

УДК 517.98, 517.5

N. SUSHCHYK, D. LUKIVSKA

SOME INEQUALITIES FOR ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

N. Sushchuk, D. Lukivska. *Some inequalities for entire functions*, Mat. Stud. **62** (2024), 109–112.

Let \mathcal{L}_p be the subspace of the space $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of the restriction to the real axis of all entire functions of exponential type $\leq \pi$. In this paper, for any function $f \in \mathcal{L}_p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), we obtain estimates for the norm of f in terms of the sequence $(f(n/2))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, namely

$$\frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{p,1} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p} \leq 2 \|f\|_{p,1},$$

where $\|f\|_{p,1} := \frac{1}{2} (\|Jf\|_{\ell_p(\mathbb{Z})} + \|JT_{1/2}f\|_{\ell_p(\mathbb{Z})})$. Here $J : \mathcal{L}_p \rightarrow \ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$ is the linear operator given by the formula

$$(Jf)(n) := (-1)^n f(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and T_τ is the shift by $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ of the function f ,

$$(T_\tau f)(z) := f(z + \tau), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

1. Introduction. Let us denote by \mathcal{B} the linear space of all entire functions of exponential type $\leq \pi$ such that

$$\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x + iy)| e^{-\pi|y|} < \infty.$$

The linear space \mathcal{B} becomes a Banach space with the norm defined by the formula

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} := \sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x + iy)| e^{-\pi|y|}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Let us consider the linear subspaces of the space \mathcal{B}

$$\mathcal{L}_p := \mathcal{B} \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}), \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|, \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \quad (p \in [1, \infty)).$$

A lot of mathematicians have studied the spaces \mathcal{L}_p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The main results of their researches are presented in the monograph of Levin [1].

Let us denote by J the linear operator acting from the space \mathcal{L}_p to the space $\ell_p := \ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$ by the formula

$$(Jf)(n) := (-1)^n f(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad f \in \mathcal{L}_p.$$

It follows from the results of Levin [1] that the operator $J : \mathcal{L}_p \rightarrow \ell_p$ is continuous if $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and has a continuous inverse operator if $1 < p < \infty$. Denote by $\|\cdot\|_p$ the norm on ℓ_p , namely

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D20, 47A30.

Keywords: entire functions; Banach spaces.

doi:10.30970/ms.62.1.109-112

$$\|u\|_\infty := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |u(n)|, \quad \|u\|_p := \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |u(n)|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (u = (u(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell_p).$$

We also denote by T_τ ($\tau \in \mathbb{R}$) the shift operator acting on \mathcal{L}_p by the formula

$$(T_\tau f)(z) := f(z + \tau), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad f \in \mathcal{L}_p.$$

Obviously, the operator T_τ maps isometrically the space \mathcal{L}_p onto itself.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{L}_p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) and $\|f\|_{p,1} := \frac{1}{2}(\|Jf\|_p + \|JT_{1/2}f\|_p)$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2}\|f\|_{p,1} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p} \leq 2\|f\|_{p,1}. \quad (1)$$

The inequalities (1) have the same nature as the phenomenon of interference discovered by S. N. Bernstein and for space \mathcal{L}_∞ is described as follows.

Theorem (S. N. Bernstein [1]). *Let $f \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$. Then*

$$|f(x+1) + f(x)| \leq C \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(n)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where C is an absolute constant.

2. The proof of Theorem 1. First, we prove the following auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $\tau \in [-1/4, 1/4]$ and the sequence $(g_\tau(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be given by the formula*

$$g_\tau(k) := \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{1/2 - k} - \frac{1}{1/2 + \tau - k} \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then

$$\|g_\tau\|_1 \leq 1. \quad (2)$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $-g_{-\tau}(-k+1) = g_\tau(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\|g_{-\tau}\|_1 = \|g_\tau\|_1$, $\tau \in [-1/4, 1/4]$. Therefore, it suffices to prove the estimate (2) for $\tau \in [0, 1/4]$. Let us consider the function $h(\tau) := \pi \|g_\tau\|_1$, $\tau \in [0, 1/4]$. Since the function $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is decreasing on \mathbb{R}_+ and \mathbb{R}_- , we have $0 \leq g_\tau(k) \leq g_{1/4}(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tau \in [0, 1/4]$, thus

$$h(\tau) \leq h(1/4) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{1/2 - k} - \frac{1}{3/4 - k} \right).$$

Note that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{1/2 - k} = 0$$

and

$$-\sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{3/4 - k} = 4 + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k + 1/4} - \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \frac{1}{k - 1/4}.$$

This implies that

$$h(1/4) = 4 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k-1/4} - \frac{1}{k+1/4} \right) = 4 - 8 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(4k-1)(4k+1)}.$$

Using the equality (see [2, p.11])

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(4k-1)(4k+1)} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\pi}{8},$$

we obtain that $h(1/4) = \pi$, i.e. $\|g_{\tau}\|_1 \leq 1$. □

The proof of Theorem 1. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $f \neq 0$, $f \in \mathcal{L}_p$. Since $J: \mathcal{L}_p \rightarrow \ell_p$ is continuous, we get that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x+n)|^p < \infty$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, the function

$$\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto \psi(x, f) := \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x+n)|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

is Lebesgue measurable on \mathbb{R} . Moreover, it is a periodic function with period 1. Let us show that $\psi(\cdot, f)$ is bounded. According to Plancherel-Polya's theorem (see [1]), each function $f \in \mathcal{L}_p$ admits the representation

$$f(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k f(k) \frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi(z-k)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then

$$f(\tau + 1/2) - \cos(\pi\tau) f(1/2) = -\cos(\pi\tau) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k f(k) g_{\tau}(k), \quad \tau \in [-1/4, 1/4]. \quad (3)$$

Substituting $T_n f$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$) for f in (3), we obtain

$$f(\tau + 1/2 + n) - \cos(\pi\tau) f(1/2 + n) = -\cos(\pi\tau) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k f(k+n) g_{\tau}(k),$$

and thus

$$|f(\tau + 1/2 + n)| \leq |f(1/2 + n)| + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(k+n)| g_{\tau}(k), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4)$$

Taking into account the convolution properties, we deduce that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(k+n)| g_{\tau}(k) \right)^p \leq \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(n)|^p \right) \|g_{\tau}\|_1^p \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(n)|^p.$$

Combining (4) and the triangle inequality for the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$, we get

$$\psi(\tau + 1/2, f) \leq \psi(1/2, f) + \psi(0, f), \quad \tau \in [-1/4, 1/4]. \quad (5)$$

Replacing f by $T_{1/2} f$ in (5), we can write the inequality

$$\psi(\tau + 1, f) \leq \psi(1, f) + \psi(1/2, f), \quad \tau \in [-1/4, 1/4]. \quad (6)$$

Combining (5), (6) and taking into account the periodicity of $\psi(\cdot, f)$, we obtain

$$\psi(x, f) \leq \psi(0, f) + \psi(1/2, f), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (7)$$

It means that

$$\int_0^1 \psi^p(x, f) dx \leq (\psi(0, f) + \psi(1/2, f))^p.$$

Applying the monotone convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$\int_0^1 \psi^p(x, f) dx = \int_0^1 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x+n)|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^p dx, \quad (8)$$

in other words

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p} \leq \psi(0, f) + \psi(1/2, f) = 2\|f\|_{p,1}. \quad (9)$$

The inequality (7) yields

$$\psi(x, f) + \psi(x+1/2, f) \leq 2(\psi(0, f) + \psi(1/2, f)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (10)$$

Since $\psi(t, T_x f) = \psi(t+x, f)$, $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(0, f) + \psi(1/2, f) &= \psi(-x, T_x f) + \psi(-x+1/2, T_x f) \leq \\ &\leq 2(\psi(0, T_x f) + \psi(1/2, T_x f)) = 2(\psi(x, f) + \psi(x+1/2, f)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the inequality $(a+b)^p \leq 2^{p-1}(a^p + b^p)$, $a, b \geq 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\psi(0, f) + \psi(1/2, f)}{4}\right)^p &\leq \left(\frac{\psi(x, f) + \psi(x+1/2, f)}{2}\right)^p \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\psi^p(x, f) + \psi^p(x+1/2, f)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in view of (8), we obtain

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p}^p = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [\psi^p(x, f) + \psi^p(x+1/2, f)] dx \geq \left(\frac{\psi(0, f) + \psi(1/2, f)}{4}\right)^p,$$

i.e. $\frac{1}{2}\|f\|_{p,1} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p}$. Therefore, the inequalities (1) are proved for $p \in [1, \infty)$.

In the case $p = \infty$ the proof is similar. □

REFERENCES

1. B.Ya. Levin, Lectures on entire functions, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, V.150, AMS, Providence, RI, 1996.
2. I.S. Gradshteyn, I.M. Ryzhik, Table of integrals, series, and products, Academic Press, Editors: Daniel Zwillinger, Victor Moll, 2014. doi.org/10.1016/C2010-0-64839-5

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv
Lviv, Ukraine
n.sushchyk@gmail.com
d.lukivska@gmail.com

Received 07.05.2024

Revised 08.08.2024