

UDC 517.53

P. V. FILEVYCH, O. B. HRYBEL

**GENERALIZED AND MODIFIED ORDERS OF GROWTH FOR DIRICHLET SERIES ABSOLUTELY CONVERGENT IN A HALF-PLANE**

P. V. Filevych, O. B. Hrybel. *Generalized and modified orders of growth for Dirichlet series absolutely convergent in a half-plane*, Mat. Stud. **61** (2024), 136–147.

Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a non-negative sequence increasing to  $+\infty$ ,  $\tau(\lambda) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\ln n / \lambda_n)$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  be the class of all Dirichlet series of the form  $F(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(F) e^{s\lambda_n}$  absolutely convergent in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} s < 0$  with  $a_n(F) \neq 0$  for at least one integer  $n \geq 0$ . Also, let  $\alpha$  be a continuous function on  $[x_0, +\infty)$  increasing to  $+\infty$ ,  $\beta$  be a continuous function on  $[a, 0)$  such that  $\beta(\sigma) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$ , and  $\gamma$  be a continuous positive function on  $[b, 0)$ . In the article, we investigate the growth of a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  depending on the behavior of the sequence  $(|a_n(F)|)$  in terms of its  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ -orders determined by the equalities

$$R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F) = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\max\{x_0, \gamma(\sigma) \ln \mu(\sigma)\})}{\beta(\sigma)}, \quad R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(F) = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\max\{x_0, \gamma(\sigma) \ln M(\sigma)\})}{\beta(\sigma)},$$

where  $\mu(\sigma) = \max\{|a_n(F)| e^{\sigma \lambda_n} : n \geq 0\}$  and  $M(\sigma) = \sup\{|F(s)| : \operatorname{Re} s = \sigma\}$  are the maximal term and the supremum modulus of the series  $F$ , respectively. In particular, if for every fixed  $t > 0$  we have  $\alpha(tx) \sim \alpha(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\beta(t\sigma) \sim t^{-\rho} \beta(\sigma)$  as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$  for some fixed  $\rho > 0$ ,  $0 < \underline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \gamma(t\sigma) / \gamma(\sigma) \leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \gamma(t\sigma) / \gamma(\sigma) < +\infty$ ,  $\Phi(\sigma) = \alpha^{-1}(\beta(\sigma)) / \gamma(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}(x) = \max\{x\sigma - \Phi(\sigma) : \sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)\}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\Delta_{\Phi}(\lambda) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-\ln n / \tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n))$ , then: (a) for each Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  we have

$$R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{\ln^+ |a_n(F)|}{-\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)} \right)^{\rho};$$

- (b) if  $\tau(\lambda) > 0$ , then for each  $p_0 \in [0, +\infty]$  and any positive function  $\Psi$  on  $[c, 0)$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  such that  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F) = p_0$  and  $M(\sigma, F) \geq \Psi(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ ;
- (c) if  $\tau(\lambda) = 0$ , then  $(R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(F))^{1/\rho} \leq (R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F))^{1/\rho} + \Delta_{\Phi}(\lambda)$  for every Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$ ;
- (d) if  $\tau(\lambda) = 0$ , then for each  $p_0 \in [0, +\infty]$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  such that  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F) = p_0$  and  $(R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(F))^{1/\rho} = (R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F))^{1/\rho} + \Delta_{\Phi}(\lambda)$ .

**1. Introduction.** We denote by  $\mathbb{N}_0$  the set of all non-negative integers, and denote by  $\Lambda$  the class of all non-negative sequences  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  increasing to  $+\infty$ .

Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a sequence from the class  $\Lambda$ . Consider a Dirichlet series of the form

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{s\lambda_n} \tag{1}$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30B50, 30D15.

*Keywords*: Dirichlet series; abscissa of absolute convergence; supremum modulus; maximal term; Young-conjugate function; generalized order; modified order.

doi:10.30970/ms.61.2.136-147

and denote by  $\sigma_a(F)$  the abscissa of absolute convergence of this series. Put

$$\sigma^*(F) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{|a_n|}.$$

It is easy to see that if  $\sigma < \sigma^*(F)$ , then  $|a_n|e^{\sigma\lambda_n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, for each such  $\sigma$ , we can determine the maximal term

$$\mu(\sigma, F) = \max\{|a_n|e^{\sigma\lambda_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

of series (1). Note also that in the case when  $\sigma > \sigma^*(F)$  we have  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|e^{\sigma\lambda_n} = +\infty$ . If  $\sigma_a(F) > -\infty$ , then for all  $\sigma < \sigma_a(F)$  we define the supremum modulus of series (1) by equality

$$M(\sigma, F) = \sup\{|F(s)| : \operatorname{Re} s = \sigma\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{D}_0^*(\lambda)$  the class of all Dirichlet series of the form (1), for which  $\sigma^*(F) \geq 0$  and  $a_n \neq 0$  at least for one value of  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . By  $\mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  we denote the class of all Dirichlet series of the form (1) such that  $\sigma_a(F) \geq 0$  and  $a_n \neq 0$  at least for one value of  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{D}_0(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{D}_0^*(\lambda)$  and, as it is well known,  $\mathcal{D}_0(\lambda) = \mathcal{D}_0^*(\lambda)$  if and only if  $\tau(\lambda) = 0$ , where

$$\tau(\lambda) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{\lambda_n}.$$

Put

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda), \quad \mathcal{D}_0^* = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{D}_0^*(\lambda).$$

For  $A \in (-\infty, +\infty]$ , we denote by  $Y_A$  the class of all real functions  $\eta : D_\eta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the domain  $D_\eta$  of  $\eta$  is an interval of the form  $[a, A)$ .

Let  $\eta \in Y_{+\infty}$  be a positive measurable function on  $D_\eta$ . As in [1], we call the function  $\eta$  slowly varying at the point  $+\infty$  if for every fixed number  $c > 0$  we have  $\eta(cx) \sim \eta(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , and we call the function  $\eta$  regularly varying at the point  $+\infty$  with index  $\rho \geq 0$ , if  $\eta(x) = x^\rho \zeta(x)$  for all  $x \geq x_0$ , where  $\zeta \in Y_{+\infty}$  is a slowly varying function at the point  $+\infty$ .

Let  $\eta \in Y_0$  be a positive measurable function on  $D_\eta$ . We call the function  $\eta$  slowly varying at the point 0 if for every fixed number  $c > 0$  we have  $\eta(c\sigma) \sim \eta(\sigma)$  as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$ , and we call the function  $\eta$  regularly varying at the point 0 with index  $\rho \geq 0$ , if  $\eta(\sigma) = |\sigma|^{-\rho} \zeta(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ , where  $\zeta \in Y_0$  is a slowly varying function at the point 0.

We denote by  $L$  the sub-class of all functions  $l \in Y_{+\infty}$  continuous and increasing to  $+\infty$  on  $D_l$ .

We denote by  $C_0$  the sub-class of all functions  $\eta \in Y_0$  continuous on  $D_\eta$ , and denote by  $\Omega_0$  the sub-class of all functions  $\Phi \in C_0$  such that  $\Phi(\sigma) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$ .

Let  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ . Then, as it is well known, the function

$$\tilde{\Phi}(x) = \max\{x\sigma - \Phi(\sigma) : \sigma \in D_\Phi\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is called the Young-conjugate of  $\Phi$ , and this function has the following properties (see, for instance, [2]):  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is convex on  $\mathbb{R}$ ; the right-hand derivative  $\varphi$  of  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is a negative nondecreasing function on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , and

$$\varphi(x) = \max\{\sigma \in D_\Phi : x\sigma - \Phi(\sigma) = \tilde{\Phi}(x)\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R};$$

if  $x_0 = \inf\{x > 0 : \Phi(\varphi(x)) > 0\}$ , then  $\bar{\Phi}(x) = \tilde{\Phi}(x)/x$  increases to 0 on  $(x_0, +\infty)$ . It follows from these properties that  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is a decreasing continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . It is also easy to prove that the range of the function  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ . In fact, if  $\sigma_0 \in D_\Phi$  is a fixed number, then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\tilde{\Phi}(x) \geq x\sigma_0 - \Phi(\sigma_0)$ . Letting  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ , we obtain  $\tilde{\Phi}(-\infty) = +\infty$ . In addition, if  $x > 0$ , then  $\tilde{\Phi}(x) = x\varphi(x) - \Phi(\varphi(x)) < -\Phi(\varphi(x))$ . Letting  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain  $\tilde{\Phi}(+\infty) = -\infty$ . Therefore, the function  $\tilde{\Phi}$  assumes every value in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\alpha \in L$ ,  $D_\alpha = [x_0, 0)$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega_0$ , and let  $\eta \in Y_0$  be a function non-decreasing on  $D_\eta$ . The quantity

$$R_{\alpha,\beta}[\eta] = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\max\{x_0, \eta(\sigma)\})}{\beta(\sigma)}$$

is called the *generalized order* ( $\alpha, \beta$ -order) of the function  $\eta$ . Note that in the definition of the quantity  $R_{\alpha,\beta}[\eta]$ , the constant  $x_0$  can be replaced by any other number from  $D_\alpha$ , and if  $b \in D_\eta$ , then the function  $\eta$  can be replaced by the restriction of  $\eta$  to  $[b, 0)$ . It is also clear that if  $\zeta \in Y_0$  is a function non-decreasing on  $D_\zeta$  and  $\zeta(\sigma) \leq \eta(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [c, 0)$ , then  $R_{\alpha,\beta}[\zeta] \leq R_{\alpha,\beta}[\eta]$ .

For each Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0^*$ , we set  $R_{\alpha,\beta}^*(F) = R_{\alpha,\beta}[\eta]$ , where  $\eta(\sigma) = \ln \mu(\sigma, F)$  for all  $\sigma \in [-1, 0)$ . If  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0$ , then we set  $R_{\alpha,\beta}(F) = R_{\alpha,\beta}[\eta]$ , where  $\eta(\sigma) = \ln M(\sigma, F)$  for all  $\sigma \in [-1, 0)$ ; the quantity  $R_{\alpha,\beta}(F)$  is called the *generalized order* ( $\alpha, \beta$ -order) of the Dirichlet series  $F$ . It is clear that for each Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0$  we have  $R_{\alpha,\beta}^*(F) \leq R_{\alpha,\beta}(F)$ .

The growth of a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0$  is usually identified with the growth of the function  $\ln M(\sigma, F)$  as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$ . Important characteristics of the growth of such a series are its generalized orders  $R_{\alpha,\beta}(F)$ . Establishing various relations according to which the generalized order  $R_{\alpha,\beta}(F)$  of a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0$  of the form (1) can be expressed by the sequences of modules of its coefficients  $(|a_n|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ , is a well-known classical problem. In connection with this problem, note that the generalized order  $R_{\alpha,\beta}^*(F)$  of a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0$  of the form (1) can be relatively simply expressed in terms of the sequence  $(|a_n|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  (see, for example, [2, 3]; see also below). In view of what has been said, the following problem arises.

**Problem 1.** *Let  $\alpha \in L$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega_0$ , and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Find a necessary and sufficient condition on the sequence  $\lambda$  under which  $R_{\alpha,\beta}(F) = R_{\alpha,\beta}^*(F)$  for each Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$ .*

A similar problem for entire (absolutely convergent in  $\mathbb{C}$ ) Dirichlet series was considered in [2, 4]. In [2], moreover, Problem 1 was completely solved in the case when  $\alpha(x) = x$  for all  $x \in [x_0, +\infty)$ . Without going into details, we note that the results obtained in [2] also allow us to find a complete solution of Problem 1 in the case when  $\alpha \in L$  is an arbitrary function regularly varying at the point  $+\infty$  with index  $\rho > 0$ . This case is partially covered in this article.

We note also that by certain assumptions about the growth of functions  $\alpha \in L$  and  $\beta \in \Omega_0$ , sufficient conditions on a sequence  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  under which  $R_{\alpha,\beta}(F) = R_{\alpha,\beta}^*(F)$  for any Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$ , were found in many works (see, for example, [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]). In this article, Problem 1 is completely solved, in particular, in the case when  $\alpha \in L$  is an arbitrary function slowly varying at the point  $+\infty$  and  $\beta \in \Omega_0$  is an arbitrary function regularly varying at the point 0 with index  $\rho > 0$ .

For every function  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$  and each sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  from the class  $\Lambda$ , we put

$$\Delta_\Phi(\lambda) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{-\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)}.$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\alpha \in L$  be a function regularly varying at the point  $+\infty$  with index  $\rho_1 \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega_0$  be a function regularly varying at the point 0 with index  $\rho_2 \geq 0$ ,  $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2 > 0$ , and  $\Phi(\sigma) = \alpha^{-1}(\beta(\sigma))$  for all  $\sigma \in [a, 0)$ . Then the following statements are true:  
 (a) for each Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0^*$  of the form (1) we have

$$R_{\alpha,\beta}^*(F) = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \left( \frac{\ln^+ |a_n|}{-\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)} \right)^\rho;$$

- (b) if  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\tau(\lambda) > 0$ , then for each  $p_0 \in [0, +\infty]$  and any  $\Psi \in \Omega_0$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  such that  $R_{\alpha,\beta}^*(F) = p_0$  and  $M(\sigma, F) \geq \Psi(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ ;  
 (c) if  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\tau(\lambda) = 0$ , then for each Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  the inequality  $(R_{\alpha,\beta}(F))^{1/\rho} \leq (R_{\alpha,\beta}^*(F))^{1/\rho} + \Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$  holds;  
 (d) if  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\tau(\lambda) = 0$ , then for each  $p_0 \in [0, +\infty]$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  such that  $R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^*(F) = p_0$  and  $(R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(F))^{1/\rho} = (R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^*(F))^{1/\rho} + \Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$ .

Therefore, if  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , then under the assumptions of Theorem 1 the equality  $R_{\alpha,\beta}(F) = R_{\alpha,\beta}^*(F)$  holds for every Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  if and only if  $\Delta_\Phi(\lambda) = 0$ .

We obtain Theorem 1 from more general results proved below for modified orders of Dirichlet series from the class  $\mathcal{D}_0$ .

**2. Auxiliary results.** The following two lemmas, which we will need later, are well known (see, for example, [2, 13]).

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ , and let  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0^*$  be a Dirichlet series of the form (1). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) there exists a number  $\sigma_0 < 0$  such that  $\ln \mu(\sigma, F) \leq \Phi(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ ;  
 (ii) there exists a number  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $\ln |a_n| \leq -\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)$  for all integers  $n \geq n_0$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ ,  $D_\Phi = [a, 0)$ , and  $p$  be a positive constant. Then for the function  $\Psi(\sigma) = p\Phi(\sigma/p)$ ,  $\sigma \in [pa, 0)$ , we have  $\Psi \in \Omega_0$  and  $\tilde{\Psi}(x) = p\tilde{\Phi}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem A** ([2]). Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a sequence from the class  $\Lambda$  with  $\tau(\lambda) > 0$ , and  $G \in \mathcal{D}_0^*(\lambda) \setminus \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  be a Dirichlet series of the form  $G(s) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n e^{s\lambda_n}$  with  $b_n \geq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then for any function  $\Psi \in \Omega_0$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  of the form (1) such that  $a_n = b_n$  or  $a_n = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $M(\sigma, F) = F(\sigma) \geq \Psi(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ .

**3. Main results.** Let  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ ,  $l \in L$  be a function with  $D_l = [0, +\infty)$  and  $l(0) = 0$ , and  $\eta \in Y_0$  be a function non-decreasing on  $D_\eta$ . By  $S_{\Phi,l}[\eta]$  denote the set of those  $p > 0$  for which there exists  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(p) < 0$  such that

$$\eta(\sigma) \leq l(p)\Phi(\sigma/l(p)), \quad \sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0). \tag{2}$$

Note that if  $\eta(0-0) < +\infty$ , then  $S_{\Phi,l}[\eta] = (0, +\infty)$ . If  $\eta(0-0) = +\infty$ ,  $p \in S_{\Phi,l}[\eta]$ , and  $q > p$ , then  $l(q) > l(p)$  and for all  $\sigma < 0$  sufficiently close to 0 we have  $\eta(l(q)\sigma)/l(q) \leq \eta(l(p)\sigma)/l(p)$ , and therefore  $q \in S_{\Phi,l}[\eta]$ . If  $S_{\Phi,l}[\eta] = \emptyset$ , we set  $p_{\Phi,l}[\eta] = +\infty$ , and let  $p_{\Phi,l}[\eta] = \inf S_{\Phi,l}[\eta]$  in the opposite case. It is obvious that if  $b \in D_\eta$ , then in the definition of the quantity  $p_{\Phi,l}[\eta]$ , the function  $\eta$  can be replaced by the restriction of  $\eta$  to  $[b, 0)$ . It is also clear that if  $\zeta \in Y_0$  is a function non-decreasing on  $D_\zeta$  and  $\zeta(\sigma) \leq \eta(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [c, 0)$ , then  $p_{\Phi,l}[\zeta] \leq p_{\Phi,l}[\eta]$ .

For a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0^*$  of the form (1), we set  $S_{\Phi,l}^*(F) = S_{\Phi,l}[\eta]$  and  $p_{\Phi,l}^*(F) = p_{\Phi,l}[\eta]$ , where  $\eta(\sigma) = \ln \mu(\sigma, F)$  for all  $\sigma \in [-1, 0)$ , and let

$$k_{\Phi}(F) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln^+ |a_n|}{-\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)}.$$

For every Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0$ , we put  $S_{\Phi,l}(F) = S_{\Phi,l}[\eta]$  and  $p_{\Phi,l}(F) = p_{\Phi,l}[\eta]$ , where  $\eta(\sigma) = \ln M(\sigma, F)$  for all  $\sigma \in [-1, 0)$ . Note that  $p_{\Phi}^*(F) \leq p_{\Phi}(F)$  for an arbitrary  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$  and any Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0$ .

Using Lemmas 1 and 2, it is easy to prove the following statement.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ ,  $l \in L$  be a function with  $D_l = [0, +\infty)$  and  $l(0) = 0$ , and let  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0^*$  be a Dirichlet series of the form (1). Then  $p_{\Phi,l}^*(F) = l^{-1}(k_{\Phi}(F))$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove the inequality  $l(p_{\Phi,l}^*(F)) \leq k_{\Phi}(F)$ . This inequality is trivial in the case when  $k_{\Phi}(F) = +\infty$ . Suppose that  $k_{\Phi}(F) < +\infty$ , and let  $k > k_{\Phi}(F)$  be an arbitrary fixed number. Note that  $k_{\Phi}(F) \geq 0$ , and therefore  $k > 0$ . Setting  $p = l^{-1}(k)$ , from the definition of the quantity  $k_{\Phi}(F)$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we obtain

$$\ln^+ |a_n| \leq -l(p)\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n), \quad n \geq n_0. \quad (3)$$

Then by Lemmas 1 and 2 for some  $\sigma_0 < 0$  we have

$$\ln \mu(\sigma, F) \leq l(p)\Phi(\sigma/l(p)), \quad \sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0), \quad (4)$$

that is,  $p \in S_{\Phi,l}^*(F)$ . Thus,  $p_{\Phi,l}^*(F) \leq p$ , and hence  $l(p_{\Phi,l}^*(F)) \leq l(p) = k$ . Since  $k > k_{\Phi}(F)$  is arbitrary, we obtain  $l(p_{\Phi,l}^*(F)) \leq k_{\Phi}(F)$ .

Now we prove the opposite inequality  $l^{-1}(k_{\Phi}(F)) \leq p_{\Phi,l}^*(F)$ . This inequality is trivial in the case when  $p_{\Phi,l}^*(F) = +\infty$ . Suppose that  $p_{\Phi,l}^*(F) < +\infty$ , and let  $p > p_{\Phi,l}^*(F)$  be an arbitrary fixed number. From the definition of the quantity  $p_{\Phi,l}^*(F)$  for some  $\sigma_0 < 0$  we have (4). Since  $-\tilde{\Phi}(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , by Lemmas 1 and 2 for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we obtain (3), and therefore  $k_{\Phi}(F) \leq l(p)$ , i.e.  $l^{-1}(k_{\Phi}(F)) \leq p$ . Since  $p > p_{\Phi,l}^*(F)$  is arbitrary, we obtain  $l^{-1}(k_{\Phi}(F)) \leq p_{\Phi,l}^*(F)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a sequence from the class  $\Lambda$  with  $\tau(\lambda) > 0$ , and let  $\Psi \in \Omega_0$  be an arbitrary function. Then:*

(i) *there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  of the form (1) such that  $a_n = 1$  or  $a_n = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $M(\sigma, F) \geq \Psi(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ ;*

(ii) *for each  $k_0 \in [0, +\infty]$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  such that  $k_{\Phi}(F) = k_0$  and  $M(\sigma, F) \geq \Psi(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k_0 \in [0, +\infty]$ . In the case when  $k_0 < +\infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we set  $b_n = e^{-k_0\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)}$ , and in the case when  $k_0 = +\infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we put  $b_n = e^{-\lambda_n\delta_n}$ , where  $(\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is an arbitrary sequence increasing to 0 such that  $\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n) = o(\delta_n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Consider the Dirichlet series  $G(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n e^{s\lambda_n}$ . It is easy to verify that  $\sigma^*(G) = 0$ , and therefore  $G \in \mathcal{D}_0^*(\lambda)$ . Let's fix some  $\sigma \in (-\tau(\lambda), 0)$ . Then  $\tau(\lambda) > -\sigma$ , and hence the set  $E = \{k \in \mathbb{N}_0: \ln k \geq -\sigma\lambda_k\}$  is infinite. For an arbitrary sufficiently large  $k \in E$  we have

$$\sum_{n=[k/2]}^k a_n e^{\sigma\lambda_n} \geq \sum_{n=[k/2]}^k e^{\sigma\lambda_n} \geq \sum_{n=[k/2]}^k e^{\sigma\lambda_k} \geq \frac{k}{2} e^{\sigma\lambda_k} \geq \frac{k}{2} e^{-\ln k} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, the series  $G$  is divergent at the point  $s = \sigma$ , and hence  $G \in \mathcal{D}_0^*(\lambda) \setminus \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$ . Then, according to Theorem A, there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  of the form (1) such that  $a_n = b_n$  or  $a_n = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $M(\sigma, F) = F(\sigma) \geq \Psi(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ . In addition, as it is not difficult to verify,  $k_\Phi(F) = k_0$ . This proves (ii), and also (i) if  $k_0 = 0$ .  $\square$

From Proposition 2 we see that if  $\tau(\lambda) > 0$ , then the growth of a Dirichlet series from the class  $\mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  can be arbitrarily fast even under the condition of boundedness its maximal term.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ ,  $l \in L$  be a function with  $D_l = [0, +\infty)$  and  $l(0) = 0$ , and let  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a sequence from class  $\Lambda$  with  $\tau(\lambda) = 0$ . Then:*

- (i) *for each Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  we have  $l(p_{\Phi,l}(F)) \leq l(p_{\Phi,l}^*(F)) + \Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$ ;*
- (ii) *for every  $p_0 \in [0, +\infty]$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  such that  $p_{\Phi,l}^*(F) = p_0$  and  $l(p_{\Phi,l}(F)) = l(p_{\Phi,l}^*(F)) + \Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* To prove (i), we consider a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  of the form (1) and note that the inequality  $l(p_{\Phi,l}(F)) \leq l(p_{\Phi,l}^*(F)) + \Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$  does not need proof if  $p_{\Phi,l}^*(F) = +\infty$  or  $\Delta_\Phi(\lambda) = +\infty$ . Suppose that  $p_{\Phi,l}^*(F) < +\infty$  and  $\Delta_\Phi(\lambda) < +\infty$  and fix an arbitrary  $b > l(p_{\Phi,l}^*(F)) + \Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$ . It is clear that then there exist constants  $c > l(p_{\Phi,l}^*(F))$  and  $\Delta > \Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$  such that  $c + \Delta < b$ . From Proposition 1, the definition of the quantity  $\Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$ , and the obvious inequality  $(b - c) / \Delta > 1$ , it follows the existence of a number  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that for all integers  $n \geq n_0$  the following inequalities  $|a_n| \leq e^{-c\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)}$ ,  $n \leq e^{-\Delta\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)}$  hold and, in addition,

$$\sum_{n \geq n_0} \frac{1}{n^{(b-c)/\Delta}} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Consider the auxiliary Dirichlet series

$$G(s) = \sum_{n \geq n_0} e^{-c\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)} e^{s\lambda_n}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\sigma^*(G) = 0$ , that is,  $G \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$ . In addition, Lemmas 1 and 2 imply the existence of a constant  $\sigma_0 < 0$  such that  $\ln \mu(\sigma, G) \leq c\Phi(\sigma/c)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ . Therefore, using the above inequalities, for all  $\sigma \in [b\sigma_0/c, 0)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M(\sigma, G) &= \sum_{n \geq n_0} e^{-c\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)} e^{\sigma\lambda_n} = \sum_{n \geq n_0} \left( e^{-c\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)} e^{(c\sigma/b)\lambda_n} \right)^{b/c} \frac{1}{e^{-(b-c)\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)}} \leq \\ &\leq (\mu(c\sigma/b, G))^{b/c} \sum_{n \geq n_0} \frac{1}{e^{-(b-c)\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)}} \leq e^{b\Phi(\sigma/b)} \sum_{n \geq n_0} \frac{1}{n^{(b-c)/\Delta}} \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{b\Phi(\sigma/b)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by taking  $q = l^{-1}(b)$ , for all  $\sigma < 0$  sufficiently close to 0 we have

$$M(\sigma, F) \leq \sum_{n \geq n_0} |a_n| e^{\sigma\lambda_n} + M(\sigma, G) \leq e^{b\Phi(\sigma/b)} = e^{l(q)\Phi(\sigma/l(q))}.$$

Therefore,  $q \in S_{\Phi,l}(F)$ , and hence  $l(p_{\Phi,l}(F)) \leq l(q) = b$ . The required inequality follows from the arbitrariness of  $b > l(p_{\Phi,l}^*(F)) + \Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$ .

Now we prove (ii). The proof is trivial if  $p_0 = +\infty$  or  $\Delta_\Phi(\lambda) = 0$ . Suppose that  $p_0 < +\infty$  and  $\Delta_\Phi(\lambda) > 0$ , and set  $c = l(p_0)$ . Let us choose arbitrary positive sequences  $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ ,

$(\delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  and  $(\Delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  such that  $c_k \rightarrow c$  for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $(\delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  decreases to 1, and  $(\Delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  increases to  $\Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$ . It is easy to justify the existence of an increasing sequence  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of positive integers such that for it, as well as for the sequence  $(m_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ , where  $m_k = [(n_k + 1)/2]$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have

$$n_k < m_{k+1}, \quad \frac{\ln(n_k - m_k + 1)}{-\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_{n_k})} \geq \Delta_k, \quad \frac{\ln m_k}{-\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_{m_k})} \leq \delta_k \frac{\ln n_k}{-\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_{n_k})} \quad (5)$$

for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Put  $a_n = e^{-c_k \tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)}$  if  $n \in [m_k, n_k]$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and let  $a_n = 0$  in the opposite case. Consider the Dirichlet series  $F$  of the form (1) with the coefficients  $a_n$  defined in this way. It is easy to verify that  $\sigma^*(F) = 0$ , that is,  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$ , and  $k_\Phi(F) = c$ . According to Proposition 1 we have  $p_{\Phi, l}^*(F) = l^{-1}(c) = p_0$ . Note also that the constructed series can be written in the form

$$F(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m_k}^{n_k} e^{-c_k \tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)} e^{s \lambda_n}.$$

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we set  $b_k = (n_k - m_k + 1)e^{-c_k \tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_{m_k})}$ , and consider the auxiliary Dirichlet series  $H(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k e^{s \lambda_{m_k}}$ . If  $\sigma < 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then

$$\sum_{n=m_k}^{n_k} e^{-c_k \tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)} e^{\sigma \lambda_n} \geq \sum_{n=m_k}^{n_k} e^{-c_k \tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_{m_k})} e^{\sigma \lambda_{m_k}} = b_k e^{\sigma \lambda_{m_k}},$$

and therefore  $H \in \mathcal{D}_0$ . In addition,  $M(\sigma, F) = F(\sigma) \geq H(\sigma) = M(\sigma, H)$  for each  $\sigma < 0$  and, according to (5),

$$k_\Phi(H) = \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln^+ |b_k|}{-\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_{n_k})} = \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{\ln(n_k - m_k + 1)}{-\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_{n_k})} + c_k \frac{\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_{m_k})}{\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_{n_k})} \right) \geq \Delta_\Phi(\lambda) + c.$$

Therefore,  $l(p_{\Phi, l}(F)) \geq l(p_{H, l}(F)) \geq l(p_{H, l}^*(F)) = k_\Phi(H) \geq l(p_{\Phi, l}^*(F)) + \Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$ . It remains to use statement (i) of this theorem.  $\square$

Let  $\alpha \in L$ ,  $D_\alpha = [x_0, 0)$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega_0$ ,  $\gamma \in C_0$  be a function positive on  $D_\gamma$ , and  $\eta \in Y_0$  be a function non-decreasing on  $D_\eta$ . The quantity

$$R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\eta] = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\max\{x_0, \gamma(\sigma)\eta(\sigma)\})}{\beta(\sigma)}$$

is called the *modified order* ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ -order) of the function  $\eta$ . Note that in the definition of the quantity  $R_{\alpha, \beta}[\eta]$ , the constant  $x_0$  can be replaced by any other number from  $D_\alpha$ , and if  $b \in D_\eta$ , then the function  $\eta$  can be replaced by the restriction of  $\eta$  to  $[b, 0)$ . It is also clear that if  $\zeta \in Y_0$  is a function non-decreasing on  $D_\zeta$  and  $\zeta(\sigma) \leq \eta(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [c, 0)$ , then  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\zeta] \leq R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\eta]$ .

For any Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0^*$ , we set  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F) = R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\eta]$ , where  $\eta(\sigma) = \ln \mu(\sigma, F)$  for all  $\sigma \in [-1, 0)$ . If  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0$ , we put  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(F) = R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\eta]$ , where  $\eta(\sigma) = \ln M(\sigma, F)$  for all  $\sigma \in [-1, 0)$ ; the quantity  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(F)$  is called the *modified order* ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ -order) of the Dirichlet series  $F$ . It is clear that  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F) \leq R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(F)$  for every Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0$ .

In connection with Problem 1, it is natural to consider the following more general problem.

**Problem 2.** Let  $\alpha \in L$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega_0$ ,  $\gamma \in C_0$  be a function positive on  $D_\gamma$ , and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Find a necessary and sufficient condition on the sequence  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  under which  $R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(F) = R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^*(F)$  for each Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$ .

Below we will obtain solutions of Problem 2 under fairly general assumptions about the behavior of the functions  $\alpha \in L$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega_0$ , and  $\gamma \in C_0$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\alpha \in L$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega_0$ , and  $\gamma \in C_0$  be a function positive on  $D_\gamma$ . If the condition

$$\forall c > 0: \quad \lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \alpha^{-1}(c\beta(\sigma))/\gamma(\sigma) < +\infty \tag{6}$$

holds, then for any sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  from the class  $\Lambda$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  of the form (1) such that  $a_n = 1$  or  $a_n = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(F) = +\infty$ .

*Proof.* In the case when  $\tau(\lambda) > 0$ , it is enough to use Proposition 2.

Let  $\tau(\lambda) = 0$ . Consider the series  $F(s) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{s\lambda_n}$ . It is clear that  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$ ,  $R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^*(F) = 0$  and  $M(\sigma, F) \uparrow +\infty$  as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$ . Suppose that  $R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(F) < c$  for some  $c > 0$ . Then, from the definition of the quantity  $R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(F)$ , we have  $\ln M(\sigma, F) \leq \alpha^{-1}(c\beta(\sigma))/\gamma(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ , which contradicts (6). Therefore,  $R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(F) = +\infty$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\alpha \in L$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega_0$ , and  $\gamma \in C_0$  be a function positive on  $D_\gamma$ . Suppose that condition (6) is not satisfied, and a function  $\Phi \in C_0$  is such that

$$\forall t > 0: \quad \lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \gamma(\sigma)\Phi(\sigma/t) = +\infty \tag{7}$$

and for every  $t > 0$  there exists a finite limit

$$h(t) := \lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\gamma(\sigma)t\Phi(\sigma/t))}{\beta(\sigma)}, \tag{8}$$

and  $h(t)$  is a continuously function increasing to  $+\infty$  on  $(0, +\infty)$  with  $h(0) = h(0+0) = 0$ . Then  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$  and if  $t = l(p)$  is the inverse function of the function  $p = h(t)$ , then:

- (a) for every function  $\eta \in Y_0$  non-decreasing on  $D_\eta$ , we have  $R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}[\eta] = p_{\Phi,l}[\eta]$ ;
- (b) for each Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0^*$  we have  $R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^*(F) = h(k_\Phi(F))$ ;
- (c) if  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\tau(\lambda) > 0$ , then for every  $p_0 \in [0, +\infty]$  and any  $\Psi \in \Omega_0$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  such that  $R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^*(F) = p_0$  and  $M(\sigma, F) \geq \Psi(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ ;
- (d) if  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\tau(\lambda) = 0$ , then for every Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  the inequality  $l(R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(F)) \leq l(R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^*(F)) + \Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$  holds;
- (e) if  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\tau(\lambda) = 0$ , then for each  $p_0 \in [0, +\infty]$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  such that  $R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^*(F) = p_0$  and  $l(R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(F)) = l(R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^*(F)) + \Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$ .

*Proof.* If (6) is not satisfied, then for some  $c > 0$  we have  $\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(\sigma))/\gamma(\sigma) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$ . Let's choose the number  $t > 0$  so that the inequality  $h(t) > c$  holds. Then, according to (8), there exists  $\sigma_0 < 0$  such that  $t\Phi(\sigma/t) \geq \alpha^{-1}(c\beta(\sigma))/\gamma(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ . Therefore,  $\Phi(\sigma) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$ , and hence  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ .

Let's prove (a). Let  $\eta \in Y_0$  be a function non-decreasing on  $D_\eta$ . First, we show that  $R_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}[\eta] \leq p_{\Phi,l}[\eta]$ . This inequality is trivial if  $p_{\Phi,l}[\eta] = +\infty$ . Suppose that  $p_{\Phi,l}[\eta] < +\infty$ ,



and let  $p > p_{\Phi, l}[\eta]$  be an arbitrary fixed number. Then there exists a number  $\sigma_0 < 0$  such that (2) holds. Therefore, using (2) and (7), we obtain

$$R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\eta] \leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\gamma(\sigma)l(p)\Phi(\sigma/l(p)))}{\beta(\sigma)} = h(l(p)) = p,$$

and the required inequality follows from the arbitrariness of  $p > p_{\Phi, l}[\eta]$ .

Now we prove that  $p_{\Phi, l}[\eta] \leq R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\eta]$ . This inequality is trivial if  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\eta] = +\infty$ . Suppose that  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\eta] < +\infty$ , and let  $p > R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\eta]$  be an arbitrary fixed number, and  $q \in (R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\eta], p)$ . From the definition of the quantity  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\eta]$  for some  $\sigma_1 < 0$  we have

$$\gamma(\sigma)\eta(\sigma) \leq \alpha^{-1}(q\beta(\sigma)), \quad \sigma \in [\sigma_1, 0). \quad (9)$$

In addition, since

$$\lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\gamma(\sigma)l(p)\Phi(\sigma/l(p)))}{\beta(\sigma)} = h(l(p)) = p > q,$$

for some  $\sigma_2 < 0$  we obtain

$$q\beta(\sigma) \leq \alpha(\gamma(\sigma)l(p)\Phi(\sigma/l(p))), \quad \sigma \in [\sigma_2, 0). \quad (10)$$

Taking  $\sigma_0 = \max\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ , from (9) and (10) we see that (2) is fulfilled, i.e.  $p \in S_{\Phi, l}[\eta]$ . Therefore,  $p_{\Phi, l}[\eta] \leq p$ . Since  $p > R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\eta]$  is arbitrary, we have  $p_{\Phi, l}[\eta] \leq R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}[\eta]$ .

Further, according to the part of the theorem that has already been proved, for each Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0^*$  we obtain  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F) = p_{\Phi, l}^*(F)$ , and for each Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0$  we have  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(F) = p_{\Phi, l}(F)$ . Therefore, (b) follows from Proposition 1, and (c) follows from Proposition 2. In addition, for an arbitrary sequence  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  with  $\tau(\lambda) = 0$ , according to Theorem 2, we have (d) and (e).  $\square$

**4. Corollaries.** Let us give some consequences from the results proved above.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\alpha \in L$  be a function slowly varying at the point  $+\infty$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega_0$  be a function regularly varying at the point 0 with index  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\gamma \in C_0$  be a function positive on  $D_\gamma$  such that for each fixed  $t > 0$  the inequalities

$$0 < \underline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\gamma(t\sigma)}{\gamma(\sigma)} \leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\gamma(t\sigma)}{\gamma(\sigma)} < +\infty \quad (11)$$

hold, and  $\Phi \in C_0$  be a function such that  $\Phi(\sigma) = \alpha^{-1}(\beta(\sigma))/\gamma(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ . Then  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$  and the following statements are true:

(a) for every Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0^*$  we have

$$R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F) = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \left( \frac{\ln^+ |a_n|}{-\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda_n)} \right)^\rho;$$

(b) if  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\tau(\lambda) > 0$ , then for every  $p_0 \in [0, +\infty]$  and any  $\Psi \in \Omega_0$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  such that  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F) = p_0$  and  $M(\sigma, F) \geq \Psi(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ ;

(c) if  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\tau(\lambda) = 0$ , then for every Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  the inequality  $(R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(F))^{1/\rho} \leq (R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F))^{1/\rho} + \Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$  holds;

(d) if  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\tau(\lambda) = 0$ , then for each  $p_0 \in [0, +\infty]$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  such that  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F) = p_0$  and  $(R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(F))^{1/\rho} = (R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F))^{1/\rho} + \Delta_\Phi(\lambda)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D_\gamma = [a, 0)$ . From the conditions satisfied by the function  $\gamma$ , for some constant  $d > 1$  and all  $\sigma \in [a/2, 0)$  we have  $\gamma(\sigma) \leq d\gamma(2\sigma)$ . Let  $M = \max\{\gamma(\sigma) : \sigma \in [a, a/2]\}$ ,  $q = \log_2 d$  and  $c = M|a|^q$ . Then for all  $\sigma \in [a, 0)$  we have  $\gamma(\sigma) \leq c|\sigma|^{-q}$ . In fact, if  $\sigma \in [a/2^n, a/2^{n+1}]$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then

$$\gamma(\sigma) \leq d^n \gamma(2^n \sigma) \leq 2^{qn} M \leq M|a|^q |\sigma|^{-q} = c|\sigma|^{-q}.$$

Let's fix an arbitrary constant  $\rho_0 \in (0, \rho)$  and choose a constant  $r > 0$  so that the inequality  $r\rho_0 > q$  holds. According to the well-known properties of regularly varying functions, there exist constants  $\sigma_1 < 0$  and  $x_0 > 0$  such that  $\beta(\sigma) \geq |\sigma|^{-\rho_0}$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_1, 0)$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(x) \geq x^r$  for all  $x \in [x_0, +\infty)$ . Then there exists a constant  $\sigma_2 < 0$  such that  $\alpha^{-1}(\beta(\sigma)) \geq (\beta(\sigma))^r \geq |\sigma|^{-r\rho_0}$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_2, 0)$ . Therefore, using the above estimate for the  $\gamma$  function, we obtain  $\Phi(\sigma) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$ . From this, in particular, we see that  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ , condition (6) is not satisfied, and (11) implies (7). In addition, using the conditions satisfied by the functions  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ , for each fixed  $t > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\gamma(\sigma)t\Phi(\sigma/t))}{\beta(\sigma)} = \lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\gamma(\sigma)\Phi(\sigma))}{\beta(t\sigma)} = \lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\beta(\sigma)}{\beta(t\sigma)} = t^\rho.$$

Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied with  $h(t) = t^\rho$  for all  $t > 0$ , and (a), (b), (c), and (d) are consequences of the corresponding statements of Theorem 3.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\alpha \in L$  be an arbitrary function,  $\beta \in \Omega_0$  be a function regularly varying at the point 0 with index  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\gamma(\sigma) = |\sigma|^{-1}$  for all  $\sigma \in [-1, 0)$ , and  $\Phi \in C_0$  be a function such that  $\Phi(\sigma) = |\sigma|\alpha^{-1}(\beta(\sigma))$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ . Then:*

- (i) *if  $\Phi \notin \Omega_0$ , then for every sequence  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  such that  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F) = 0$ , but  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(F) = +\infty$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ , then statements (a), (b), (c), and (d) of Theorem 4 are true.*

*Proof.* Noting that the condition  $\Phi \notin \Omega_0$  is equivalent to condition (6), from Proposition 3 we obtain (i).

Let  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ . Then, as it is easy to see, (7) holds. In addition, for every fixed  $t > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\gamma(\sigma)t\Phi(\sigma/t))}{\beta(\sigma)} = \lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(|\sigma|^{-1}t\Phi(\sigma/t))}{\beta(\sigma)} = \lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(|\sigma|^{-1}\Phi(\sigma))}{\beta(t\sigma)} = \lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\beta(\sigma)}{\beta(t\sigma)} = t^\rho.$$

Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied with  $h(t) = t^\rho$  for all  $t > 0$ . This implies (ii).  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\alpha \in L$  be an arbitrary function such that*

$$\forall q > 1: \quad \liminf_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha^{-1}(qy)/\alpha^{-1}(y) > 1, \tag{12}$$

*$\beta \in \Omega_0$  be a function regularly varying at the point 0 with index  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\gamma \in C_0$  be a function regularly varying at the point 0 with index 1, and  $\Phi \in C_0$  be a function such that  $\Phi(\sigma) = \alpha^{-1}(\beta(\sigma))/\gamma(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ . Then statements (i) and (ii) of Theorem 5 are true.*

*Proof.* By the assumptions of the theorem, the condition  $\Phi \notin \Omega_0$  is equivalent to condition (6), and therefore from Proposition 3 we obtain statement (i) of Theorem 5.

Let  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ . Then, as it is easy to see, (7) holds. Next, we note that condition (12) is satisfied if and only if for any function  $\delta \in C_0$  such that  $\delta(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $\alpha(x\delta(x)) \sim \alpha(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Using this fact, for every fixed  $t > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\gamma(\sigma)t\Phi(\sigma/t))}{\beta(\sigma)} = \lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\gamma(t\sigma)t\Phi(\sigma))}{\beta(t\sigma)} = \lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\gamma(\sigma)\Phi(\sigma))}{t^{-\rho}\beta(\sigma)} = t^\rho.$$

Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied with  $h(t) = t^\rho$  for all  $t > 0$ . This implies statement (ii) of Theorem 5.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** Let  $\alpha \in L$  be a function regularly varying at the point  $+\infty$  with index  $\rho_1 > 0$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega_0$  be a function regularly varying at the point 0 with index  $\rho_2 \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \in C_0$  be a function regularly varying at the point 0 with index  $\rho_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2 - \rho_1\rho_3$ , and  $\Phi \in C_0$  be a function such that  $\Phi(\sigma) = \alpha^{-1}(\beta(\sigma))/\gamma(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 0)$ . Then:

(i) if  $\rho_2 - \rho_1\rho_3 < 0$  or simultaneously the conditions  $\rho_2 - \rho_1\rho_3 = 0$  and  $\Phi \notin \Omega_0$  are satisfied, then for any sequence  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there exists a Dirichlet series  $F \in \mathcal{D}_0(\lambda)$  such that  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^*(F) = 0$  and  $R_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(F) = +\infty$ ;

(ii) if simultaneously the conditions  $\rho_2 - \rho_1\rho_3 = 0$  and  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$  are satisfied or  $\rho_2 - \rho_1\rho_3 > 0$ , then statements (a), (b), (c), and (d) of Theorem 4 are true.

*Proof.* For every fixed  $t > 0$  we have

$$\Phi(t\sigma) = \frac{\alpha^{-1}(\beta(t\sigma))}{\gamma(t\sigma)} \sim \frac{\alpha^{-1}(t^{-\rho_2}\beta(\sigma))}{t^{-\rho_3}\gamma(\sigma)} \sim \frac{t^{-\rho_2/\rho_1}}{t^{-\rho_3}}\Phi(\sigma) = t^{-(\rho_2 - \rho_1\rho_3)/\rho_1}\Phi(\sigma), \quad \sigma \uparrow 0,$$

i.e.  $\Phi$  is a function regularly varying at the point 0 with index  $(\rho_2 - \rho_1\rho_3)/\rho_1$ . We note that in the case when  $\rho_2 - \rho_1\rho_3 < 0$  we have  $\Phi \notin \Omega_0$ , in the case when  $\rho_2 - \rho_1\rho_3 > 0$  we have  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ , and in the case when  $\rho_2 - \rho_1\rho_3 = 0$  both the situations  $\Phi \notin \Omega_0$  or  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$  are possible.

Therefore, all the conditions of (i) reduce to the condition  $\Phi \notin \Omega_0$ , and all the conditions of (ii) reduce to the condition  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ .

Noting that the condition  $\Phi \notin \Omega_0$  is equivalent to condition (6), from Proposition 3 we obtain statement (i).

Let  $\Phi \in \Omega_0$ . Then, as it is easy to see,  $\rho > 0$  and (7) holds. In addition, for every fixed  $t > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\gamma(\sigma)t\Phi(\sigma/t))}{\beta(\sigma)} = \lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{t^{\rho_1}\alpha(t^{-\rho_3}\gamma(\sigma)\Phi(\sigma))}{\beta(t\sigma)} = \lim_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{t^{\rho_1 - \rho_1\rho_3}\alpha(\gamma(\sigma)\Phi(\sigma))}{t^{-\rho_2}\beta(\sigma)} = t^\rho.$$

Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied with  $h(t) = t^\rho$  for all  $t > 0$ . This implies statement (ii).  $\square$

Finally, note that Theorem 1 is a consequence of Theorems 4 and 7 in the case when  $\gamma(\sigma) = 1$  for all  $\sigma \in [-1, 0)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. E. Seneta, Regularly varying functions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1976.
2. T.Ya. Hlova, P.V. Filevych, *Generalized types of the growth of Dirichlet series*, Carpathian Math. Publ., **7** (2015), №2, 172–187. <https://doi.org/10.15330/cmp.7.2.172-187>
3. P.V. Filevych, O.B. Hrybel, *The growth of the maximal term of Dirichlet series*, Carpathian Math. Publ., **10** (2018), №1, 79–81. <https://doi.org/10.15330/cmp.10.1.79-81>
4. T.Ya. Hlova, P.V. Filevych, *The growth of entire Dirichlet series in terms of generalized orders*, Sb. Math., **209** (2018), №2, 241–257. <https://doi.org/10.1070/SM8644>
5. Yu.M. Hal', M.M. Sheremeta, *On the growth of analytic in a half-plane functions represented by Dirichlet series*, Dokl. AN UkrSSR. Ser. A., (1978), №12, 1065–1067. (in Russian)
6. M.M. Sheremeta, *On the maximum of the modulus and the maximal term of Dirichlet series*, Math. Notes, **73** (2003), №3–4, 402–407. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023222229539>
7. O.M. Mulyava, M.M. Sheremeta, *A remark to the growth of positive functions and its application to Dirichlet series*, Mat. Stud., **44** (2015), №2, 161–170. <https://doi.org/10.15330/ms.44.2.161-170>
8. L.V. Kulyavets', O.M. Mulyava, *On the growth of a class of Dirichlet Series absolutely convergent in half-plane*, Carpathian Math. Publ., **9** (2017), №1, 63–71. <https://doi.org/10.15330/cmp.9.1.63-71>
9. M.M. Sheremeta, O.M. Mulyava, *The Hadamard compositions of Dirichlet series absolutely converging in half-plane*, Mat. Stud., **53** (2020), №1, 13–28. <https://doi.org/10.30970/ms.53.1.13-28>
10. O.M. Mulyava, *On the relative growth of Dirichlet series with zero abscissa of absolute convergence*, Mat. Stud., **55** (2021), №1, 44–50. <https://doi.org/10.30970/ms.55.1.44-50>
11. A.I. Bandura, O.M. Mulyava, M.M. Sheremeta, *On Dirichlet series similar to Hadamard compositions in half-plane*, Carpathian Math. Publ., **15** (2023), №1, 180–195. <https://doi.org/10.15330/cmp.15.1.180-195>
12. P.V. Filevych, O.B. Hrybel, *Global estimates for sums of absolutely convergent Dirichlet series in a half-plane*, Mat. Stud., **59** (2023), №1, 60–67. <https://doi.org/10.30970/ms.59.1.60-67>
13. P.V. Filevych, O.B. Hrybel, *On regular variation of entire Dirichlet series*, Mat. Stud., **58** (2022), №2, 174–181. <https://doi.org/10.30970/ms.58.2.174-181>

Department of Mathematics,  
Lviv Polytechnic National University  
Lviv, Ukraine  
p.v.filevych@gmail.com

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University  
Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine  
olha.hrybel@gmail.com

*Received 15.12.2023*

*Revised 08.06.2024*