

D. YILMAZ, H. YAZARLI

ON THE TRACE OF PERMUTING TRI-DERIVATIONS ON RINGS

D. Yilmaz, H. Yazarli. *On the trace of permuting tri-derivations on rings*, Mat. Stud. **58** (2022), 20–25.

In the paper we examined the some effects of derivation, trace of permuting tri-derivation and endomorphism on each other in prime and semiprime ring. Let R be a 2, 3-torsion free prime ring and $F: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ be a permuting tri-derivation with trace f , $d: R \rightarrow R$ be a derivation. In particular, the following assertions have been proved:

- if $[d(r), r] = f(r)$ for all $r \in R$, then R is commutative or $d = 0$ (Theorem 1);
- if $g: R \rightarrow R$ is an endomorphism such that $F(d(r), r, r) = g(r)$ for all $r \in R$, then $F = 0$ or $d = 0$ (Theorem 2);
- if $F(d(r), r, r) = f(r)$ for all $r \in R$, then (i) $F = 0$ or $d = 0$, (ii) $d(r) \circ f(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$ (Theorem 3).

On the other hand, if there exist permuting tri-derivations $F_1, F_2: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ such that $F_1(f_2(r), r, r) = f_1(r)$ for all $r \in R$, where f_1 and f_2 are traces of F_1 and F_2 , respectively, then (i) $F_1 = 0$ or $F_2 = 0$, (ii) $f_1(r) \circ f_2(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$ (Theorem 4).

1. Introduction and preliminaries. Throughout this paper, R will denote an associative ring. Recall that a ring R is prime if for any $r, s \in R$, $rRs = 0$ implies $r = 0$ or $s = 0$ and R is semiprime if $rRr = 0$ implies $r = 0$. An element $r \in R$ is called n -torsion free, where $n > 1$ is an integer, if $nr = 0$ implies $r = 0$. A ring R is called n -torsion free ring if every element in R is n -torsion free. For any $r, s \in R$, the symbol $[r, s]$ will denote the commutator $rs - sr$ and the symbol $r \circ s$ will stand for the anti-commutator $rs + sr$. We will use the commutator identities: $[rs, t] = [r, s]t + r[s, t]$ and $[r, st] = [r, s]t + s[r, t]$. An additive map $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation if $d(rs) = d(r)s + rd(s)$ holds for all $r, s \in R$. The commutativity of prime rings with derivations was introduced by E. C. Posner in [6]. In [5], M. A. Öztürk achieved some results by introducing the idea of permuting tri-derivations in rings. A mapping $F(., ., .): R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is called permuting if the equation $F(r_1, r_2, r_3) = F(r_{\pi(1)}, r_{\pi(2)}, r_{\pi(3)})$ holds for all $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in R$ and every permutation $\pi(1), \pi(2), \pi(3)$. A map $f: R \rightarrow R$ defined by $f(r) = F(r, r, r)$ is called the trace of F , where $F(., ., .): R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a permuting map. Clearly, if $F(., ., .): R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is permuting tri-additive (i.e., additive in all three arguments), then the trace of F satisfies the relation $f(r + s) = f(r) + f(s) + 3F(r, r, s) + 3F(r, s, s)$ for all $r, s \in R$. A permuting tri-additive map $F(., ., .): R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is called a permuting tri-derivation if $F(rw, s, t) = F(r, s, t)w + rF(w, s, t)$ for all $r, s, t, w \in R$. The trace of F is an odd function. In [4], [1], [7] and [8], the authors investigated some properties of permuting tri-derivations in prime and semiprime rings.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 13N15, 16N60, 17A36.

Keywords: derivation; trace of permuting tri-derivation; endomorphism.

doi:10.30970/ms.58.1.20-25

In the present paper we study and investigate some results involving the traces of permuting tri-derivations, derivations and endomorphisms in the prime and semiprime rings. Our results extend some results contained in [2].

Lemma 1 ([6]). *Let R be a prime ring and d be a derivation of R such that $[d(r), r] = 0$ for all $r \in R$. Then R is commutative or $d = 0$.*

Lemma 2 ([3]). *Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring. If $r, s \in R$ such that $rxs + sxr = 0$ for all $x \in R$, then $r = 0$ or $s = 0$.*

Lemma 3 ([5]). *Let R be a 2, 3-torsion free ring, F be a permuting tri-additive mapping of R and f be the trace of F . If $f(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$, then $F = 0$.*

Lemma 4 ([5]). *Let R be a 2, 3-torsion free semiprime ring. Suppose that $F(., ., .): R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a permuting tri-derivation with trace f . If $F(f(r), r, r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$, then $F = 0$.*

2. Results.

Theorem 1. *Let R be a 2, 3-torsion free prime ring. Suppose that $F: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a permuting tri-derivation with trace f . Let $d: R \rightarrow R$ be a derivation. If $[d(r), r] = f(r)$ for all $r \in R$, then R is commutative or $d = 0$.*

Proof. We have

$$[d(r), r] = f(r) \quad (1)$$

for all $r \in R$. Substituting $r + s$ for r in (1) and using (1), we get

$$[d(r), s] + [d(s), r] = 3F(r, r, s) + 3F(r, s, s) \quad (2)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Substituting $r = -r$ in (2), comparing with (2) and using the fact that R is a 2, 3-torsion free ring, we find that

$$F(r, r, s) = 0 \quad (3)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Replacing r by $r + w$ in (3), we have

$$0 = F(r, r, s) + 2F(r, w, s) + F(w, w, s) \quad (4)$$

for all $r, s, w \in R$. Combining (3) and (4), we get $2F(r, w, s) = 0$ for all $r, s, w \in R$. Since R is the 2-torsion free ring, we have $F(r, w, s) = 0$ for all $r, s, w \in R$. This implies that $F = 0$ and so $f = 0$. In view of Lemma 1, the theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 2. *Let R be a 2, 3-torsion free prime ring. Suppose that $F: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a permuting tri-derivation with trace f and $d: R \rightarrow R$ is a derivation. If $g: R \rightarrow R$ is an endomorphism such that $F(d(r), r, r) = g(r)$ for all $r \in R$, then $F = 0$ or $d = 0$.*

Proof. Let g be an endomorphism satisfying

$$F(d(r), r, r) = g(r) \quad (5)$$

for all $r \in R$. Linearizing (5) and using (5), we have

$$0 = 2F(d(r), r, s) + F(d(r), s, s) + F(d(s), r, r) + 2F(d(s), r, s) \quad (6)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Putting $-s$ instead of s in (6) and subtracting the result from equation (6), we find that

$$0 = 2F(d(r), r, s) + F(d(s), r, r) \quad (7)$$

for all $r, s \in R$, since R is 2-torsion free. Replacing s by sr in (7), we get

$$0 = 3sF(d(r), r, r) + d(s)f(r) + F(s, r, r)d(r) \quad (8)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Replacing s by rs in (8), we obtain

$$0 = 3rsF(d(r), r, r) + d(r)sf(r) + rd(s)f(r) + f(r)sd(r) + rF(s, r, r)d(r) \quad (9)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. In view of (8) and (9), we get $0 = d(r)sf(r) + f(r)sd(r)$ for all $r, s \in R$. Application of Lemma 2 gives that $d(r) = 0$ or $f(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$. Then by Lemma 3, the theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 3. *Let R be a 2, 3-torsion free prime ring, $F: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ be a permuting tri-derivation with trace f . If $d: R \rightarrow R$ is a derivation such that $F(d(r), r, r) = f(r)$ for all $r \in R$, then*

(i) $F = 0$ or $d = 0$,

(ii) $d(r) \circ f(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$.

Proof. Given that

$$F(d(r), r, r) = f(r) \quad (10)$$

for all $r \in R$. Replacing r by $r + s$ in (10) and using (10) in the last equation, we get

$$2F(d(r), r, s) + F(d(r), s, s) + F(d(s), r, r) + 2F(d(s), r, s) = 3F(r, r, s) + 3F(r, s, s) \quad (11)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Replacing s by $-s$ in (11) and subtracting the new equation from (11), we have

$$2F(d(r), r, s) + F(d(s), r, r) = 3F(r, r, s) \quad (12)$$

for all $r, s \in R$, since R is 2-torsion free. Taking sr for s in (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2F(d(r), r, s)r + 2sF(d(r), r, r) + F(d(s), r, r)r + d(s)f(r) + \\ + F(s, r, r)d(r) + sF(d(r), r, r) = 3F(r, r, s)r + 3sf(r) \end{aligned}$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Using equations (10) and (12), we conclude that

$$0 = d(s)f(r) + F(s, r, r)d(r) \quad (13)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Replacing s by rs in (13) and using (13), we find that $0 = d(r)sf(r) + f(r)sd(r)$ for all $r, s \in R$. Lemma 2 implies that $d(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$ or $f(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$. From Lemma 3, we have $F = 0$. Replacing s by r in (13), we have $d(r)f(r) + f(r)d(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$. This means that $d(r) \circ f(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$. \square

Theorem 4. *Let R be a 2, 3-torsion free prime ring. Suppose that there exist permuting tri-derivations $F_1, F_2: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ such that $F_1(f_2(r), r, r) = f_1(r)$ for all $r \in R$, where f_1 and f_2 are traces of F_1 and F_2 , respectively. Then:*

(i) $F_1 = 0$ or $F_2 = 0$, (ii) $f_1(r) \circ f_2(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$.

Proof. Assume that F_1 and F_2 are permuting tri-derivations satisfying

$$F_1(f_2(r), r, r) = f_1(r) \quad (14)$$

for all $r \in R$. The linearization of (14) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & 2F_1(f_2(r), r, s) + F_1(f_2(r), s, s) + F_1(f_2(s), r, r) + 2F_1(f_2(s), r, s) + \\ & + 3F_1(F_2(r, r, s), r, r) + 6F_1(F_2(r, r, s), r, s) + 3F_1(F_2(r, r, s), s, s) + 3F_1(F_2(r, s, s), r, r) + \\ & + 6F_1(F_2(r, s, s), r, s) + 3F_1(F_2(r, s, s), s, s) = 3F_1(r, r, s) + 3F_1(r, s, s) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Replacing s by $-s$ in (15) and comparing with (15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2F_1(f_2(r), r, s) + F_1(f_2(s), r, r) + 3F_1(F_2(r, r, s), r, r) + \\ & + 3F_1(F_2(r, r, s), s, s) + 6F_1(F_2(r, s, s), r, s) = 3F_1(r, r, s) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for all $r, s \in R$, since R is 2-torsion free. Replacing r by $r + s$ in (16) and applying (14) and (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & 12F_1(f_2(s), s, s) + 9F_1(F_2(r, r, s), s, s) + 18F_1(F_2(r, s, s), r, s) + \\ & + 18F_1(F_2(r, s, s), s, s) + 3F_1(f_2(s), r, r) + 12F_1(f_2(s), r, s) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Taking $s = -s$ in (17), comparing with (17) and using the fact that R is 2, 3-torsion free ring, we get

$$0 = 3F_1(F_2(r, s, s), s, s) + 2F_1(f_2(s), r, s) \quad (18)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Now substituting rs for r in (18) and using (18), we obtain

$$0 = F_2(r, s, s)f_1(s) + F_1(r, s, s)f_2(s) \quad (19)$$

for all $r, s \in R$, because R is 3-torsion free. Replacing r by sr in (19), we find that $f_1(s)rf_2(s) + f_2(s)rf_1(s) = 0$ for all $r, s \in R$. Lemma 2 implies that $f_1(s) = 0$ or $f_2(s) = 0$ for all $s \in R$. From Lemma 3, we have $F_1 = 0$ or $F_2 = 0$. Replacing s by r in (19), we get $f_2(r)f_1(r) + f_1(r)f_2(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$. Hence, $f_1(r) \circ f_2(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$. \square

Theorem 5. *Let R be a 2, 3, 5-torsion free semiprime ring. Suppose that there exist permuting tri-derivations $F_1, F_2: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ such that $F_1(f_1(r), r, r) = f_2(r)$ for all $r \in R$, where f_1 and f_2 are traces of F_1 and F_2 , respectively. Then $F_1 = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that

$$F_1(f_1(r), r, r) = f_2(r) \quad (20)$$

for all $r \in R$. Linearization of (20) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & F_1(f_1(r), r, r) + 2F_1(f_1(r), r, s) + F_1(f_1(r), s, s) + F_1(f_1(s), r, r) + 2F_1(f_1(s), r, s) + \\ & + F_1(f_1(s), s, s) + 3F_1(F_1(r, r, s), r, r) + 6F_1(F_1(r, r, s), r, s) + 3F_1(F_1(r, r, s), s, s) + \\ & + 3F_1(F_1(r, s, s), r, r) + 6F_1(F_1(r, s, s), r, s) + 3F_1(F_1(r, s, s), s, s) = \\ & = f_2(r) + f_2(s) + 3F_2(r, r, s) + 3F_2(r, s, s) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Putting $s = -s$ in (21) and comparing with the obtained equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2F_1(f_1(r), r, s) + F_1(f_1(s), r, r) + 3F_1(F_1(r, r, s), r, r) + \\ & + 3F_1(F_1(r, r, s), s, s) + 6F_1(F_1(r, s, s), r, s) = 3F_2(r, r, s) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for all $r, s \in R$, since R is 2-torsion free. Substituting $r+s$ for r in (22), using (20) and (22), we get $0 = 12F_1(f_1(s), s, s) + 9F_1(F_1(r, r, s), s, s) + 18F_1(F_1(r, s, s), r, s) + 18F_1(F_1(r, s, s), s, s) + 3F_1(f_1(s), r, r) + 12F_1(f_1(s), r, s)$ for all $r, s \in R$. Since R is 3-torsion free, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & 4F_1(f_1(s), s, s) + 3F_1(F_1(r, r, s), s, s) + 6F_1(F_1(r, s, s), r, s) + \\ & + 6F_1(F_1(r, s, s), s, s) + F_1(f_1(s), r, r) + 4F_1(f_1(s), r, s) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Replacing r by $r+s$ in (23) and using (23) we get $0 = 20F_1(f_1(s), s, s) + 12F_1(F_1(r, s, s), s, s) + 8F_1(f_1(s), r, s)$ for all $r, s \in R$. The last expression implies that $40F_1(f_1(s), s, s) = 0$ for all $s \in R$. Since R is 2, 5-torsion free ring, we have $F_1(f_1(s), s, s) = 0$ for all $s \in R$. In view of Lemma 4, the last equation implies that $F_1 = 0$. \square

Corollary 1. *Let R be a 2, 3, 5-torsion free semiprime ring. Let $F: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ be a permuting tri-derivation with trace f . If $F(f(r), r, r) = f(r)$ for all $r \in R$, then $F = 0$.*

Theorem 6. *Let R be a 2, 3, 5-torsion free semiprime ring. Suppose that $F: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a permuting tri-derivation with trace f . If $g: R \rightarrow R$ is an endomorphism such that $F(f(r), r, r) = g(r)$ for all $r \in R$, then $F = 0$.*

Proof. We have

$$F(f(r), r, r) = g(r) \quad (24)$$

for all $r \in R$. Linearizing of (24) and using (24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & 2F(f(r), r, s) + F(f(r), s, s) + F(f(s), r, r) + 2F(f(s), r, s) + \\ & + 3F(F(r, r, s), r, r) + 6F(F(r, r, s), r, s) + 3F(F(r, r, s), s, s) + \\ & + 3F(F(r, s, s), r, r) + 6F(F(r, s, s), r, s) + 3F(F(r, s, s), s, s) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Taking $s = -s$ in (25) and subtracting the result from (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & 2F(f(r), r, s) + F(f(s), r, r) + 3F(F(r, r, s), r, r) + \\ & + 3F(F(r, r, s), s, s) + 6F(F(r, s, s), r, s) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for all $r, s \in R$, since R is 2-torsion free. Replacing r by $r+s$ in (26) and using (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & 15F(f(s), s, s) + 12F(F(r, r, s), r, s) + 9F(F(r, r, s), s, s) + 24F(F(r, s, s), s, s) + \\ & + 18F(F(r, s, s), r, s) + 16F(f(s), r, s) + 2F(f(r), s, s) + 6F(F(r, s, s), r, r) + 3F(f(s), r, r) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Putting $-s$ instead of s in (27) and comparing with (27), since f is odd and R is 2, 3-torsion free, we get

$$0 = 5F(f(s), s, s) + 3F(F(r, r, s), s, s) + 6F(F(r, s, s), r, s) + F(f(s), r, r) \quad (28)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Putting $r = r+s$ in (28) and using (28), we get

$$0 = 12F(F(r, s, s), s, s) + 8F(f(s), r, s) + 10F(f(s), s, s) \quad (29)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Replacing r by s in (29), it is obvious to verify that $F(f(s), s, s) = 0$, because R is 2, 3, 5-torsion free. It follows from Lemma 4 that we have $F = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 7. *Let R be a 2, 3-torsion free prime ring. Suppose that $F: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a permuting tri-derivation with trace f . Let $d: R \rightarrow R$ be a derivation. If $d([f(r), r]) = 0$ for all $r \in R$, then $[f(r), r]d(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$.*

Proof. Assume that

$$d([f(r), r]) = 0 \quad (30)$$

for all $r \in R$. In the given condition, replacement of r by $r + s$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & d([f(r), s]) + d([f(s), r]) + 3d([F(r, r, s), r]) + \\ & + 3d([F(r, r, s), s]) + 3d([F(r, s, s), r]) + 3d([F(r, s, s), s]) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Putting $-s$ instead of s in (31) and subtracting the new equation from (31), we get

$$d([f(r), s]) + d([f(s), r]) + 3d([F(r, r, s), r]) + 3d([F(r, s, s), s]) = 0 \quad (32)$$

for all $r, s \in R$, since f is odd and R is 2-torsion free. Replacing r by $r + s$ in (32), using (30) and (32), we get

$$6d([F(r, r, s), s]) + 9d([F(r, s, s), s]) + 6d([F(r, s, s), r]) + 3d([f(s), r]) = 0 \quad (33)$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Taking $s = -s$ in (33), subtracting the resulting equation from (33), we get $0 = 18d([F(r, s, s), s]) + 6d([f(s), r])$ for all $r, s \in R$. Since R is 2, 3-torsion free ring, we conclude that $0 = 3d([F(r, s, s), s]) + d([f(s), r])$ for all $r, s \in R$. Replacing r by sr in this equality and using it, we get $0 = 4[f(s), s]d(r) + 3d(f(s))[r, s] + 3f(s)d([r, s]) = 0$ for all $r, s \in R$. Again we replace s with r in the last equality. Since R is a 2-torsion free prime ring, we get $[f(r), r]d(r) = 0$ for all $r \in R$. \square

REFERENCES

1. H. Durna, S. Oğuz *Permuting tri-derivations in prime and semi-prime rings*, International Journal of Algebra and Statistics, **5** (2016), №1, 52–58.
2. U. Leerawat and S. Khun-in, *On Trace of symmetric bi-derivations on rings*, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, **16** (2021), №2, 743–752.
3. J. Mayne, *Centralizing mappings of prime rings*, Canad. Math. Bull., **27** (1984) №1, 122–126.
4. D. Özden, M. A. Öztürk, Y. B. Jun, *Permuting tri-derivations in prime and semi-prime gamma rings*, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, **46** (2006), №2, 153–167.
5. M.A. Öztürk, *Permuting tri-derivations in prime and semiprime rings*, East Asian Math. J., **15** (1999), №2, 177–190.
6. E.C. Posner, *Derivations in prime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **8** (1957), №6, 1093–1100.
7. H. Yazarli, M.A. Öztürk, Y.B. Jun, *Tri-additive maps and permuting tri-derivations*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ankara. Ser. A1, Mathematics and Statistics, **54** (2005), №01, 1–14.
8. H. Yazarli, *Permuting triderivations of prime and semiprime rings*, Miskolc Mathematical Notes, **18** (2017), №1, 489–497.

Erzurum Technical University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics
 Erzurum, Turkey
 damla.yilmaz@erzurum.edu.tr
 Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics
 Sivas, Turkey
 hyazarli@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

Received 20.06.2022