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#### Abstract

O. V. Kovalenko. On optimization of cubature formulae for Sobolev classes of functions defined on star domains, Mat. Stud. 61 (2024), 84-96.

We find asymptotically optimal methods of recovery of the integration operator given values of the function at a finite number of points for a class of multivariate functions defined on a bounded star domain that have bounded in $L_{p}$ norm of their distributional gradient. Thus we generalize the known solution of this optimization problem in the case, when the domain of the functions is convex. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}, d \in \mathbb{N}$, be a nonempty bounded open set. By $W^{1, p}(Q), p \in[1, \infty]$, we denote the Sobolev space of functions $f: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f$ and all their (distributional) partial derivatives of the first order belong to $L_{p}(Q)$. For $x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $q \in[1, \infty)$ set $|x|_{q}:=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d}\left|x^{k}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},|x|_{\infty}:=\max \left\{\left|x^{k}\right|: k \in\right.$ $\{1, \ldots, d\}\}$, and $W_{p}^{\infty}(Q):=\left\{f \in W^{1, p}(Q):\left\||\nabla f|_{1}\right\|_{L_{p}(Q)} \leq 1\right\}$, where $\nabla f=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{d}}\right)$, $p \in[1, \infty]$. In particular we prove the following statement: Let $d \geq 2, p \in(d, \infty]$ and $Q$ be a bounded star domain. Then $E_{n}\left(W_{p}^{\infty}(Q)\right)=c(d, p)\left(\frac{\text { meas } Q}{2^{d}}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \cdot \frac{1+o(1)}{n^{\frac{1}{d}}}(n \rightarrow \infty)$, where $E_{n}(X):=\inf \left\{\inf \left\{e\left(X, \Phi, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): \Phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right\}: x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in Q\right\}, e\left(X, \Phi, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right):=$ $\sup \left\{\left|\int_{Q} f(x) d x-\Phi\left(f\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right|: f \in X\right\}$ for $X=W_{p}^{\infty}(Q)$, and $c(d, p) \in \mathbb{R}$ depends only on $d$ and $p$.


1. Introduction. Let a bounded measurable set $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$, a class $X$ of continuous on $Q$ functions, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. An arbitrary function $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a method of recovery. For given points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in Q$ the error of recovery of the integral by the method $\Phi$ is

$$
e\left(X, \Phi, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right):=\sup \left\{\left|\int_{Q} f(x) d x-\Phi\left(f\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right|: f \in X\right\} .
$$

The problem of the optimal recovery of the integral is to find the best error of recovery

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(X):=\inf \left\{\inf \left\{e\left(X, \Phi, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): \Phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right\}: x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in Q\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the best method of recovery, and the best position of the informational set $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ i.e., such method $\tilde{\Phi}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and points $\tilde{x}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{n} \in Q$, for which the infima in (1) are attained (if such a method and points exist).

[^0]In many cases it is hard to find the best error of recovery and an optimal recovery method; in such situations it is interesting to find an asymptotically optimal method of recovery i.e., such sequence of methods $\Phi_{n}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and informational sets $\left\{x_{1}^{n}, \ldots, x_{n}^{n}\right\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{n}(X)}{e\left(X, \Phi_{n}, x_{1}^{n}, \ldots, x_{n}^{n}\right)}=1 .
$$

The problem of optimal recovery and, in particular, the problem of optimization of cubature formulae has a rich history, see e.g. monographs [14]-[17].

Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}, d \in \mathbb{N}$, be a nonempty bounded open set. By $W^{1, p}(Q), p \in[1, \infty]$, we denote the Sobolev space of functions $f: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f$ and all their (distributional) partial derivatives of the first order belong to $L_{p}(Q)$. As usually, for $x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $q \in[1, \infty)$ set

$$
|x|_{q}:=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d}\left|x^{k}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad|x|_{\infty}:=\max \left\{\left|x^{k}\right|: k \in\{1, \ldots, d\}\right\} .
$$

It is clear that for all $f \in W^{1, p}(Q)$ we have $\left\||\nabla f|_{1}\right\|_{L_{p}(Q)}<\infty$, where $\nabla f=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{d}}\right)$. For $p \in[1, \infty]$ set

$$
W_{p}^{\infty}(Q):=\left\{f \in W^{1, p}(Q):\left\||\nabla f|_{1}\right\|_{L_{p}(Q)} \leq 1\right\} .
$$

Below we consider only the case $p>d \geq 2$. For $p>d$ imbedding of the class $W^{1, p}(Q)$ into the space of bounded continuous on $Q$ functions holds, provided by some restrictions on the geometry of $Q$ (sets $Q$ for which the imbedding holds will be called admissible). For example, it is sufficient to require that $Q$ satisfies the cone condition, see Chapter 4 and Theorem 4.12 in [1].

A bounded open set $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is called a star domain with respect to a ball $B$ (or simply a star domain for brevity), if for all $x \in Q$ and $y \in B$ the segment $x y$ belongs to $Q$. It is not hard to verify that the interior of the closure of a star domain $Q$ coincides with $Q$. This implies that the closure of $Q$ is an asymmetric star body according to [12, Definition I.2.2], hence its distance function is continuous (see [12, Theorem I.2.2]), and thus $Q$ is Jordan measurable (see the proof of [12, Theorem I.1.5]). Moreover, it is easy to see that a star domain satisfies the cone condition, and hence each function $f$ from $W_{p}^{\infty}(Q)$ has a continuous representation.

Everywhere below, for a finite set $A$, by $|A|$ we denote the number of its elements. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ by $(x, y)$ we denote the dot product of $x$ and $y$. For a bounded set $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we set $\operatorname{diam} Q:=\sup \left\{|a-b|_{2}: a, b \in Q\right\}$. We write meas $Q$ to denote the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $Q$.

The goal of the paper is to find asymptotically optimal cubature formulae on the class $W_{p}^{\infty}(Q)$, where $Q$ is a bounded star domain. In the case, when $Q$ is a convex bounded domain, such problem was solved in [2]. Solutions to some extremal problems for similar classes of functions can be found in $[8,9,11,6,4,3]$.

## 2. The main result.

2.1. Asymptotically optimal informational sets and methods. The construction of asymptotically optimal informational sets and recovery methods in the case when $Q$ is a star domain is the same as in the case of a convex set $Q$. We adduce it below together with some properties that we will need, see $[5,2,4]$.

For a given $h>0$ consider the lattice $\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ generated by the vectors $(2 h, 0,0, \ldots, 0)$, $(0,2 h, 0,0, \ldots, 0), \ldots,(0, \ldots, 0,2 h) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Denote by $P_{k}(h), k \in \mathbb{N}$, the cubes into which the lattice $\Lambda$ divides $\mathbb{R}^{d}$; their volumes are equal to $(2 h)^{d}$. Denote by $A(h)$ the set of all cubes $P_{k}(h)$ that are contained in $Q$, let $a(h)$ be the set of the centers of the cubes from
$A(h)$. Denote by $B(h)$ the set of all cubes $P_{k}(h)$ that have non-empty set of common with $Q$ internal points. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{meas} Q}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each cube $P$ from the set $B\left(3 h_{n}\right)$ choose a point by the following rule: the center of the cube $P$, if it belongs to $a\left(h_{n}\right)$; else, arbitrary point from $P \cap a\left(h_{n}\right)$, if the intersection is not empty; else, arbitrary internal point of $Q \cap P$.

Denote by $S_{1}(n)$ the set of chosen points; by $S_{2}(n)$ arbitrary subset of the set $a\left(h_{n}\right) \backslash S_{1}(n)$ that contains $n-\left|S_{1}(n)\right|$ points (for large enough $n$ this number is positive; if the set $a\left(h_{n}\right) \backslash S_{1}(n)$ contains less than $n-\left|S_{1}(n)\right|$ points, then we take all points of $\left.a\left(h_{n}\right) \backslash S_{1}(n)\right)$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(n):=S_{1}(n) \cup S_{2}(n) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S(n)=\left\{x_{1}^{*}, \ldots, x_{|S(n)|}^{*}\right\}$. For each $k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$ define the set

$$
V_{k}:=\left\{x \in Q \cap P\left(3 h_{n} ; x_{k}^{*}\right):\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{\infty}<\left|x-x_{s}^{*}\right|_{\infty}, s \neq k\right\},
$$

where $P\left(3 h_{n} ; x_{k}^{*}\right)$ is the cube from $B\left(3 h_{n}\right)$ that contains $x_{k}^{*}$. Then the sets $V_{k}$ are pairwise disjoint, $\bigcup_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} V_{k} \subset Q$ and meas $\left(Q \backslash \bigcup_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} V_{k}\right)=0$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}^{*}:=\operatorname{meas} V_{k}, \quad k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma states some of the properties of the sets $S(n)$ and $V_{k}$, defined above, see [2, Lemma 4].

Lemma 1. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a Jordan measurable set, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be large enough and $h_{n}$ be defined by (2). Then the following properties hold:

1. $S(n) \subset Q$ and $|S(n)| \leq n$.
2. If $x \in V_{k}$ then $\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{\infty} \leq 6 h_{n}$.
3. For each cube $P \in B\left(h_{n}\right),|P \cap S(n)| \leq 1$.
4. Let $R_{n}$ be the union of cubes $P \in A\left(h_{n}\right)$ with centers that belong to $S(n)$. Denote by $U_{k}:=V_{k} \backslash R_{n}$. Then meas $\bigcup_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} U_{k}=o(1), n \rightarrow \infty$.
2.2. Asymptotically optimal cubature formulae. The following theorem is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1. Let $d \geq 2, p \in(d, \infty]$ and a bounded star domain $Q$ be given. Then

$$
E_{n}\left(W_{p}^{\infty}(Q)\right)=c(d, p)\left(\frac{\operatorname{meas} Q}{2^{d}}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \cdot \frac{1+o(1)}{n^{\frac{1}{d}}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

where

$$
c(d, p):=\frac{1}{d} \| \frac{1}{\left.|\cdot| \begin{array}{l}
d-1
\end{array}|\cdot|\right|_{\infty} \|_{L_{p^{\prime}}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:|x|_{\infty} \leq 1\right\}\right)} .}
$$

The asymptotically optimal informational set is $S(n)$ defined by (3), and the optimal recovery method is

$$
\tilde{\Phi}_{n}\left(f\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{|S(n)|}\right)\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} c_{k}^{*} f\left(x_{k}\right),
$$

where the weights $c_{k}^{*}$ are defined by (4).

Remark 1. We note that the case $p=\infty$ was obtained (by different methods) in [5], see Theorem 3, in the case of an arbitrary Jordan measurable set $Q$.

It was proved, see [2, Lemma 5] that for arbitrary admissible $Q$

$$
E_{n}\left(W_{p}^{\infty}(Q)\right) \geq c(d, p)\left(\frac{\operatorname{meas} Q}{2^{d}}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \cdot \frac{1+o(1)}{n^{\frac{1}{d}}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

and, see [2, Lemma 6]

$$
E_{n}\left(W_{p}^{\infty}(Q)\right) \leq \sup _{f \in W_{p}^{\infty}(Q)}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{U_{k}}\left[f(x)-f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)\right] d x\right|+c(d, p)\left(\frac{\operatorname{meas} Q}{2^{d}}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \cdot \frac{1+o(1)}{n^{\frac{1}{d}}}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the sets $U_{k}$ are defined in property 4 of Lemma 1 . Thus in order to prove the theorem it is sufficient to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, p \in(d, \infty]$ and a bounded star domains $Q$ be given. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f \in W_{p}^{\infty}(Q)} \sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{U_{k}}\left|f(x)-f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)\right| d x=o\left(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A crucial tool in the proof of the main results is the following result, see [13, Ch. 6.9].
Lemma 3. Suppose $p>d$ and $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is admissible. Let $f \in W^{1, p}(Q)$ and $x, y \in Q$ be such that the whole segment with ends at the points $x$ and $y$ belongs to $Q$. Then

$$
f(y)-f(x)=\int_{0}^{1}(y-x, \nabla f[(1-t) x+t y]) d t
$$

Observe that since the sets $U_{k}, k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$ are generally speaking not convex, we can not directly apply Lemma 3 to the difference $f(x)-f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)$ under the integral in (5). We define functions $p_{k}: U_{k} \rightarrow Q, k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$, such that whole segments $x p_{k}(x)$ and $p_{k}(x) x_{k}^{*}$ belong to $Q$ and they are "not much longer" than the segment $x x_{k}^{*}$. Once this is done we can write the inequality

$$
\left|f(x)-f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)\right| \leq\left|f(x)-f\left(p_{k}(x)\right)\right|+\left|f\left(p_{k}(x)\right)-f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)\right|,
$$

and apply Lemma 3 to switch from the values of the function $f$ to the values of its gradient. This will allow to obtain an estimate from above for the quantity (5) in terms of $\left\||\nabla f|_{1}\right\|_{L_{p}(Q)}$ and the total measure of the sets $U_{k}$, which in turn will imply Lemma 2.

## 3. Proof of the main result.

3.1. Auxiliary results. We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4. Let $T_{k} \subset U_{k}$ and functions $\phi_{k}: T_{k} \rightarrow Q$ be such that $\phi_{k}\left(T_{k}\right)$ is measurable, $k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$. Assume that there exists a number $c>0$ such that

$$
\left|\phi_{k}(x)-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{\infty} \leq c\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{\infty}
$$

for all $x \in T_{k}, k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$. Then there exists a number $C>0$ that does not depend on $n$ and such that for all integrable on $Q$ functions $g$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{\phi_{k}\left(T_{k}\right)}|g(x)| d x \leq C \int_{Q}|g(x)| d x .
$$

Proof. If the numbers $1 \leq k_{1}<k_{2} \leq|S(n)|$ and the points $x \in T_{k_{1}}$ and $y \in T_{k_{2}}$ are such that $z=\phi_{k_{1}}(x)=\phi_{k_{2}}(y)$, then, using Property 2 of Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|x_{k_{1}}^{*}-x_{k_{2}}^{*}\right|_{\infty} \leq\left|x_{k_{1}}^{*}-z\right|_{\infty}+\left|z-x_{k_{2}}^{*}\right|_{\infty}=\left|x_{k_{1}}^{*}-\phi_{k_{1}}(x)\right|_{\infty}+\left|\phi_{k_{2}}(y)-x_{k_{2}}^{*}\right|_{\infty} \leq \\
\leq c\left|x_{k_{1}}^{*}-x\right|_{\infty}+c\left|y-x_{k_{2}}^{*}\right|_{\infty} \leq 12 h_{n} \cdot c . \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is now sufficient to prove that there exists a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ that does not depend on $n$, and a partition of the set $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{|S(n)|}\right\}$ into groups $\left\{T_{k_{1}^{i}}, \ldots, T_{k_{m_{i}}^{i}}\right\}, i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$, such that for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and different $j, s \in\left\{k_{1}^{i}, \ldots, k_{m_{i}}^{i}\right\},\left|x_{j}^{*}-x_{s}^{*}\right|_{\infty}>12 h_{n} \cdot c$. Really, if such partition is done, then, due to (6), the sets $\left\{\phi_{k_{1}^{i}}\left(T_{k_{1}^{i}}\right), \ldots, \phi_{k_{m_{i}}^{i}}\left(T_{k_{m_{i}}^{i}}\right)\right\}$ are pairwise disjoint for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$. Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{\phi_{k}\left(T_{k}\right)}|g(x)| d x=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{s=1}^{m_{i}} \int_{\phi_{k_{s}^{i}}\left(T_{k_{s}^{i}}\right)}|g(x)| d x= \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\bigcup_{s=1}^{m i} \phi_{k_{s}^{i}}} \int_{W_{s}}|g(x)| d x \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{Q}|g(x)| d x=N \int_{Q}|g(x)| d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

To do such partition we associate an index $I_{P} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ with each of the cubes $P \in B\left(h_{n}\right)$. The index $I_{P}$ is equal to the coordinates of the "left bottom point" of $P$ (i.e., the point of the cube $P$ with minimal coordinates) in the basis of the lattice. Let $M$ be an integer bigger than $6 c+1$. We divide all cubes from $B\left(h_{n}\right)$ into $N=M^{d}$ groups in such a way that two cubes $P_{1}, P_{2} \in B\left(h_{n}\right)$ belong to the same group if and only if all the coordinates of $I_{P_{1}}-I_{P_{2}}$ are divisible by $M$.

Let two different cubes $P_{1}, P_{2}$ belong to one group and $x \in P_{1}, y \in P_{2}$. Then due to the definition of the group $|x-y|_{\infty} \geq(M-1) \cdot 2 h_{n}>12 c h_{n}$.

Now we construct a partition of the sets $T_{k}, k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$. We put two sets $T_{k}$ and $T_{j}$ into one group if and only if $x_{k}^{*}$ and $x_{j}^{*}$ belong to cubes from the same group. Such partition is a desired one, since Property 3 of Lemma 1 holds. The lemma is proved.

The following lemma follows from the so-called matrix determinant lemma (see [10, Lemma 1.1]), or from the Weinstein-Aronszajn identity; it can also be proved directly by induction on $d$. We omit the technical details.

Lemma 5. Let two vectors $u=\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{d}\right), v=\left(v^{1}, \ldots, v^{d}\right)$ and numbers $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha \cdot I+\beta \cdot\left\|u^{i} v^{j}\right\|_{i, j=1}^{d}\right)=\alpha^{d-1}(\alpha+\beta(u, v))
$$

where I denotes the identity matrix.
3.2. Auxiliary construction. Let $Q$ be a star domain with respect to a ball $S_{R}^{d}(o)$ with the center $o \in Q$ and the radius larger than some positive number $R>0$. For all $k \in$ $\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$ and $0<r \leq R$ define a function $p_{k}(\cdot ; r): U_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ by the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}(x ; r):=\frac{r \cdot\left(x+x_{k}^{*}\right)+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2} \cdot o}{\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}+2 r} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Everywhere below we assume that the distance from $o$ to the boundary $\partial Q$ of the set $Q$ is greater than $R$ (otherwise we can decrease $R$ ). We also consider so large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that all the points $x_{k}^{*}$ (from $V_{k}$ with non-empty $U_{k}$ ) and sets $U_{k}$ are outside of the ball $S_{R}^{d}(o)$. As the value of $r$ we will use either $r=R$, or $r=\frac{R}{8}$, so that $r$ will be separated from 0 and independent of $n$.

Equality (7) has the following geometrical sense.
Lemma 6. Assume that a point $x \in U_{k}$ is such that vectors $\overline{o x}$ and $\overline{o x_{k}^{*}}$ are not collinear. Consider the 2-dimensional space $E^{2}$ generated by these two vectors. Let $S_{r}^{2}(o)$ be the boundary circle of $E^{2} \cap S_{r}^{d}(o)$. Let $o_{1} O_{2}$ be the diameter of the circle $S_{r}^{2}(o)$ parallel to the segment $x x_{k}^{*}$. Then $p_{k}(x ; r)$ is the point where the diagonals of the convex hull of the points $o_{1}, o_{2}, x$ and $x_{k}^{*}$ intersect.

This lemma will be proved below together with the following lemma.
Lemma 7. Segments $x p_{k}(x)$ and $p_{k}(x) x_{k}^{*}$ are fully contained in $Q$ and there exists a number $C$ that does not depend on $n$ such that

$$
\max \left\{\left|x-p_{k}(x ; r)\right|_{\infty},\left|x_{k}^{*}-p_{k}(x ; r)\right|_{\infty}\right\} \leq C\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{\infty} .
$$

Proof. Let $p$ be the intersection of the diagonals $x o_{1}$ and $x_{k}^{*} o_{2}$. Then triangles $x p x_{k}^{*}$ and $o_{1} p o_{2}$ are similar. This, in particular, means that the points $o, p$ and the middle $m$ of the segment $x x_{k}^{*}$ belong to a line and $\frac{|m-p|_{2}}{|o-p|_{2}}=\frac{\left|x-x_{x^{*}}^{*}\right|_{2}}{2 r}$. Hence

$$
p=\frac{1}{2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}}\left(\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2} \cdot o+2 r \cdot m\right)=\frac{r \cdot\left(x+x_{k}^{*}\right)+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2} \cdot o}{\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}+2 r} .
$$

This means that $p=p_{k}(x ; r)$ and Lemma 6 is proved.
From the similarity of triangles $x p x_{k}^{*}$ and $o_{1} p o_{2}$ it also follows that $\frac{|x-p|_{2}}{\left|o_{1}-p\right|_{2}}=\frac{\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}}{2 r}$. Hence

$$
|x-p|_{\infty} \leq|x-p|_{2}=\frac{\left|o_{1}-p\right|_{2} \cdot\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}}{2 r} \leq \frac{\operatorname{diam} Q \cdot \sqrt{d} \cdot\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{\infty}}{2 r} .
$$

Hence the inequality in the statement of the lemma holds with the constant $C:=\frac{\operatorname{diam} Q \sqrt{d}}{2 r}$. The estimate for $\left|x_{k}^{*}-p_{k}(x, r)\right|_{\infty}$ can be obtained using the same arguments.

Segments $x p_{k}(x)$ and $p_{k}(x) x_{k}^{*}$ are fully inside $Q$, since the set $Q$ is a star domain and they are subsegments of the segments $x o_{1}$ and $x_{k}^{*} O_{2}$.
3.3. Some properties of the functions $p_{k}(\cdot ; r)$. Below we state several properties of the functions $p_{k}(\cdot ; r)$ defined in the previous paragraph. Everywhere in this paragraph we assume $k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$ and $0<r \leq R$ are fixed, and $n$ is big enough, so that the sets $U_{k}$ are outside the ball $S_{R}^{d}(o)$.
Lemma 8. For each $t \in[0,1]$ there exists a partition $U_{k}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} U_{k}^{i}(t)$ into measurable sets $U_{k}^{i}(t), i \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$, such that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{k}(x ; r, t):=t \cdot x+(1-t) \cdot p_{k}(x ; r) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is injective on each of the sets $U_{k}^{1}(t), \ldots, U_{k}^{4}(t)$. For all $t \in(0,1]$ the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{k}(x ; r, t):=(1-t) \cdot x_{k}^{*}+t \cdot p_{k}(x ; r), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

are injective on each of the sets $U_{k}^{1}(0), \ldots, U_{k}^{4}(0)$.

Proof. First of all note that for $x, y \in Q$ and $t \in(0,1]$,

$$
\varphi_{k}(x ; r, t)=\varphi_{k}(y ; r, t) \Longleftrightarrow p_{k}(x ; r)=p_{k}(y ; r) \Longleftrightarrow \psi_{k}(x ; r, 0)=\psi_{k}(y ; r, 0) .
$$

Thus the statement about functions (9) follows from the statement about functions (8).
Let $t \in[0,1]$ and $q \in \psi_{k}\left(U_{k} ; r, t\right)$ be fixed. Next we prove that the preimage $\psi_{k}^{-1}(q ; r, t)$ consists of at most 4 points.

If the points $q, o$ and $x_{k}^{*}$ belong to one line (i.e., are linearly dependent), then all the points from the set $\psi_{k}^{-1}(q ; r, t)$ also belong to this line and the proof of the lemma is analogous to the that below for the case when the points $q, o$ and $x_{k}^{*}$ are linearly independent.

Assume that the points $q, o$ and $x_{k}^{*}$ are linearly independent. Then they generate a 2-dimensional space. From the geometrical sense of $p_{k}(\cdot ; r)$ it follows that the set $\psi_{k}^{-1}(q ; r, t)$ is a subset of this 2-dimensional space. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in \psi_{k}^{-1}(q ; r, t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a 2-dimensional Cartesian coordinate system in it such that the point $o$ is on the ordinate axis and the point $x$ and the point that is symmetric to the point $x_{k}^{*}$ with respect to $o$ are on the abscissa axis (to determine the coordinate system uniquely we can additionally require the point $x_{k}^{*}$ to be in the upper half-plane with respect to the abscissa axis). Let $x_{k}^{*}=\left(x_{*}, 2 y_{*}\right), q=\left(x_{q}, y_{q}\right)$, and $x=\left(x_{1}, 0\right)$ in this coordinate system. Then $o=\left(0, y_{*}\right)$. By (7) and the definition of the function $\psi_{k}$ we have that for arbitrary point $z=\left(x_{z}, y_{z}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{k}(z ; r, t)=(1-t) \frac{r\left(x_{z}+x_{*}, y_{z}+2 y_{*}\right)+\left(0, y_{*}\left|x_{k}^{*}-z\right|_{2}\right)}{2 r+\left|x_{k}^{*}-z\right|_{2}}+t\left(x_{z}, y_{z}\right)= \\
= & \left((1-t) \frac{r\left(x_{z}+x_{*}\right)}{2 r+\left|x_{k}^{*}-z\right|_{2}}+t x_{z},(1-t) y_{*}+(1-t) \frac{r y_{z}}{2 r+\left|x_{k}^{*}-z\right|_{2}}+t y_{z}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (10) we obtain that $y_{q}=(1-t) y_{*}$; hence $\psi_{k}^{-1}(q ; r, t)$ is a subset of the abscissa axis, and for all $z=\left(x_{z}, 0\right) \in \psi_{k}^{-1}(q ; r, t)$ we have

$$
(1-t) \frac{r\left(x_{z}+x_{*}\right)}{2 r+\sqrt{\left(x_{*}-x_{z}\right)^{2}+4 y_{*}^{2}}}+t x_{z}=x_{q} .
$$

All the solutions of the latter equation are also solutions of the equation

$$
\left[(1-t) r\left(x_{z}+x_{*}\right)-2 r\left(x_{q}-t x_{z}\right)\right]^{2}=\left(x_{q}-t x_{z}\right)^{2}\left(\left(x_{*}-x_{z}\right)^{2}+4 y_{*}^{2}\right)
$$

which is an equation of not more than degree 4 with respect to the unknown $x_{z}$; hence the set $\psi_{k}^{-1}(q ; r, t)$ contains at most 4 points.

Finally, we construct the required partition of the set $U_{k}$. Consider the function $\psi_{k}(\cdot ; r, t)$ as a function defined on the closure $\overline{U_{k}}$ of $U_{k}$. It is easy to see that $\psi_{k}$ is continuous, and hence by [7, Theorem 6.9.7] there exists a Borel set $B \subset \overline{U_{k}}$ such that $\psi_{k}(B ; r, t)=\psi_{k}\left(\overline{U_{k}} ; r, t\right)$ and $\psi_{k}(\cdot ; r, t)$ is injective on $B$. Set $U_{k}^{1}=B \cap U_{k}$. The set $\psi_{k}^{-1}(q ; r, t) \backslash U_{k}^{1}$ consists of at most 3 points for any $q \in \psi_{k}\left(U_{k} ; r, t\right)$. Repeating the same arguments we obtain measurable sets $U_{k}^{2} \subset U_{k} \backslash U_{k}^{1}$ and $U_{k}^{3} \subset U_{k} \backslash\left(U_{k}^{1} \cup U_{k}^{2}\right)$ such that $\psi_{k}$ is injective on each of them, and is injective on the measaruble set $U_{k}^{4}:=U_{k} \backslash\left(U_{k}^{1} \cup U_{k}^{2} \cup U_{k}^{3}\right)$.

Below for a function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ by $\frac{D \phi}{D x}$ we denote its Jacobian matrix, $J_{\phi}(x):=\operatorname{det} \frac{D \phi}{D x}(x)$ and $I$ is the identity matrix. For a point or a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $s \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$ by $y^{s}$ we denote its $s$-th coordinate.

Lemma 9. Assume a point $x \in U_{k}$ is given. Let $m$ be the middle of the segment $x x_{k}^{*}$, $\overline{\Delta x}=\frac{\overline{x_{k}^{*} x}}{\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}}$. Then

$$
\frac{D p_{k}(\cdot ; r)}{D x}(x)=\frac{r}{2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}} I+\frac{2 r}{\left(2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right)^{2}} \cdot\left\|\overline{m o}^{i} \cdot \overline{\Delta x}^{j}\right\|_{i, j=1}^{d} .
$$

Proof. Let $x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right), x_{k}^{*}=\left(x_{k}^{1}, \ldots, x_{k}^{d}\right), o=\left(o^{1}, \ldots, o^{d}\right)$. Let also $\delta_{i j}=1$ if $i=j$, and $\delta_{i j}=0$ if $i \neq j$. Equality (7) can be rewritten in the coordinates

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{k}(x ; r):=\frac{r \cdot\left(x^{1}+x_{k}^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}+x_{k}^{d}\right)+\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^{d}\left(x^{s}-x_{k}^{s}\right)^{2}} \cdot\left(o^{1}, \ldots, o^{d}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^{d}\left(x^{s}-x_{k}^{s}\right)^{2}}+2 r}, \\
\frac{\partial p_{k}(x ; r)^{i}}{\partial x^{j}}=\frac{\left(r \delta_{i j}+\frac{x^{j}-x_{k}^{j}}{\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}} o^{i}\right) \cdot\left(2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right)-\left(r\left(x^{i}+x_{k}^{i}\right)+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2} o^{i}\right) \frac{x^{j}-x_{k}^{j}}{\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}}}{\left(2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right)^{2}}= \\
=\frac{r\left(2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right) \delta_{i j}+2 r \left\lvert\, \frac{x^{j}-x_{k}^{j}}{\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}} o^{i}-r \frac{x^{j}-x_{k}^{j}}{\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}}\left(x^{i}+x_{k}^{i}\right)\right.}{\left(2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right)^{2}}= \\
=\frac{r}{2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}} \delta_{i j}+\frac{2 r}{\left(2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{x^{j}-x_{k}^{j}}{\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}} \cdot\left(o^{i}-\frac{x^{i}+x_{k}^{i}}{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

From Lemmas 9 and 5 we immediately get the following lemma.
Lemma 10. Let the function $\varphi_{k}(x ; r, t)$ be defined in (8). Then for all $t \in[0,1]$

$$
J_{\varphi_{k}(\cdot ; r, t)}(x)=t^{d} \frac{r^{d}}{\left(2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right)^{d+1}}\left(2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}+2(\overline{m o}, \overline{\Delta x})\right)
$$

Lemma 11. Let the function $\psi_{k}(x ; r, t)$ be defined in (8). Then for all $t \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{\psi_{k}(; ; r, t)}(x)= \\
=\left(\frac{r(1-t)}{2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}}+t\right)^{d-1} \cdot\left(\frac{2 r^{2}+3 r\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}^{2}-2 r(\overline{m o}, \overline{\triangle x})}{\left(2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right)^{2}}(t-1)+1\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. To prove this lemma it is sufficient to apply Lemmas 9 and 5 and notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{r(1-t)}{2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}}+t+\frac{2 r(1-t)}{\left(2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right)^{2}}(\overline{m o}, \overline{\triangle x})= \\
= & \frac{2 r^{2}+3 r\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}^{2}-2 r(\overline{m o}, \overline{\triangle x})}{\left(2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right)^{2}}(t-1)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The next lemma justifies possibility to make a substitution $y=\psi_{k}(x ; r, t)$ in the integrals considered below.

Lemma 12. For each fixed $0<r<R$ the function $\psi_{k}(x ; r, t), x \in U_{k}, t \in[0,1]$ is continuous on $U_{k} \times[0,1]$. The set $\Theta:=\left\{(x, t) \in U_{k} \times[0,1]: J_{\psi_{k}(\cdot r, t)}(x)=0\right\}$ has measure zero in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. Proof. Continuity of $\psi_{k}$ follows from the definition. The set $\Theta$ is a piece of the plot of the function

$$
t(x)=1-\frac{\left(2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right)^{2}}{2 r^{2}+3 r\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}^{2}-2 r(\overline{m o}, \overline{\triangle x})}, \quad x \in U_{k}
$$

on which $t(x) \in[0,1]$. There exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\Theta \cap\left\{(x, t) \in U_{k} \times[0,1]:\left|2 r^{2}+3 r\right| x-\left.x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}^{2}-2 r(\overline{m o}, \overline{\Delta x}) \mid<\varepsilon\right\}=\varnothing .
$$

Thus on the set $\left\{x \in U_{k}: t(x) \in[0,1]\right\}$ the function $t$ is uniformly continuous, and hence its plot has zero measure.

In the next two paragraphs we use the following notation. The symbol $C$ stands for a positive number that does not depend on $n$. This number may be different in left and right parts of equality or inequality.
3.4. Estimate for $\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{T_{k}}\left|f(x)-f\left(p_{k}(x ; r)\right)\right| d x$.

Lemma 13. Assume $T_{k} \subset U_{k}, k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$, are measurable sets and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}} \operatorname{diam} U_{k} \leq r \leq R \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a number $C$ that does not depend on $n$ and such that for all $f \in W^{1, p}(Q)$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{T_{k}}\left|f(x)-f\left(p_{k}(x ; r)\right)\right| d x \leq C h_{n}\left(\operatorname{meas} \bigcup_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} T_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left\||\nabla f|_{1}\right\|_{L_{p}(Q)}
$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3 and Lemma 7 we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{T_{k}}\left|f(x)-f\left(p_{k}(x ; r)\right)\right| d x \leq \sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{T_{k}}\left|p_{k}(x ; r)-x\right|_{\infty}\left|\nabla f\left((1-t) p_{k}(x ; r)+t x\right)\right|_{1} d x d t \leq \\
\leq C h_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{T_{k}}^{1}\left|\nabla f\left(\psi_{k}(x ; r, t)\right)\right|_{1} d x d t \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the functions $\psi_{k}(\cdot ; r, t)$ are defined in (8). Due to Lemma 8 for each $t \in[0,1]$ and $k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$ there exists a partition $T_{k}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} T_{k}^{i}(t)$ such that the function $\psi_{k}(\cdot ; r, t)$ is injective on each of $T_{k}^{i}(t), i \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$. Hence for all $k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{T_{k}}\left|\nabla f\left(\psi_{k}(x ; r, t)\right)\right|_{1} d x d t=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{T_{k}^{i}(t)}\left|\nabla f\left(\psi_{k}(x ; r, t)\right)\right|_{1} d x d t, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$, making a substitution $y=\psi_{k}(x ; r, t)$ in the internal integral, and applying Holder's inequality, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} \int_{T_{k}^{i}(t)}\left|\nabla f\left(\psi_{k}(x ; r, t)\right)\right|_{1} d x d t=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\psi_{k}\left(T_{k}^{i}(t) ; r, t\right)}|\nabla f(y)|_{1}\left|J_{\psi_{k}^{-1}(\cdot ; r, t)}(y)\right| d y d t \leq \\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\psi_{k}\left(T_{k}^{i}(t) ; r, t\right)}|\nabla f(y)|_{1}^{p} d y d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\psi_{k}\left(T_{k}^{i}(t) ; r, t\right)}\left|J_{\psi_{k}^{-1}(\cdot ; r, t)}(y)\right|^{p^{\prime}} d y d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the inverse function theorem and making a substitution $x=\psi_{k}^{-1}(y ; r, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\psi_{k}\left(T_{k}^{i}(t) ; r, t\right)}\left|J_{\psi_{k}^{-1}(\cdot ; r, t)}(y)\right|^{p^{\prime}} d y d t=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\psi_{k}\left(T_{k}^{i}(t) ; r, t\right)}\left|J_{\psi_{k}(; ; r, t)}\left(\psi_{k}^{-1}(y ; r, t)\right)\right|^{-p^{\prime}} d y d t= \\
=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{T_{k}^{i}(t)}\left|J_{\psi_{k}(; r, t)}(x)\right|^{1-p^{\prime}} d x d t \leq \int_{T_{k}} \int_{0}^{1}\left|J_{\psi_{k}(; ; r, t)}(x)\right|^{1-p^{\prime}} d t d x . \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $p>d \geq 2$ we have $\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1-\frac{1}{p}>\frac{1}{2}$ and hence $0 \geq 1-p^{\prime}>-1$. Note that for all $t \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{r(1-t)}{2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}}+t=\frac{r(1+t)+t\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}}{2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}}>\frac{r}{2 r+r}=\frac{1}{3}, \\
-\frac{\operatorname{diam} Q}{2 r} \leq \frac{2 r^{2}+3 r\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}^{2}-2 r(\overline{m o}, \overline{\Delta x})}{\left(2 r+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right)^{2}} \leq \\
\leq \frac{2 r^{2}+3 r^{2}+r^{2}+2 r \cdot \operatorname{diam} Q}{4 r^{2}}=\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\operatorname{diam} Q}{2 r} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using Lemma 11, the latter inequalities and $0 \geq 1-p^{\prime}>-1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|J_{\psi_{k}(\cdot r, t)}(x)\right|^{1-p^{\prime}} d t \leq 3^{(d-1)\left(p^{\prime}-1\right)} \sup _{A} \int_{0}^{1}(A(t-1)+1)^{1-p^{\prime}} d t \leq C, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum is taken over the segment

$$
A \in\left[-\frac{\operatorname{diam} Q}{2 r}, \frac{3}{2}+\frac{\operatorname{diam} Q}{2 r}\right]
$$

and the number $C$ is finite and depends on $\operatorname{diam} Q$ and $r$ and does not depend on $h_{n}$. Using (16), (15), (14), (13) and (12), we deduce

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{T_{k}}\left|f(x)-f\left(p_{k}(x ; r)\right)\right| d x \leq C h_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\psi_{k}\left(T_{k}^{i}(t) ; r, t\right)}|\nabla f(y)|_{1}^{p} d y d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\operatorname{meas} T_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leq \\
\leq C h_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{\psi_{k}\left(T_{k}^{i}(t) ; r, t\right)}|\nabla f(y)|_{1}^{p} d y d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \operatorname{meas} T_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} . \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that for all $t \in[0,1]$ and each $i \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$ the sets $T_{k}^{i}(t)$ and the functions $\psi_{k}(\cdot ; r, t)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4, because for all $x \in T_{k}^{i}(t)$ due to Lemma 7

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|x_{k}^{*}-\psi_{k}(x ; r, t)\right|_{\infty}=\left|x_{k}^{*}-(1-t) p_{k}(x ; r)-t x\right|_{\infty} \leq t\left|x_{k}^{*}-x\right|_{\infty}+ \\
+(1-t)\left|x_{k}^{*}-p_{k}(x ; r)\right|_{\infty} \leq\left|x_{k}^{*}-x\right|_{\infty}+\left|x_{k}^{*}-p_{k}(x ; r)\right|_{\infty} \leq C\left|x_{k}^{*}-x\right|_{\infty}
\end{gathered}
$$

with some number $C$ independent of $n$ (and $t$ ). To finish the proof of the lemma it is sufficient to apply Lemma 4 to (17).
3.5. Estimate for $\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{T_{k}}\left|f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)-f\left(p_{k}(x ; r)\right)\right| d x$.

Lemma 14. Assume (11) holds, and measurable sets $T_{k} \subset U_{k}, k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$, are such that there exists a number $c>0$ that does not depend on $n$ for which $\left|J_{\varphi_{k}(: ; r, 1)}(x)\right|>c$ for all $x \in T_{k}$. Then there exists a number $C$ that does not depend on $n$, such that for all $f \in W^{1, p}(Q)$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{T_{k}}\left|f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)-f\left(p_{k}(x ; r)\right)\right| d x \leq C h_{n}\left(\operatorname{meas} \bigcup_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} T_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left\||\nabla f|_{1}\right\|_{L_{p}(Q)}
$$

Proof. We may assume that each function $\varphi_{k}(\cdot ; r, t)$ is injective on $T_{k}, k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$. Otherwise, due to Lemma 8, we can divide each of the sets $T_{k}$ into four subsets, so that the functions $\varphi_{k}(\cdot ; r, t)$ are injective on each of the subsets, and apply arguments below to each of the subsets. Using Lemma 3 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{T_{k}}\left|f\left(p_{k}(x ; r)\right)-f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)\right| d x \leq \sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{T_{k}}\left|p_{k}(x ; r)-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{\infty}\left|\nabla f\left(\varphi_{k}(x ; r, t)\right)\right|_{1} d x d t \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{T_{k}}\left|p_{k}(x ; r)-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{\infty}^{p^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{T_{k}}\left|\nabla f\left(\varphi_{k}(x ; r, t)\right)\right|_{1}^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t \leq \\
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { by Lemma } 7 \\
\leq
\end{array} h_{n} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|}\left(\operatorname{meas} T_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{T_{k}}\left|\nabla f\left(\varphi_{k}(x ; r, t)\right)\right|_{1}^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t \leq \\
& \quad \leq C h_{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \operatorname{meas} T_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int\left|\nabla f\left(\varphi_{k}(x ; r, t)\right)\right|_{1}^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t= \\
& =C h_{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \operatorname{meas} T_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{\varphi_{k}\left(T_{k} ; r, t\right)}|\nabla f(y)|_{1}^{p}\left|J_{\varphi_{k}^{-1}(\cdot ; r, t)}(y)\right| d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t= \\
& =C h_{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \operatorname{meas} T_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{\varphi_{k}\left(T_{k} ; r, t\right)} \frac{|\nabla f(y)|_{1}^{p} d y}{\left|J_{\varphi_{k}(\cdot ; r, t)}\left(\varphi_{k}^{-1}(y ; r, t)\right)\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to Lemma 10 for all $k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}, t \in[0,1]$ and $x \in T_{k}, J_{\varphi_{k}(: ; r, t)}(x)=t^{d} J_{\varphi_{k}(\cdot ; r, 1)}(x)$. Hence, using the conditions of the lemma we get

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{T_{k}}\left|f\left(p_{k}(x ; r)\right)-f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)\right| d x \leq
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C h_{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \operatorname{meas} T_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \int_{0}^{1} t^{-\frac{d}{p}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{\varphi_{k}\left(T_{k} ; r, t\right)} \frac{|\nabla f(y)|_{1}^{p} d y}{\left|J_{\varphi_{k}(; ; r, 1)}\left(\varphi_{k}^{-1}(y ; r, t)\right)\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t \leq \\
& \leq C h_{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \operatorname{meas} T_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \int_{0}^{1} t^{-\frac{d}{p}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{\varphi_{k}\left(T_{k} ; r, t\right)} \frac{|\nabla f(y)|_{1}^{p} d y}{c}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the sets $T_{k}$ and the functions $\varphi_{k}(\cdot ; r, t)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4 , since by Lemma 7 for all $k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}, t \in[0,1]$, and $x \in T_{k}$

$$
\left|x_{k}^{*}-\varphi_{k}(x ; r, t)\right|_{\infty}=t\left|p_{k}(x ; r)-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{\infty} \leq\left|p_{k}(x ; r)-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{\infty} \leq C\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{\infty} .
$$

To finish the proof of the lemma it is sufficient to apply Lemma 4 and recall that $p>d$, and hence the integral $\int_{0}^{1} t^{-\frac{d}{p}} d t$ converges.
3.6. Proof of Lemma 2. For each $k=1, \ldots,|S(n)|$, we divide the set $U_{k}$ into three subsets $W_{k}^{1}:=\left\{x \in U_{k}:(\overline{m o}, \overline{\triangle x})<-2 R\right\}, W_{k}^{2}:=\left\{x \in U_{k}:(\overline{m o}, \overline{\triangle x}) \in\left[-2 R,-\frac{R}{2}\right]\right\}$ and $W_{k}^{3}:=$ $\left\{x \in U_{k}:(\overline{m o}, \overline{\triangle x})>-\frac{R}{2}\right\}$.

Let $n$ be so large that for all $k \in\{1, \ldots,|S(n)|\}$ and $x \in U_{k},\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}<\frac{R}{8}$. For all $x \in W_{k}^{1}$ we have $2 R+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}+2(\overline{m o}, \overline{\triangle x})<2 R+\frac{R}{8}-4 R<-R$, for all $x \in W_{k}^{3}$ we have $2 R+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}+2(\overline{m o}, \overline{\triangle x})>2 R-R=R$, and hence by Lemma 10 for all $x \in W_{k}^{1} \cup W_{k}^{3}$ we have

$$
\left|J_{\varphi_{k}(; ; R, 1)}(x)\right|=\frac{R^{d}}{\left(2 R+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right)^{d+1}}\left|2 R+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}+2(\overline{m o}, \overline{\triangle x})\right|>\frac{R^{d}}{(3 R)^{d+1}} R=\frac{1}{3^{d+1}} .
$$

For all $x \in W_{k}^{2}$ we have $2 \cdot \frac{R}{8}+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}+2(\overline{m o}, \overline{\triangle x})<\frac{2 R}{8}+\frac{R}{8}-R<-\frac{R}{2}$, and hence by Lemma 10 for all $x \in W_{k}^{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|J_{\varphi_{k}\left(: ; \frac{R}{8}, 1\right)}(x)\right|=\frac{\left(\frac{R}{8}\right)^{d}}{\left(\frac{2 R}{8}+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}\right)^{d+1}}\left|\frac{2 R}{8}+\left|x-x_{k}^{*}\right|_{2}+2(\overline{m o}, \overline{\triangle x})\right|> \\
>\frac{8 R^{d}}{(2 R+R)^{d+1}} \cdot \frac{R}{2}=\frac{4}{3^{d+1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally let us return to the proof of (5).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{U_{k}}\left|f(x)-f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)\right| d x=\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{W_{k}^{1} \cup W_{k}^{3}}\left|f(x)-f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)\right| d x+\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{W_{k}^{2}}\left|f(x)-f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)\right| d x \leq \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{W_{k}^{1} \cup W_{k}^{3}}\left|f(x)-f\left(p_{k}(x ; R)\right)\right| d x+\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{W_{k}^{1} \cup W_{k}^{3}}\left|f\left(p_{k}(x ; R)\right)-f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)\right| d x+ \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{W_{k}^{2}}\left|f(x)-f\left(p_{k}\left(x ; \frac{R}{8}\right)\right)\right| d x+\sum_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} \int_{W_{k}^{2}}\left|f\left(p_{k}\left(x ; \frac{R}{8}\right)\right)-f\left(x_{k}^{*}\right)\right| d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove (5) we need to apply Lemmas 13, 14 and note that meas $\bigcup_{k=1}^{|S(n)|} U_{k}=o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ due to property 4 of Lemma 1 .
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