

M. BUYANKARA, M. ÇAĞLAR

HANKEL AND TOEPLITZ DETERMINANTS FOR A SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

M. Buyankara, M. Çağlar. *Hankel and Toeplitz determinants for a subclass of analytic functions*, Mat. Stud. **60** (2023), 132–137.

Let the function $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in A$ be locally univalent for $z \in \mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Then, $f \in M(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left((1 - z^2) \frac{f(z)}{z} \right) > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Due to their geometrical characteristics, this class has a significant impact on the theory of geometric functions. In the article we obtain sharp bounds for the second Hankel determinant

$$|H_2(2)(f)| = |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2|$$

and some Toeplitz determinants

$$|T_3(1)(f)| = |1 - 2a_2^2 + 2a_2^2 a_3 - a_3^2|, \quad |T_3(2)(f)| = |a_2^3 - 2a_2 a_3^2 + 2a_3^2 a_4 - a_2 a_4^2|$$

of a subclass of analytic functions $M(\alpha)$ in the open unit disk \mathbb{D} .

1. Introduction and definitions. Let H be the class of analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, and let A be the subclass normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$, that is, the functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (1)$$

$a_0 = 0, a_1 = 1$. Let S be the subclass of A that consists of univalent (one-to-one) functions. A function $f \in A$ is said to be starlike (with respect to the origin) if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is starlike with respect to the origin, and convex if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is convex. Let $S^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ denote, respectively, the classes of starlike and convex functions of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) in S . It is well known that a function $f \in A$ belongs to $S^*(\alpha)$ if and only if,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

and that $f \in C(\alpha)$ if and only if,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45, 30C55.

Keywords: analytic functions; univalent functions; Hankel and Toeplitz determinants.

doi:10.30970/ms.60.2.132-137

Note that $S^*(0) =: S^*$ and $C(0) =: C$.

Let $f \in A$ and be locally univalent for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Then, $f \in M(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left((1 - z^2) \frac{f(z)}{z} \right) > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{2}$$

Due to their geometrical characteristics, this class has a significant impact on the theory of geometric functions. A function $f \in M(\alpha)$ maps univalently \mathbb{D} onto a domain $f(\mathbb{D})$ convex in the direction of the imaginary axis, i.e., for $w_1, w_2 \in f(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(w_1) = \operatorname{Re}(w_2)$ the line segment $[w_1, w_2]$ lies in $f(\mathbb{D})$, with the additional property that there exist two points w_1, w_2 on the boundary of $f(\mathbb{D})$ for which $\{w_1 + it : t > 0\} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus f(\mathbb{D})$ and $\{w_2 - it : t > 0\} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus f(\mathbb{D})$ (see, e.g., [7, p.199]).

In this study, we find the sharp bound for the second Hankel determinant as well as the sharp bounds for a number of the Toeplitz determinants defined below, whose constituent coefficients are functions in $M(\alpha)$.

We begin by outlining the meanings of the Hankel and Toeplitz determinants for $f \in A$. Let $f \in A$ be of form (1). The q th Hankel determinant is defined by

$$H_q(r)(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_r & a_{r+1} & \cdots & a_{r+q-1} \\ a_{r+1} & a_{r+2} & \cdots & a_{r+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{r+q-1} & a_{r+q} & \cdots & a_{r+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}$$

for $q \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$. In particular, $H_2(2)(f) = a_2a_4 - a_3^2$.

Hankel matrices naturally occur in a variety of applications in science, engineering, and other related fields such as signal processing, image processing, and control theory. The reader is referred to [8, 9] and the references therein for a study of Hankel matrices and polynomials.

Finding sharp bounds for the Hankel determinants of functions in A has been the subject of numerous papers in recent years. Many results about the second Hankel determinant $H_2(2)(f) = a_2a_4 - a_3^2$ when $f \in S$ and its subclasses are known, and in [2, 3, 4, 12], a summary of some of the more significant findings can be found.

Let $f \in A$ be given by (1). Then, the q th Toeplitz determinant is defined by

$$T_q(r)(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_r & a_{r+1} & \cdots & a_{r+q-1} \\ a_{r+1} & a_r & \cdots & a_{r+q-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{r+q-1} & a_{r+q-2} & \cdots & a_r \end{vmatrix}$$

for $q \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$. In particular, $T_3(1)(f) = 1 - 2a_2^2 + 2a_2^2a_3 - a_3^2$ and

$$T_3(2)(f) = a_2^3 - 2a_2a_3^2 + 2a_3^2a_4 - a_2a_4^2.$$

Toeplitz matrices and their determinants play an important role in several branches of mathematics and have many applications [13]. For information on applications of Toeplitz matrices to several areas of pure and applied mathematics, we refer to the survey article by Ye and Lim ([14]). However, research on Toeplitz determinants was only recently published in [?, 2].

The following results will be used for functions $p \in P$, the class of functions with positive real part in \mathbb{D} given by

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k z^k. \quad (3)$$

Because the coefficients a_2 , a_3 , and a_4 will be our main focus, we also need Lemma 4, which can easily be deduced from (1), (2) and (3).

Lemma 1 ([5]). *Let $p \in P$ be given by (3), then $|d_k| \leq 2$, when $k \geq 2$. Also*

$$\left| d_2 - \frac{v}{2} d_1^2 \right| \leq \max \{2, 2|v-1|\} = \begin{cases} 2, & 0 \leq v \leq 2; \\ 2|v-1|, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Lemma 2 ([6]). *If $p \in P$ is given by (3), then*

$$|d_r - v d_k d_{r-k}| \leq 2 \max \{1, |2v-1|\}$$

for $v \in \mathbb{C}$ and $1 \leq k \leq r$.

Lemma 3 ([11]). *Assume that $p \in P$, with coefficients given by (3), and $d_1 \geq 0$. Then, for some complex valued ζ with $|\zeta| \leq 1$ and some complex-valued y with $|y| \leq 1$*

$$2d_2 = d_1^2 + y(4 - d_1^2), \quad 4d_3 = d_1^3 + 2(4 - d_1^2)d_1y - d_1(4 - d_1^2)y^2 + 2(4 - d_1^2)(1 - |y|^2)\zeta.$$

Lemma 4. *Assume that $f \in M(\alpha)$, and is given by (1). Then*

$$a_2 = (1 - \alpha) d_1, \quad (5)$$

$$a_3 = (1 - \alpha) d_2 + 1, \quad (6)$$

$$a_4 = (1 - \alpha) (d_3 + d_1), \quad (7)$$

$$a_5 = (1 - \alpha) (d_2 + d_4) + 1, \quad (8)$$

where d_1 , d_2 , and d_3 , d_4 are given by (3).

Proof. By (2) there exists $p \in P$ of the form (3) such that

$$(1 - z^2) \frac{f(z)}{z} = p(z)(1 - \alpha) + \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (9)$$

Substituting the series (1) and (3) into (9) by equating the coefficients we obtain (5)–(8). \square

2. The second Hankel determinant $H_2(2)(f)$. For the second Hankel determinant of $f \in M(\alpha)$, we will present the sharp bound.

Theorem 1. *If $f \in M(\alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, then*

$$|H_2(2)(f)| \leq \frac{4(1 - \alpha)(64 - 37\alpha) + 27}{27}.$$

This inequality is sharp.

Proof. Firstly, note that from (2) we can write

$$(1 - z^2) \frac{f(z)}{z} = p(z) (1 - \alpha) + \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{10}$$

Thus, from Lemma 4 we have

$$a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 = (1 - \alpha)^2 d_1^2 + (1 - \alpha)_1^2 d_1 d_3 - 2(1 - \alpha) d_2 - (1 - \alpha)^2 d_2^2 - 1. \tag{11}$$

It should be noted that both the class $M(\alpha)$ and the functional $H_2(2)(f)$ are rotationally invariant, we now use Lemma 3 to express the coefficients d_3 and d_2 in terms of d_1 , and write $u := d_1$ to get with $0 \leq u \leq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 &= -\alpha(1 - \alpha)u^2 - \frac{(1 - \alpha)^2}{4}u^2(4 - u^2)y^2 - \frac{(1 - \alpha)^2}{4}(4 - u^2)^2y^2 - \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha)(4 - u^2)y + \frac{(1 - \alpha)^2}{2}u(4 - u^2)(1 - |y|^2)\zeta - 1. \end{aligned}$$

We now take the modulus to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |H_2(2)(f)| &\leq \alpha(1 - \alpha)u^2 + (1 - \alpha)(4 - u^2)|y| + \\ &\quad + \frac{(1 - \alpha)^2}{2}(4 - u^2)(u + 2)|y|^2 + \frac{(1 - \alpha)^2}{2}(4 - u^2)u + 1 = \varphi(u, |y|). \end{aligned}$$

For $u = 2$, we have $|H_2(2)(f)| = 4\alpha(1 - \alpha) + 1 \leq 4(1 - \alpha)(2 - \alpha) + 1$.

Since $0 \leq u < 2$, the function $[0, 1] \ni |y| \rightarrow \varphi(u, |y|)$ is easily seen to be increasing, so

$$\begin{aligned} |H_2(2)(f)| &\leq \varphi(u, |y|) \leq \varphi(u, 1) = \\ &= (1 - \alpha) [-(1 - \alpha)u^3 - 2(1 - \alpha)u^2 + 4(1 - \alpha)u + 8 - 4\alpha] + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the function $[0, 2] \ni u \rightarrow \varphi(u, 1)$ has critical points at $u = -2$ and $u = \frac{2}{3} = u_0$ with values $4\alpha(1 - \alpha) + 1$, and $\frac{4(1 - \alpha)(64 - 37\alpha)}{27} + 1$, respectively, and since

$$4\alpha(1 - \alpha) + 1 \leq \frac{4(1 - \alpha)(64 - 37\alpha)}{27} + 1$$

when $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

So, the proof of theorem is completed.

To see that the inequality is sharp, take a function

$$p(z) = \frac{1 - z^2}{1 - t_0 z + z^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

for which $d_1 = \frac{2}{3}$, $d_2 = -\frac{14}{9}$ and $d_3 = \frac{26}{27}$. □

Choosing $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, we arrive at the following sharp inequality.

Corollary 1. *If $f \in M(\frac{1}{2})$, then*

$$|H_2(2)(f)| \leq \frac{118}{27} \cong 4,3703.$$

This inequality is sharp.

3. Toeplitz determinants. We will give the sharp bounds for various Toeplitz determinants of $f \in M(\alpha)$.

Theorem 2. *If $f \in M(\alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, then*

$$|T_3(1)(f)| \leq 2(15 - 8\alpha^3 + 30\alpha^2 - 36\alpha).$$

Proof. We first note that

$$\begin{aligned} |T_3(1)(f)| &= |1 - 2a_2^2 + 2a_2^2a_3 - a_3^2| \leq 1 + 2|a_2|^2 + |a_3| |a_3 - 2a_2^2| \leq \\ &\leq 1 + 8(1 - \alpha)^2 + (3 - 2\alpha) |a_3 - 2a_2^2| \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where we have used Lemmas 1 and 4.

As a result, it is necessary to estimate $|a_3 - 2a_2^2|$.

Note first that

$$a_3 - 2a_2^2 = (1 - \alpha)(d_2 - 2(1 - \alpha)d_1^2) + 1.$$

Thus, taking $v = 4(1 - \alpha)$, we derived from Lemma 1 that

$$|a_3 - 2a_2^2| \leq 2(1 - \alpha)(3 - 4\alpha) + 1,$$

and so, from (12), we obtain

$$|T_3(1)(f)| \leq 2(15 - 8\alpha^3 + 30\alpha^2 - 36\alpha).$$

□

Choosing $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, we arrive at the following sharp inequality.

Corollary 2. *If $f \in M(\frac{1}{2})$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, then*

$$|T_3(1)(f)| \leq 7.$$

Theorem 3. *If $f \in M(\alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, then*

$$|T_3(2)(f)| \leq 6(1 - \alpha)(12\alpha^2 - 32\alpha + 22).$$

Proof. We first note that

$$|T_3(2)(f)| = |(a_2 - a_4)(a_2^2 + a_2a_4 - 2a_3^2)|,$$

and since $|a_2 - a_4| \leq |a_2| + |a_4|$, we have

$$|a_2 - a_4| \leq 6(1 - \alpha).$$

Thus, it remains to estimate $|a_2^2 + a_2a_4 - 2a_3^2|$.

Using Lemma 4, we obtain

$$a_2^2 + a_2a_4 - 2a_3^2 = 2(1 - \alpha)^2d_1^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2d_1d_3 - 2(1 - \alpha)^2d_2^2 - 4(1 - \alpha)d_2 - 2.$$

Taking the modulus, we obtain

$$|a_2^2 + a_2a_4 - 2a_3^2| \leq 12(1 - \alpha)^2 + 2 + 4(1 - \alpha) \left| d_2 - \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{2}d_1^2 \right|.$$

Since Lemma 1 gives $|d_2 - \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{2}d_1^2| \leq 2$, we obtain $|a_2^2 + a_2a_4 - 2a_3^2| \leq 12\alpha^2 - 32\alpha + 22$, and so

$$|T_3(2)(f)| \leq 6(1 - \alpha)(12\alpha^2 - 32\alpha + 22)$$

as required. □

Choosing $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, we arrive at the following sharp inequality.

Corollary 3. *If $f \in M(\frac{1}{2})$, then*

$$|T_3(2)(f)| \leq 27.$$

Acknowledgement. The authors are greatly indebted to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions, which have immensely improved the paper.

REFERENCES

1. M.A. Firoz, D.K. Thomas, V. Allu, *Toeplitz determinants whose elements are the coefficients of analytic and univalent functions*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **97** (2018), 253–264.
2. V. Allu, A. Lecko, D.K. Thomas, *Hankel, Toeplitz, and Hermitian-Toeplitz determinants for certain close-to-convex functions*, Mediterr. J. Math., **19** (2022), 22.
3. K.O. Babalola, *On $H_3(1)$ Hankel determinant for some classes of univalent functions*, Inequality Theory Appl., **6** (2010), 1–7.
4. D. Bansal, S. Maharana, J.K. Prajapat, *Third order Hankel determinant for certain univalent functions*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **52** (2015), 1139–1148.
5. P.L. Duren, *Univalent functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 259, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
6. I. Efraimidis, *A generalization of Livingston's coefficient inequalities for functions with positive real part*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **435** (2016), 369–379.
7. A.W. Goodman, *Univalent functions*, Mariner, Tampa, 1983.
8. P. Henrici, *Applied and computational complex analysis*, Wiley: New York, NY, USA, V.1, 1974.
9. A.S. Householder, *The numerical treatment of a single nonlinear equation*, McGraw Hill: New York, NY, USA, 1970.
10. A. Janteng, S. Halim, M. Darus, *Hankel determinants for starlike and convex functions*, Int. J. Math. Anal., **1** (2007), 619–625.
11. R.J. Libera, E.J. Zlotkiewicz, *Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivatives in P* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **87** (1983), 251–257.
12. D.K. Thomas, N. Tuneski, V. Allu, *Univalent functions: a primer*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **69**, De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston, 2018.
13. O. Toeplitz, *Zur Transformation der Scharen bilinearer Formen von unendlichvielen Veränderlichen*, Mathematischphysikalische, Klasse, Nachr. der Kgl. Gessellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, (1907), 110–115.
14. K. Ye, L.H. Lim, *Every matrix is a product of Toeplitz matrices*, Found. Comput. Math., **16** (2016), 577–598.

Vocational School of Social Sciences, Bingöl University
 Bingöl, Türkiye
 mucahit.buyankara41@erzurum.edu.tr; mbuyankara@bingol.edu.tr

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
 Erzurum Technical University
 Erzurum, Türkiye
 murat.caglar@erzurum.edu.tr; mcaglar25@gmail.com

Received 09.05.2023

Revised 24.10.2023