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#### Abstract
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This article is devoted to the study of mappings with bounded and finite distortion defined in some domain of the Euclidean space. We consider mappings that satisfy some upper estimates for the distortion of the modulus of families of paths, where the order of the modulus equals to $p, n-1<p \leqslant n$. The main problem studied in the manuscript is the investigation of the boundary behavior of such mappings, more precisely, the distortion of the distance under mappings near boundary points. The publication is primarily devoted to definition domains with "bad boundaries", in which the mappings not even have a continuous extension to the boundary in the Euclidean sense. However, we introduce the concept of a quasiconformal regular domain in which the specified continuous extension is valid and the corresponding distance distortion estimates are satisfied; however, both must be understood in the sense of the socalled prime ends. More precisely, such estimates hold in the case when the mapping acts from a quasiconformal regular domain to an Ahlfors regular domain with the Poincaré inequality. The consideration of domains that are Ahlfors regular and satisfy the Poincaré inequality is due to the fact that, lower estimates for the modulus of families of paths through the diameter of the corresponding sets hold in these domains. (There are the so-called Loewner-type estimates). We consider homeomorphisms and mappings with branching separately. The main analytical condition under which the results of the paper were obtained is the finiteness of the integral averages of some majorant involved in the defining modulus inequality under infinitesimal balls. This condition includes the situation of quasiconformal and quasiregular mappings, because for them the specified majorant is itself bounded in a definition domain. Also, the results of the article are valid for more general classes for which Poletsky-type upper moduli inequalities are satisfied, for example, for mappings with finite length distortion.


1. Introduction. As known, quasiconformal mappings are locally Hölder continuous. In particular, the problem of the Hölder continuity of quasiconformal maps and some of their generalizations was previously studied by various authors, see, e.g., [1-5]. We also have studied this problem for Sobolev and Orlicz-Sobolev classes, see e.g. [6-8]. Now, our goal is to consider mappings that satisfy the Poletsky inequality with respect to $p$-modulus. At the same time, we are mainly interested in the case when maps act in domains with the $(1 ; p)$-Poincaré inequality. Here we consider two natural cases: when the mappings are homeomorphisms and when the mappings have branch points.
[^0]Below we use the following notations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
B\left(x_{0}, r\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left|x-x_{0}\right|<r\right\}, \quad \mathbb{B}^{n}=B(0,1) \\
S\left(x_{0}, r\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left|x-x_{0}\right|=r\right\}, \quad \mathbb{S}^{n-1}=S(0,1)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $m$ be a Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, let $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ be $(n-1)$-measured Hausdorff measure,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{n}=m\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}\right), \quad \omega_{n-1}=\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right) \\
A\left(x_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: r_{1}<\left|x-x_{0}\right|<r_{2}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Below $M_{p}(\Gamma)$ denotes $p$-modulus of $\Gamma$ (see [10]). Given sets $E, F \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$ and a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ denote by $\Gamma(E, F, D)$ the family of all paths $\gamma:[a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$ such that $\gamma(a) \in E, \gamma(b) \in F$ and $\gamma(t) \in D$ for $t \in(a, b)$. Given a family $\Gamma$ of paths $\gamma:[a, b] \rightarrow D$ in $D$, by $f(\Gamma)$ we denote the family of paths $\{(f \circ \gamma):[a, b] \rightarrow f(D), \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. (If $\gamma:[a, b] \rightarrow \bar{D}, \gamma(t) \in D$ if $a<t<b$, then under $f(\gamma)$ we understand the path $f(\gamma(t)), t \in(a, b)$. Similarly we are reasoning for the corresponding families $\Gamma$ of paths $\gamma$, whose end points do not belong to $D$, but belong to the closure of $D$ ).

Let $Q: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ be a Lebesgue measurable function equal to zero outside $D$. Consider the following concept, see [4, Section 7.6]. We say that a mapping $f: D \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$ is a ring $Q$-mapping at the point $x_{0} \in \bar{D}$ with respect to $p$-modulus, $x_{0} \neq \infty, p \geqslant 1$, if for some $r_{0}=r\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ and arbitrary $0<r_{1}<r_{2}<r_{0}$ the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}\left(f\left(\Gamma\left(S\left(x_{0}, r_{1}\right), S\left(x_{0}, r_{2}\right), D\right)\right)\right) \leqslant \int_{A} Q(x) \cdot \eta^{p}\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right) d m(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled, where $\eta:\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is arbitrary Lebesgue measurable function that satisfies inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} \eta(r) d r \geqslant 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to [11, Section 7.22], we say that a Borel function $\rho: D \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is an upper gradient of the function $u: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, if the relation $|u(x)-u(y)| \leqslant \int_{\gamma} \rho|d x|$ holds for all rectifiable paths $\gamma$, joining the points $x$ and $y \in D$. Let $u_{B}:=\frac{1}{m(B)} \int_{B} u(x) d m(x)$. Given $p \geqslant 1$, we say that $D$ supports the $(1 ; p)$-Poincaré inequality, if there are constants $C \geqslant 1$ and $\tau>0$ such that, the relation

$$
\frac{1}{m(B)} \int_{B}\left|u(x)-u_{B}\right| d m(x) \leqslant C \cdot(\operatorname{diam} B)\left(\frac{1}{m(\tau B)} \int_{\tau B} \rho^{p}(x) d m(x)\right)^{1 / p}
$$

holds for any ball $B \subset D$, an arbitrary bounded continuous function $u: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any upper gradient $\rho$ of $u$. A domain $D$ is called Ahlfors regular, if there is $C \geqslant 1$ such that the inequality

$$
\frac{1}{C} R^{n} \leqslant m\left(B\left(x_{0}, R\right) \cap D\right) \leqslant C R^{n}
$$

holds for any $x_{0} \in D$ and an arbitrary $R<\operatorname{diam} D$.

Given sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we put, as usual,

$$
\operatorname{diam} A=\sup _{x, y \in A}|x-y|, \quad \operatorname{dist}(A, B)=\inf _{x \in A, y \in B}|x-y| .
$$

Sometimes instead of $\operatorname{diam} A$ and $\operatorname{dist}(A, B)$ we also write $d(A)$ and $d(A, B)$, respectively.
Recall that, a mapping $f: D \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$ between domains $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $D^{\prime} \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$ is called closed if $C(f, \partial D) \subset \partial D^{\prime}$, where, as usual, $C(f, \partial D)$ is the cluster set of $f$ at $\partial D$.

Later, in the extended Euclidean space $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{n}}=\mathbb{R}^{n} \cup\{\infty\}$ we use the spherical (chordal) metric $h(x, y)=|\pi(x)-\pi(y)|$, where $\pi$ is the stereographic projection of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$ onto the sphere $S^{n}\left(\frac{1}{2} e_{n+1}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, e_{n+1}=(\underbrace{0,0, \ldots, 0,1}_{n+1})$. Namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x, \infty)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|x|^{2}}}, \quad h(x, y)=\frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{1+|x|^{2}} \sqrt{1+|y|^{2}}}, \quad x \neq \infty, y \neq \infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, e.g., [10, Definition 12.1]). Further, for the sets $A, B \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$ we set

$$
h(A, B)=\inf _{x \in A, y \in B} h(x, y), \quad h(A)=\sup _{x, y \in A} h(x, y),
$$

where $h$ is the chordal distance defined in (3).
The definition of a prime end used below may be found in [12]. In particular, we say that the end of $K$ is prime if $K$ contains a chain of cuts $\left\{\sigma_{m}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} M\left(\Gamma\left(C, \sigma_{m}, D\right)\right)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any continuum $C$ in $D$, where $M\left(\Gamma\left(C, \sigma_{m}, D\right)\right)$ is the modulus of family $\Gamma\left(C, \sigma_{m}, D\right)$. Here and further $\bar{D}_{P}$ denotes replenishment of the domain $D$ with its prime ends, and $E_{D}=\bar{D}_{P} \backslash D$ is the set of all prime ends in $D$. We say that, a bounded domain $D$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is regular (in the quasiconformal sense), if $D$ may be quasiconformally mapped onto a domain with a locally quasiconformal boundary, the closure of which is a compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, in addition, every prime end $P \subset E_{D}$ is regular. Note that, the closure $\bar{D}_{P}$ of the regular domain $D$ is metrizable; namely, if $g: D_{0} \rightarrow D$ is a quasiconformal mapping of $D_{0}$ onto $D$, where $D_{0}$ is a domain with a locally quasiconformal boundary, then for $x, y \in \bar{D}_{P}$ we put

$$
\rho(x, y):=\left|g^{-1}(x)-g^{-1}(y)\right| .
$$

Here, for $x \in E_{D}$, the element $g^{-1}(x)$ is understood as some (single) point of the boundary $D_{0}$, which is well-defined due to [13, Theorem 4.1].

The impression of the prime end $P_{0} \in E_{D}$ is defined as the following way:

$$
I\left(P_{0}\right)=\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{d_{m}},
$$

where $d_{m}, m=1,2, \ldots$, is some decreasing sequence of domains formed by cuts corresponding to $P_{0}$. It may be shown that, $I\left(P_{0}\right)$ is well-defined (in other words, $I\left(P_{0}\right)$ does not depend on the selected one sequence $d_{m}, m=1,2, \ldots$, ) in addition, $I\left(P_{0}\right) \subset \partial D$ (see, e.g., [12, Proposition 1].

Given $\delta>0$ and $p \geqslant 1$, domains $D, D^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geqslant 2, P_{0} \in E_{D}$, a continuum $A \subset D$ and a Lebesgue-measurable function $Q: D \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ we denote by $\mathfrak{F}_{Q, A, \delta}^{p, P_{0}}\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)$ the family of all homeomorphisms $f$ of $D$ onto $D^{\prime}$ that satisfy the relations (1)-(2) for any $x_{0} \in I\left(P_{0}\right)$ (here $I\left(P_{0}\right)$ denotes the impression of the prime end of $P_{0}$ ) such that $\operatorname{diam}(f(A)) \geqslant \delta$. The following statement holds.

Theorem 1. Let $P_{0} \in E_{D}:=\bar{D}_{P} \backslash D$, let $D$ be a regular domain (in the quasiconformal sense), and let $D^{\prime}$ be an Ahlfors regular bounded domain supporting ( $1 ; p$ )-Poincaré inequality, $n-1<p \leqslant n$. Suppose that, the following conditions hold: 1) for any $y_{0} \in \partial D$ there exists $r_{0}^{\prime}=r_{0}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)>0$ such that the set $B\left(y_{0}, r\right) \cap D$ is finitely connected for all $0<r<r_{0}^{\prime}$, moreover, for any component $K$ of the set $B\left(y_{0}, r\right) \cap D$ the following condition is fulfilled: any $x, y \in K$ may be joined by some path $\gamma:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $|\gamma| \in K \cap \overline{B\left(y_{0}, \max \left\{\left|x-y_{0}\right|,\left|y-y_{0}\right|\right\}\right)}$,

$$
|\gamma|=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \exists t \in[a, b]: \gamma(t)=y\right\} ;
$$

2) for each $y_{0} \in I\left(P_{0}\right)$ there exists $C=C\left(y_{0}\right) \in(0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Omega_{n} \varepsilon^{n}} \int_{B\left(y_{0}, \varepsilon\right) \cap D} Q(x) d m(x) \leqslant C .
$$

Then for each $P \in E_{D}=\bar{D}_{P} \backslash D$ there exists $y_{0} \in \partial D$ such that $I(P)=\left\{y_{0}\right\}$, where $I(P)$ denotes the impression of a prime end $P$. In addition, there exist a neighborhood $U$ of $P_{0}$, $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}\left(P_{0}, D^{\prime}, n, p, \delta, A\right)>0$ and a number $\widetilde{C}=\widetilde{C}\left(p, n, C, D^{\prime}\right)>0$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)-f(y)| \leqslant \widetilde{C} \cdot \max \left\{\frac{1}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}}, \frac{1}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|y-x_{0}\right|}}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{Q, A, \delta}^{p, P_{0}}\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)$ and any $x, y \in U \cap D$, where $x_{0}:=I\left(P_{0}\right)$.
Corollary 1. Under the conditions and notations of Theorem 1, $f$ has a continuous extension to $P_{0} \in E_{D}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(x)-f\left(P_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{\widetilde{C}}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. In particular, condition 1) of Theorem 1 is fulfilled if, for each $x_{0} \in \partial D$ there exists $r_{0}^{\prime}=r_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ such that the set $B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap D$ is finitely connected for all $0<r<r_{0}^{\prime}$, and each component $K$ of $B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap D$ is convex. Indeed, let $x, y \in K$. Join $x$ and $y$ by a segment $\gamma$ inside $K$. Let $\left|x-x_{0}\right| \geqslant\left|y-x_{0}\right|$. Due to the fact that the ball $\overline{B\left(x,\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)}$ is convex, the segment $\gamma$ entirely belongs to $B\left(x,\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)$. Then $\gamma$ is the desired path, as required.

Given numbers $p \geqslant 1$ and $\delta>0$, domains $D, D^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geqslant 2, P_{0} \in E_{D}$, and a Lebesgue measurable function $Q: D \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ we denote by $\mathfrak{R}_{Q, \delta}^{p, P_{0}}\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)$ a family of all open, discrete and closed mappings $f$ of $D$ onto $D^{\prime}$ that satisfy the conditions (1)-(2) at any point $x_{0} \in$ $I\left(P_{0}\right)$ (where $I\left(P_{0}\right)$ denotes the impression of a prime end $\left.P_{0}\right)$ such that there is a continuum $K_{f} \subset D^{\prime}$, for which $\operatorname{diam}\left(K_{f}\right) \geqslant \delta$ and $h\left(f^{-1}\left(K_{f}\right), \partial D\right) \geqslant \delta>0$. The following theorem holds.

Theorem 2. Let $D$ be a regular domain, and $D^{\prime}$ be an Ahlfors regular bounded domain with $(1 ; p)$-Poincaré inequality, $n-1<p \leqslant n$. Assume that the following conditions are fulfilled: 1) for each $x_{0} \in \partial D$ there exists $r_{0}^{\prime}=r_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ such that the set $B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap D$ is finitely connected for all $0<r<r_{0}^{\prime}$, moreover, any component $K$ of $B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap D$ satisfies the following condition: any $x, y \in K$ may be joined by a path $\gamma:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $|\gamma| \in K \cap \overline{B\left(x_{0}, \max \left\{\left|x-x_{0}\right|,\left|y-x_{0}\right|\right\}\right)}$,

$$
|\gamma|=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \exists t \in[a, b]: \gamma(t)=x\right\} ;
$$

2) for any $x_{0} \in I\left(P_{0}\right)$ there is $0<C=C\left(x_{0}\right)<\infty$ such that

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Omega_{n} \cdot \varepsilon^{n}} \int_{B\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right) \cap D} Q(x) d m(x) \leqslant C,
$$

where $I\left(P_{0}\right)$ denotes the impression of $P_{0}$. Then for each $P \in E_{D}:=\bar{D}_{P} \backslash D$ there exists $y_{0} \in \partial D$ such that $I(P)=\left\{y_{0}\right\}$. In addition, there exist a neighborhood $U$ of $P_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}=$ $\varepsilon_{0}\left(P_{0}, D^{\prime}, n, p, \delta\right)>0$ and $\widetilde{C}=\widetilde{C}\left(p, n, C, D^{\prime}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)-f(y)| \leqslant \widetilde{C} \cdot \max \left\{\frac{1}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}}, \frac{1}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|y-x_{0}\right|}}\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in \mathfrak{R}_{Q, \delta}^{p, P_{0}}\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)$ and $x, y \in U \cap D$, where $x_{0}:=I\left(P_{0}\right)$.
Corollary 2. Under the conditions and notations of Theorem 2, $f$ has a continuous extension to $P_{0} \in E_{D}$ and

$$
\left|f(x)-f\left(P_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{\widetilde{C}}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} .
$$

Remark 2. In particular, all bounded convex domains $D^{\prime}$ are Ahlfors regular domains with ( $1 ; p$ )-Poincaré inequality for any $n-1<p \leqslant n$.

Indeed, bounded convex domains are John domains (see [14, Remark 2.4]) and hence uniform domains in the sense of Martio-Sarvas (see [14, note 2.13(c)]). Such domains are also quasiextremal distance ( $Q E D$ ) domains by Gering-Martio. In other words, there exists a constant $1 \leqslant A_{0}^{*}<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\Gamma\left(E, F, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \leqslant A_{0}^{*} \cdot M(\Gamma(E, F, D)) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [15, Lemma 2.18]). Since $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a Loewner space ( [11, Theorem 8.1]), due to (8) bounded convex domains are also Loewner as metric spaces. But then by [11, Proposition 8.19] bounded convex domains are also Ahlfors regular. Finally, by Theorem 10.5 in [9] bounded convex domains satisfy the $(1 ; 1)$-Poincaré inequality. Therefore, by the Hölder inequality, they also satisfy the $(1 ; p)$-Poincaré inequality for any $p>1$.
2. Preliminaries. The following statement may be found in [16, Proposition 4.7].

Proposition 1. Let $D$ be Ahlfors regular domain in which ( $1 ; p$ )-Poincaré inequality holds, $n-1<p \leqslant n$. Let $x \in D, R>0$ and let $E$ and $F$ are continua in $B(x, R)$. Then there is $M>0$ such that the inequality

$$
M_{p}(\Gamma(E, F, D)) \geqslant \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{\min \{\operatorname{diam} E, \operatorname{diam} F\}}{R^{1+p-n}}
$$

holds.

Let $a>0$ and let $\varphi:[a, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function such that, for some constants $\gamma>0, T>0$ and all $t \geqslant T$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(2 t) \leqslant \gamma \cdot \varphi(t) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled. We will call such functions functions that satisfy the doubling condition.
Let $\varphi:[a, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function with the doubling condition, then the function $\widetilde{\varphi}(t):=\varphi(1 / t)$ does not increase and is defined on a half-interval $(0,1 / a]$. The following statement is proved in [6, Lemma 3.1].

Proposition 2. Let $a>0$, let $\varphi:[a, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function with a doubling condition (9), let $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geqslant 2$, and let $Q: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ be a Lebesgue measurable function for which there exists $0<C<\infty$ such that

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(1 / \varepsilon)}{\Omega_{n} \cdot \varepsilon^{n}} \int_{B\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)} Q(x) d m(x) \leqslant C
$$

Then there exists $\varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\varepsilon<\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}} \frac{\varphi\left(1 /\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right) Q(x) d m(x)}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{n}} \leqslant C_{1} \cdot\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

where $C_{1}:=\gamma C \Omega_{n} 2^{n} / \log 2$.
Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open discrete mapping, let $\beta:[a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a path and let $x \in f^{-1}(\beta(a))$. A path $\alpha:[a, c) \rightarrow D$ is called a maximal $f$-lifting of $\beta$ with the origin at the point $x$, if (1) $\alpha(a)=x$; (2) $f \circ \alpha=\left.\beta\right|_{[a, c)} ;(3)$ for every $c<c^{\prime} \leqslant b$, there is no a path $\alpha^{\prime}:\left[a, c^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow D$ such that $\alpha=\left.\alpha^{\prime}\right|_{[a, c)}$ and $f \circ \alpha^{\prime}=\left.\beta\right|_{\left[a, c^{\prime}\right)}$. The following statement is true, see [1, Lemma 3.12], cf. [17, Lemma 3.7].

Proposition 3. Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geqslant 2$, be open discrete mapping, let $x_{0} \in D$, and let $\beta:[a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a path such that $\beta(a)=f\left(x_{0}\right)$ and either $\lim _{t \rightarrow b} \beta(t)$ exists, or $\beta(t) \rightarrow \partial f(D)$ as $t \rightarrow b$. Then $\beta$ has a maximal $f$-lifting of $\alpha:[a, c) \rightarrow D$ starting at the point $x_{0}$. If $\alpha(t) \rightarrow x_{1} \in D$ as $t \rightarrow c$, then $c=b$ and $f\left(x_{1}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow b} \beta(t)$. Otherwise, $\alpha(t) \rightarrow \partial D$ as $t \rightarrow c$.
3. Proof of Theorem 1. For convenience, let us divide the proof into separate items.
I. Let $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{Q, A, \delta}^{p, P_{0}}\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)$. Since the set $B\left(y_{0}, r\right) \cap D$ is finitely connected for all $y_{0} \in \partial D$ and $0<r<r_{0}^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)$, the domain $D$ is finitely connected at its boundary. Therefore, the domain $D$ is uniform (see [19, Theorem 3.2]). In other words, for any $r>0$ there exists a number $\delta>0$ such that the inequality $M\left(\Gamma\left(F^{*}, F, D\right)\right) \geqslant \delta$ holds for all continua $F, F^{*} \subset D$ such that $h(F) \geqslant r$ and $h\left(F^{*}\right) \geqslant r$.
II. Let us to prove that, for any $P \in E_{D}$ there exists $y_{0} \in \partial D$ such that $I(P)=\left\{y_{0}\right\}$. We will prove this statement from the opposite, namely, suppose that there exists a prime end $P \in E_{D}$, which contains two points $x, y \in \partial D, x \neq y$. In this case, there are at least two sequences $x_{m}, y_{m} \in d_{m}, m=1,2, \ldots$, which converge to $x$ and $y$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, respectively (here $d_{m}$ denotes a decreasing sequence of domains formed by some sequence of cuts $\sigma_{m}$, corresponding to the prime end $P$ ). Let us join the points $x_{m}$ and $y_{m}$ with the path $\gamma_{m}$ in the
domain $d_{m}$. Since $x \neq y$, there exists $m_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h\left(\gamma_{m}\right) \geqslant d(x, y) / 2, m>m_{0}$. Choose any nondegenerate continuum $C \subset D \backslash d_{1}$. Then, due to the uniformity of the domain $D$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\Gamma\left(\left|\gamma_{m}\right|, C, D\right)\right) \geqslant \delta_{0}>0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\delta_{0}>0$ and all $m>m_{0}$. The inequality (10) contradicts the definition of a prime end $P$. Indeed, by the definition of the cut $\sigma_{m}$ we will have: $\Gamma\left(\left|\gamma_{m}\right|, C, D\right)>\Gamma\left(\sigma_{m}, C, D\right)$. Then by (4) we have that $M\left(\Gamma\left(\left|\gamma_{m}\right|, C, D\right)\right) \leqslant M\left(\Gamma\left(\sigma_{m}, C, D\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. The last relation contradicts (10). Therefore, $I(P)=\left\{y_{0}\right\}$ for some $y_{0} \in \partial D$.
III. It remains to prove the relation (5). Let $x_{0}:=I\left(P_{0}\right)$ and $\varepsilon_{0}=\min \left\{\varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right), r_{0}^{\prime}, \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{0}, A\right), 1\right\}$, where $\varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}>0$ is a number from Proposition $2, \varphi \equiv 1, r_{0}^{\prime}$ is a number from the conditions of the theorem. By the definition of $\varepsilon_{0}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \subset D \backslash B\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $I\left(P_{0}\right)=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$, we may find a neighborhood $U$ of $P_{0}$ in $\bar{D}_{P}$ such that $U \cap D \subset B\left(x_{0}, \widetilde{\varepsilon_{0}}\right)$, $\widetilde{\varepsilon_{0}}=\varepsilon_{0}^{2}$. By the definition of a regular domain, we may consider that $U \cap D$ is connected. Now $U \cap D$ belongs to one and only one component $K$ of $B\left(x_{0}, \widetilde{\varepsilon_{0}}\right) \cap D$. Let $x, y \in U \cap D$ and $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{Q, A, \delta}^{p, P_{0}}\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)$. It is possible assume that $\left|x-x_{0}\right| \geqslant\left|y-x_{0}\right|$. By definition $r_{0}^{\prime}$ points $x$ and $y$ may be connected by a path $K$, which contained in the ball $\overline{B\left(x_{0},\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)}$. Let $z, w \in f(A) \subset D^{\prime}$ and $u, v \in A$ be such that $\operatorname{diam} f(A)=|z-w|=|f(u)-f(v)| \geqslant \delta$, see Figure 1.


Figure 1: To the proof of Theorem 1
Note that, $f(K)$ and $f(A)$ are continua as a continuous image of the continua $K$ and $A$ under the mapping $f$, respectively. We fix arbitrarily $y_{0} \in D^{\prime}$. Since $D^{\prime}$ is bounded, there exists $R_{0}>0$ such that $D^{\prime} \subset B\left(y_{0}, R_{0}\right):=B_{R_{0}}$. In this case, by Proposition 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}\left(\Gamma\left(f(K), f(A), D^{\prime}\right)\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{\min \{\operatorname{diam} f(K), \operatorname{diam} f(A)\}}{R_{0}^{1+p-n}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(|K|, A, D)>\Gamma\left(S\left(x_{0},\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right), S\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right), D\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let $\gamma \in \Gamma(|K|, A, D), \gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow D, \gamma(0) \in|K|$ and $\gamma(1) \in A$. Since $|K| \subset B\left(x_{0}, \mid x-\right.$ $\left.x_{0} \mid\right)$, due to (11), we obtain that $A \subset D \backslash B\left(x_{0},\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)$. Then $|\gamma| \cap B\left(x_{0},\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right) \neq$
$\varnothing \neq|\gamma| \cap\left(D \backslash B\left(x_{0},\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)\right)$. By [18, Theorem 1.I.5.46] there exists $t_{1} \in(0,1)$ such that $\gamma\left(t_{1}\right) \in S\left(x_{0},\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)$. Consider the path $\gamma_{1}:=\left.\gamma\right|_{\left[t_{1}, 1\right]}$. Recall that $|K| \subset B\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, in addition, due to (11) $A \subset D \backslash B\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$. Then $\gamma_{1} \cap B\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \neq \varnothing \neq|\gamma| \cap\left(D \backslash B\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right)\right)$. By [18, theorem 1.I.5.46] there exists $t_{2} \in\left(t_{1}, 1\right)$ such that $\gamma_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)=\gamma\left(t_{2}\right) \in S\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$. Put $\gamma_{2}:=\left.\gamma\right|_{\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]}$. Then $\gamma_{2}$ is a subpath of $\gamma$ and $\gamma_{2} \in \Gamma\left(S\left(x_{0},\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right), S\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right), D\right)$. This proves (13). In in this case, by the minorization principle of the modulus of families of paths (see, e.g., [10, Theorem 6.4]), by (1) and (13) we obtain that

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{p}\left(\Gamma\left(f(|K|), f(A), D^{\prime}\right)\right)=M_{p}(f(\Gamma(|K|, A, D))) \leqslant \\
\leqslant M_{p}\left(f\left(\Gamma\left(S\left(x_{0},\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right), S\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right), D\right)\right)\right) \leqslant \int_{A} Q(z) \cdot \eta^{p}\left(\left|z-x_{0}\right|\right) d m(z), \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\eta$ is an arbitrary Lebesgue measurable function satisfying (2) for $r_{1}=\left|x-x_{0}\right|$ and $r_{2}=\varepsilon_{0}$. Set

$$
\eta(t):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\left(\left.\log \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\mid x-x_{0}} \right\rvert\,\right)^{n / p}{ }^{n / p}}, & t \in\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|, \varepsilon_{0}\right) ; \\ 0, & t \notin\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|, \varepsilon_{0}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

Observe that,

$$
\int_{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}^{\varepsilon_{0}} \frac{d t}{t \log \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}}=\frac{1}{\log \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \cdot \int_{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}^{\varepsilon_{0}} \frac{d t}{t}=1 .
$$

Then, by Hölder inequality, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=\int_{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}^{\varepsilon_{0}} \frac{d t}{t \log \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \leqslant\left(\int_{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}^{\varepsilon_{0}} \frac{d t}{t^{\frac{n}{p}} \cdot\left(\log \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}}}\right)^{\frac{p}{n}} \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{0}-\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \leqslant \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}^{\varepsilon_{0}} \frac{d t}{t^{\frac{n}{p}} \cdot\left(\log \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}}}\right)^{\frac{p}{n}}=\left(\int_{x-x_{0} \mid}^{\varepsilon_{0}} \eta(t) d t\right)^{\frac{p}{n}} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

By (15), the function $\eta$ satisfies the condition (2) for $r_{1}=\left|x-x_{0}\right|$ and $r_{2}=\varepsilon_{0}$. In this case, by (14) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}\left(\Gamma\left(f(|K|), f(A), D^{\prime}\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{\log ^{n} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \int_{A\left(x_{0},\left|x-x_{0}\right|, \varepsilon_{0}\right)} \frac{Q(z)}{\left|z-x_{0}\right|^{n}} d m(z) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\varepsilon_{0}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{1}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}<2 \log \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the choice $\varepsilon_{0}$, by Proposition 2 and by (16) and (17) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}\left(\Gamma\left(f(|K|), f(A), D^{\prime}\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{2}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \frac{C \Omega_{n} 2^{n}}{\log 2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Uniting (12) and (18), we have

$$
\frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{\min \{\operatorname{diam} f(K), \operatorname{diam} f(A)\}}{R_{0}^{1+p-n}} \leqslant \frac{2^{n+1}}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \frac{C \Omega_{n}}{\log 2}
$$

Since $\omega_{n-1}=n \Omega_{n}$, the latter relation may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{\min \{\operatorname{diam} f(K), \operatorname{diam} f(A)\}}{R_{0}^{1+p-n}} \leqslant \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \frac{C 2^{n+1}}{n \log 2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $\mathfrak{F}_{Q, A, \delta}^{p, P_{0}}\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
\min \{\operatorname{diam} f(K), \operatorname{diam} f(A)\} \geqslant \min \{\operatorname{diam} f(K), \delta\}
$$

Then, from (19) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{\operatorname{diam} f(K), \delta\} \leqslant \frac{\omega_{n-1} M R_{0}^{1+p-n}}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \cdot \frac{C 2^{n+1}}{n \log 2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that, $\frac{\omega_{n-1} M R_{0}^{1+p-n}}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \cdot \frac{C 2^{n+1}}{n \log 2} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow x_{0}$. Then there is $0<\sigma=\sigma\left(x_{0}, M, R_{0}, n, p, \delta\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega_{n-1} M R_{0}^{1+p-n}}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \cdot \frac{C 2^{n+1}}{n \log 2}<\delta, \quad \forall x \in B\left(x_{0}, \sigma\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\sigma$, by (20) and (21), we obtain that

$$
|f(x)-f(y)| \leqslant \operatorname{diam} f(K) \leqslant \frac{1}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \cdot \frac{\omega_{n-1} M R_{0}^{1+p-n} C 2^{n+1}}{n \log 2}
$$

Observe that, by the triangle inequality $|f(x)-f(y)| \leqslant 2 R_{0}$, thus for $\left|x-x_{0}\right| \geqslant \sigma$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \geqslant \frac{1}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\sigma}}:=\widetilde{P}_{0} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)-f(y)| \leqslant 2 R_{0} \leqslant \frac{2 R_{0}}{\widetilde{P}_{0}} \cdot \frac{1}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\widetilde{C}:=\max \left\{\frac{\omega_{n-1} M R_{0}^{1+p-n} C 2^{n+1}}{n \log 2}, \frac{2 R_{0}}{\widetilde{P}_{0}}\right\}$. A constant $\widetilde{C}$ depends only on $p, n, C$ and $D^{\prime}$, because $M$ and $R_{0}$ are defined by $D^{\prime}$.

Proof of Corollary 1. By Theorem $1 I\left(P_{0}\right)=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. Then the conditions $x \rightarrow P_{0}$ and $y \rightarrow$ $P_{0}$ imply that $x \rightarrow x_{0}$ and $y \rightarrow x_{0}$. If $f$ would not have a limit as $x \rightarrow P_{0}$, then we would constructed at least two sequences $x_{m} \rightarrow P_{0}$ and $y_{m} \rightarrow P_{0}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, such that $\left|f\left(x_{m}\right)-f\left(y_{m}\right)\right| \geqslant \delta>0$ for some positive $\delta>0$ and all $m=1,2, \ldots$ But this contradicts to (5). Therefore, the limit of $f$ at $x \rightarrow P_{0}$ exist. To prove (6) it remains to pass in (5) to the limit as $y \rightarrow P_{0}$.
4. Proof of Theorem 2. Due to the fact that the proof of this theorem is very similar to all the previous ones, let us limit ourselves to the scheme of the proof. The proof of the fact that, for any $P \in E_{D}$ there exists $y_{0} \in \partial D$ such that $I(P)=\left\{y_{0}\right\}$, may be carried out similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.

Let us to prove the ratio (7). As proved above, there is $x_{0} \in \partial D$ such that $I\left(P_{0}\right)=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. Let $\varepsilon_{0}=\min \left\{\varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right), r_{0}^{\prime}, 1, \delta\right\}$, where $\varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}>0$ is the number from Proposition $2, \varphi \equiv 1, r_{0}^{\prime}$ is a number from the conditions of the theorem. It follows that there is a neighborhood $U$ of $P_{0}$ in $\bar{D}_{P}$ such that $U \cap D$ is connected and $U \cap D \subset B\left(x_{0}, \widetilde{\varepsilon_{0}}\right), \widetilde{\varepsilon_{0}}=\varepsilon_{0}^{2}$. Now $U \cap D$ belongs to one and only one component $K$ of $B\left(x_{0}, \widetilde{\varepsilon_{0}}\right) \cap D$. Let $x, y \in U \cap D$ and $f \in \mathfrak{R}_{Q, \delta}^{p, P_{0}}\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)$. We may assume that $\left|x-x_{0}\right| \geqslant\left|y-x_{0}\right|$. By the definition of $r_{0}^{\prime}, x$ and $y$ may be joined by the path in $K$, contained in the ball $\overline{B\left(x_{0},\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)}$.

Let $K_{f} \subset D^{\prime}$ be a continuum such that $\operatorname{diam}\left(K_{f}\right) \geqslant \delta$ and $h\left(f^{-1}\left(K_{f}\right), \partial D\right) \geqslant \delta>0$ (it exists by definition of the class $\mathfrak{R}_{Q, \delta}^{p, P_{0}}\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)$ ). Also let $z, w \in K_{f} \subset D^{\prime}$ be such that

$$
\operatorname{diam} K_{f}=|z-w| \geqslant \delta
$$

Note that $f(K)$ is a continuum as a continuous image of the continuum $K$ under the mapping $f$. Fix $y_{0} \in D^{\prime}$. Then, since $D^{\prime}$ is bounded, there exists $R_{0}>0$ such that $D^{\prime} \subset B\left(y_{0}, R_{0}\right):=$ $B_{R_{0}}$. In this case, by Proposition 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}\left(\Gamma\left(f(K), K_{f}, D^{\prime}\right)\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{\min \left\{\operatorname{diam} f(K), \operatorname{diam} K_{f}\right\}}{R_{0}^{1+p-n}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Gamma^{*}$ be a family $\gamma:[0,1) \rightarrow D$ of all of maximal $f$-lifting of paths $\gamma^{\prime}:[0,1] \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ in $\Gamma=\Gamma\left(|f(K)|, K_{f}, D^{\prime}\right)$ starting in $|K|$. Such liftings exist due to Proposition 3. By the same Proposition, due to the closeness of the mapping $f$, any path $\gamma \in \Gamma^{*}$ has a continuous extension $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow D$ to the point $b=1$. Then $\gamma(1) \in f^{-1}\left(K_{f}\right)$, that is, $\Gamma^{*} \subset \Gamma\left(|K|, f^{-1}\left(K_{f}\right), D\right)$.

Reasoning similarly to the proof of the relation (13), it is possible prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(|K|, f^{-1}\left(K_{f}\right), D\right)>\Gamma\left(S\left(x_{0},\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right), S\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right), D\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, $f\left(\Gamma^{*}\right)=\Gamma=\Gamma\left(|f(K)|, K_{f}, D^{\prime}\right)$. In this case, by the minorization principle of the modulus (see, e.g., [10, Theorem 6.4]), due to (25) and (1) we obtain that

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{p}\left(f\left(\Gamma^{*}\right)\right)=M_{p}\left(\Gamma\left(|f(K)|, K_{f}, D^{\prime}\right)\right) \leqslant \\
\leqslant M_{p}\left(f\left(\Gamma\left(S\left(x_{0},\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right), S\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right), D\right)\right)\right) \leqslant \int_{A} Q(z) \cdot \eta^{p}\left(\left|z-x_{0}\right|\right) d m(z) \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\eta$ is an arbitrary Lebesgue measurable function that satisfies ratio (2) for $r_{1}=\left|x-x_{0}\right|$, $r_{2}=\varepsilon_{0}$. Let us put

$$
\eta(t):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\left(\log \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}\right)^{n / p} t^{n / p}}, & t \in\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \\ 0, & t \notin\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|, \varepsilon_{0}\right)\end{cases}
$$

By (15), $\eta$ satisfies condition (2) when $r_{1}=\left|x-x_{0}\right|$ and $r_{2}=\varepsilon_{0}$. Then from (26) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}\left(\Gamma\left(|f(K)|, K_{f}, D^{\prime}\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{\log ^{n} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \int_{A\left(x_{0},\left|x-x_{0}\right|, \varepsilon_{0}\right)} \frac{Q(z)}{\left|z-x_{0}\right|^{p}} d m(z) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\varepsilon_{0}^{2},(17)$ holds. By choosing $\varepsilon_{0}$, from Proposition 2 and by (27) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}\left(\Gamma\left(f(|K|), K_{f}, D^{\prime}\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{2}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \frac{C \Omega_{n} 2^{n}}{\log 2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (24) and (28), we obtain that

$$
\frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{\min \left\{\operatorname{diam} f(K), \operatorname{diam} K_{f}\right\}}{R_{0}^{1+p-n}} \leqslant \frac{2}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \frac{C \Omega_{n} 2^{n}}{\log 2} .
$$

Since $\omega_{n-1}=n \Omega_{n}$, the last relation may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{\min \left\{\operatorname{diam} f(K), \operatorname{diam} K_{f}\right\}}{R_{0}^{1+p-n}} \leqslant \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \frac{C 2^{n+1}}{n \log 2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (), $\min \left\{\operatorname{diam} f(K), \operatorname{diam} K_{f}\right\} \geqslant \min \{\operatorname{diam} f(K), \delta\}$. Then by (29) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{\operatorname{diam} f(K), \delta\} \leqslant \frac{\omega_{n-1} M R_{0}^{1+p-n}}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \cdot \frac{C 2^{n+1}}{n \log 2} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (21) and (23), due to (30) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)-f(y)| \leqslant \operatorname{diam} f(K) \leqslant \widetilde{C} \cdot \frac{1}{\log ^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{C}:=\max \left\{\frac{\omega_{n-1} M R_{0}^{1+p-n} C 2^{n+1}}{n \log 2}, \frac{2 R_{0}}{P_{0}}\right\}$. The constant $\widetilde{C}$ depends only on $p, n, C$ and $D^{\prime}$, because $M$ and $R_{0}$ are totally defined by $D^{\prime}$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Corollary 2. Proof of Corollary 2 is totally similar to the proof of Corollary 1.
For some other studies of mappings with conditions on the distortion of the modulus of families of paths, see, for example, in [20-26].
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