УДК 517.537.72

O. M. MULYAVA<sup>1</sup>, M. M. SHEREMETA<sup>2</sup>, YU. S. TRUKHAN<sup>3</sup>

## RELATIVE GROWTH OF HADAMARD COMPOSITIONS OF DIRICHLET SERIES ABSOLUTELY CONVERGENT IN A HALF-PLANE

O. M. Mulyava, M. M. Sheremeta, Yu. S. Trukhan. *Relative growth of Hadamard compositions of Dirichlet series absolutely convergent in a half-plane*, Mat. Stud. **63** (2025), 21–30.

Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)$  be a positive sequence increasing to  $+\infty$  and  $S(\Lambda, A)$  be the class of Dirichlet series  $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \exp\{s\lambda_n\}$  with the abscissa of absolute convergence  $A \in (-\infty, +\infty]$ . A function F is called the Hadamard composition of the genus  $m \ge 1$  of the functions  $F_j(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,j} \exp\{s\lambda_n\}$   $(j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\})$ , if  $f_n = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} c_{k_1 \dots k_p} a_{n,1}^{k_1} \dots a_{n,p}^{k_p}$  for all n. The growth of the function  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  with respect to a function  $G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \exp\{s\lambda_n\} \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$  is identified with the growth of the function  $M_G^{-1}(M_F(\sigma))$  as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$ , where  $M_F(\sigma) = \sup\{|F(\sigma + it)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . The dependence of the growth of a function  $M_G^{-1}(M_F(\sigma))$  on the growth of functions  $M_G^{-1}(M_{F_j}(\sigma))$  is studied in terms of generalized orders and generalized convergence classes. In particular, there are proved the following statements: Let  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  be the Hadamard composition of genus  $m \ge 1$  of the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0)$ ; the positive increasing function  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha((1 + o(1))x) = (1 + o(1))\alpha(x)$  as  $x \to +\infty$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\alpha(M_G^{-1}(e^x))$  are slowly increasing functions, i.e.  $\alpha(cx) = (1 + o(1))\alpha(x)$  as  $x \to +\infty$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ . If  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \ln n/\ln |f_n| < +\infty$ , then  $\varrho_{\alpha\beta}^0[F]_G \le \varrho^0 := \max\{\varrho_{\alpha\beta}^0[F_j]_G : 1 \le j \le p\}$ . If there is a dominating function  $F_1$  among the functions  $F_j$  then  $\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F_j]_G = \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F_1]_G$  and  $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F]_G = \lambda_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F_1]_G$ . (Theorem 1)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)$  be an increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence of non-negative numbers and by  $S(\Lambda, A)$  we denote a class of Dirichlet series

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n e^{s\lambda_n}, \quad s = \sigma + it, \tag{1}$$

with the abscissa of absolute convergence  $A \in (-\infty, +\infty]$ . We will assume that entire Dirichlet series (1) does not reduce to an exponential polynomial.

For  $\sigma < A$  we put  $M_F(\sigma) = \sup\{|F(\sigma + it)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and remark that for  $F \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$ the function  $M_F(\sigma)$  is continuous and increasing to  $+\infty$  on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  and, therefore, there

C O. M. Mulyava<sup>1</sup>, M. M. Sheremeta<sup>2</sup>, Yu. S. Trukhan<sup>3</sup>, 2025

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 30B50.

 $<sup>\</sup>label{eq:keywords: Dirichlet series; Hadamard composition; generalized order; generalized convergence class. doi:10.30970/ms.63.1.21-30$ 

exists the function  $M_F^{-1}(x)$  inverse to  $M_F(\sigma)$ , which increase to  $+\infty$  on  $(x_0, +\infty)$ . The growth of the function  $F \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$  with respect to a function  $G \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$ ,

$$G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n e^{s\lambda_n},$$

is identified [1–4] with the growth of the function  $M_G^{-1}(M_F(\sigma))$  as  $\sigma \to +\infty$ .

Suppose that  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, A)$ ,

$$F_j(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n,j} e^{s\lambda_n}, \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\},$$

and say [5, 6] that the function F is the Hadamard composition of genus  $m \ge 1$  of the functions  $F_i$  if for all n

$$f_n = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} c_{k_1 \dots k_p} f_{n,1}^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot f_{n,p}^{k_p}.$$
 (2)

The function  $F_1$  is called dominant, if  $|c_{m0\dots0}||f_{n,1}|^m \neq 0$  and  $|f_{n,j}| = o(|f_{n,1}|)$  as  $n \to \infty$  for  $2 \le j \le p$ . In [7] it is shown that if function  $F_1$  is dominant then

$$f_n = (1 + o(1))|c_{m0\dots 0}||f_{n,1}|^m, \quad n \to \infty.$$
(3)

To study the growth of function  $F \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$  with respect to function  $G \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$ in [7], the concepts of generalized  $(\alpha\beta)$ -order and generalized convergence  $(\alpha\beta)$ -class are used. For this purpose, L denotes the class of positive continuous functions  $\alpha$  on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ such that  $\alpha(x) = \alpha(x_0)$  for  $x \leq x_0$  and  $0 < \alpha(x) \uparrow +\infty$  as  $x_0 \leq x \uparrow +\infty$ . We say that  $\alpha \in L^0$ if  $\alpha \in L$  and  $\alpha((1 + o(1))x) = (1 + o(1))\alpha(x)$  as  $x \to +\infty$ . Finally,  $\alpha \in L_{si}$ , if  $\alpha \in L$  and  $\alpha(cx) = (1 + o(1))\alpha(x)$  as  $x \to +\infty$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ , i.e.  $\alpha$  is slowly increasing function. Clearly,  $L_{si} \subset L^0$ .

In [7] the generalized  $(\alpha\beta)$ -order  $\rho_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G$  and the generalized lower  $(\alpha\beta)$ -order  $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G$ of the function  $F \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$  with respect to the function  $G \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$  are defined as follows

$$\varrho_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G = \lim_{\sigma \to +\infty} \frac{\alpha(M_G^{-1}(M_F(\sigma)))}{\beta(\sigma)}, \quad \lambda_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G = \lim_{\sigma \to +\infty} \frac{\alpha(M_G^{-1}(M_F(\sigma)))}{\beta(\sigma)},$$

and the following theorem is proved.

**Theorem A.** Let F be the Hadamard composition of genus  $m \ge 1$  of the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$ . If either m = 1,  $\ln n = o(\lambda_n)$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\alpha \in L^0$  and  $\beta(\ln x) \in L^0$  or  $m \ge 2$ ,  $\ln n = O(\lambda_n)$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\beta \in L$  and  $\alpha(M_G^{-1}(e^x)) \in L_{si}$  then  $\varrho_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G \le \max\{\varrho_{\alpha\beta}[F_j]_G : 1 \le j \le p\}$ .

The relative generalized convergence  $\alpha\beta$ -class is defined [7] by condition

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(M_G^{-1}(M_F(\sigma)))}{\beta(\sigma)} d\sigma < +\infty.$$

Then the following theorem is true.

**Theorem B.** Let F be the Hadamard composition of genus  $m \geq 1$  of the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$ ,  $\ln n = O(\lambda_n)$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\beta \in L^0$  and  $\alpha(M_G^{-1}(e^x)) \in L^0$ . If  $F_j$  belongs to relative generalized convergence  $\alpha\beta$ -class for all j then F belongs to the same class.

In the note proposed here we will obtain analogues of Theorems A and B in the case  $G \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$  and  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$ .

2. Growth estimates. If 
$$\alpha \in L$$
,  $\beta \in L$  and  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  then the quantities  
 $\varrho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F] = \overline{\lim_{\sigma\uparrow 0}} \frac{\alpha(\ln M_F(\sigma))}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)}$  and  $\lambda^0_{\alpha\beta}[F] = \underline{\lim_{\sigma\uparrow 0}} \frac{\alpha(\ln M_F(\sigma))}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)}$ 

are called [8] the generalized  $(\alpha\beta)$ -order and the generalized lower  $(\alpha\beta)$ -order of F, respectively. Similarly, the generalized  $(\alpha\beta)$ -order  $\varrho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G$  and the generalized lower  $(\alpha\beta)$ -order  $\lambda^0_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G$  of the function  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  with respect to a function  $G \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$  we define as follows

$$\varrho^{0}_{\alpha\beta}[F]_{G} = \overline{\lim_{\sigma\uparrow 0}} \frac{\alpha(M_{G}^{-1}(M_{F}(\sigma)))}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)}, \quad \lambda^{0}_{\alpha\beta}[F]_{G} = \underline{\lim_{\sigma\uparrow 0}} \frac{\alpha(M_{G}^{-1}(M_{F}(\sigma)))}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)}.$$
(4)

For  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  let  $\mu_F(\sigma) = \max\{|f_n| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_n\} : n \ge 0\}$  be the maximal term of series (1). We remark that  $\mu_F(\sigma) \uparrow +\infty$  as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |f_n| = +\infty$ . So, we will assume that this condition is satisfied. By the Cauchy inequality  $\mu_F(\sigma) \le M_F(\sigma)$ , and the following lemma contains the estimate of  $M_F(\sigma)$  from above.

Lemma 1 ([9]). If  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  and

$$h := \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \frac{\ln n}{\ln |f_n|} < +\infty, \tag{5}$$

then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $\sigma_0(\varepsilon) \le \sigma < 0$ 

$$M_F(\sigma) \le K(\varepsilon)\mu_F\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+h}\sigma\right)^{1+h+\varepsilon}, \quad K(\varepsilon) = \text{const} > 0.$$

Remark that if  $G \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$  then the function  $\ln M_G(\sigma)$  is convex on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ and, thus, it has a continuous non-decreasing derivative  $\frac{d \ln M_G(\sigma)}{d\sigma}$  on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  except of a countable number of points at which one-sided derivatives exist, and the left-sided derivative does not exceed the right-sided one. Since  $\frac{\ln M_G(\sigma)}{\sigma} \to +\infty$  as  $\sigma \to +\infty$ , we have  $\frac{d \ln M_G(\sigma)}{d\sigma} \nearrow +\infty$  as  $\sigma \to +\infty$ , i. e.  $\frac{dM_G^{-1}(x)}{d \ln x} \searrow 0$  as  $x \to +\infty$ . Hence, it follows that  $M_G^{-1} \in L_{si}$ .

Now we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  be the Hadamard composition of genus  $m \ge 1$  of the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0), \alpha \in L^0, \beta \in L_{si}, \alpha(M_G^{-1}(e^x)) \in L_{si}$  and (5) holds. Then

$$\varrho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G \le \varrho^0 := \max\{\varrho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F_j]_G : 1 \le j \le p\}.$$

If among the functions  $F_j$  there is a dominant function  $F_1$  then  $\varrho^0_{\alpha,\beta}[F]_G = \varrho^0_{\alpha,\beta}[F_1]_G$  and  $\lambda^0_{\alpha,\beta}[F]_G = \lambda^0_{\alpha,\beta}[F_1]_G$ .

*Proof.* From (2) we obtain

$$|f_n| \le \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} |c_{k_1 \dots k_p}| |f_{n,1}|^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot |f_{n,p}|^{k_p},$$

whence

$$|f_n|e^{m\sigma\lambda_n} \le \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} |c_{k_1\dots k_p}| (|f_{n,1}|e^{\sigma\lambda_n})^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (|f_{n,p}|e^{\sigma\lambda_n})^{k_p}$$

and, thus,

$$\mu_F(m\sigma) \le \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m \\ \sigma \in \mathcal{C}}} |c_{k_1 \dots k_p}| \mu_{F_1}(\sigma)^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \mu_{F_p}(\sigma)^{k_p}.$$

Let  $\varrho^0 < +\infty$ . Since  $\varrho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F_j]_G \leq \varrho^0$ , for every  $\varrho > \varrho^0$  and all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0(\varrho), 0)$  we have  $M_{F_j}(\sigma) \leq M_G(\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|)))$  and, thus,

$$\mu_F(m\sigma) \le \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} |c_{k_1 \dots k_p}| M_{F_1}(\sigma)^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot M_{F_p}(\sigma)^{k_p} \le CM_G^m(\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|)))$$

where  $C = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} |c_{k_1 \dots k_p}|$ , i.e.

$$\mu_F(\sigma) \le CM_G^m(\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(m/|\sigma|))).$$
(6)

Therefore, by Lemma 1 for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0(\varrho), 0)$  we get

$$M_F(\sigma) \le CK(\varepsilon) M_G^{m(1+h+\varepsilon)} \left( \alpha^{-1} \left( \varrho \beta \left( \frac{m(1+h)}{(1-\varepsilon)|\sigma|} \right) \right) \right).$$
(7)

The condition  $\alpha(M_G^{-1}(e^x)) \in L_{si}$  implies  $\alpha(M_G^{-1}(x^{m(1+h+\varepsilon)})) = (1+o(1))\alpha(M_G^{-1}(x))$  as  $x \to +\infty$  and since  $M_G^{-1} \in L_{si}$ ,  $\alpha \in L^0$  and  $\beta \in L_{si}$ , from (7) we get

$$\varrho_{\alpha\beta}^{0}[F]_{G} \leq \overline{\lim_{\sigma\uparrow 0}} \frac{\alpha \left( M_{G}^{-1} \left( CK(\varepsilon) M_{G}^{m(1+h+\varepsilon)} \left( \alpha^{-1} \left( \varrho\beta \left( \frac{m(1+h)}{(1-\varepsilon)|\sigma|} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right)}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)} = \varrho.$$

In view of the arbitrariness of  $\rho$  we obtain the inequality  $\rho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G \leq \rho^0$ , which is obvious if  $\rho^0 = +\infty$ . The first part of Theorem 1 is proved.

If function  $F_1$  is dominant then (3) implies  $\mu_F(\sigma) = (1+o(1))|c_{m0\dots0}|\mu_{F_1}(\sigma/m)^m$  as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$ . Therefore,  $c_1\mu_{F_1}(\sigma/m)^m \leq \mu_F(\sigma) \leq c_2\mu_{F_1}(\sigma/m)^m$  for some  $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \infty$ , whence by Lemma 1

$$M_F(\sigma) \le K(\varepsilon)\mu_F \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+h}\sigma\right)^{1+h+\varepsilon} \le c_2 K(\varepsilon)M_{F_1} \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{m(1+h)}\sigma\right)^{m(1+h+\varepsilon)}$$

and

$$M_F(\sigma) \ge \mu_F(\sigma) \ge c_1 \mu_{F_1}(\sigma/m)^m \ge \frac{c_1}{K(\varepsilon)^m} M_{F_1}^{m/(1+h+\varepsilon)} \Big(\frac{1+h}{m(1-\varepsilon)}\sigma\Big).$$

Using the conditions  $\alpha \in L^0$ ,  $\beta \in L_{si}$  and  $\alpha(M_G^{-1}(e^x)) \in L_{si}$  as above we obtain the equalities  $\varrho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G = \varrho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F_1]_G$  and  $\lambda^0_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G = \lambda^0_{\alpha\beta}[F_1]_G$ .

Choosing  $\alpha(x) = \beta(x) = \ln x$  for  $x \ge x_0$  from (4) we obtain the definition of the relative logarithmic order  $\varrho_l^0[F]_G$  and the relative lower logarithmic order  $\lambda_l^0[F]_G$ . Theorem 1 implies the following statement.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  be the Hadamard composition of genus  $m \ge 1$  of the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0)$ ,  $\ln M_G^{-1}(e^x) \in L_{si}$  and (5) holds. Then  $\varrho_l^0[F]_G \le \max\{\varrho_l^0[F_j]_G : 1 \le j \le p\}$ . If among the functions  $F_j$  there is a dominant function  $F_1$  then  $\varrho_l^0[F]_G = \varrho_l^0[F_1]_G$  and  $\lambda_l^0[F]_G = \lambda_l^0[F_1]_G$ .

If we choose  $\alpha(x) = \ln x$  and  $\beta(x) = x$  for  $x \ge 3$  then from (4) we obtain the definitions of the relative *R*-order  $\varrho_R^0[F]_G = \overline{\lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0}} |\sigma| \ln M_G^{-1}(M_F(\sigma))$ , introduced by A.M. Gaisin [10]. Since here  $\beta \notin L_{si}$ , the corresponding corollary cannot be obtained from Theorem 1. However, from (9) it follows that

$$M_F(\sigma) \le CK(\varepsilon) M_G^{m(1+h+\varepsilon)} \Big( \exp\left\{ \varrho \frac{m(1+h)}{(1-\varepsilon)|\sigma|} \right\} \Big)$$

Therefore, if  $\ln M_G^{-1}(e^x) \in L_{si}$  then as in the proof of Theorem 1 we get

$$\varrho_R^0[F]_G \le \overline{\lim}_{\sigma\uparrow 0} |\sigma| \ln M_G^{-1} \Big( CK(\varepsilon) M_G^{m(1+h+\varepsilon)} \Big( \exp\left\{ \varrho \frac{m(1+h)}{(1-\varepsilon)|\sigma|} \right\} \Big) \Big) = \frac{m(1+h)}{(1-\varepsilon)} \varrho.$$

In view of the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\rho$ , we get the following statement.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  be the Hadamard composition of genus  $m \ge 1$  of the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0)$ ,  $\ln M_G^{-1}(e^x) \in L_{si}$  and (5) holds. Then  $\varrho_R^0[F]_G \le m(1+h) \max\{\varrho_R^0[F_j]_G : 1 \le j \le p\}.$ 

To replace condition (5) by a condition on the growth of the sequence  $\Lambda$ , we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** If  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$ ,  $G \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$ ,  $\alpha \in L_{si}$ ,  $\beta \in L_{si}$  and

$$\alpha(M_G^{-1}(n)) = o\Big(\beta\Big(\lambda_n/\ln n\Big)\Big), \quad n \to \infty, \tag{8}$$

then for every  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and all  $\sigma_0(\varepsilon, \delta) \le \sigma < 0$ 

$$M_F(\sigma) \le \mu_F((1-\varepsilon)\sigma)M_G(\alpha^{-1}(\delta\beta(1/|\sigma|))).$$
(9)

*Proof.* It is clear that

$$M_F(\sigma) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n| e^{\sigma\lambda_n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n| e^{(1-\varepsilon)\sigma\lambda_n} e^{\varepsilon\sigma\lambda_n} \le \mu_F((1-\varepsilon)\sigma) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon|\sigma|\lambda_n}.$$
 (10)

From (8) it follows that  $\alpha(M_G^{-1}(n)) \leq \delta_1 \beta(\lambda_n/\ln n)$  for every  $\delta_1 > 0$  and all  $n \geq n_0(\delta_1)$  and, since  $\beta \in L_{si}$ ,  $\alpha(M_G^{-1}(n)) \leq \delta\beta(\varepsilon\lambda_n/(2\ln n))$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and all  $n \geq n_0(\varepsilon, \delta)$ . Hence, we get

$$\lambda_n / \ln n \ge \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \beta^{-1} \Big( \alpha(M_G^{-1}(n)) / \delta \Big).$$
(11)

Put  $N(\sigma) = [M_G(\alpha^{-1}(\delta\beta(1/|\sigma|)))] + 1$ . Then  $N(\sigma) \ge n_0(\varepsilon, \delta)$  for all  $\sigma$  sufficiently close to 0 and

$$|\sigma| \ge 1/\beta^{-1} \Big( \alpha(M_G^{-1}(N(\sigma)))/\delta \Big) \ge 1/\beta^{-1} \Big( \alpha(M_G^{-1}(n))/\delta \Big)$$

for  $n \ge N(\sigma)$ . Therefore, in view of (11)

$$\sum_{n=N(\sigma)}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon|\sigma|\lambda_n} \le \sum_{n=N(\sigma)}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{\varepsilon\lambda_n}{\beta^{-1}\left(\alpha(M_G^{-1}(n))/\delta\right)}\right\} \le \sum_{n=N(\sigma)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$

and, thus,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon |\sigma|\lambda_n} \le N(\sigma) - 1 + \sum_{n=N(\sigma)}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon |\sigma|\lambda_n} \le M_G(\alpha^{-1}(\delta\beta(1/|\sigma|)))$$
(12)

for all  $\sigma$  sufficiently close to 0. From (10) and (12) we obtain (9).

25

Using Lemma 2 we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  be the Hadamard composition of genus  $m \ge 1$  of the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0), \ \alpha \in L_{si}, \ \beta \in L_{si}, \ \alpha(M_G^{-1}(e^x)) \in L_{si} \text{ and } (8) \text{ holds. Then } \varrho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G \le \varrho^0 = \max\{\varrho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F_j]_G : 1 \le j \le p\}.$ 

If among the functions  $F_j$  there is a dominant function  $F_1$  then  $\varrho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G = \varrho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F_1]_G$  and  $\lambda^0_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G = \lambda^0_{\alpha\beta}[F_1]_G$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 1, for every  $\rho > \rho^0$  and all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0(\rho), 0)$  we obtain (6). Therefore, by Lemma 2 for all  $\sigma$  sufficiently close to 0 we get

$$M_F(\sigma) \le CM_G^m \left( \alpha^{-1} \left( \varrho \beta \left( \frac{m}{(1-\varepsilon)|\sigma|} \right) \right) \right) M_G \left( \alpha^{-1} \left( \delta \beta \left( \frac{1}{|\sigma|} \right) \right) \right)$$

Due to the arbitrariness of  $\delta$ , we can assume that  $\delta < \rho$ . Hence, we obtain the following analogue of inequality (7)

$$M_F(\sigma) \le CM_G^{m+1}\left(\alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho\beta\left(\frac{m}{(1-\varepsilon)|\sigma|}\right)\right)\right).$$

The further proof of the first part of Theorem 2 is the same as the proof of the first part of Theorem 1. The second part of Theorem 2 is proven in a similar way to the second part of Theorem 1.  $\Box$ 

Note also that in view of Theorem 1 we can obtain analogs of Corollary 1 and Proposition 1.

**3. Relative convergence classes.** For  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  and functions  $\alpha \in L, \beta \in L$  the generalized convergence  $\alpha\beta$ -class is defined [11] (see also [12, p.30]) by the condition

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha(\ln M_F(\sigma))}{|\sigma|^2 \beta(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma < +\infty.$$

By analogy, we define a relative generalized convergence  $\alpha\beta$ -class  $\mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}$  by condition

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha(M_G^{-1}(M_F(\sigma)))}{|\sigma|^2 \beta(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma < +\infty.$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  be the Hadamard composition of genus  $m \ge 1$  of the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0), \ \beta \in L^0, \ \alpha(M_G^{-1}(e^x)) \in L^0 \text{ and } (5) \text{ holds. If } F_j \in \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta} \text{ for all } j \text{ then } F \in \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}.$ 

*Proof.* We will use such a property of class  $L^0$  [13]: if  $\gamma \in L^0$  then  $\gamma(cx) = O(\gamma(x))$  for every  $c \in (0, +\infty)$  as  $x \to +\infty$ .

Since 
$$\mu_F(m\sigma) \leq \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} |c_{k_1\dots k_p}| M_{F_1}(\sigma)^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot M_{F_p}(\sigma)^{k_p}$$
, we have

$$\alpha(M_{G}^{-1}(\mu_{F}(m\sigma))) \leq \alpha \Big( M_{G}^{-1} \Big( \sum_{k_{1}+\dots+k_{p}=m} |c_{k_{1}\dots k_{p}}| M_{F_{1}}(\sigma)^{k_{1}} \cdot \dots \cdot M_{F_{p}}(\sigma)^{k_{p}} \Big) \Big) = \\ = \alpha \Big( M_{G}^{-1} \Big( \exp \Big\{ \ln \sum_{k_{1}+\dots+k_{p}=m} |c_{k_{1}\dots k_{p}}| M_{F_{1}}(\sigma)^{k_{1}} \cdot \dots \cdot M_{F_{p}}(\sigma)^{k_{p}} \Big\} \Big) \Big) \leq \\ \leq \alpha \Big( M_{G}^{-1} \Big( \exp \Big\{ \sum_{k_{1}+\dots+k_{p}=m} (k_{1} \ln M_{F_{1}}(\sigma) + \dots + k_{p} \ln M_{F_{p}}(\sigma)) + K_{1} \Big\} \Big) \Big),$$

where  $K_1 = \ln p + \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} \ln |c_{k_1 \dots k_p}|$ . From hence by condition  $\alpha(M_G^{-1}(e^x)) \in L^0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(M_{G}^{-1}(\mu_{F}(m\sigma))) &\leq K_{2}\alpha\Big(M_{G}^{-1}\Big(\exp\Big\{m\sum_{k_{1}+\dots+k_{p}=m}(\ln M_{F_{1}}(\sigma)+\dots+\ln M_{F_{p}}(\sigma))\Big\}\Big)\Big) &\leq \\ &\leq K_{2}\alpha\Big(M_{G}^{-1}\Big(\exp\Big\{m\sum_{k_{1}+\dots+k_{p}=m}p\cdot\max\{\ln M_{F_{j}}(\sigma):1\leq j\leq p\}\Big\}\Big)\Big) = \\ &= K_{2}\alpha\Big(M_{G}^{-1}\Big(\exp\Big\{mpK_{3}\max\{\ln M_{F_{j}}(\sigma):1\leq j\leq p\}\Big\}\Big)\Big) \leq \\ &\leq K_{4}\alpha\Big(M_{G}^{-1}\Big(\exp\Big\{\max\{\ln M_{F_{j}}(\sigma):1\leq j\leq p\}\Big\}\Big)\Big) = \\ &= K_{4}\max\{\alpha\Big(M_{G}^{-1}\Big(M_{F_{j}}(\sigma)\Big)\Big):1\leq j\leq p\}\leq K_{4}\sum_{j=1}^{p}\alpha\Big(M_{G}^{-1}\Big(M_{F_{j}}(\sigma)\Big)\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where  $K_j$  are some positive constants. Thus,

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^{0} \frac{\alpha(M_G^{-1}(\mu_F(m\sigma)))}{|\sigma|^2 \beta(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma \le K_4 \sum_{j=1}^{p} \int_{\sigma_0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(M_G^{-1}(M_{F_j}(\sigma)))}{|\sigma|^2 \beta(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma.$$

In view of the condition  $\beta \in L^0$  from hence it follows that if  $F_j \in \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}$  for all j then

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^{0} \frac{\alpha(M_G^{-1}(\mu_F(\sigma)))}{|\sigma|^2 \beta(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma < +\infty.$$
(13)

Finally, by Lemma 1 in view of condition  $\alpha(M_G^{-1}(e^x)) \in L^0$  and  $\beta \in L^0$  we get

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^{0} \frac{\alpha(M_G^{-1}(M_F(\sigma)))}{|\sigma|^2\beta(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma) \leq \int_{\sigma_0}^{0} \frac{\alpha\left(M_G^{-1}\left(K(\varepsilon)\mu_F\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+h}\sigma\right)^{1+h+\varepsilon}\right)\right)}{|\sigma|^2\beta(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma \leq K_5 \int_{\sigma_0}^{0} \frac{\alpha\left(M_G^{-1}\left(\mu_F\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+h}\sigma\right)\right)\right)}{|\sigma|^2\beta(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma \leq K_6 \int_{\sigma_0}^{0} \frac{\alpha(M_G^{-1}(\mu_F(\sigma)))}{|\sigma|^2\beta(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma < +\infty,$$

$$\Box$$

i.e.  $F \in \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}$ .

To study the growth of functions  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  of the generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -order  $\varrho = \varrho_{\alpha\beta}^0[F]$  one can use the generalized convergence class defined in [5, 6] by the condition  $\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln M(\sigma, F)}{|\sigma|^2 \alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma < +\infty$ . Therefore, in addition to class  $\mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}$ , to study the growth of functions  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  one can use the relative generalized convergence class  $\mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta,\varrho}$  defined by the condition

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^{0} \frac{M_G^{-1}(M_F(\sigma))}{|\sigma|^2 \alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma < +\infty, \quad \varrho = \varrho_{\alpha\beta}^0[F]_G.$$
(14)

The analogue for  $\mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta,\varrho}$  of Theorem 3 is the following statement.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  with  $\varrho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F]_G = \varrho \in (0, +\infty)$  be the Hadamard composition of genus  $m \ge 1$  of the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  with  $\varrho^0_{\alpha\beta}[F_j]_G = \varrho$ . Suppose that  $M_G^{-1}(e^x) \in L^0$ ,  $\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x)) \in L^0$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$  and (5) holds. If  $F_j \in \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta,\varrho}$  for all j then  $F \in \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta,\varrho}$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 3 now we obtain

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{M_G^{-1}(\mu_F(m\sigma))}{|\sigma|^2 \alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma \le K_4 \sum_{j=1}^p \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \frac{M_G^{-1}(M_{F_j}(\sigma))}{|\sigma|^2 \alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma$$

whence in view of the condition  $\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x)) \in L^0$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$  instead of (13) we have

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{M_G^{-1}(\mu_F(\sigma))}{|\sigma|^2 \alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma < +\infty.$$
(15)

Finally, by Lemma 1 in view of condition  $M_G^{-1}(e^x) \in L^0$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(\rho\beta(x)) \in L^0$  as in the proof of Theorem 3 we get

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{M_G^{-1}(M_F(\sigma))}{|\sigma|^2 \alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma) \le K_6 \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{M_G^{-1}(\mu_F(\sigma))}{|\sigma|^2 \alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma < +\infty,$$

i. e.  $F \in \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta,\varrho}$ .

In the proof of Theorem 3 and Proposition 2, condition (5) on the coefficients was significantly used. To replace it with a condition on exponents, we need the following addition to Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. If 
$$F \in S(\Lambda, 0), G \in S(\Lambda, +\infty), \alpha \in L_{si}, \beta \in L_{si}$$
 and for some  $\eta > 0$   

$$\alpha \left( (M_G^{-1}(n))^{1+\eta} \right) = o\left(\beta \left(\lambda_n / \ln n\right)\right), \quad n \to \infty,$$
(16)

then for every  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and all  $\sigma_0(\varepsilon, \delta) \le \sigma < 0$ 

$$M_F(\sigma) \le \mu_F((1-\varepsilon)\sigma)M_G\Big((\alpha^{-1}(\delta\beta(1/|\sigma|)))^{1/(1+\eta)}\Big)$$
(17)

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 2, from (16) we get instead (11)

$$\lambda_n / \ln n \ge \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \beta^{-1} \left( \alpha (M_G^{-1}(n)^{1+\eta}) / \delta \right).$$

Now we put  $N(\sigma) = [M_G((\alpha^{-1}(\delta\beta(1/|\sigma|)))^{1/(1+\eta)})] + 1$ . Then, as above,  $|\sigma| > 1/\beta^{-1} \Big( \alpha((M^{-1}(N(\sigma))^{1+\eta})/\delta) > 1/\beta^{-1} \Big( \alpha(M^{-1}(n)^{1+\eta}/\delta) \Big) \Big)$ 

$$|\sigma| \ge 1/\beta^{-1} \left( \alpha \left( (M_G^{-1}(N(\sigma))^{1+\eta})/\delta \right) \ge 1/\beta^{-1} \left( \alpha (M_G^{-1}(n)^{1+\eta}/\delta) \right)$$
  
and therefore  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{ -c |\sigma| \right\} \ge \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{ -2\ln n \right\}$ 

for  $n \ge N(\sigma)$  and, therefore,  $\sum_{n=N(\sigma)}^{\infty} \exp\{-\varepsilon |\sigma| \lambda_n\} \le \sum_{n=N(\sigma)}^{\infty} \exp\{-2 \ln n\}$ , whence  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon |\sigma| \lambda_n} \le N(\sigma) - 1 + \sum_{n=N(\sigma)}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon |\sigma| \lambda_n} \le M_G(\alpha^{-1}(\delta\beta(1/|\sigma|))),$ i.e. (10) implies (17)

i.e. (10) implies (17).

**Theorem 4.** Let  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  with  $\varrho_{\alpha\beta}^0[F]_G = \varrho \in (0, +\infty)$  be the Hadamard composition of genus  $m \geq 1$  of the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  with  $\varrho_{\alpha\beta}^0[F_j]_G = \varrho$ ,  $M_G^{-1}(e^x) \in L^0$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x)) \in L^0$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ . Suppose that for some  $\eta > 0$  condition (16) holds and  $\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(x)))^{\eta/(1+\eta)}} < +\infty$ . If  $F_j \in \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta,\varrho}$  for all j then  $F \in \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta,\varrho}$ . *Proof.* Since  $\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(x)) \in L^0$  and  $F_j \in \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta,\varrho}$  for all j then as in the proof of Theorem 3 we get (15). On the other hand, since  $M_G^{-1}(e^x) \in L^0$ , by Lemma 3 as above for  $\delta < \varrho$  we get

$$M_{G}^{-1}(M_{F}(\sigma)) \leq M_{G}^{-1}(\exp\{\ln \mu_{F}((1-\varepsilon)\sigma) + \ln M_{G}\left((\alpha^{-1}(\delta\beta(1/|\sigma)))^{1/(1+\eta)}\right)\}) \leq \\ \leq K \max\{M_{G}^{-1}(\mu_{F}((1-\varepsilon)\sigma)), (\alpha^{-1}(\delta\beta(1/|\sigma)))^{1/(1+\eta)}\} \leq \\ \leq K(M_{G}^{-1}(\mu_{F}((1-\varepsilon)\sigma)) + (\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma)))^{1/(1+\eta)}), \quad K = \text{const} > 0.$$
(18)

The condition  $\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(x))^{\eta/(1+\eta)}} < +\infty$  implies

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^{0} \frac{(\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|)))^{1/(1+\eta)})}{|\sigma|^2 \alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma < +\infty.$$

$$\tag{19}$$

Since  $\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(x)) \in L^0$ , from (18), (15) and (19) we obtain (14).

Finally, consider the belonging of functions to the class  $\mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}$  if we impose a condition on the sequence  $\Lambda$ . To do this we need a slightly different version of Lemma 3.

**Lemma 4.** If  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$ ,  $G \in S(\Lambda, +\infty)$ ,  $\alpha \in L_{si}$ ,  $\beta \in L_{si}$  and for some  $\eta > 0$ 

$$\alpha^{1+\eta} \left( M_G^{-1}(n) \right) = o\left( \beta \left( \lambda_n / \ln n \right) \right), \quad n \to \infty,$$
(20)

then for every  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and all  $\sigma_0(\varepsilon, \delta) \le \sigma < 0$ 

$$M_F(\sigma) \le \mu_F((1-\varepsilon)\sigma)M_G(\alpha^{-1}(\delta\beta^{1/(1+\eta)}(1/|\sigma|))).$$
(21)

*Proof.* Indeed, as in the proofs of Lemma 2 and 3, from (20) we obtain

 $\lambda_n/\ln n \ge \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \beta^{-1} \left( \alpha^{1+\eta} (M_G^{-1}(n)) / \delta \right).$ Putting  $N(\sigma) = [M_G(\alpha^{-1}((\delta\beta(1/|\sigma|))^{1/(1+\eta)}))] + 1$ , as above, we get  $|\sigma| \ge 1/\beta^{-1} \left( \alpha^{1+\eta} (M_G^{-1}(N(\sigma))) / \delta \right) \ge 1/\beta^{-1} \left( \alpha^{1+\eta} (M_G^{-1}(n)) / \delta \right) \quad \text{for } n \ge N(\sigma)$ and, therefore,  $\sum_{n=N(\sigma)}^{\infty} \exp\{-\varepsilon |\sigma| \lambda_n\} \le \sum_{n=N(\sigma)}^{\infty} \exp\{-2\ln n\}$ , whence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\{-\varepsilon |\sigma|\lambda_n\} \le M_G \left(\alpha^{-1} \left( \left(\delta\beta(1/|\sigma|)\right)^{1/(1+\eta)} \right) \right),$$
1).

i.e. (10) implies (21).

Using Lemma 4 and repeating the proof of Theorem 4, we get the following statement.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  be the Hadamard composition of genus  $m \ge 1$  of the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0)$ ,  $\alpha(M_G^{-1}(e^x)) \in L^0$  and  $\beta \in L^0$ . Suppose that for some  $\eta > 0$  condition (20) holds and  $\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \beta^{-\eta/(1+\eta)}(x) dx < +\infty$ . If  $F_j \in \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}$  for all j then  $F \in \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}$ .

4. Open problem. In view of recent articles [14–17] about Dirichlet series with complex exponents it is naturally to extend the results of this paper to the case of an arbitrary sequence of complex exponents.

## REFERENCES

- O.M. Mulyava, M.M. Sheremeta, *Relative growth of Dirichlet series*, Mat. Stud., 49 (2018), №2, 158–164. https://doi.org/10.15330/ms.49.2.158-164
- O.M. Mulyava, M.M. Sheremeta, Remarks to relative growth of entire Dirichlet series, Visnyk Lviv Univ. Ser. mech.-math., 87 (2019), 73–81. http://dx.doi.org/10.30970/vmm.2019.87.073-081
- 3. O.M. Mulyava, M.M. Sheremeta, Relative growth of Dirichlet series with different abscissas of absolute convergence, Ukr. Math. J., **72** (2020), №11, 1771–1183. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-021-01887-1
- O.M. Mulyava, M.M. Sheremeta, Relative growth of entire Dirichlet series with different generalized orders, Bukovyn. Mat. Zh., 9 (2021), №2, 22–34. https://doi.org/10.31861/bmj2021.02.02
- O.M. Mulyava, M.M. Sheremeta, Compositions of Dirichlet series similar to the Hadamard compositions, and convergence classes, Mat. Stud., 51 (2019), №1, 25–34. https://doi.org/10.15330/ms.51.1.25-34.
- A.I. Bandura, O.M. Mulyava, M.M. Sheremeta, On Dirichlet series similar to Hadamard compositions in half-plane, Carpatian Math. Publ., 15 (2023), №1, 180–195. https://doi.org/10.15330/cmp.15.1.180-195.
- O.M. Mulyava, M.M. Sheremeta, Yu.S. Trukhan, Relative growth of Hadamard compositions of entire Dirichlet series, Visnyk of Lviv Univ. Series Mech. Math. 95 (2023), 83–93. http://dx.doi.org/10.30970/vmm.2023.95.073-082
- Yu.M. Gal', M.M. Sheremeta, On the growth of analytic functions defined by Dirichlet series, Dop. AN URSR, Ser. A, 12 (1978), 1065–1067. (in Ukrainian)
- 9. Yu.M. Gal', On the growth of analytic fuctions given by Dirichlet series absolute convergent in a halfplane, Drohobych, 1980, Manuscript dep. VINITI, №4080-80 Dep. (in Russian)
- 10. A.M. Gaisin, Estimates for the growth of functions represented by Dirichlet series in the half-plane, Mat. Sbornik, **117** (1982), №3, 412–424. (in Russian)
- 11. O.M. Mulyava, On convergence classes of Dirichlet series, Ukr. Math. J., **51** (1999), №11, 1681–1692. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02525271
- O. Mulyava, M. Sheremeta, Convergence classes of analytic functions, Kyiv, Publishing Lira-K, 2020, 196 p.
- 13. M.M. Sheremeta, On two classes of positive functions and the belonging to them of main characteristics of entire functions, Mat. Stud., **19** (2003), №1, 75–82. http://matstud.org.ua/texts/2003/19\_1/73\_82.pdf
- 14. I. Ovchar, Ya. Savchuk, O. Skaskiv, Wiman-Valiron's type theorem for entire Dirichlet series with arbitrary complex exponents, Bukovyn. Mat. Zh., 4 (2016), №1–2, 130–135. (in Ukrainian)
- 15. A. Kuryliak, Wiman's type inequality for entire multiple Dirichlet series with arbitrary complex exponents, Mat. Stud., **59** (2023), №2, 178–186. https://doi.org/10.30970/ms.59.2.178-186
- 16. M. Kuryliak, O. Skaskiv, On the domain of convergence of general Dirichlet series with complex exponents, Carpathian Math. Publ., 15 (2023), 594–607. https://doi.org/10.15330/cmp.15.2.594-607
- A. Kuryliak, M. Kuryliak, O. Skaskiv, On the domain of the convergence of Taylor-Direchlet series with complex exponents, Precarpathian bulletin of the Shevchenko scientific society. Number, 18(68) (2023), 25–31. https://doi.org/10.31471/2304-7399-2023-18(68)-25-31

<sup>1</sup>Kyiv National University of Food Technologies Kyiv, Ukraine oksana.m@bigmir.net

<sup>2,3</sup>Lviv Ivan Franko National University Lviv, Ukraine m.m.sheremeta@gmail.com yurkotrukhan@gmail.com

> Received 15.12.2023 Revised 25.01.2025