  $F_M$  through their integral representations. Some results on the convergence of various branched continued fractions can be found in [9, 12] (see also [10, 11, 26]).

## 2. Convergence and analytic continuation. The following result is true.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\alpha_1$  be complex number herewith  $\alpha_1 \notin \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\}$  and  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\gamma_2$  real numbers such that

$$0 < \alpha_2 + 1 \le \gamma_2, \ \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1 \le 2\gamma_2, \ 0 \le \beta_{i_0}, \ \beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 \ne 0, \ \beta_{i_0} \le \gamma_2, \quad i_0 \in \mathfrak{J}.$$
 (7)

Then, for each  $i_0 \in \mathfrak{J}$ , the following statements are true:

(a) the branched continued fraction (3) converges to a finite value  $g^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})$  for each  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\eta}$ , where

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\eta} = \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \colon z_1 < 1, \ 0 \le \frac{z_3}{1 - z_1} \le \frac{1 - \eta}{2}, \ 0 \le z_2 \le \frac{1 - \eta}{2} \right\}, \quad 0 < \eta < 1; \quad (8)$$

- (b) the convergence is uniformly on every compact subset of the domain  $\operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{D}_{\eta})$ , and  $g^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})$  is analytic on  $\operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{D}_{\eta})$ ;
- (c) if  $g_n^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})$  denotes the nth approximant of (3), then for each  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\eta}$

$$|g^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) - g_n^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})| \le \frac{\mu^{n+1}(\mathbf{z})}{(1 - 2\nu(\mathbf{z}))((1 - 2\nu(\mathbf{z}))^2 + \mu(\mathbf{z}))^n}, \quad n \ge 1,$$

where

$$\nu(\mathbf{z}) = \max\left\{\frac{z_3}{1 - z_1}, \ z_2\right\},\tag{9}$$

$$\mu(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{z_3}{1 - z_1} \left( 1 - \frac{z_3}{1 - z_1} \right) + z_2 (1 - z_2); \tag{10}$$

(d) the function  $g^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})$  is an analytic continuation of the function (2) in the domain  $\operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{D}_n)$ .

Let us set (see [13])

$$Q_{i(n)}^{(n)}(\mathbf{z}) = q_{i(n)}(\mathbf{z}), \quad i(n) \in \mathfrak{J}_n, \ n \ge 1, \tag{11}$$

and

$$Q_{i(k)}^{(n)}(\mathbf{z}) = q_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_{k+2}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(k+2)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(k+2)}(\mathbf{z}) + \cdots + \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(n)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(n)}(\mathbf{z})}},$$

where  $i(k) \in \mathfrak{J}_k$ ,  $1 \le k \le n-1$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . Then

$$Q_{i(k)}^{(n)}(\mathbf{z}) = q_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{Q_{i(k+1)}^{(n)}(\mathbf{z})},$$
(12)

where  $i(k) \in \mathfrak{J}_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and the approximants of (3) are written as

$$g_n^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) = q_{i_0}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_1=1}^2 \frac{p_{i(1)}(\mathbf{z})}{Q_{i(1)}^{(n)}(\mathbf{z})}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let  $i_0$  be an arbitrary index in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

(a) To prove this statement, we will use the method of establishing the truncation error bounds from Theorem 1 ([6]). Let **z** be an arbitrary fixed point in (8). It is obvious that under conditions (7), the elements (5) are nonnegative.

Let us evaluate (6). Using (7) and (8), for any  $i(k) \in \mathfrak{J}_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , we obtain

$$q_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z}) \ge 1 - \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_{i_k} + k + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \delta_{i_r}^{i_k} + 1}{\gamma_2 + k} \nu(\mathbf{z}) - \frac{\beta_{3-i_k} + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \delta_{i_r}^{3-i_k}}{\gamma_2 + k} \nu(\mathbf{z}) = 1 - \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 2k + 1}{\gamma_2 + k} \nu(\mathbf{z}) \ge 1 - 2\nu(\mathbf{z}) \ge \eta.$$

Here we used that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \delta_{i_r}^{i_k} + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \delta_{i_r}^{3-i_k} = k$$

for any  $i(k) \in \mathfrak{J}_k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ .

Thus,

$$Q_{i(k)}^{(n)}(\mathbf{z}) \ge q_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z}) \ge 1 - 2\nu(\mathbf{z}) \ge \eta > 0, \quad i(k) \in \mathfrak{J}_k, \ 1 \le k \le n, \ n \ge 1.$$
 (13)

Next, let us evaluate  $|g_{n+k}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) - g_n^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})|$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 1$ . To do this, we will use the well-known formula for the difference of two approximants of a branched continued fraction ([13]), and write it in the form

$$g_{n+k}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) - g_n^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) =$$

$$= (-1)^n \sum_{i_1=1}^2 \sum_{i_2=1}^2 \dots \sum_{i_{n+1}=1}^2 \frac{p_{i(1)}(\mathbf{z})}{Q_{i(1)}^{(q)}(\mathbf{z})} \prod_{r=1}^{[(n+1)/2]} \frac{p_{i(2r)}(\mathbf{z})}{Q_{i(2r-1)}^{(p)}(\mathbf{z})Q_{i(2r)}^{(p)}(\mathbf{z})} \prod_{r=1}^{[n/2]} \frac{p_{i(2r+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{Q_{i(2r)}^{(q)}(\mathbf{z})Q_{i(2r+1)}^{(q)}(\mathbf{z})},$$

where q = n + k, p = n, if n = 2s, and q = n, p = n + k, if n = 2s - 1,  $s \ge 1$ . Using (11)–(13), for any  $m \ge 1$  we have

$$\sum_{i_1=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(1)}(\mathbf{z})}{Q_{i(1)}^{(m)}(\mathbf{z})} \le \sum_{i_1=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(1)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(1)}(\mathbf{z})} \le \sum_{i_1=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(1)}(\mathbf{z})}{1 - 2\nu(\mathbf{z})}$$

and for any  $i(k) \in \mathfrak{J}_k$ ,  $1 \le k \le m$ ,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{Q_{i(k)}^{(m+1)}(\mathbf{z})Q_{i(k+1)}^{(m+1)}(\mathbf{z})} &= \frac{\sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{Q_{i(k+1)}^{(m+1)}(\mathbf{z})}}{q_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{Q_{i(k+1)}^{(m+1)}(\mathbf{z})}} &\leq \frac{\sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z})q_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}}{1 + \sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z})q_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}} &\leq \frac{\sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{2} p_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{(1 - 2\nu(\mathbf{z}))^{2} + \sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{2} p_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}. \end{split}$$

Next again, for any  $i(k) \in \mathfrak{J}_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , by (5), (7) and (8) we obtain

$$\sum_{i_{k}=1}^{2} p_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{2} \frac{(\alpha_{2}+k) \left(\beta_{i_{k}} + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \delta_{i_{r}}^{i_{k}}\right)}{(\gamma_{2}+k-1)(\gamma_{2}+k)} \frac{(1-\delta_{i_{k}}^{2} z_{1})^{2} z_{4-i_{k}} (1-\delta_{i_{k}}^{1} z_{1}-z_{4-i_{k}})}{(1-z_{1})^{2}} \leq \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{2} \frac{(1-\delta_{i_{k}}^{2} z_{1})^{2} z_{4-i_{k}} (1-\delta_{i_{k}}^{1} z_{1}-z_{4-i_{k}})}{(1-z_{1})^{2}} = \frac{z_{3}}{1-z_{1}} \left(1-\frac{z_{3}}{1-z_{1}}\right) + z_{2}(1-z_{2}) = \mu(\mathbf{z}).$$

Thus,

$$|g_{n+k}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) - g_n^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})| \le \frac{\mu^{n+1}(\mathbf{z})}{(1 - 2\nu(\mathbf{z}))((1 - 2\nu(\mathbf{z}))^2 + \mu(\mathbf{z}))^n}, \quad n \ge 1, \ k \ge 1.$$
 (14)

Therefore, due to the arbitrariness of k and given that for arbitrary fixed  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\eta}$ ,

$$\frac{\mu^{n+1}(\mathbf{z})}{(1-2\nu(\mathbf{z}))((1-2\nu(\mathbf{z}))^2 + \mu(\mathbf{z}))^n} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to +\infty,$$

it follows (a).

(b) Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be an arbitrary compact subset of  $\operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{D}_{\eta})$ . Then, using (8)–(10) and (13), from (14) for  $n \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$|g_{n+k}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) - g_n^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})| < \frac{(1-\eta^2)^{n+1}}{\eta(\eta^2 + 1 - \eta^2)^n} = \frac{(1-\eta^2)^{n+1}}{\eta}$$

for all  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{L}$ . Next, if q and p are arbitrary integer numbers such that  $q \geq 1$  and  $p \geq n \geq 1$ , then, for all  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{L}$ ,

$$|g_{p+q}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) - g_p^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})| \le |g_{p+q}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) - g_n^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})| + |g_p^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) - g_n^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})|.$$

Therefore, due to

$$\frac{(1-\eta^2)^{n+1}}{\eta} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to +\infty,$$

it follows (b).

- (c) follows from (14) if we pass to the limit as  $k \to +\infty$ .
- (d) Here we will use the PF method ([2, 5]). It known that the series (1) converges in the domain (see, [36])

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\kappa,\tau} = \{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^3 \colon |z_1| < \kappa, \ |z_2| < 1, \ |z_3| < \tau \},$$

where  $\kappa$  and  $\tau$  are positive numbers such that  $\kappa + \tau = 1$ . In addition, it is clear that

$$F_M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \beta_2; \gamma_1, \gamma_2; \mathbf{0}) = F_M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 1, \beta_1 + \delta_{i_0}^1, \beta_2 + \delta_{i_0}^2; \alpha_1, \gamma_2 + 1; \mathbf{0}) = 1.$$

Therefore, there exists  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  such that function (2) is analytic in domain

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\kappa,\tau,\eta,\varepsilon} = \\ = \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \colon 0 < z_1 < \varepsilon \min\{\kappa,\eta\}, \ 0 < z_2 < \frac{\varepsilon(1-\eta)}{4}, \ 0 < z_3 < \varepsilon \min\left\{\tau, \frac{(1-\eta)^2}{4}\right\} \right\},$$

and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\kappa,\tau,\eta,\varepsilon} \subset (\mathfrak{D}_{\kappa,\tau} \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{D}_{\eta}))$ , in particular,  $\mathfrak{D}_{\kappa,\tau,\eta,1/2} \subset (\mathfrak{D}_{\kappa,\tau} \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{D}_{\eta}))$ .

Let **z** be an arbitrary fixed point in  $\mathfrak{D}_{\kappa,\tau,\eta,\varepsilon}$ . Then it is obvious that the elements (4)–(6) are positive. This means that (see, [2, 13])

$$g_{2n}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) < g_{2n+2}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) < g_{2n+1}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) < g_{2n-1}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}), \quad n \ge 1,$$

which in turn means that the sequences of even and odd approximants of (3) converge to a finite value  $g^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})$ . It should be note that this system of inequalities expresses the so-called property of fork for branched continued fractions.

Next, we will consider

$$\frac{F_M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2; \alpha_1, \gamma_2; \mathbf{z})}{(1 - \delta_{i_0}^1 z_1) F_M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 1, \beta_1 + \delta_{i_0}^1, \beta_2 + \delta_{i_0}^2; \alpha_1, \gamma_2 + 1; \mathbf{z})} - g_n^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}), \quad n \ge 1,$$

where (see [33])

$$\frac{F_{M}(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}; \alpha_{1}, \gamma_{2}; \mathbf{z})}{(1 - \delta_{i_{0}}^{1} z_{1}) F_{M}(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} + 1, \beta_{1} + \delta_{i_{0}}^{1}, \beta_{2} + \delta_{i_{0}}^{2}; \alpha_{1}, \gamma_{2} + 1; \mathbf{z})} =$$

$$= q_{i_{0}}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(1)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(1)}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(2)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(2)}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_{n+1}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(n+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{G_{i(n+1)}^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{z})}},$$

and, for  $i(n+1) \in \mathfrak{J}_{n+1}$ ,  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$G_{i(n+1)}^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{F_M\left(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + n + 1, \beta_1 + \sum_{r=0}^n \delta_{i_r}^1, \beta_2 + \sum_{r=0}^n \delta_{i_r}^2; \alpha_1, \gamma_2 + n + 1; \mathbf{z}\right)}{(1 - \delta_{i_{n+1}}^1 z_1) F_M\left(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + n + 2, \beta_1 + \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \delta_{i_r}^1, \beta_2 + \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \delta_{i_r}^2; \alpha_1, \gamma_2 + n + 2; \mathbf{z}\right)}.$$

Let

et
$$G_{i(k)}^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{z}) = q_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_{k+2}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(k+2)}(\mathbf{z}) + \cdots} + \sum_{i_{n+1}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(n+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{G_{i(n+1)}^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{z})}},$$

where  $i(k) \in \mathfrak{J}_k$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ . Then

$$G_{i(k)}^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{z}) = q_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_{k+1}=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(k+1)}(\mathbf{z})}{G_{i(k+1)}^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{z})}, \quad i(k) \in \mathfrak{J}_k, \ 1 \le k \le n, \ n \ge 1.$$
 (15)

It is obvious that  $Q_{i(k)}^{(n)}(\mathbf{z}) \neq 0$  and  $G_{i(k)}^{(n)}(\mathbf{z}) \neq 0$  for all indices and for all  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\kappa,\tau,\eta,\varepsilon}$ . Then, using (11), (12), (15), and the above-mentioned formula for the difference of two approximants of the branched continued fraction ([13]), for  $n \geq 1$  we obtain

$$\frac{F_M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2; \alpha_1, \gamma_2; \mathbf{z})}{(1 - \delta_{i_0}^1 z_1) F_M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 1, \beta_1 + \delta_{i_0}^1, \beta_2 + \delta_{i_0}^2; \alpha_1, \gamma_2 + 1; \mathbf{z})} - g_n^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) =$$

$$= (-1)^n \sum_{i_1=1}^2 \sum_{i_2=1}^2 \dots \sum_{i_{n+1}=1}^2 \frac{\prod_{r=1}^{n+1} p_{i(r)}(\mathbf{z})}{\prod_{r=1}^{n+1} G_{i(r)}^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{z}) \prod_{r=1}^n Q_{i(r)}^{(n)}(\mathbf{z})}.$$

Therefore, for all  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\kappa,\tau,\eta,\varepsilon}$  we have

$$g_{2n}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) < \frac{F_M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2; \alpha_1, \gamma_2; \mathbf{z})}{(1 - \delta_{i_0}^1 z_1) F_M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 1, \beta_1 + \delta_{i_0}^1, \beta_2 + \delta_{i_0}^2; \alpha_1, \gamma_2 + 1; \mathbf{z})} < g_{2n-1}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}), \quad n \ge 1.$$

Since by the property of fork for all  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\kappa,\tau,\eta,\varepsilon}$ 

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} g_{2n}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} g_{2n-1}^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) = g^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}),$$

then also for all  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\kappa,\tau,\eta,\varepsilon}$ 

$$g^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{F_M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2; \alpha_1, \gamma_2; \mathbf{z})}{(1 - \delta_{i_0}^1 z_1) F_M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 1, \beta_1 + \delta_{i_0}^1, \beta_2 + \delta_{i_0}^2; \alpha_1, \gamma_2 + 1; \mathbf{z})}.$$

Finally, applying Theorem 2 ([2]) gives (d).

When  $\alpha_2 = \beta_1 = 0$  and  $\gamma_2$  is replaced by  $\gamma_2 - 1$ , from Theorem 2 we have the following:

Corollary 1. If  $i_0 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1$  be complex number herewith  $\alpha_1 \notin \{0, -1, -2, ...\}$ ,  $\beta_2$  and  $\gamma_2$  be real numbers such that  $0 < \beta_2 \le \gamma_2 - 1$  and  $\gamma_2 \ge 2$ , then the following statements are true:

(a) the branched continued fraction

$$\frac{1}{q_{i_0}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_1=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(1)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(1)}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{i_2=1}^{2} \frac{p_{i(2)}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{i(2)}(\mathbf{z}) + \cdots}}$$
(16)

converges to a finite value  $g^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})$  for each  $\mathfrak{D}_{\eta}$ , where

$$q_{i_0}(\mathbf{z}) = 1 - \frac{\beta_{i_0} + 1}{\gamma_2 - 1} \frac{(1 - \delta_{i_0}^2 z_1) z_{4-i_0}}{1 - z_1} - \frac{\beta_{3-i_0}}{\gamma_2 - 1} \frac{(1 - \delta_{i_0}^1 z_1) z_{1+i_0}}{1 - z_1}$$

and for  $i(k) \in \mathfrak{I}_k$  and  $k \geq 1$ 

$$p_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{k \left(\beta_{i_k} + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \delta_{i_r}^{i_k}\right)}{(\gamma_2 + k - 2)(\gamma_2 + k - 1)} \frac{(1 - \delta_{i_k}^2 z_1)^2 z_{4-i_k} (1 - \delta_{i_k}^1 z_1 - z_{4-i_k})}{1 - z_1},$$

$$q_{i(k)}(\mathbf{z}) = 1 - \frac{\beta_{i_k} + k + 1 + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \delta_{i_r}^{i_k}}{\gamma_2 + k - 1} \frac{(1 - \delta_{i_k}^2 z_1) z_{4-i_k}}{1 - z_1} - \frac{\beta_{3-i_k} + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \delta_{i_r}^{3-i_k}}{\gamma_2 + k - 1} \frac{(1 - \delta_{i_k}^1 z_1) z_{1+i_k}}{1 - z_1}$$

herewith  $\beta_1 = 0$ , and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\eta}$  is defined by (8);

- (b) the convergence is uniformly on every compact subset of (8) to the function  $g^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})$  analytic in  $\operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{D}_n)$ ;
- (c) if  $g_n^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})$  denotes the nth approximant of (16), then for each  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\eta}$

$$|g^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z}) - g_n^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})| \le \frac{\mu^n(\mathbf{z})}{(1 - \nu(\mathbf{z}))^3((1 - 2\nu(\mathbf{z}))^2 + \mu(\mathbf{z}))^{n-1}}, \quad n \ge 1,$$

where  $\nu(\mathbf{z})$  and  $\mu(\mathbf{z})$  are defined by (9) and (10), respectively;

(d) the function  $g^{(i_0)}(\mathbf{z})$  is an analytic continuation of the function

$$(1-z_1)F_M(\alpha_1,1,1,\beta_2;\alpha_1,\gamma_2;\mathbf{z})$$

in the domain  $Int(\mathfrak{D}_n)$ .

It should be note that the similar consequence is also true if  $i_0 = 2$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \beta_2 = 0$ , and  $\gamma_2$  is replaced by  $\gamma_2 - 1$ . In Theorem 2 and Corollary 1, statements (a) and (c) are also true without the condition  $z_1 < 1$  in (8). The validity of (b) and (d) for complex **z** will be considered in the next paper.

**Example 1.** From Example 3.1 in [33] we have

$$\ln \frac{1 - z_1 - z_3}{(1 - z_1)(1 - z_2)} = \left(z_2 - \frac{z_3}{1 - z_1}\right) (1 - z_1) F_M(1, 1, 1, 1; 1, 2; \mathbf{z}) = \frac{z_2 - \frac{z_3}{1 - z_1}}{1 - z_2 - \frac{z_3}{1 - z_1}} + \frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{z_3}{1 - z_1} \left(1 - \frac{z_3}{1 - z_1}\right)}{1 - \frac{1}{2} z_2 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{z_3}{1 - z_1} + \dots} + \frac{\frac{1}{2} z_2 (1 - z_2)}{1 - \frac{3}{2} z_2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{z_3}{1 - z_1} + \dots} \tag{17}$$

By Corollary 1, the branched continued fraction (17) converges and represents a single-valued branch of the function

$$\ln \frac{1 - z_1 - z_3}{(1 - z_1)(1 - z_2)}$$

in the domain  $\mathfrak{T}_{\eta} = \mathfrak{D}_{\eta} \cap \mathfrak{R}$ , where  $\mathfrak{D}_{\eta}$  is defined by (8) and

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (1 - z_1 - z_3)(1 - z_1)(1 - z_2) > 0 \}.$$

**Acknowledgments.** The authors were partially supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine, project registration number 0123U101791.

## REFERENCES

1. T. Antonova, C. Cesarano, R. Dmytryshyn, S. Sharyn, An approximation to Appell's hypergeometric function  $F_2$  by branched continued fraction, Dolomites Res. Notes Approx., 17 (2024), 22–31. http://dx.doi.org/10.14658/PUPJ-DRNA-2024-1-3

- 2. T. Antonova, R. Dmytryshyn, V. Goran, On the analytic continuation of Lauricella-Saran hypergeometric function  $F_K(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2; a_1, b_2, c_3; \mathbf{z})$ , Mathematics, **11** (2023), 4487. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/math11214487
- 3. T. Antonova, R. Dmytryshyn, V. Kravtsiv, Branched continued fraction expansions of Horn's hypergeometric function H<sub>3</sub> ratios, Mathematics, 9 (2021), 148. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/math9020148
- T. Antonova, R. Dmytryshyn, P. Kril, S. Sharyn, Representation of some ratios of Horn's hypergeometric functions H<sub>7</sub> by continued fractions, Axioms, 12 (2023), 738. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/axioms12080738
- 5. T. Antonova, R. Dmytryshyn, R. Kurka, Approximation for the ratios of the confluent hypergeometric function  $\Phi_D^{(N)}$  by the branched continued fractions, Axioms, **11** (2022), 426. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/axioms11090426
- 6. T. Antonova, R. Dmytryshyn, S. Sharyn, Generalized hypergeometric function  $_3F_2$  ratios and branched continued fraction expansions, Axioms, 10 (2021), 310. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/axioms10040310
- 7. T. Antonova, R. Dmytryshyn, I.-A. Lutsiv, S. Sharyn, On some branched continued fraction expansions for Horn's hypergeometric function  $H_4(a, b; c, d; z_1, z_2)$  ratios, Axioms, 12 (2023), 299. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/axioms12030299
- 8. T. Antonova, R. Dmytryshyn, S. Sharyn, Branched continued fraction representations of ratios of Horn's confluent function H<sub>6</sub>, Constr. Math. Anal., 6 (2023), 22–37. http://dx.doi.org/10.33205/cma.1243021
- 9. I.B. Bilanyk, D.I. Bodnar, O.G. Vozniak, Convergence criteria of branched continued fractions, Res. Math., **32** (2024), 53–69. http://doi.org/10.15421/242419
- D.I. Bodnar, I.B. Bilanyk, Two-dimensional generalization of the Thron-Jones theorem on the parabolic domains of convergence of continued fractions, Ukr. Math. Zhurn., 74 (2022), 1155–1169. (in Ukrainian); Engl. transl.: Ukrainian Math. J., 74 (2023), 1317–1333. http://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-023-02138-1
- D.I. Bodnar, O.S. Bodnar, I.B. Bilanyk, A truncation error bound for branched continued fractions of the special form on subsets of angular domains, Carpathian Math. Publ., 15 (2023), 437–448. http://doi.org/10.15330/cmp.15.2.437-448
- D.I. Bodnar, O.S. Bodnar, M.V. Dmytryshyn, M.M. Popov, M.V. Martsinkiv, O.B. Salamakha, Research on the convergence of some types of functional branched continued fractions, Carpathian Math. Publ., 16 (2024), 448–460. http://doi.org/10.15330/cmp.16.2.448-460
- 13. D.I. Bodnar, Branched continued fractions, Naukova Dumka, Kyiv, 1986. (in Russian)
- 14. J. Choi, Recent advances in special functions and their applications, Symmetry, 15 (2023), 2159. http://doi.org/10.3390/sym15122159
- 15. R. Dmytryshyn, T. Antonova, M. Dmytryshyn, On the analytic extension of the Horn's confluent function H<sub>6</sub> on domain in the space ℂ², Constr. Math. Anal., 7 (2024), 11–26. http://dx.doi.org/10.33205/cma.1545452
- 16. R. Dmytryshyn, C. Cesarano, I.-A. Lutsiv, M. Dmytryshyn, Numerical stability of the branched continued fraction expansion of Horn's hypergeometric function H<sub>4</sub>, Mat. Stud., **61** (2024), 51–60. http://dx.doi.org/10.30970/ms.61.1.51-60
- 17. R. Dmytryshyn, V. Goran, On the analytic extension of Lauricella–Saran's hypergeometric function  $F_K$  to symmetric domains, Symmetry, 16 (2024), 220. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/sym16020220
- 18. R. Dmytryshyn, I.-A. Lutsiv, O. Bodnar, On the domains of convergence of the branched continued fraction expansion of ratio  $H_4(a, d+1; c, d; \mathbf{z})/H_4(a, d+2; c, d+1; \mathbf{z})$ , Res. Math., **31** (2023), 19–26. http://dx.doi.org/10.15421/242311
- R. Dmytryshyn, I.-A. Lutsiv, M. Dmytryshyn, C. Cesarano, On some domains of convergence of branched continued fraction expansions of the ratios of Horn hypergeometric functions H<sub>4</sub>, Ukr. Math. Zhurn., 76 (2024), 502–508. (in Ukrainian); Engl. transl.: Ukrainian Math. J., 76 (2024), 559–565. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11253-024-02338-3
- 20. R. Dmytryshyn, I.-A. Lutsiv, M. Dmytryshyn, On the analytic extension of the Horn's hypergeometric function H<sub>4</sub>, Carpathian Math. Publ., **16** (2024), 32–39. http://dx.doi.org/10.15330/cmp.16.1.32-39
- 21. R. Dmytryshyn, On the analytic continuation of Appell's hypergeometric function  $F_2$  to some symmetric domains in the space  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , Symmetry, **16** (2024), 1480. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/sym16111480
- 22. R.I. Dmytryshyn, S.V. Sharyn, Approximation of functions of several variables by multidimensional S-fractions with independent variables, Carpathian Math. Publ., 13 (2021), 592–607. http://dx.doi.org/10.15330/cmp.13.3.592-607

- 23. R. Dmytryshyn, S. Sharyn, Representation of special functions by multidimensional A- and J-fractions with independent variables, Fractal Fract., 9 (2025), 89. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract9020089
- 24. P.-C. Hang, L. Hu, Full asymptotic expansions of the Humbert function  $\Phi_1$ , arXiv, (2025), arXiv:2504.09280. http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2504.09280
- 25. P.-C. Hang, M.-J. Luo, Asymptotics of Saran's hypergeometric function  $F_K$ , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **541** (2025), 128707. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2024.128707
- V.R. Hladun, D.I. Bodnar, R.S. Rusyn, Convergence sets and relative stability to perturbations of a branched continued fraction with positive elements, Carpathian Math. Publ., 16 (2024), 16–31. http://dx.doi.org/10.15330/cmp.16.1.16-31
- V.R. Hladun, M.V. Dmytryshyn, V.V. Kravtsiv, R.S. Rusyn, Numerical stability of the branched continued fraction expansions of the ratios of Horn's confluent hypergeometric functions H<sub>6</sub>, Math. Model. Comput., 11 (2024), 1152–1166. http://doi.org/10.23939/mmc2024.04.1152
- 28. V.R. Hladun, N.P. Hoyenko, O.S. Manzij, L. Ventyk, On convergence of function  $F_4(1, 2; 2, 2; z_1, z_2)$  expansion into a branched continued fraction, Math. Model. Comput., **9** (2022), 767–778. http://dx.doi.org/10.23939/mmc2022.03.767
- 29. V. Hladun, V. Kravtsiv, M. Dmytryshyn, R. Rusyn, On numerical stability of continued fractions, Mat. Stud., 62 (2024), 168–183. http://doi.org/10.30970/ms.62.2.168-183
- 30. V. Hladun, R. Rusyn, M. Dmytryshyn, On the analytic extension of three ratios of Horn's confluent hypergeometric function H<sub>7</sub>, Res. Math., **32** (2024), 60–70. http://dx.doi.org/10.15421/242405
- 31. G. Lauricella, Sulle funzioni ipergeometriche a più variabili, Rend. Circ. Matem., 7 (1893), 111–158. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF03012437
- 32. Y. Lutsiv, T. Antonova, R. Dmytryshyn, M. Dmytryshyn, On the branched continued fraction expansions of the complete group of ratios of the generalized hypergeometric function  $_4F_3$ , Res. Math., 32 (2024), 115–132. http://dx.doi.org/10.15421/242423
- 33. I. Nyzhnyk, R. Dmytryshyn, T. Antonova, On branched continued fraction expansions of hypergeometric functions  $F_M$  and their ratios, Modern Math. Methods, 3 (2025), 1–13.
- 34. O. Manziy, V. Hladun, L. Ventyk, The algorithms of constructing the continued fractions for any rations of the hypergeometric Gaussian functions, Math. Model. Comput., 4 (2017), 48–58. http://dx.doi.org/10.23939/mmc2017.01.048
- 35. A. Ryskan, T. Ergashev, On some formulas for the Lauricella function, Mathematics, 11 (2023), 4978. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/math11244978
- 36. Sh. Saran, Transformations of certain hypergeometric functions of three variables, Acta Math., 93 (1955), 293–312. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02392525
- X.-J. Yang, Theory and applications of special functions for scientists and engineers, Springer, Singapore, 2022.

Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine dmytryshynr@hotmail.com

Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine ivan.nyzhnyk.19@pnu.edu.ua

Received 21.12.2024 Revised 25.05.2025