

УДК 517.98

A. I. BANDURA, T. M. SALO, O. B. SKASKIV

**COMPOSITION OF ENTIRE FUNCTION AND ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS
IN THE UNIT BALL WITH A VANISHED GRADIENT**

A. I. Bandura, T. M. Salo, O. B. Skaskiv. *Composition of entire function and analytic functions in the unit ball with a vanished gradient*, Mat. Stud. **62** (2024), 132–140.

The composition $H(z) = f(\Phi(z))$ is studied, where f is an entire function of a single complex variable and Φ is an analytic function in the n -dimensional unit ball \mathbb{B}^n , $n \geq 2$, with a vanished gradient. We found conditions by the function Φ providing boundedness of the \mathbf{L} -index in joint variables for the function H , if the function f has bounded l -index for some positive continuous function l and $\mathbf{L}(w) = l(\Phi(w))(\max\{1, |\Phi'_{w_1}(w)|\}, \dots, \max\{1, |\Phi'_{w_n}(w)|\}) : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n$.

Such a constructed vector-valued function $\mathbf{L} : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n$ allows us to consider a function Φ with a nonempty zero set for its gradient. The obtained results complement earlier published results with $\text{grad } \Phi(w) = \nabla \Phi(z) = (\frac{\partial \Phi(w)}{\partial w_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \Phi(w)}{\partial w_n}) \neq \mathbf{0}$. We prove the following statement (Theorem 3): Suppose that the function $l : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies some condition for the regularity of behavior. Let $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an entire function of bounded l -index $N(g, l)$, and $\Phi : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function such that the vector-valued function $\mathbf{L}(w) : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n$ defined above also satisfies some conditions for regularity of behavior. If there exists $C_2 \geq 1$ such that for all $w \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and for all $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ with $\|J\| \leq N(g, l) + 1$, one has

$$|\Phi^{(J)}(w)| \leq C_2(l(\Phi(w)))^{1/(N(g,l)+1)}(|\nabla \Phi(w)|)^J,$$

then the analytic function $H(w) = g(\Phi(w)) : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has bounded \mathbf{L} -index in joint variables.

1. Introduction. We examine some compositions of entire and analytic functions with usage of the notion of \mathbf{L} -index in joint variables. Our investigation is a generalization of the results of paper [1] to the case where the first-order partial derivatives of the inner analytic function can vanish in the unit ball, i.e., at least one of them (or each of them) has nonempty zero set (the case of non-vanished gradiend in the unit ball was studied in [3]).

Let us recall some standard notations from [1–6]. Let \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{C}^n be n -dimensional real and complex vector spaces, respectively, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$, $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{1}_j = (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{j\text{-th place}}, 0, \dots, 0)$,

$$\mathbb{B}^n = \left\{ z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2} < 1 \right\}.$$

For $K = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, let us write $\|K\| = k_1 + \dots + k_n$, $K! = k_1! \cdot \dots \cdot k_n!$. For $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we will use formal notations without violation

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D99, 30H99, 32A10, 32A17.

Keywords: unit disc; unit ball; analytic function; entire function; several complex variables; composition; bounded index in joint variables; sum of functions; gradient.

doi:10.30970/ms.62.2.132-140

of the existence of these expressions $A \pm B = (a_1 \pm b_1, \dots, a_n \pm b_n)$, $AB = (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_n b_n)$, $A/B = (a_1/b_1, \dots, a_n/b_n)$, and if $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $A^B = a_1^{b_1} a_2^{b_2} \dots a_n^{b_n}$, $\mathbf{max}\{A; B\} = (\max\{a_1; b_1\}, \max\{a_2; b_2\}, \dots, \max\{a_n; b_n\})$, and the notation $A < B$ means that $a_j < b_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Similarly, the relation $A \leq B$ is defined.

We denote the K -th order partial derivative of the entire function $F(z) = F(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ by

$$F^{(K)}(z) = \frac{\partial^{\|K\|} F}{\partial z^K} = \frac{\partial^{k_1 + \dots + k_n} F}{\partial z_1^{k_1} \dots \partial z_n^{k_n}}, \text{ where } K = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n.$$

Let $\mathbf{L}(z) = (l_1(z), \dots, l_n(z))$, where $l_j(z): \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a continuous function such that

$$(\forall z \in \mathbb{B}^n): l_j(z) > \beta / (1 - |z|), \quad j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \tag{1}$$

where $\beta > \sqrt{n}$ is a some constant.

An analytic function F in the unit ball is called a *function of bounded \mathbf{L} -index in joint variables* ([3]) if there exists a number $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, one has

$$\frac{|F^{(J)}(z)|}{J! \mathbf{L}^J(z)} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(K)}(z)|}{K! \mathbf{L}^K(z)} : K \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \|K\| \leq m \right\}. \tag{2}$$

The least integer m for which inequality (2) holds is called the \mathbf{L} -index in joint variables of the function F and is denoted by $N(F, \mathbf{L}, \mathbb{B}^n)$. If we will consider entire functions instead analytic functions in the unit ball and $l_j(z_j) \equiv 1, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then the entire function F is called a function of bounded index in joint variables or function of bounded index [8]. If $n = 1$ then we obtain a notion $N(g, l)$ of the l -index for entire function g of one complex variable with a continuous function $l: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. This class of functions was actively studied by M. Sheremeta and his scholars ([11, 13, 17]) as an extension of the index of an entire function ([9]) to a wider class of entire functions whose growth exceed the growth of exponential-type functions. He put the j -th power of the function l in the denominator of the Taylor coefficient from (2).

Many papers are devoted to a composition of two holomorphic functions belonging to different classes and various definitions of the index. Nowadays, the most exhaustively investigated cases are case of the bounded l -index for entire functions of single variable ([10, 11]) and analytic in a disc functions ([12, 13]) and the case of the bounded L -index in a direction for multivariate entire functions ([14]) and analytic functions in a unit ball ([15]). The case of the bounded index in joint variables is more difficult (see [2, 3, 14]). Here, we will try to implement the mentioned approach for the notion of bounded \mathbf{L} -index in joint variables and obtained Theorem 3. The first theorem can be applied to consider a nonlinear partial differential equation. For example, we have a composite PDE, make changes to the variables, and transform the equation to a simpler form. If the simpler equation has analytic solutions of bounded index in some sense (see [16, 17]), then we can apply Theorem 3 to learn the properties of the analytic solutions of the composite PDE.

2. Auxiliary propositions. To prove the main theorem, we need an auxiliary proposition. For $R \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\mathbf{L}(z) = (l_1(z), \dots, l_n(z))$, we define

$$D^n [z^0, R/\mathbf{L}(z^0)] = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_j - z_j^0| \leq r_j / l_j(z^0), j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$$

$$\lambda_{1,j}(z_0, R) = \inf \{l_j(z) / l_j(z^0) : z \in D^n [z^0, R/\mathbf{L}(z^0)]\}, \quad \lambda_{1,j}(R) = \inf_{z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n} \lambda_{1,j}(z_0, R),$$

$$\lambda_{2,j}(z_0, R) = \sup \{l_j(z)/l_j(z^0) : z \in D^n [z^0, R/\mathbf{L}(z^0)]\}, \quad \lambda_{2,j}(R) = \sup_{z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n} \lambda_{2,j}(z_0, R).$$

By $Q(\mathbb{B}^n)$ we denote a class of functions $\mathbf{L}(z)$ for which every $R \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ with $|R| \leq \beta$ satisfies the condition $0 < \lambda_{1,j}(R) \leq \lambda_{2,j}(R) < +\infty$. If $n = 1$, then $Q \equiv Q^1$.

Theorem 1 ([4]). *Let $\mathbf{L} \in Q(\mathbb{B}^n)$. An analytic function $F: \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has bounded \mathbf{L} -index in joint variables if and only if there exist $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for each $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$*

$$\max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(J)}(z)|}{\mathbf{L}^J(z)} : \|J\| = p + 1 \right\} \leq C_1 \cdot \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(K)}(z)|}{\mathbf{L}^K(z)} : \|K\| \leq p \right\}. \quad (3)$$

Theorem 1 was firstly deduced by W. K. Hayman ([18]) for single-variate entire functions having bounded index ($n = 1$, $\mathbf{L}(z) \equiv 1$). M. M. Sheremeta ([13]) proved it for analytic functions of one variable with finite bounded l -index. Note that Hayman's Theorem is very convenient for investigating the properties of entire solutions of differential equations ([13, 19]).

For an analytic function $\Phi: \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ we put

$$\nabla \Phi(z) = \left(\frac{\partial \Phi(z)}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \Phi(z)}{\partial z_n} \right), \quad |\nabla \Phi(z)| = \left(\left| \frac{\partial \Phi(z)}{\partial z_1} \right|, \dots, \left| \frac{\partial \Phi(z)}{\partial z_n} \right| \right). \quad (4)$$

Denote by Q_1^m the class of positive continuous functions $L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ := (0, +\infty)$ such that for every $\eta > 0$

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^m} \sup_{t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{L(z + t_1 \mathbf{1})}{L(z + t_2 \mathbf{1})} : |t_1 - t_2| \leq \frac{\eta}{\min\{L(z + t_1 \mathbf{1}), L(z + t_2 \mathbf{1})\}} \right\} < +\infty,$$

where $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

We recall known results on the composition of analytic functions in the unit ball having bounded \mathbf{L} -index in joint variables.

Theorem 2 ([3]). *Let $L \in Q_1^m$, $G: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an entire function of bounded L -index in the direction $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $\Phi: \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic function such that $\frac{\partial \Phi(z)}{\partial z_k} \neq 0$ for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $|\Phi^{(J)}(z)| \leq C |\nabla \Phi(z)|^J$, $C \equiv \text{const} > 0$, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $\|J\| \leq p$, where $p = N(G, \mathbf{L})$. Then $H(z) = G(\Phi(z), \dots, \Phi(z))$ has bounded \mathbf{L} -index in joint variables, where $\mathbf{L}(z) = (L(\Phi(z), \dots, \Phi(z)) \left| \frac{\partial \Phi(z)}{\partial z_1} \right|, \dots, L(\Phi(z), \dots, \Phi(z)) \left| \frac{\partial \Phi(z)}{\partial z_n} \right|) \in Q(\mathbb{B}^n)$.*

There exist simple examples of functions which do not satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2. At first, they were presented for entire functions in [1].

3. Results on composition of entire and analytic functions. Removing the condition: $\frac{\partial \Phi(z)}{\partial z_k} \neq 0$ for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, in Theorem 2 and slightly increasing the function \mathbf{L} , we deduce a new result.

Theorem 3. *Let $l \in Q$ such that $l(z) \geq 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an entire function of bounded l -index $N(g, l)$, and $\Phi: \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function, $n \geq 2$, such that*

$$\mathbf{L} \in Q(\mathbb{B}^n), \quad \mathbf{L}(w) = \max\{\mathbf{1}, |\nabla \Phi(w)|\} l(\Phi(w)). \quad (5)$$

If there exists $C_2 \geq 1$ such that for all $w \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and for all $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ with $\|J\| \leq N(g, l) + 1$, one has

$$|\Phi^{(J)}(w)| \leq C_2 (l(\Phi(w)))^{1/(N(g, l) + 1)} (|\nabla \Phi(w)|)^J, \quad (6)$$

then the analytic function $H(w) = g(\Phi(w)): \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has bounded \mathbf{L} -index in joint variables.

Proof. The following formula was proved in [2]:

$$H^{(K)}(w) = g^{(\|K\|)}(\Phi(w))(\nabla\Phi(w))^K + \sum_{j=1}^{\|K\|-1} g^{(j)}(\Phi(w))Q_{j,K}(w), \quad (7)$$

where

$$Q_{j,K}(w) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{1}_1 p_{\mathbf{1}_1} + \dots + \mathbf{1}_n p_{\mathbf{1}_n} + \dots + K p_K = K \\ 0 \leq p_{\mathbf{1}_1} + \dots + p_{\mathbf{1}_n} \leq j-1}} c_{j,K,p_{\mathbf{1}_1}, \dots, p_K} (\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_1)}(w))^{p_{\mathbf{1}_1}} \dots (\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_n)}(w))^{p_{\mathbf{1}_n}} \dots (\Phi^{(K)}(w))^{p_K},$$

and $c_{j,K,p_{\mathbf{1}_1}, \dots, p_K} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are some coefficients, $K \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. Also, it was deduced [2] that

$$g^{(k)}(\Phi(w)) = \frac{H^{(k\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)}{(\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w))^k} + \frac{1}{(\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w))^{2k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} H^{(j\mathbf{1}_i)}(w) (\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w))^j \tilde{Q}_{j,k}(w), \quad (8)$$

where

$$\tilde{Q}_{j,k}(w) = \sum_{m_1 + \dots + k m_k = 2(k-j)} b_{j,k,m_1, \dots, m_k} (\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w))^{m_1} \dots (\Phi^{(k\mathbf{1}_i)}(w))^{m_k}, \quad (9)$$

and $b_{j,k,m_1, \dots, m_k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are some coefficients, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

We suppose that the hypothesis of the theorem is satisfied. It means that the entire function $g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of bounded l -index, and the analytic function $\Phi: \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ obeys (6). Denote $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w) = |\nabla\Phi(w)l(\Phi(w))|$. Replacing K in (7) by J and dividing it by $(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J$, for any $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ we have

$$\frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J} \leq \frac{|g^{(\|J\|)}(\Phi(w))|}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J} \cdot |\nabla\Phi(w)|^J + \sum_{k=1}^{\|J\|-1} \frac{|g^{(k)}(\Phi(w))|}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J} \cdot |Q_{k,J}(w)|.$$

Substituting $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w) = |\nabla\Phi(w)l(\Phi(w))|$ in the last estimate, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J} &\leq \frac{|g^{(\|J\|)}(\Phi(w))|}{(|\nabla\Phi(w)|^J (l(\Phi(w)))^{\|J\|}} \cdot |\nabla\Phi(w)|^J + \sum_{k=1}^{\|J\|-1} \frac{|g^{(k)}(\Phi(w))|}{(|\nabla\Phi(w)|^J (l(\Phi(w)))^{\|J\|}} \cdot |Q_{k,J}(w)| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{|g^{(\|J\|)}(\Phi(w))|}{(l(\Phi(w)))^{\|J\|}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\|J\|-1} \frac{|g^{(k)}(\Phi(w))|}{(|\nabla\Phi(w)|^J (l(\Phi(w)))^{\|J\|}} \cdot |Q_{k,J}(w)|. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

By Theorem 1, inequality (3) is valid for $F = g$, $p = N(g, l)$:

$$\frac{|g^{(N(g,l)+1)}(z)|}{(l(z))^{N(g,l)+1}} \leq C_1 \cdot \max \left\{ \frac{|g^{(k)}(z)|}{(l(z))^k} : 0 \leq k \leq N(g, l) \right\}.$$

Applying this inequality with $z = \Phi(w)$ to (10), we obtain for $\|J\| = N(g, l) + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J} &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{|g^{(k)}(\Phi(w))|}{(l(\Phi(w)))^k} : 0 \leq k \leq N(g, l) \right\} \times \\ &\times \max_{\|J\|=N(g,l)+1} \left(C_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\|J\|-1} \frac{|Q_{k,J}(w)|}{(|\nabla\Phi(w)|^J (l(\Phi(w)))^{\|J\|-k}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In view of (6), it is possible to deduce the upper estimate of $|Q_{k,J}(w)|$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Q_{k,J}(w)| \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{1}_1 p_{\mathbf{1}_1} + \dots + \mathbf{1}_n p_{\mathbf{1}_n} + \dots + J p_J = J \\ 0 \leq p_{\mathbf{1}_1} + \dots + p_{\mathbf{1}_n} \leq k-1}} |c_{k,J,p_{\mathbf{1}_1}, \dots, p_J}| |\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_1)}(w)|^{p_{\mathbf{1}_1}} \dots |\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_n)}(w)|^{p_{\mathbf{1}_n}} \dots |\Phi^{(J)}(w)|^{p_J} \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{1}_1 p_{\mathbf{1}_1} + \dots + \mathbf{1}_n p_{\mathbf{1}_n} + \dots + J p_J = J \\ 0 \leq p_{\mathbf{1}_1} + \dots + p_{\mathbf{1}_n} \leq k-1}} |c_{k,J,p_{\mathbf{1}_1}, \dots, p_J}| C_2^{\|J\|} (l(\Phi(w)))^{\|J\|/(N(g,l)+1)} (|\nabla|\Phi(w)|)^J \leq \\
& \leq \hat{c}_{k,J} (l(\Phi(w)))^{\|J\|/(N(g,l)+1)} (|\nabla|\Phi(w)|)^J, \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{c}_{k,J} = C_2^{\|J\|} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{1}_1 p_{\mathbf{1}_1} + \dots + \mathbf{1}_n p_{\mathbf{1}_n} + \dots + J p_J = J \\ 0 \leq p_{\mathbf{1}_1} + \dots + p_{\mathbf{1}_n} \leq k-1}} |c_{k,J,p_{\mathbf{1}_1}, \dots, p_J}|.$$

We substitute estimate (12) in (11) and use $\|J\| = N(g,l)+1$, that is, $(l(\Phi(w)))^{\|J\|/(N(g,l)+1)} = l(\Phi(w))$. Therefore, the following inequality is valid:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{|g^{(k)}(\Phi(w))|}{(l(\Phi(w)))^k} : 0 \leq k \leq N(g,l) \right\} \times \\
& \times \max_{\|J\|=N(g,l)+1} \left(C_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\|J\|-1} \frac{\hat{c}_{k,J} (l(\Phi(w)))^{\|J\|/(N(g,l)+1)} (|\nabla|\Phi(w)|)^J}{(|\nabla|\Phi(w)|)^J (l(\Phi(w)))^{\|J\|-k}} \right) = \\
& = \max \left\{ \frac{|g^{(k)}(\Phi(w))|}{(l(\Phi(w)))^k} : 0 \leq k \leq N(g,l) \right\} \max_{\|J\|=N(g,l)+1} \left(C_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\|J\|-1} \frac{\hat{c}_{k,J}}{(l(\Phi(w)))^{\|J\|-1-k}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $l(\Phi(w)) \geq 1$, one has $(l(\Phi(w)))^{\|J\|-1-k} \geq 1$ for $k \leq \|J\| - 1$. Thus, for $\|J\| = N(g,l) + 1$

$$\frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J} \leq C_3 \max \left\{ \frac{|g^{(k)}(\Phi(w))|}{(l(\Phi(w)))^k} : 0 \leq k \leq N(g,l) \right\}, \tag{13}$$

where $C_3 = \max_{\|J\|=N(g,l)+1} (C_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N(g,l)} \hat{c}_{k,J})$. Dividing equality (8) by $l^k(\Phi(w))$ and estimating by the modulus, we deduce for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{|g^{(k)}(\Phi(w))|}{l^k(\Phi(w))} \leq \\
& \leq \frac{|H^{(k\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|}{l^k(\Phi(w)) |\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^k} + \frac{1}{|\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^{2k} l^k(\Phi(w))} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |H^{(j\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)| |\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^j |\tilde{Q}_{j,k}(w)| = \\
& = \frac{|H^{(k\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|}{l^k(\Phi(w)) |\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^k} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{|\tilde{Q}_{j,k}(w)|}{|\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^{2k-2j} l^{k-j}(\Phi(w))} \frac{|H^{(j\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|}{|\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^j l^j(\Phi(w))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Introducing the maximum of the fraction $\frac{|H^{(j\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|}{|\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^j l^j(\Phi(w))}$ over $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we can increase the previous estimate

$$\frac{|g^{(k)}(\Phi(w))|}{l^k(\Phi(w))} \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left\{ \frac{|H^{(j\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|}{l^j(\Phi(w)) |\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^j} \right\} \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{|\tilde{Q}_{j,k}(w)|}{l^{k-j}(\Phi(w)) |\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^{2(k-j)}} \right).$$

Substituting the expression from (9) instead of $\tilde{Q}_{j,k}(w)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|g^{(k)}(\Phi(w))|}{l^k(\Phi(w))} &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{|H^{(j\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|}{l^j(\Phi(w))|\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^j} : 1 \leq j \leq k \right\} \times \\ &\times \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{m_1+2m_2+\dots+km_k=2(k-j)} |b_{j,k,m_1,\dots,m_k}| \frac{|\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^{m_1} \dots |\Phi^{(k\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^{m_k}}{l^{k-j}(\Phi(w))|\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^{2(k-j)}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Estimating (6) and $l(z) \geq 1$ gives us

$$|\Phi^{(s\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)| \leq C_2 l^{s/2}(\Phi(w)) |\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^s,$$

because $s/2 \geq 1/(N(g, l) + 1)$ for $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, N(g, l) + 1\}$. Substituting the right-hand side of this inequality in (14), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|g^{(k)}(\Phi(w))|}{l^k(\Phi(w))} &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{|H^{(j\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|}{l^j(\Phi(w))|\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^j} : 1 \leq j \leq k \right\} \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{m_1+2m_2+\dots+km_k=2(k-j)} \right. \\ &\left. |b_{j,k,m_1,\dots,m_k}| (C_2)^{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_k} \frac{(l(\Phi(w)))^{(m_1+2m_2+\dots+km_k)/2} |\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^{m_1+2m_2+\dots+km_k}}{l^{k-j}(\Phi(w))|\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^{2(k-j)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $m_1 + 2m_2 + \dots + km_k = 2(k - j)$, we obtain $(l(\Phi(w)))^{k-j} |\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^{2(k-j)}$ in the nominator under the sum. The expression matches with the denominator and reduces with it. Thus, it yields

$$\frac{|g^{(k)}(\Phi(w))|}{l^k(\Phi(w))} \leq C_4 \max \left\{ \frac{|H^{(j\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|}{l^j(\Phi(w))|\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^j} : 1 \leq j \leq k \right\}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$C_4 = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{m_1+2m_2+\dots+km_k=2(k-j)} |b_{j,k,m_1,\dots,m_k}| (C_2)^{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_k}.$$

Then, from inequality (13) and (15), we obtain for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\|J\| = N(g, l) + 1$

$$\frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J} \leq C_5 \max \left\{ \frac{|H^{(j\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|}{l^j(\Phi(w))|\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w)|^j} : 0 \leq j \leq N(g, l) \right\}, \quad (16)$$

where $C_5 = C_3 C_4$. Estimate (16) is established by the assumption that every component of the gradient $\nabla\Phi$ does not vanish, i.e., $\Phi^{(\mathbf{1}_i)}(w) \neq 0$. Our proof is significant for equality (8), providing an estimate of the k -th order derivative of the function g by smaller-order partial derivatives of the function H in the variable w_i . Similarly to [2], by the method of mathematical induction an analog of (8) can be proved for the mixed partial derivative $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$:

$$g^{(\|J\|)}(w) = \frac{H^{(J)}(w)}{(\nabla\Phi(w))^J} + \frac{1}{(\nabla\Phi(w))^{2J}} \sum_{\substack{0 < \|K\| \leq \|J\| - 1, \\ K \leq J}} H^{(K)}(w) (\nabla\Phi(w))^K Q^*(w; J, K),$$

where $Q^*(w; J, K)$ is constructed by analogy to $Q_{j,K}(w)$, $\tilde{Q}_{j,k}(w)$. Then, repeating considerations from (10) to (16) as in [2], we deduce for $\|J\| = N(g, l) + 1$

$$\frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J} \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{|H^{(K)}(w)|}{(l(\Phi(w)))^{\|K\|} |\nabla\Phi(w)|^K} : 0 < \|K\| \leq N(g, l), K \leq J \right\}, \quad (17)$$

where $C > 1$ is a constant.

We should like to point out that $\mathbf{L}(w) = l(\Phi(w)) \mathbf{max}\{\mathbf{1}, |\nabla|\Phi(w)|\}$. We introduce the function \mathbf{L} to inequality (17) for $\|J\| = N(g, l) + 1$ in the following form:

$$\frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^J} \cdot \frac{(\mathbf{L}(w))^J}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J} \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{|H^{(K)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^K} \cdot \frac{(\mathbf{L}(w))^K}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^K} : 0 < \|K\| \leq N(g, l), K \leq J \right\}.$$

Inverting the fraction $\frac{(\mathbf{L}(w))^J}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J}$, one has

$$\frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^J} \leq C \frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^J} \max \left\{ \frac{|H^{(K)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^K} \cdot \frac{(\mathbf{L}(w))^K}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^K} : 0 < \|K\| \leq N(g, l), K \leq J \right\}.$$

Applying $\max_{a, b \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}_+} \{a \cdot b\} \leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}_+} \{a\} \cdot \max_{b \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}_+} \{b\}$ with a finite set \mathcal{A} to the right-hand side of the inequality, we establish

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^J} &\leq C \frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^J}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^J} \max \left\{ \frac{|H^{(K)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^K} : 0 < \|K\| \leq N(g, l), K \leq J \right\} \times \\ &\times \max \left\{ \frac{(\mathbf{L}(w))^K}{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w))^K} : 0 < \|K\| \leq N(g, l), K \leq J \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\max\{a : a \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}_+\} = \frac{1}{\min\{1/a : a \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}_+\}}$, the last estimate can be rewritten as

$$\frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^J} \leq \frac{C(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w)/\mathbf{L}(w))^J \max \left\{ \frac{|H^{(K)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^K} : 0 < \|K\| \leq N(g, l), K \leq J \right\}}{\min\{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w)/\mathbf{L}(w))^K : 0 < \|K\| \leq N(g, l), K \leq J\}}. \quad (18)$$

Let $T_0 = T(w) = \tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w)/\mathbf{L}(w) = \frac{|\nabla|\Phi(w)|}{\mathbf{max}\{\mathbf{1}, |\nabla|\Phi(w)|\}} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $K_0 \leq J$, $0 < \|K_0\| \leq N(g, l)$ ($K_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$) be such that $(T_0)^{K_0} = \min\{T_0^K : 0 < \|K\| \leq N(g, l), K \leq J\}$. One should observe that $T_0 \in (0, 1]^n$ and $\|J - K_0\| \geq N(g, l) + 1 - N(g, l) = 1$, and $J - K_0 \geq \mathbf{1}_s$ for some $s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Hence, $\frac{T_0^J}{T_0^{K_0}} = T_0^{J-K_0} \leq T_0^{\mathbf{1}_s} \leq 1$.

Therefore,

$$\frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w)/\mathbf{L}(w))^J}{\min\{(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}(w)/\mathbf{L}(w))^K : 0 < \|K\| \leq N(g, l), K \leq J\}} = T_0^{J-K_0} \leq T_0^{\mathbf{1}_s} \leq 1.$$

Thus, from inequality (18), we obtain

$$\frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^J} \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{|H^{(K)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^K} : 0 < \|K\| \leq N(g, l), K \leq J \right\}. \quad (19)$$

Let $J^* \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that $\max_{\|J\|=N(g,l)+1} \frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^J} = \frac{|H^{(J^*)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^{J^*}}$ and $\|J^*\| = N(g, l) + 1$. Then, we deduce from (19)

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \frac{|H^{(J)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^J} : \|J\| = N(g, l) + 1 \right\} = \frac{|H^{(J^*)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^{J^*}} \leq \\ & \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{|H^{(K)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^K} : 0 < \|K\| \leq N(g, l), K \leq J^* \right\} \leq \\ & \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{|H^{(K)}(w)|}{(\mathbf{L}(w))^K} : 0 < \|K\| \leq N(g, l) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for all w such that $\Phi^{(1_i)}(w) \neq 0$.

If $\Phi^{(1_i)}(w) = 0$ for some i , then for any $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $\|J\| \leq N(g, l) + 1$ and $J_i \neq 0$ inequality (6) implies $\Phi^{(J)}(w) = 0$. In view of (7), it means $H^{(J)}(w) = 0$. Thus, inequality (20) also holds for the points w belonging to zero for at least one component of the gradient $\nabla\Phi$.

Therefore, by Theorem 1, we conclude that the function H belongs to the class of functions with finite \mathbf{L} -index in joint variables. \square

Remark 1. On our opinion, it would be interesting to establish an analogue of Theorem 3 for the composition $H(w) = g(\Phi(w)) : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, where $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is an entire vector-valued function and $\Phi : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function in the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n , or even for the composition of the most general form $H(w) = G(\Phi(w)) : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, where $G : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is an entire vector-valued function of several complex variables and $\Phi : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ is an analytic vector-valued function in the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n . There are few papers on the classes of vector-valued functions of a single variable ([20–22]), and of several variables ([19, 23]). Similar problem also can be considered for functions analytic in the unit polydisc (see auxiliary results in [7] for this class of analytic functions with bounded L -index in direction).

Remark 2. We can not deduce analog of Theorem 3 for a more general composition of entire and analytic functions in the unit ball such as $f(\varphi_1(w_1), \varphi_2(w_2))$ because under usage of methods from this assertion we obtain that $|\varphi'_1(w_1)/\varphi'_2(w_2)|$ or similar expression must be bounded in w_1 and w_2 .

REFERENCES

1. A. Bandura, T. Salo, O. Skaskiv, *L-Index in joint variables: sum and composition of an entire function with a function with a vanished gradient*, Fractal and Fractional, **7** (2023), №8, article ID 593. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract7080593>
2. A.I. Bandura, O.B. Skaskiv, *Boundedness of L-index for the composition of entire functions of several variables*, Ukr. Math. J., **70** (2019), 1538–1549. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-019-01589-9>
3. A.I. Bandura, *Boundedness of L-index in joint variables for composition of analytic functions in the unit ball*, Asian-Eur. J. Math., **14** (2021), №4, 2150054. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793557121500546>
4. A. Bandura, O. Skaskiv, *Sufficient conditions of boundedness of L-index and analog of Hayman's theorem for analytic functions in a ball*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **63** (2018), №4, 483–501. <https://doi.org/10.24193/subbmath.2018.4.06>

5. A. Bandura, O. Skaskiv, L. Smolovyk, *Slice holomorphic solutions of some directional differential equations with bounded L -index in the same direction*, Demonstratio Math., **52** (2019), №1, 482–489.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2019-0043>
6. A.I. Bandura, T.M. Salo, O.B. Skaskiv, *Slice holomorphic functions in the unit ball: boundedness of L -index in a direction and related properties*, Mat. Stud., **57** (2022), №1, 68–78.
<https://doi.org/10.30970/ms.57.1.68-78>
7. A. Bandura, P. Kurliak, O. Skaskiv, *Some Results on Composition of Analytic Functions in a Unit Polydisc*, Universal Journal of Mathematics and Applications, **7** (2024), №3, 121–128.
<https://doi.org/10.32323/ujma.1444221>
8. F. Nuray, *Bounded index and four dimensional summability methods*, Novi Sad J. Math., **49** (2019), 73–85. <https://doi.org/10.30755/NSJOM.08285>.
9. B. Lepson, *Differential equations of infinite order, hyperdirichlet series and entire functions of bounded index*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **11** (1968), 298–307.
10. A.A. Goldberg, M.N. Sheremeta, *Existence of an entire transcendental function of bounded l -index*, Math. Notes, **57** (1995), 88–90. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02309399>.
11. M. Sheremeta, *On the l -index boundedness of some composition of functions*, Mat. Stud., **47** (2017), 207–210. <https://doi.org/10.15330/ms.47.2.207-210>.
12. V.O. Kushnir, *On analytic in a disc functions of bounded l -index*, Visn. Lviv Un-ty Ser. Mekh.-Math., **58** (2000), 21–24.
13. M. Sheremeta, *Analytic Functions of Bounded Index*. VNTL Publishers: Lviv, Ukraine, 1999.
14. V.P. Baksa, A.I. Bandura, T.M. Salo, O.B. Skaskiv, *Note on boundedness of the L -index in the direction of the composition of slice entire functions*, Mat. Stud., **58** (2022), 58–68.
<https://doi.org/10.30970/ms.58.1.58-68>.
15. A.I. Bandura, O.B. Skaskiv, I.R. Tymkiv, *Composition of entire and analytic functions in the unit ball*, Carpathian Math. Publ., **14** (2022), 95–104. <https://doi.org/10.15330/cmp.14.1.95-104>.
16. M.M. Sheremeta, Y.S. Trukhan, *Properties of analytic solutions of three similar differential equations of the second order*, Carp. Math. Publ., **13** (2021), 413–425. <https://doi.org/10.15330/CMP.13.2.413-425>.
17. M.M. Sheremeta, Y.S. Trukhan, *Properties of analytic solutions of a differential equation*, Mat. Stud., **52** (2019), №2, 138–143. <https://doi.org/10.30970/ms.52.2.138-143>.
18. W.K. Hayman, *Differential inequalities and local valency*, Pac. J. Math., **44** (1973), 117–137.
<http://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1973.44.117>.
19. F. Nuray, R.F. Patterson, *Vector-valued bivariate entire functions of bounded index satisfying a system of differential equations*, Mat. Stud., **49** (2018), №1, 67–74. <https://doi.org/10.15330/ms.49.1.67-74>.
20. L.F. Heath, *Vector-valued entire functions of bounded index satisfying a differential equation*, J. Res. NBS, **83B** (1978), 75–79.
21. R. Roy, S.M. Shah, *Vector-valued entire functions satisfying a differential equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **116** (1986), 349–362.
22. R. Roy, S.M. Shah, *Growth properties of vector entire functions satisfying differential equations*, Indian J. Math., **28** (1986), 25–35.
23. A.I. Bandura, T.M. Salo, O.B. Skaskiv, *Vector-valued entire functions of several variables: some local properties*, Axioms, **11** (2022), 31. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms11010031>.
24. G.H. Fricke, *Functions of bounded index and their logarithmic derivatives*, Math. Ann., **206** (1973), 215–223. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01429209>.

Ivano-Frankivsk National Technical University of Oil and Gas
Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine
andriykopanytsia@gmail.com

Lviv Politechnic National University
Lviv, Ukraine
tetyan.salo@gmail.com

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv
Lviv, Ukraine
olskask@gmail.com

Received 16.01.2023

Revised 02.12.2024