

УДК 517.98

O. V. LOPOTKO

EVENLY POSITIVE DEFINITE FUNCTION OF HILBERT SPACE AND SOME ALGEBRAIC RELATIONSHIP

O. V. Lopotko. *Evenly positive definite function of Hilbert space and some algebraic relationship*, Mat. Stud. **55** (2021), 85–93.

A generalization of P. A. Minlos, V. V. Sazonov's theorem is proved in the case of bounded evenly positive definite function given in a Hilbert space. The integral representation is obtained for a family of bounded commutative self-adjoint operators which are connected by algebraic relationship.

This scientific work is devoted to 95-th anniversary of Professor Yu. M. Berezansky

For the first time theorem about integral representation for positive definite (p.d.) functions $k(x)$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}^1$) was obtained in papers of Krein M. G. and Berezansky Yu. M. [1, 5]. Then Berezansky Yu. M. obtained the integral representation for functions $k(x)$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}^n$) [2]. In the next investigations Berezansky Yu. M. used methods of the spectral theory of operators. These methods play an important role in infinite-dimensional analysis. Using these methods Berezansky Yu. M. obtained the integral representation for p.d. functions in the space $(-2l; 2l) \times \mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^1 \times \dots$ and in the Hilbert space H_{2l} [4]. In particular, for every p.d. continuous in j -topology function $k(x)$ ($x \in H_{2l}; l \leq \infty$) the following integral representation is valid

$$k(x) = \int_H e^{i(\lambda, x)} d\rho(\lambda), \quad (x \in H_{2l}). \quad (1)$$

Here $d\rho(\lambda)$ is a non-negative finite measure on some σ -algebra of Borel sets from H . Conversely, every integral of form (1) is a p.d. function in H which is continuous at O in j -topology. In the case of $l = \infty$, the measure $d\rho(\lambda)$ is uniquely determined by $k(x)$; in the case of $l < \infty$, there is no uniqueness.

The article presents a modification of this theory to the case of bounded evenly p.d. functions $k(x)$ ($x \in H$).

Let H be a real valued separable Hilbert space. A real convex bounded even function $k(x)$ ($x \in H$) is called positive definite (e.p.d.), if for $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(N)} \in H$ and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N \in \mathbb{C}^1$ ($n = N$) the inequality

$$\sum_{j, \kappa=1}^N \frac{1}{2} [k(x^{(j)} + x^{(\kappa)}) + k(x^{(j)} - x^{(\kappa)})] \xi_j \overline{\xi_\kappa} \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E20, 47G10.

Keywords: integral representation; bounded evenly positive definite functions; bounded self-adjoint operators.
doi:10.30970/ms.55.1.85-93

holds.

Let \mathcal{A} be a nonnegative nuclear operator. A topology given by neighborhoods of the origin of the form $\{x \in H \mid (\mathcal{A}x, x)_H < \varepsilon\}$ ($\varepsilon > 0$) is called the j -topology in H . A function $k(x)$ ($x \in H$) is said to be continuous at the origin in the j -topology if it is continuous at O in the topology induced by the j -topology on H .

Theorem 1. *Let $k(x)$ ($x \in H$) be any bounded e.p.d. function which is continuous at O in the j -topology. Then the function admits the representation*

$$k(x) = \int_H \cos(\lambda, x) d\rho(\lambda) \quad (x \in H), \quad (3)$$

where $d\rho(\lambda)$ is a non-negative finite measure defined on the σ -algebra $\mathfrak{B}(H)$ of Borel subsets of H . Conversely, each integral of the form (3) is a bounded e.p.d. function in H which is continuous at the origin in the j -topology. The measure $d\rho(\lambda)$ is uniquely defined for a given k .

We will prove that (2) implies the following inequality:

1) If $\sup |k(x)| = C < +\infty$ then $|k(x)| \leq |k(0)|$, i.e. $C = |k(0)|$.

Indeed, put in (2) $N = 2$, $\xi_1 = -1$, $\xi_2 = 1$, $x^{(1)} = x$, $x^{(2)} = 0$. Then $3k(0) + k(2x) - 4k(x) \geq 0$. It follows that $k(2x) + 3k(0) \geq 4k(x)$ and

$$|k(x)| \leq \frac{|k(2x)| + 3|k(0)|}{4} \leq \frac{3|k(0)| + C}{4}, \quad C = \sup |k(x)| \leq \frac{3|k(0)| + C}{4}.$$

It means that $C \leq |k(0)|$, and therefore

$$|k(x)| \leq |k(0)|. \quad (4)$$

2) For an arbitrary convex bounded e.p.d. function the following inequality holds

$$|k(x^{(1)}) - k(x^{(2)})|^2 \leq 2k(0)\{k(0) - k(x^{(1)} - x^{(2)})\}.$$

Note that for an arbitrary p.d. kernel $K(x; y)$ we have (see [4, p. 469, Lemma 4.1])

$$|K(x; z) - K(y; z)|^2 \leq K(z; z) [K(x; x) - 2\operatorname{Re} K(x; y) + K(y; y)].$$

Put in this inequality $K(x; y) = \frac{1}{2} [k(x^{(1)} - x^{(2)}) + k(x^{(1)} + x^{(2)})]$ ($z = 0$). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |k(x^{(1)}) - k(x^{(2)})|^2 &\leq k(0) \left[k(0) + \frac{1}{2} k(2x^{(1)}) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} k(2x^{(1)}) - k(x^{(1)} - x^{(2)}) - k(x^{(1)} + x^{(2)}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\frac{1}{2}(k(2x^{(1)}) + k(2x^{(2)})) - k(x^{(1)} + x^{(2)}) \leq 0,$$

because the function $k(x)$ is convex. Therefore the previous inequality can be rewritten in the form

$$|k(x^{(1)}) - k(x^{(2)})|^2 \leq k(0) \{k(0) - k(x^{(1)} - x^{(2)})\}. \quad (5)$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Using (4), (5) (see [4, p.467–469]) we can prove that there exists a non-negative nuclear operator \mathcal{A} in H with kernel of zero such that $k(x)$ ($x \in H$) is uniformly continuous in the following sense: for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)} \in H$ satisfying $(\mathcal{A}(x^{(1)} - x^{(2)}), x^{(1)} - x^{(2)}) < \delta$ and $x^{(1)} - x^{(2)} \in H$ one has $|k(x^{(1)}) - k(x^{(2)})| < \varepsilon$.

We introduce a dot product in H : $(x, y)_{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}x, y)_H$. Let $H_{\mathcal{A}}$ be a completion of H with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let us describe $H_{\mathcal{A}}$ by coordinates. For this purpose we choose an orthonormal basis in H consisting of the eigen vectors of the operator \mathcal{A} realizing H as a space $l_2 = l_2(\mathbb{R}^1)$ with respect to a decomposition in this basis. Then space $H_{\mathcal{A}}$ is realized as a Hilbert space $l_2(\mathcal{A}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty} = \mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^1 \times \dots \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n^2 < \infty\}$ with the scalar product $(x, y)_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n y_n \in l_2(\mathcal{A})$ ($(a_n \delta_{nm})_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$ is a matrix of the operator \mathcal{A} in the considering basis; $a_n > 0$). Let us consider now the standard Gaussian measure $\gamma_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} e^{-x^2}$, it is essential that $\gamma_1(l_2(\mathcal{A})) = 1$, (see [8]).

Since $k(x)$ ($x \in H$) is uniformly continuous with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_{\mathcal{A}}} = (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ it can be extended by continuity to a uniformly continuous function $k_1(x)$ on the whole space $H_{\mathcal{A}}$. Passing to the coordinate form of $H_{\mathcal{A}}$, we find that $k_1(x)$ is defined on a set $l_2(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ of a full Gaussian measure.

Using $k_1(x)$ we introduce a quasi-scalar product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \frac{1}{2} [k_1(x+y) + k_1(x-y)] \varphi(y) \overline{\psi(x)} d\gamma_1(x) d\gamma_1(y), \quad (\varphi, \psi \in C_{b,cyl}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty})). \quad (6)$$

Then, H_{κ} be a Hilbert space obtained as a result of a completion $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H_{\kappa}} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Since the kernel $\frac{1}{2} [k_1(x+y) + k_1(x-y)]$ is even on x, y , we consider (6) on even functions. Now we will construct a family of unitary operators, acting in H_{κ} . For this goal we will construct a rigging (chain)

$$H_0 \supset H_+ \supset \mathcal{D}.$$

The role of the space H_0 will be played just by H_{κ} , namely $H_0 = H_{\kappa}$ and $H_+ = L_2(l_2(\mathcal{A}), \mathfrak{B}(l_2(\mathcal{A}); \gamma_1))$ and \mathcal{D} , which consists of the restrictions of functions from the space $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ (n times) and $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^1) = l_2(\mathbb{R}^1, \gamma_1)$ on the cylindrical functions. For details of the space $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty})$ see [3, p. 110–113]. The properties of the space $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty})$ imply the properties of the space \mathcal{D} and the embedding $\mathcal{D} \subset L_2 = H_+$. This embedding is quasi-nuclear. Indeed, the scalar product (6) has the form $(\varphi, \psi)_{H_{\kappa}} = (K\varphi, \psi)_{L_2}$, where K is an integral operator in L_2 generated by the continuous kernel $K(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} [k(x+y) + k(x-y)]$. Since

$$\int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} K(x, x) d\gamma_1(x) \leq k(0) < \infty,$$

this operator is nuclear. On the other hand, for the chain $H_- \supset H_0 \supset H_+$, we have $(\varphi, \psi)_{H_0} = (I\varphi, \psi)_{H_+}$ ($\varphi, \psi \in H_+$); therefore $K = O(I \upharpoonright H_+)$, and the nuclearity of K yields the quasinuclearity of the embedding $H_+ \rightarrow H_0$. Hence, we have constructed the chain

$$H_\kappa = H_0 \supset H_+ = L_2 \supset \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^\infty). \quad (7)$$

Let us construct a family of unitary operators \mathcal{A}_x ($x \in L_2 = H$) acting in the space H_κ . They are the shift operators of functions by t with a certain factor. The factor appears because the Gaussian measure γ_1 is not invariant under such shifts. Let us first define the operator \mathcal{A}_t on the function $\varphi \in C_{b,cyl}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\infty) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the equality

$$(\mathcal{A}_x t)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\varphi(x-t) \left(\frac{d\gamma_{1,-t}}{d\gamma_1} \right) (x) + \varphi(x+t) \left(\frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1} \right) (x) \right], \quad (t \in L_2; x \in l_2(\mathcal{A})) \quad (8)$$

where derivative is

$$\left(\frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1} \right) (\cdot) = \rho_{\gamma_1}(t, \cdot) = \exp(-\|t\|_{L_2}^2 - 2(t, \cdot)_{L_2})$$

Since for an even kernel $K(x, y)$ and even φ, ψ expression (6) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \frac{1}{2} [k(x+y) + k(x-y)] \varphi(y) \overline{\psi(x)} d\gamma_1(x) d\gamma_1(y) = \\ & = \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y-x) \varphi(y) \overline{\psi(x)} d\gamma_1(x) d\gamma_1(y) = \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(x+y) \varphi(y) \overline{\psi(x)} d\gamma_1(x) d\gamma_1(y), \end{aligned}$$

by a simple change of variables we get for any $t \in L_2$

$$(\mathcal{A}_t \varphi, \mathcal{A}_t \psi)_{H_\kappa} = (\varphi, \psi)_{H_\kappa} \quad (\varphi, \psi \in C_{b,cyl}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\infty)).$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y-x) \varphi(x-t) \frac{d\gamma_{1,-t}}{d\gamma_1}(x) \overline{\psi(y-t)} \frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1}(y) d\gamma_1(x) d\gamma_1(y) = \begin{bmatrix} x = x' + t; \\ y = y' + t \end{bmatrix} = \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y'-x') \varphi(x') \frac{d\gamma_1}{d\gamma_{1,t}}(x') \overline{\psi(y')} \frac{d\gamma_1}{d\gamma_{1,t}}(y') d\gamma_{1,t}(x') d\gamma_{1,t}(y') = \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y'-x') \varphi(x') \overline{\psi(y')} d\gamma_1(x') d\gamma_1(y'), \quad (9) \\ & \frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y-x) \left[\varphi(x-t) \frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1}(x) \overline{\psi(y+t)} \frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1}(y) + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \varphi(x+t) \frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1}(x) \overline{\psi(y-t)} \frac{d\gamma_{1,-t}}{d\gamma_1}(y) \right] d\gamma_1(x) d\gamma_1(y) = \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(x+y) \varphi(x-t) \frac{d\gamma_{1,-t}}{d\gamma_1}(x) \overline{\psi(y+t)} \frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1}(y) d\gamma_1(x) d\gamma_1(y) + \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(x+y) \varphi(x+t) \frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1}(x) \overline{\psi(y-t)} \frac{d\gamma_{1,-t}}{d\gamma_1}(y) d\gamma_1(x) d\gamma_1(y). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(x+y) \varphi(x-t) \frac{d\gamma_{1,-t}}{d\gamma_1}(x) \overline{\psi(y+t)} \frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1}(y) d\gamma_1(x) d\gamma_1(y) &= \begin{bmatrix} x = x' - t; \\ y = y' + t \end{bmatrix} = \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(x'+y') \varphi(x') \frac{d\gamma_1}{d\gamma_{1,-t}}(x') \overline{\psi(y')} \frac{d\gamma_1}{d\gamma_{1,t}}(y') d\gamma_{1,-t}(x') d\gamma_{1,t}(y') = \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(x'+y') \varphi(x') \overline{\psi(y')} d\gamma_1(x') d\gamma_1(y'), \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(x+y) \varphi(x-t) \frac{d\gamma_{1,-t}}{d\gamma_1}(x) \overline{\psi(y+t)} \frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1}(y) d\gamma_1(x) d\gamma_1(y) &= \begin{bmatrix} x = x' + t; \\ y = y' - t \end{bmatrix} = \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(x'+y') \varphi(x') \frac{d\gamma_1}{d\gamma_{1,t}}(x') \overline{\psi(y')} \frac{d\gamma_1}{d\gamma_{1,-t}}(y') d\gamma_{1,t}(x') d\gamma_{1,-t}(y') = \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(x'+y') \varphi(x') \overline{\psi(y')} d\gamma_1(x') d\gamma_1(y'), \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y-x) \varphi(x+t) \frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1}(x) \overline{\psi(y+t)} \frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1}(y) d\gamma_1(x) d\gamma_1(y) &= \begin{bmatrix} x = x' - t; \\ y = y' - t \end{bmatrix} = \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y'-x') \varphi(x') \frac{d\gamma_1}{d\gamma_{1,-t}}(x') \overline{\psi(y')} \frac{d\gamma_1}{d\gamma_{1,-t}}(y') d\gamma_{1,-t}(x') d\gamma_{1,-t}(y') = \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y'-x') \varphi(x') \overline{\psi(y')} d\gamma_1(x') d\gamma_1(y'). \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up (9)–(12), we obtain that $(\mathcal{A}_t\varphi, \mathcal{A}_t\psi)_{H_\kappa} = (\varphi, \psi)_{H_\kappa}$ ($\varphi, \psi \in C_{b,cyl}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$). Thus, each operator \mathcal{A}_t is isometric and therefore it may be extended to an isometric operator on the whole of H_κ by continuity; we preserve the notation \mathcal{A}_t for the latter.

Now, we can verify the equality

$$(\mathcal{A}_t\varphi, \psi)_{H_\kappa} = (\varphi, \mathcal{A}_t\psi)_{H_\kappa}, \quad (\varphi, \psi \in C_{b,cyl}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)) \tag{13}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{A}_t\varphi, \psi)_{H_\kappa} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y-x) \varphi(x-t) \left(\frac{d\gamma_{1,-t}}{d\gamma_1} \right) (x) \overline{\psi(y)} d\gamma_1(x) d\gamma_1(y) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y-x) \varphi(x+t) \left(\frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1} \right) (x) \overline{\psi(y)} d\gamma_1(x) d\gamma_1(y) = \begin{bmatrix} x = x' + t; \\ y = y' + t \end{bmatrix} = \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y'-x') \varphi(x') \frac{d\gamma_1}{d\gamma_{1,t}}(x') \overline{\psi(y'+t)} d\gamma_{1,t}(x') d\gamma_{1,t}(y') + \begin{bmatrix} x = x' - t; \\ y = y' - t \end{bmatrix} + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y'-x') \varphi(x') \frac{d\gamma_1}{d\gamma_{1,-t}}(x') \overline{\psi(y'-t)} d\gamma_{1,-t}(x') d\gamma_{1,-t}(y') =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y' - x') \varphi(x') \overline{\psi(y' + t)} \frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1}(y') d\gamma_1(x') d\gamma_1(y') + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} \int_{l_2(\mathcal{A})} k(y' - x') \varphi(x') \overline{\psi(y' - t)} \frac{d\gamma_{1,-t}}{d\gamma_1}(y') d\gamma_1(x') d\gamma_1(y') = (\varphi, \mathcal{A}_{-t}\psi)_{H_\kappa}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can verify the equality

$$(\mathcal{A}_t\varphi, \mathcal{A}_s\psi)_{H_\kappa} = \frac{1}{2} [(\mathcal{A}_{t-s}\varphi, \psi)_{H_\kappa} + (\mathcal{A}_{t+s}\varphi, \psi)_{H_\kappa}]. \quad (14)$$

It implies that $\mathcal{A}_t = \mathcal{A}_t^* = \mathcal{A}_{-t}$, and $\mathcal{A}_t \cdot \mathcal{A}_s = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{A}_{t+s} + \mathcal{A}_{t-s}]$ ($t, s \in l_2$). Hence, we have constructed the group of unitary operators $(\mathcal{A}_t)_{t \in l_2}$. These operators and the chain (6) are connected by the conditions 1, 2 of Theorem 1.2 [4, Ch. 4]. Therefore, we can write the representation

$$\mathcal{A}_x = \int_X e^{i(\lambda, x)} dE(\lambda), \quad (x \in X),$$

for the introduced operators \mathcal{A}_x in the space H_κ . Here, E is a decomposition of unity on $\mathfrak{B}(X)$.

But so as $\mathcal{A}_x = \mathcal{A}_{-x}$, we can write such representation

$$\mathcal{A}_x = \int_H \cos(\lambda, x) dE(\lambda), \quad (x \in H). \quad (15)$$

For any $x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}$, the space H_κ includes δ -function δ_x , concentrated at the point x , and $(\delta_x, \delta_y)_{H_\kappa} = \frac{1}{2} [k_1(x - y) + k_1(x + y)]$ ($x, y \in X_{\mathcal{A}}$). More precisely, for a given negative space H_κ and zero space L_2 , we can construct a chain $H_\kappa \supset L_2 \supset H_+$. As a result, we obtain the space H_+ consisting of continuous bounded functions on $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. The space H_κ includes vectors δ_x such that $(\delta_x, \varphi)_{L_2} = \overline{\varphi(x)}$ ($x \in X_{\mathcal{A}}, \varphi \in H_+$) and the indicated equality holds.

It is easy to understand that $\mathcal{A}_t\delta_0 = \delta_t$ for any $t \in X$. Indeed, let $(\chi_n(\cdot))_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of functions from L_2 which converges to δ_0 in H_κ , and let each of these functions be equal to the characteristic function of the ball centered at O in $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ with radius n^{-1} divided by the measure γ_1 of this ball. Clearly, the action of the operator \mathcal{A}_t on χ_n is given by (7).

For $\varphi \in H_+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{A}_t\delta_0, \varphi)_{L_2} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{A}_t\chi_n, \varphi)_{L_2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{H_{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_n(x - t) \frac{d\gamma_{1,-t}}{d\gamma_1}(x) \overline{\varphi(x)} d\gamma_1(x) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{H_{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_n(x + t) \frac{d\gamma_{1,t}}{d\gamma_1}(x) \overline{\varphi(x)} d\gamma_1(x) \right] = \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{H_{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_n(x - t) \overline{\varphi(x)} d\gamma_{1,-t}(x) + \int_{H_{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_n(x + t) \overline{\varphi(x)} d\gamma_{1,t}(x) \right] = \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{H_{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_n(x) \overline{\varphi(x + t)} d\gamma_1(x) + \int_{H_{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_n(x) \overline{\varphi(x - t)} d\gamma_1(x) \right] = \overline{\varphi(t)} = (\delta_t, \varphi)_{L_2},
\end{aligned}$$

and this yields the equality $\mathcal{A}_t \delta_0 = \delta_t$.

After these remarks, representation (3) immediately follows from (15). One should apply the last equality to δ_0 and multiply by a scalar δ_0 in H_κ . Here $\sigma(\alpha) = (E(\alpha)\delta_0, \delta_0)_{H_\kappa}$ ($\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$). Theorem 1 is proved. \square

Applying Theorem 1 we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *In order that a family (\mathcal{A}_t) ($t \in H$) of self-adjoint bounded operators in the Hilbert space H admits a representation*

$$\mathcal{A}_t = \int_H \cos(\lambda, t) dE(\lambda), \quad (\lambda \in H), \quad (16)$$

where E is some decomposition of identity of $\mathfrak{B}(H)$, it is necessary and sufficient that the operators satisfy the following conditions:

- 1) $\frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{A}_{t+s} + \mathcal{A}_{t-s}] = \mathcal{A}_t \mathcal{A}_s$, $\mathcal{A}_{(t_1, \dots, t_n, \dots)} = \mathcal{A}_{(t_1, \dots, -t_n, \dots)}$; $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathbf{I}$;
- 2) \mathcal{A}_t strongly continuously depends on t ;
- 3) $\|\mathcal{A}_t\| \leq b < \infty$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let operators \mathcal{A}_t commute accordingly to 1) and have a common simple spectrum. Then there exists a cycle vector Ω such that a closed linear hull $\{\mathcal{A}_t, \Omega\} = H$. Put $(\mathcal{A}_t \Omega, \Omega) = k(t)$. Then $k(t)$ is continuous and even in every variable and e.p.d., bounded function on H . Indeed, the function $k(t)$ is e.p.d.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} [k(x^{(i)} + x^{(j)}) + k(x^{(i)} - x^{(j)})] \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} [(\mathcal{A}_{t^{(i)}+t^{(j)}} \Omega, \Omega) + (\mathcal{A}_{t^{(i)}-t^{(j)}} \Omega, \Omega)] \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j = \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} [(\mathcal{A}_{t^{(i)}} \mathcal{A}_{t^{(j)}} \Omega, \Omega) + (\mathcal{A}_{t^{(j)}} \Omega, \mathcal{A}_{t^{(i)}} \Omega)] \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \mathcal{A}_{t^{(i)}} \Omega \right\|_H^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The continuity, evenness in every variable and boundedness of the function $k(t)$ follow from assumptions of Theorem 2. Therefore by Theorem 1, we obtain an integral representation for the function $k(t)$

$$k(t) = \int_H \cos(\lambda, t) d\rho(\lambda), \quad (t \in H),$$

where $d\rho(\lambda)$ is an even non-negative finite measure, which is defined on some σ -algebra $\mathfrak{B}(H)$ of Borel sets from H . We construct a correspondence: every vector $\sum_{\kappa=1}^n c_\kappa \mathcal{A}_{t^{(\kappa)}} \Omega \in H$ is paired with the function

$$\sum_{\kappa=1}^n c_\kappa \cos(\lambda, t^{(\kappa)}) \in l_2(H, d\rho(\lambda)), \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

This correspondence is isometric because

$$\left\| \sum_{\kappa=1}^n c_\kappa \mathcal{A}_{t^{(\kappa)}} \Omega \right\|_H^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i \bar{c}_j (\mathcal{A}_{t^{(i)}} \Omega, \mathcal{A}_{t^{(j)}} \Omega)_H = \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i \bar{c}_j \frac{1}{2} [(\mathcal{A}_{t^{(i)}+t^{(j)}} \Omega, \Omega)_H + (\mathcal{A}_{t^{(i)}-t^{(j)}} \Omega, \Omega)_H] =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i \bar{c}_j \int_H \frac{1}{2} [\cos(\lambda, t^{(i)} + t^{(j)}) + \cos(\lambda, t^{(i)} - t^{(j)})] d\rho(\lambda) = \\
&= \left\| \sum_{\kappa=1}^n c_\kappa \cos(\lambda, t^{(\kappa)}) \right\|_{l_2(H, d\rho(\lambda))}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then it can be extended to the unitary map $U: H \rightarrow l_2(H, d\rho(\lambda))$. Furthermore, the operator \mathcal{A}_t corresponds to the operator of multiplication in $l_2(H, d\rho(\lambda))$ on the function $\cos(\lambda, t)$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_t \left(\sum_{\kappa=1}^n c_\kappa \mathcal{A}_{t^{(\kappa)}} \Omega \right) &= \sum_{\kappa=1}^n c_\kappa \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}_{t+t^{(\kappa)}} + \mathcal{A}_{t-t^{(\kappa)}}}{2} \right) \Omega = \\
&= U^* \left(\sum_{\kappa=1}^n c_\kappa \frac{\cos(\lambda, t + t^{(\kappa)}) + \cos(\lambda, t - t^{(\kappa)})}{2} \right) = \\
&= U^* \cos(\lambda, t) U \left(\sum_{\kappa=1}^n c_\kappa \cos(\lambda, t^{(\kappa)}) \right) = U^* \cos(\lambda, t) U \left(\sum_{\kappa=1}^n c_\kappa \mathcal{A}_{t^{(\kappa)}} \Omega \right),
\end{aligned}$$

that is $\mathcal{A}_t = U^* Q_{\cos(\lambda, t)} U$ ($Q_{\cos(\lambda, t)}$ denotes the operator of multiplication on $\cos(\lambda, t)$ in $l_2(H, d\rho(\lambda))$).

Since for a family $Q_{\cos(\lambda, t)}$ the integral representation is true

$$Q_{\cos(\lambda, t)} = \int_H \cos(\lambda, t) dQ_{\chi_\Delta(\cdot)},$$

we have $\mathcal{A}_t = \int_H \cos(\lambda, t) dE(\lambda)$, where $E(\Delta) = U^* Q_{\chi_\Delta(\cdot)} U$. $\chi_\Delta(\cdot)$ is the characteristic function of an interval $\Delta \in H$. So the integral representation (16) is proved.

The uniqueness of decomposition of identity follows from the uniqueness of the measure $d\rho(\lambda)$. If the cyclic vector Ω is missed then for the family of commuted self-adjoint operators \mathcal{A}_t ($t \in H$) in H there exists a sequence of measures on σ -algebra of cylindric sets in H with a Borel basis $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_\kappa, \dots$ and a unitary operator

$$U: H \rightarrow \bigoplus \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} l_2(H, d\rho_\kappa(\lambda))$$

such that in every space $l_2(H, d\rho_\kappa(\lambda))$ one has $\mathcal{A}_t = U^* Q_{\cos(\lambda, t)} U$, where $Q_{\cos(\lambda, t)}$ denotes the operator of multiplication on $\cos(\lambda, t)$ in $l_2(H, d\rho_\kappa(\lambda))$.

The necessity of the conditions 1), 2), 3) follows from integral representation (16). \square

An integral representation for the family of bounded self-adjoint operators in one-dimensional case, which are connected by an algebraic relationship, was proved in [6].

REFERENCES

1. Berezansky Yu.M. *Generalization of Bochner theorem on expansions in eigenfunctions of partial differential operators*// Dokl. AN SSSR. – 1956. – V.110, no.6. – P. 893–896.
2. Berezansky Yu.M. *Expansions in eigenfunctions of self-adjoint operators*. (Translations of Mathematical Monographs V.17), Providence, R.I.: Am. Math. Soc., 1968, 809 p.
3. Berezansky Yu.M. *Self-adjoint operators in space of functions of infinitely many variables*. – Kyiv: Naukova dumka, 1978. – 360 p.
4. Berezansky Yu.M., Kondratiev Yu.G. *Spectral methods in infinite-dimensional analysis*. – Kyiv: Naukova dumka, 1988. – 679 p. Engl.transl.: Springer, Dordrecht. 1995, doi: 10.1007/978-94-011-0509-5
5. Krein M.G. *On a general method on decomposition of Hermite positive definite nuclei into elementary products*// Dokl. AN SSSR. – 1946. – V.53(1). – P. 3–6.
6. Kurepa S.A. *A cosine functional equation in Hilbert space* // Canadian J. Math. – 1960. – V.12. – P. 45–50.
7. Minlos R.A. *Generalized random processes and their extension in measure*// Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsc. – 1959. – V.8. – 497–518. (in Russian)
8. Shilov G.E., Fan Dyk Tin. *Integral, measure and derivative on linear spaces*. – M.: Science, 1967. – 192 p.
9. Sazonov V.V. *Remark on characteristic functionals*// Theory of Probability and its Applications. – 1958. – V.3, no.2. – P. 188–192. doi: 10.1137/1103018

National Forestry and Wood Technology University of Ukraine
Lviv, Ukraine
Lopotko1304@gmail.com

Received 24.04.2020

Revised 18.12.2020