

УДК 511.7+517.5

M. V. PRATSIIOVYTYI, YA. V. GONCHARENKO, I. M. LYSENKO, S. P. RATUSHNIAK

### CONTINUED $A_2$ -FRACTIONS AND SINGULAR FUNCTIONS

M. V. Pratsiovytyi, Ya. V. Goncharenko, I. M. Lysenko, S. P. Ratushniak. *Continued  $A_2$ -fractions and singular functions*, Mat. Stud. **58** (2022), 3–12.

In the article we deepen the metric component of theory of infinite  $A_2$ -continued fractions  $\frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots}}}$   $\equiv [0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots]$  with a two-element alphabet  $A_2 = \{\frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ ,  $a_n \in A_2$ , and

establish the normal property of numbers of the segment  $I = [\frac{1}{2}; 1]$  in terms of their  $A_2$ -representations:  $x = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots]$ . It is proved that almost all (in the sense of the Lebesgue measure) numbers of segment  $I$  in their  $A_2$ -representations use each of the tuples of elements of the alphabet of arbitrary length as consecutive digits of the representation infinitely many times.

We consider a function  $f$  defined by equality  $f(x = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots]) = e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2a_n - 1)v_n}$ , where  $v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_n + \dots$  is a given absolutely convergent series. For function  $f$ , structural and functional relationships are indicated as well as necessary and sufficient conditions for continuity (which are:  $v_n = \frac{v_1(-1)^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}}$ ,  $v_1 \in R$ ) and monotonicity are found. In the case of the continuity of the function  $f$ , we give the expression of its derivative and prove the singularity (the equality of the derivative to zero almost everywhere in the sense of the Lebesgue measure) using the above-mentioned normal property of numbers in terms of their  $A_2$ -representation. The relation between this new strictly monotonic singular function and the classical strictly increasing Minkowski question-mark function is indicated.

**Introduction.** A continuous function is called a *singular function* if it is not constant and has a derivative which is equal to zero almost everywhere (in the sense of the Lebesgue measure). More than a hundred years ago, the first examples of singular functions and singular probability distributions were published. They appeared against the background of different scientific interests, in various branches of mathematics (they are set theory and the Cantor function [9], number theory and the Minkowski function [6, 9], function theory and the Sierpiński function [16], the theory of infinite Bernoulli convolutions, and a cascade of different functions). The newly created theory of Lebesgue measure (1902) became a theoretical basis and a powerful tool of developing the theory of such functions. At the same time, there is still no general theory of singular functions; individual theories have been developing fragmentary for a long time. The first significant outbreak of interest in singular probability distributions, their characteristic functions, the Fourier transform took place in the 30-40s of the previous century [5, 9]. The Jessen-Wintner theorem on the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11K16, 11K50, 26A30.

*Keywords*:  $A_2$ -continued fraction;  $A$ -representation of numbers; cylinder; basic metric relation; convergent; normal property of number; singular function; cylindrical derivative.

doi:10.30970/ms.58.1.3-12

Lebesgue purity of the distribution of the sum with probability 1 of a convergent series whose elements are independent discretely distributed random variables [4] was fundamental in this regard. Many continuum classes of singular functions related to different encoding systems (representations) of real numbers have been studied today [9]. Monotonic [15], non-monotonic [13] and nowhere monotonic [11, 14] are among them.

In 1911, H. Minkowski [6] gave an original definition of continuous strictly increasing function in terms of Farey fractions and the operation “mediant sum of fractions”. This function establishes a one-to-one correspondence between all quadratic irrationalities of the segment  $[0; 1]$  and rational numbers of the same segment (this is the so-called “question-mark function”  $?(x)$ ). In 1938, Denjoy [2] was the first to prove the singularity of the Minkowski function. In 1943, Salem found an analytical representation of this function in terms of elementary continued fractions:

$$?(x = [0;_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots]) = 2^{1-a_1} - 2^{1-a_1-a_2} + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} 2^{1-a_1-a_2-\dots-a_n} + \dots,$$

$a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and gave own proof of singularity.

Today it is known that the Minkowski function is the unique continuous solution of the system of two functional equations:

$$f\left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(x), \quad f(1-x) = 1 - f(x).$$

The Minkowski function allows various generalizations. Since  $?(x)$  is the distribution function of the random variable  $\xi = [0; \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k, \dots]$ , the elements of the continued representation of which are independent and identically distributed random variables taking the values  $1, 2, \dots, k, \dots$  with probabilities  $p_1 = 2^{-1}, p_2 = 2^{-2}, \dots, p_k = 2^{-k}, \dots$  respectively, we have one of the simplest generalizations of the Minkowski function by replacing probabilities with  $p_k = (1-q)q^{k-1}$ , where  $q \in (0; 1)$ . In the papers [1, 10], a more general construction of the random variable  $\xi$ , when the digits  $\xi_k$  are independent and not identically distributed, was studied. As a result, another generalization of the Minkowski function was obtained.

In the article [12], a one-parameter generalization  $\varphi_\mu$  of the Minkowski function is constructed as a unique continuous solution of the system of functional equations

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_\mu\left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right) = 1 - \mu\varphi_\mu(x), \\ \varphi_\mu(1-x) = 1 - \varphi_{1-\mu}(x), \end{cases} \quad \mu \in (0; 1).$$

The function  $\varphi_\mu$  is singular strictly increasing for each value  $\mu$ , moreover  $\varphi_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) = ?(x)$ .

This article is devoted to a new singular function defined in terms of non-elementary continued fractions, the elements of which are the numbers  $\frac{1}{2}$  and 1.

**1. Basic concepts and facts of the theory of continued  $A_2$ -fractions.** Let  $A \equiv \{0; 1\}$  be an alphabet,  $L \equiv A \times A \times \dots$  be a space of sequences of elements of the alphabet (zeros and ones);  $A_2 \equiv \{\frac{1}{2}; 1\}$ ,  $L_2 \equiv A_2 \times A_2 \times \dots$ .

It is known [3] that, for any  $x \in [\frac{1}{2}; 1]$ , there exists a sequence  $(a_k) \in L_2$  such that

$$x = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots}}} \equiv [0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, \dots]. \quad (1)$$

The infinite continued fraction (1) is called  $A_2$ -continued fraction ( $A_2$ -fraction), and its symbolic notation  $[0; a_1, \dots, a_k, \dots]$  is called  $A_2$ -representation of number  $x$ . There are numbers that have two  $A_2$ -representation, because  $[0; a_1, \dots, a_m, \frac{1}{2}, (\frac{1}{2}, 1)] = [0; a_1, \dots, a_m, 1, (1, \frac{1}{2})]$  (here the parentheses mean the period). We say that these numbers are  $A_2$ -binary. The remaining numbers have a single  $A_2$ -representation. We say that these numbers are  $A_2$ -unary.

The theory of  $A_2$ -continued fractions started in the work [3]. We will recall the key concepts and facts of this theory, which we will use further.

$A_2$ -representation is easily recoded by alphabet  $A$ , that is:

$$x = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, \dots] \equiv \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k \dots}^A,$$

where  $\alpha_k = 2a_k - 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is called  $A$ -representation of number  $x$ .

**Definition 1.**  $A$ -cylinder of rank  $m$  with a base  $c_1 \dots c_m$  is a set

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^A = \{x : x = \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m \beta_1 \dots \beta_n \dots}^A, (\beta_n) \in L\}$$

of all numbers  $x \in [\frac{1}{2}; 1]$  such that the first  $m$  digits of their representation are equal to  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m$  respectively.

$$\text{Clearly, } \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m}^A = \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m 0}^A \cup \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m 1}^A; [\frac{1}{2}; 1] = \bigcup_{c_1 \in A} \dots \bigcup_{c_m \in A} \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m}^A;$$

- 1)  $A$ -cylinder  $\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m}^A$  is a segment with endpoints:  $\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m (01)}^A$  and  $\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m (10)}^A$ , and endpoint is left or right if the number  $m$  is even or odd;
- 2) the length of the cylinder  $\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m}^A$  is calculated by the formula

$$|\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m}^A| = \frac{1}{(q_{m-1} + q_m)(q_{m-1} + 2q_m)} \leq \frac{1}{q_{m-1}^2},$$

where  $q_m$  is a denominator of rank  $m$  of convergent of a continued  $A_2$ -fraction, i.e., the denominator of a rational number, which is the value of the expression  $[0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m]$ , that is calculated by formulas  $q_0 = 1, q_1 = a_1, q_{n+1} = a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}$ , where  $a_n = \frac{c_n + 1}{2}$ ;

- 3) basic metric relation for  $A$ -representation of numbers is calculated by formulas:

$$\frac{|\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m c}^A|}{|\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m}^A|} = \frac{1 + a \frac{q_{m-1}}{q_m}}{2a^2 + 1 + 2a \frac{q_{m-1}}{q_m}}, \quad a = \frac{c + 1}{2}, \quad (2)$$

in particular,

$$\frac{|\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m 0}^A|}{|\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m}^A|} = \frac{2 + \frac{q_{m-1}}{q_m}}{3 + 2 \frac{q_{m-1}}{q_m}}, \quad \frac{|\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m 1}^A|}{|\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m}^A|} = \frac{1 + \frac{q_{m-1}}{q_m}}{3 + 2 \frac{q_{m-1}}{q_m}},$$

where

$$\frac{|\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m 0}^A|}{|\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m 1}^A|} = \frac{2 + \frac{q_{m-1}}{q_m}}{1 + \frac{q_{m-1}}{q_m}} = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{q_{m-1}}{q_m}}.$$

## 2. Normal properties of numbers in terms of their $A$ -representation.

**Definition 2.** The property  $B$  of the  $A$ -representation of the number  $x \in [\frac{1}{2}; 1]$  is called normal if the set  $H_B$  of numbers possessing the property is a set of complete Lebesgue measure, i.e.,  $\lambda([\frac{1}{2}; 1] \setminus H_B) = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(c_1, \dots, c_p)$  be an ordered set of zeros and ones. The set*

$$D_0 = \{x : x = \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \dots}^A, \overline{\alpha_{k+1} \alpha_{k+2} \dots \alpha_{k+p}} \neq \overline{c_1 \dots c_p} \quad \forall k \in N\}$$

*of all numbers of the segment  $[\frac{1}{2}; 1]$  whose  $A$ -representation does not contain the set  $c_1 \dots c_p$  as consecutive digits of the representation is of zero Lebesgue measure.*

*Proof.* Remark that in cases where  $p = 1$  or  $p = 2$  and  $c_1 \neq c_2$  the statement is obvious because the set  $D_0$  is countable. We exclude these cases in the sequel.

Since

$$D_0 \subset F \equiv \{x : x = \Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dots}^A, \text{ where } \overline{\alpha_{kp+1} \alpha_{kp+2} \dots \alpha_{(k+1)p}} \neq \overline{c_1 \dots c_p} \quad \forall k \in N\},$$

to prove the statement it is enough to prove that  $\lambda(F) = 0$ .

$$\text{Let } F_0 \equiv [\frac{1}{2}; 1], F_m \equiv \{x : x = \Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \dots}^A, \overline{\alpha_{kp+1} \alpha_{kp+2} \dots \alpha_{(k+1)p}} \neq \overline{c_1 \dots c_p}, k = \overline{1, m}\}.$$

It is obvious that  $F \subset F_{m+1} \subset F_m$  and  $F = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_m$ . Then

$$\lambda(F) \leq \lambda(F_m) \quad \forall m \in N \text{ and } \lambda(F) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(F_m).$$

Since  $F_1 = [\frac{1}{2}; 1] \setminus \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_p}^A$ , we have  $\lambda(F_1) = \frac{1}{2} - |\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_p}^A| > 0$ .

If we give the Lebesgue measure of the set  $F_m$  in the form

$$\lambda(F_m) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda(F_m)}{\lambda(F_{m-1})} \cdot \frac{\lambda(F_{m-1})}{\lambda(F_{m-2})} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{\lambda(F_2)}{\lambda(F_1)} \cdot \frac{\lambda(F_1)}{\lambda(F_0)},$$

we obtain

$$\lambda(F) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(F_m) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(F_m)}{\lambda(F_{m-1})}.$$

Taking into account the equalities  $F_{m-1} \setminus F_m \equiv \overline{F}_m$ , we obtain  $F_m = F_{m-1} \setminus \overline{F}_m$ . Then

$$\lambda(F) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(F_{m-1}) - \lambda(\overline{F}_m)}{\lambda(F_{m-1})} = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} [1 - \frac{\lambda(\overline{F}_m)}{\lambda(F_{m-1})}].$$

Since

$$\frac{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n c_1 \dots c_p}^A|}{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^A|} = \frac{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n c_1 \dots c_p}^A|}{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n c_1 \dots c_{p-1}}^A|} \cdot \frac{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n c_1 \dots c_{p-1}}^A|}{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n c_1 \dots c_{p-2}}^A|} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n c_1}^A|}{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^A|},$$

from the basic metric relation for  $A_2$ -continued fractions and its corollaries it follows that there exist two positive constants  $d_1, d_2$ ,  $d_1 < d_2$ , such that

$$0 < d_1 < \frac{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p \dots \alpha_{kp+1} \dots \alpha_{(k+1)p} c_1 \dots c_p}^A|}{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p \dots \alpha_{kp+1} \dots \alpha_{(k+1)p}}^A|} < d_2 < 1.$$

Hence,  $\frac{\lambda(\overline{F}_m)}{\lambda(F_{m-1})} \geq 1 - d_2 > 0$ ; and then  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(\overline{F}_m)}{\lambda(F_{m-1})} = \infty$ . Taking into account the connection of divergence of infinite products and their corresponding series, we have  $\lambda(F) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\lambda(D_0) = 0$  and  $\lambda([\frac{1}{2}; 1] \setminus D_0) = \frac{1}{2}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *The equality  $\lambda(D_0 \cap \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m}^A) = 0$  is satisfied for any tuple  $c_1 \dots c_m$ .*

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(a_1, \dots, a_m)$  be an arbitrary ordered tuple of zeros and ones. Let  $H$  be a set of all numbers of the segment  $[\frac{1}{2}; 1]$  such that their  $A$ -representation contains this tuple infinitely many times. Then  $H$  is a set of full measure, i.e.,

$$\lambda\left(\left[\frac{1}{2}; 1\right] \setminus H\right) = 0. \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* By the previous theorem, it follows that the set  $[\frac{1}{2}; 1] \setminus D_0$  is a set of full measure.

Let  $D_k$  be a set of numbers that use the tuple of digits  $a_1 \dots a_m$  exactly  $k$  times. Then it is obvious that there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that numbers of all  $A$ -cylinders of rank  $n > n_0$  never use this tuple of digits. Then, by the corollary of the previous theorem and the  $\sigma$ -additivity of the Lebesgue measure, it follows that  $\lambda(D_k) = 0$ . Then  $\lambda(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k) = 0$  as a measure of the countable union of sets of zero measure. Thus, since

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}; 1\right] \setminus H = D_0 \cup D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \dots,$$

we have the equality (3). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** The property of the number  $x \in [\frac{1}{2}; 1]$  to use each of the possible tuples of digits as consecutive digits of the  $A$ -representation infinitely many times is normal.

**3. Quasi-exponential functions related to the  $A$ -representation of numbers.** We consider the class of functions defined by equality

$$f(x = \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k \dots}^A) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\alpha_k(x)}, \quad (4)$$

where  $(\lambda_k)$  is a given sequence of positive numbers such that the infinite product  $P \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k$  is absolutely convergent. For the binary representation of the argument, functions of this type were considered by B. Sendov in his work [17], for  $Q_2$ -representation, they were considered in work [7], for  $Q_2^*$ -representation, they were considered in work [8].

**Remark 1.** For the function  $f$  to be well defined by equality (4) we use only one of two existing representation for  $A$ -binary numbers, which has a period (10).

The following equations are obvious:

- 1)  $f(\Delta_{(0)}^A) = 1, f(\Delta_{(1)}^A) = P,$   
 $f(x = \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m 1(10)}^A) = \lambda_1^{c_1} \lambda_2^{c_2} \dots \lambda_m^{c_m} \lambda_{m+1} \lambda_{m+2} \dots \lambda_{m+2k} \dots, k \in \mathbb{N};$
- 2)  $\frac{f(\Delta_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k \dots}^A)}{f(\Delta_{b_1 b_2 \dots b_k \dots}^A)} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{a_k - b_k},$  particularly  $f(\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m c \alpha_{m+2} \dots}^A) = \lambda_{m+1}^{2c-1} f(\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m [1-c] \alpha_{m+2} \dots}^A).$

Since  $\lambda_k > 0$ , we have  $\lambda_k = e^{v_k}$  for some real number  $v_k$ . Therefore, we can write equality (4) in the form

$$f(x = \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \dots}^A) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{v_k \alpha_k} = e^{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k \alpha_k}. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, from the absolute convergence of the infinite product  $\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_k \cdot \dots$  it follows that the series  $v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_k + \dots$  is absolutely convergent.

Since the definition of the function  $f$  is based on a chain of dependencies

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}; 1\right] \ni x \leftrightarrow (\alpha_n) \in L \rightarrow \varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i \alpha_i(x) \rightarrow f(x) = e^{\varphi(x)},$$

function  $f$  is composite:  $f(x) = e^{\varphi(x)}$ , where the inner function is

$$\varphi(x = \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \dots}^A) = v_1 \alpha_1(x) + v_2 \alpha_2(x) + \dots + v_n \alpha_n(x) + \dots \quad (6)$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $r_0 = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_n + \dots$  be absolutely convergent series, where  $v_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . In order that equality

$$v_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{n+2k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{n+2k} \quad (7)$$

holds for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it is necessary and sufficient that the equality

$$v_n = \frac{v_1 (-1)^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}}, v_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (8)$$

holds for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof. Necessity.* Assume that equality (7) is satisfied. We prove that equality (8) is satisfied for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $n = 1$  then equality (7) is equivalent to the  $v_1 + v_2 - v_3 + v_4 - v_5 + \dots = 0$ . Adding  $2(v_3 + v_5 + \dots)$  to both parts, we get  $r_0 = 2(v_3 + v_5 + \dots)$ . Hence,

$$v_1 + v_2 + v_4 + \dots = \frac{r_0}{2} = v_3 + v_5 + v_7 + \dots$$

If  $n = 2$  then we have  $v_2 + v_3 + v_5 + v_7 + \dots = v_4 + v_6 + v_8 + \dots$ . Therefore,

$$v_2 + v_3 - v_4 + v_5 - v_6 + v_7 - v_8 + \dots = 0.$$

Adding  $2(v_4 + v_6 + v_8 + \dots)$  to both previous parts, we get

$$v_2 + v_3 + v_5 + \dots = \frac{r_1}{2} = v_4 + v_6 + v_8 + \dots$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_0}{2} &= v_1 + v_2 + \frac{r_1}{2}, & \frac{r_0}{2} &= v_1 + v_2 + \frac{r_0 - v_1}{2}, \\ v_1 + v_2 - \frac{v_1}{2} &= 0, & v_2 &= -\frac{v_1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

For a general case, we add right part of equality (7) to both parts of this equality. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{m+2k} = \frac{r_{m-1}}{2} = v_m + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{m+2k-1}.$$

Then, for  $n = m + 1$ , we obtain

$$\frac{r_m}{2} = v_{m+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{m+2k},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{r_{m-1}}{2} &= v_m + v_{m+1} + \frac{r_m}{2}, \\ \frac{r_{m-1}}{2} &= v_m + v_{m+1} + \frac{r_{m-1} - v_m}{2}, \\ v_{m+1} &= -\frac{v_m}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we receive equality (8) in  $n$  steps.

*Sufficiency.* If we substitute the expression  $v_n = \frac{v_1(-1)^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}}$  directly in (7), we receive

$$v_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{n+2k-1} = \frac{v_1(-1)^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{n+2k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{n+2k}. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 3.** *The function  $f(x)$  is continuous at each  $A$ -unary point, and it is continuous at  $A$ -binary point  $x^* = \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m 0(01)}^A = \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m 1(10)}^A$  if and only if the following equality holds:*

$$v_m + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{m+2k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{m+2k}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 = \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \dots}^A$  be an arbitrary  $A$ -unary number. Consider  $x = \Delta_{a_1 \dots a_n \dots}^A$  such that  $x \neq x_0$ . Then there exists  $m$  such that  $a_m \neq \alpha_m$  but  $a_i = \alpha_i$  if  $i < m$ . Moreover,  $x \rightarrow x_0$  is equivalent to  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, we have

$$\frac{f(x)}{f(x_0)} = \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \lambda_i^{a_i - \alpha_i} \cdot \lambda_m^{a_m - \alpha_m} \cdot \prod_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{a_i - \alpha_i},$$

but  $\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \lambda_i^{a_i - \alpha_i} = 1$ ,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_m = 1 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \lambda_i^{a_i - \alpha_i}$ .

Therefore,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = f(x_0)$ , i.e., function  $f$  is continuous at the point  $x_0$ .

It is easy to prove that function  $f$  is continuous at the point  $x^*$  if and only if images of two formally different representations calculated by the formula (5) are equal:

$$\begin{aligned}f(\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_{m-1} 1(10)}^A) &= e^{c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_{m-1} v_{m-1} + v_m + v_{m+1} + v_{m+3} + \dots + v_{m+2k+1} + \dots}, \\ f(\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_{m-1} 0(01)}^A) &= e^{c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_{m-1} v_{m-1} + v_{m+2} + v_{m+4} + \dots + v_{m+2k} + \dots}.\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, these values are equal when

$$v_m + v_{m+1} + v_{m+3} + \dots + v_{m+2k+1} + \dots = v_{m+2} + v_{m+4} + \dots + v_{m+2k} + \dots,$$

in other words, when equality (7) is true. □

**Corollary 3.** *The function  $f$  defined by equality (4) is continuous on the segment  $[\frac{1}{2}; 1]$  if and only if  $\lambda_k = e^{\frac{c(-1)^{k-1}}{2^{k-1}}}$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Theorem 4.** *Each continuous function  $\varphi$  and  $f$  respectively defined by equality (6) and (4) respectively is strictly decreasing for  $v_1 > 0$ , strictly increasing for  $v_1 < 0$ , and a constant for  $v_1 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\varphi$  and  $f$  have the same type of monotonicity i.e. they are simultaneously decreasing or increasing. We prove the theorem for  $v_1 > 0$  only (the proof is similar for  $v_1 < 0$ ).

Let  $f$  be continuous function defined by (4), and let  $(v_n)$  be the corresponding series, namely  $\lambda_n = e^{v_n}$ . Taking into account lemma, we get  $v_n = \frac{v_1(-1)^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}}$ . To prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that the function  $\varphi(x = \Delta_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_n\dots}^A) = \alpha_1v_1 + \dots + \alpha_nv_n + \dots$  is strictly decreasing. We consider two arbitrary different points of segment  $[\frac{1}{2}; 1]$ :

$$x_1 = \Delta_{c_1\dots c_m 1\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots}^A \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = \Delta_{c_1\dots c_m 0\beta_1\beta_2\dots}^A.$$

For them we have

$$\varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2) = \frac{v_1(-1)^m}{2^m} + v_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_n - \beta_n)(-1)^{m+n}}{2^{n+m}}.$$

If  $m$  is an even number, then  $x_1 < x_2$  and

$$\varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2) > \frac{v_1}{2^m} - v_1 \left( \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{m+2}} + \dots \right) = 0.$$

Hence,  $\varphi(x_1) > \varphi(x_2)$ . If  $m$  is an odd number, then  $x_1 > x_2$  and

$$\varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2) < -\frac{v_1}{2^m} + v_1 \left( \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{m+2}} + \dots \right) = 0.$$

Hence,  $\varphi(x_1) < \varphi(x_2)$ .

Strict inequalities are a consequence of the fact that  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Indeed, equality is possible only if  $\alpha_1 = 1$  and  $(\alpha_{2k-1}, \alpha_{2k}) = (\beta_{2k}, \beta_{2k+1}) = (1, 0)$  for all  $k \in N$  or equivalently numbers  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are  $A$ -binary numbers. Thus,  $\varphi$  is a strictly decreasing function.  $\square$

**4. Singularity of continuous quasi-exponential functions.** In the sequel, we consider the continuous functions  $\varphi$  and  $f$  defined by equalities (6) and (4) that is under condition (8). At the same time, we take  $v_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ , i.e.,  $v_n = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2^n}$ .

First, we prove a general additional statement.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $x_0$  be an internal point of the domain  $D_g$  of the continuous function  $g$ . If there exists a derivative  $g'(x_0)$  of the function  $g$  at the point  $x_0$ , then it can be calculated by the formula*

$$g'(x_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(w_n) - g(u_n)}{w_n - u_n}, \quad (9)$$

where  $u_n \in D_g$ ,  $w_n \in D_g$ ,  $u_n \leq x_0 \leq w_n$ ,  $0 < w_n - u_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

If limit (9) does not exist for some sequences  $(u_n)$  and  $(w_n)$ , then the classical derivative  $g'(x_0)$  does not exist either.

*Proof.* Let  $w_n \equiv x_0 + \tau_n$ ,  $u_n \equiv x_0 - \varepsilon_n$ ,  $\tau_n > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_n > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \equiv \frac{g(w_n) - g(u_n)}{w_n - u_n} &= \frac{g(x_0 + \tau_n) - g(x_0 - \varepsilon_n)}{\varepsilon_n + \tau_n} = \frac{g(x_0 + \tau_n) - g(x_0)}{\tau_n + \varepsilon_n} + \frac{g(x_0) - g(x_0 - \varepsilon_n)}{\tau_n + \varepsilon_n} = \\ &= \frac{g(x_0 + \tau_n) - g(x_0)}{\tau_n} \cdot \frac{\tau_n}{\tau_n + \varepsilon_n} + \frac{g(x_0) - g(x_0 - \varepsilon_n)}{\varepsilon_n} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\tau_n + \varepsilon_n}. \end{aligned}$$



Since the derivative  $g'(x_0)$  exists, we have

$$\frac{g(x_0 + \tau_n) - g(x_0)}{\tau_n} = g'(x_0) + \alpha(x_0, \tau_n), \text{ where } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x_0, \tau_n) = 0,$$

$$\frac{g(x_0) - g(x_0 - \varepsilon_n)}{\varepsilon_n} = g'(x_0) + \beta(x_0, \varepsilon_n), \text{ where } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(x_0, \varepsilon_n) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \delta &= [g'(x_0) + \alpha(x_0, \tau_n)] \frac{\tau_n}{\tau_n + \varepsilon_n} + [g'(x_0) + \beta(x_0, \varepsilon_n)] \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\tau_n + \varepsilon_n} = \\ &= g'(x_0) + \alpha(x_0, \tau_n) \cdot \frac{\tau_n}{\tau_n + \varepsilon_n} + \beta(x_0, \varepsilon_n) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\tau_n + \varepsilon_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the inequalities  $0 < \frac{\tau_n}{\tau_n + \varepsilon_n} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\tau_n}} < 1$ ,  $0 < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\tau_n + \varepsilon_n} = \frac{1}{\frac{\tau_n}{\varepsilon_n} + 1} < 1$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(w_n) - g(u_n)}{w_n - u_n} = g'(x_0).$$

The second part of the lemma is a consequence of the first part.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *If there exists a finite derivative of the function (6) at the point  $x_0 = \Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^A$ , then it can be calculated by each of the formulas*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(x_0) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^A(01)) - \varphi(\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^A(10))}{\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^A(01) - \Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^A(10)} = \\ &= - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2k} |\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2k}}^A|} = -2 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=1}^{2k} \frac{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-1}}^A|}{2 |\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n}^A|} = -2 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-1}}^A|}{2 |\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n}^A|}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

If limit (10) does not exist, then the classical derivative  $\varphi'(x_0)$  does not exist.

The limit (10) is called *cylindrical derivative* of function  $\varphi$  at the point  $x_0$ .

**Theorem 5.** *If  $\varphi$  and  $f$  are continuous functions defined by equalities (6) and (4), then, for almost all numbers  $x \in [\frac{1}{2}; 1]$  (in the sense of Lebesgue measure), the equalities  $\varphi'(x) = 0 = f'(x)$  are satisfied.*

*Proof.* Since function  $f$  is continuous and monotonic, by the famous Lebesgue theorem, it follows that  $f$  has finite derivative at almost all internal points of the domain of definition. We denote the set of all such points by  $V$ .

Let  $x_0$  be a point, where there exists a finite derivative  $\varphi'(x_0)$  (of  $f'(x_0)$  respectively) and  $x_0$  have the normal property of the  $A$ -representation, which is stated by Theorem 2. Then  $x_0 \in W = H \cap V$  and the set  $W$  is of full Lebesgue measure ( $\lambda(W) = \frac{1}{2}$ ) as the intersection of two sets of full measure.

Taking into account the corollary of previous lemma, we have

$$\varphi'(x_0) = -2 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-1}}^A|}{2 |\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^A|}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\alpha_n = \alpha_n(x_0)$  is an  $n$ -th digit of  $A$ -representation of a number  $x_0$ . Since, taking into account equalities (2)), multiplier

$$\delta_n = \frac{|\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-1}}^A|}{2 |\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^A|} = \frac{2a^2 + 1 + 2a \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n}}{2(1 + a \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n})}, \text{ where } a \in \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right\},$$

$$\delta_n = \frac{2 + \frac{q_n-1}{q_n}}{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{q_n-1}{q_n}}, \text{ if } a = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ and } \delta_n = \frac{2 + 2\frac{q_n-1}{q_n}}{3 + 2\frac{q_n-1}{q_n}}, \text{ if } a = 1,$$

does not tend to 1 for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that is, the necessary condition of convergence of the infinite product (11) is not satisfied, then  $\varphi'(x_0) = 0$ , and therefore  $f'(x_0) = 0$ . Hence, the function  $f$  is singular.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. S. Albeverio, Y. Kulyba, M. Pratsiovytyi, G. Torbin, *On singularity and fine spectral structure of random continued fractions*, Math. Nachr., **288** (2015), 1803–1813.
2. A. Denjoy, *Sur une fonction réelle de Minkowski*, J.Math. Pures Appl., **17**, (1938), 105–151.
3. S.O. Dmytrenko, D.V. Kyurchev, M.V. Prats'ovytyi,  *$A_2$ -continued fraction representation of real numbers and its geometry*, Ukr. Math. J., **61** (2009), №4, 541–555.
4. B. Jessen, A. Wintner, *Distribution functions and the Riemann zeta function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **38**, №1, 48–88 (1935).
5. E. Lukacs, *Characteristic functions*, Second ed., London: Griffin, 1970.
6. H. Minkowski, *Gesammelte abhandlungen*, Vol.2, Berlin, 1911, 774–794.
7. M.V. Pratsiovytyi, Ya.V. Goncharenko, S.O. Dmytrenko, I.M. Lysenko, S.P. Ratushniak, *About one class of function with fractal properties*, Bukovynian Math. J., **9**, №1, 273–283 (2021).
8. M.V. Pratsiovytyi, Ya.V. Goncharenko, I.M. Lysenko, S.P. Ratushniak, *Fractal functions of exponential type that is generated by the  $Q_2^*$ -representation of argument*, Mat. Stud., **56**, №2, 133–143 (2021).  
doi: 10.30970/ms.56.2.133-143
9. M. V. Pratsiovytyi, *Fractal approach to investigation of singular probability distributions*, National Pedagogical University, Kyiv, 1998.
10. M.V. Pratsiovytyi, *Singularity of distributions of random variables given by distributions of elements of its continued fraction representation*, Ukr. Math. J., **48**, №8, 1086–1095 (1996).
11. M.V. Pratsiovytyi, *Nowhere monotonic singular functions*, Nauk. Chasop. Nats. Pedagog. Univ. Mykhaila Dragomanova, Ser. 1. Fiz.-Mat. Nauky, №12, 24–36 (2011).
12. M.V. Pratsiovytyi, A.V. Kalashnikov, V.K. Bezborodov, *Singularity of functions of a one-parameter class containing the Minkowski function*, Nauk. Chasop. Nats. Pedagog. Univ. Mykhaila Dragomanova, Ser. 1. Fiz.-Mat. Nauky, (2010), №11, 225–231.
13. M.V. Pratsiovytyi, O.V. Svyinchuk, *Singular non-monotone functions defined in terms of  $Q_s^*$ -representations of the argument*, Nauk. Chasop. Nats. Pedagog. Univ. Mykhaila Dragomanova, Ser. 1. Fiz.-Mat. Nauky, (2013), №15, 144–155.
14. U.K. Shukla, *On points of non-symmetrical differentiability of continuous functions*. III, Ganita, **8** (1957), 81–107.
15. R. Salem, *On some singular monotonic functions which are strictly increasing*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **53** (1943), 423–439.
16. W. Sierpiński, *An elementary example of an increasing function that has a derivative equal to zero almost everywhere*, Matematycheskyi Sbornyk, bf 30 (1916), №3, 449–473.
17. B.Kh. Sendov, *Binary self-similar fractal functions*, Fundamentalnaya i prikladnaya matematika, **5** (1999), №2, 589–595.

Institute of Mathematics of NASU, National Pedagogical Dragomanov University  
Kyiv, Ukraine  
prats4444@gmail.com  
goncharenko.ya.v@gmail.com  
iryna.pratsiovyta@gmail.com  
ratush404@gmail.com

Received 28.07.2022

Revised 30.08.2022