

УДК 517.927.6, 517.984.52

YA. O. BARANETSKIY, P. I. KALENYUK, M. I. KOPACH, A. V. SOLOMKO

THE NONLOCAL MULTIPOINT PROBLEM WITH DIRICHLET-TYPE CONDITIONS FOR AN ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF EVEN ORDER WITH INVOLUTION

Ya. O. Baranetskiy, P. I. Kalenyuk, M. I. Kopach, A. V. Solomko. *The nonlocal multipoint problem with Dirichlet-type conditions for an ordinary differential equation of even order with involution*, Mat. Stud. **54** (2020), 64–78.

The spectral properties of the nonself-adjoint problem with multipoint perturbations of the Dirichlet conditions for differential operator of order $2n$ with involution are investigated. The system of eigenfunctions of a multipoint problem is constructed. Sufficient conditions have been established, under which this system is complete and, under some additional assumptions, forms the Riesz basis. The research is structured as follows. In section 2 we investigate the properties of the Sturm-type conditions and nonlocal problem with self-adjoint boundary conditions for the equation

$$(-1)^n y^{(2n)}(x) + a_0 y^{(2n-1)}(x) + a_1 y^{(2n-1)}(1-x) = f(x), \quad x \in (0, 1).$$

In section 3 we study the spectral properties for nonlocal problem with nonself-adjoint boundary conditions for this equation. In sections 4 we construct a commutative group of transformation operators. Using spectral properties of multipoint problem and conditions for completeness the basis properties of the systems of eigenfunctions are established in section 5. In section 6 some analogous results are obtained for multipoint problems generated by differential equations with an involution and are proved the main theorems.

1. Introduction and main results. During recent years the number of publications with the use of an involution operator in the theory of PT -symmetric operators, PT -symmetric quantum theory (see [11], [12]), various sections of the theory of ordinary differential equations (see [1], [4], [15], [17], [19], [21]–[24], [27], [31], [32]), partial differential equations (see [6], [10], [14], [20], [25]) and differential equations with operator coefficients (see [2], [3], [7], [8]) increased significantly.

The spectral properties of boundary-value problems with strongly regular conditions by Birkhoff were studied in [9], [19], [23]. The properties of problems with regular but not strongly regular conditions by Birkhoff were studied in the papers [5], [24], [29]. Problems with irregular conditions by Birkhoff were studied in [16], [29].

This paper is a continuation of the research [2]–[10].

Let

$$W_2^{2n}(0, 1) := \{y \in L_2(0, 1) : y^{(m)} \in AC[0, 1], y^{(2n)} \in L_2(0, 1), m = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1\},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34G10, 34K10, 34K30, 34L10.

Keywords: Fourier method; nonlocal problem; transformation operator; Riesz basis; involution operator.
doi:10.30970/ms.54.1.64-78

W^* be the space of linear and continuous functionals over $W_2^{2n}(0, 1)$,

$$L_{2,j}(0, 1) := \{y \in L_2(0, 1) : y(x) = (-1)^j y(1-x)\}, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

$[L_2(0, 1)]$ the algebra of linear and bounded operators $A: L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$.

We are considering the multipoint problem

$$(-1)^n y^{(2n)}(x) + a_0 y^{(2n-1)}(x) + a_1 y^{(2n-1)}(1-x) = f(x), \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (1)$$

$$\ell_j y := y^{(j-1)}(0) - (-1)^j y^{(j-1)}(1) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (2)$$

$$\ell_{n+j} y := y^{(j-1)}(0) + (-1)^j y^{(j-1)}(1) + \ell_j^1 y = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\ell_j^1 y := \sum_{s=0}^{k_0} \sum_{m=0}^{k_j} b_{s,m,j} y^{(m)}(x_s), \quad (4)$$

$a_0, a_1, b_{s,m,j} \in \mathbb{R}$, $s = 0, 1, \dots, k_0$, $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{k_0} = 1$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, k_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $p = 0, 1$.

Definition 1. The function $y(x)$ from the space $W_2^{2n}(0, 1)$ that satisfies nonlocal conditions (2)–(4) and equation (1) in the sense of equality in space $L_2(0, 1)$ is called a *solution of problem (1)–(4)*.

Let L be the operator of problem (1)–(4):

$$Ly := (-1)^n y^{(2n)}(x) + a_0 y^{(2n-1)}(x) + a_1 y^{(2n-1)}(1-x), \quad y \in D(L),$$

$$D(L) := \{y \in W_2^{2n}(0, 1) : \ell_s y = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\},$$

$$V(L) := \{v_{r,q}(x, L) \in L_2(0, 1), \quad r = 0, 1, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

the system of eigenfunctions of the operator L .

Let us consider the following assumptions, that are necessary for further investigation.

Assumption P_1 :

$$b_{s,m,j} = (-1)^m b_{k_0-s,m,j} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x_s = 1 - x_{k_0-s},$$

where $s = 0, 1, \dots, k_0$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, k_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Assumption P_2 : $a_1 = -a_0$.

Assumption P_3 : $k_j \leq j - 1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The following theorems are the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1. 1. Let Assumptions P_1, P_2 hold. Then the operator L has discrete spectrum and complete and minimal system of eigenfunctions $V(L)$ in the space $L_2(0, 1)$.

2. If Assumptions P_1 – P_3 hold, then the system $V(L)$ is a Riesz basis of the space $L_2(0, 1)$.

Theorem 2. If Assumptions P_1 – P_3 hold, then for arbitrary function

$$f = \sum_{r=0}^1 \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} f_{r,q} v_{r,q}(x, L) \in L_2(0, 1)$$

the unique solution $u \in W_2^{2n}(0, 1)$ of problem (5)–(7) exists.

Our research is structured as follows. In section 2 we investigate the properties of the Sturm-type conditions and nonlocal problem with self-adjoint boundary conditions for the equation (1). In section 3 we study the spectral properties for nonlocal problem with nonself-adjoint boundary conditions for the equation (1). In section 4 we construct a commutative group of transformation operators. Using spectral properties of multipoint problem and conditions for completeness the basis properties of the systems of eigenfunctions are established in section 5. In section 6 some analogous results are obtained for multipoint problems generated by differential equations with an involution and proved the main theorems.

2. Self-adjoint boundary value problems. Let us consider for the equation

$$(-1)^n y^{(2n)}(x) = f(x), \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (5)$$

the problem with boundary conditions

$$\ell_{0,j}y := y^{(j-1)}(0) - (-1)^j y^{(j-1)}(1) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (6)$$

$$\ell_{0,n+j}y := y^{(j-1)}(0) + (-1)^j y^{(j-1)}(1) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (7)$$

Let L_0 be the operator of problem (5)–(7):

$$L_0y := (-1)^n y^{(2n)}(x), \quad y \in D(L_0), \quad D(L_0) := \{y \in W_2^{2n}(0, 1) : \ell_{0,s}y = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\}.$$

Remark 1. The boundary conditions (6)–(7) are chosen so that the relations

$$\ell_{0,j} \in W_0^*, \quad \ell_{0,n+j} \in W_1^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (8)$$

hold, where $W_p^*(0, 1) := \{\ell \in W^* : L_{2,1-p}(0, 1) \subset \ker(\ell)\}$, $p = 0, 1$.

Remark 2. The boundary conditions (6)–(7) are equivalent to self-adjoint conditions of Sturm-type (see [26]) and strongly regular by Birkhoff [19], [23], [26]:

$$y^{(j-1)}(0) = y^{(j-1)}(1) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Therefore, the conditions (6)–(7) are self-adjoint.

Thus, the operator L_0 is generated by expression $(-1)^n y^{(2n)}(x)$ and boundary conditions (6)–(7) and is self-adjoint.

We define the eigenfunctions of operator L_0 . The roots ρ_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, of characteristic equation $(-1)^n \rho^{2n} = \lambda$, $|\arg \rho| \leq \frac{1}{2n}\pi$, which corresponds to the differential equation

$$(-1)^n y^{(2n)} - \lambda y = 0, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (9)$$

are determined by the relation $\rho_j = \omega_j \rho$, where

$$(-1)^n \omega_j^{2n} = 1, \quad \omega_1 = \iota, \quad \omega_j = \omega_1 \exp\left(\frac{\pi \iota}{n}(j-1)\right), \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

Let us consider the fundamental system of differential equation (9):

$$y_j(x, \rho) := e^{\omega_j \rho x} + e^{\omega_j \rho(1-x)} \in L_{2,0}(0, 1), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (10)$$

$$y_{n+j}(x, \rho) := e^{\omega_j \rho x} - e^{\omega_j \rho(1-x)} \in L_{2,1}(0, 1), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (11)$$

Substituting the general solution

$$y(x, \rho) = \sum_{s=1}^{2n} C_s y_s(x, \rho), \quad C_s \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (12)$$

of differential equation (9) into boundary conditions (6)–(7), we obtain the equation for determining the eigenvalues of the operator L_0 :

$$\Delta(\rho, L_0) := \det[\ell_{0,r} y_s]_{r,s=\overline{1,2n}} = 0.$$

From the relations (8), (10), (11) we obtain the equalities

$$\ell_{0,j} y_{n+r}(x, \rho) = 0, \quad \ell_{0,n+j} y_r(x, \rho) = 0, \quad j, r = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Therefore, $\Delta(\rho, L_0) = \Delta_0(\rho, L_0) \Delta_1(\rho, L_0)$, where

$$\Delta_0(\rho, L_0) := \det[\ell_{0,j} y_r]_{r,j=\overline{1,n}}, \quad \Delta_1(\rho, L_0) := \det[\ell_{0,n+j} y_{n+r}]_{r,j=\overline{1,n}}.$$

Let $\rho_{s,q}$ be solutions of the equation $\Delta_s(\rho, L_0) = 0$ and $\lambda_{s,q} := (-1)^n \rho_{s,q}^{2n}$ are corresponding eigenvalues of operator L_0 , that numbered in ascending order, $s = 0, 1, q = 1, 2, \dots$

We construct the system of eigenfunctions of the operator L_0 .

By the elements of systems (10), (11) define the functions

$$v_{0,q}(x, L_0) := \gamma_{0,q} \begin{vmatrix} y_1(x, \rho_{0,q}) & \dots & y_n(x, \rho_{0,q}) \\ \ell_{0,2} y_1 & \dots & \ell_{0,2} y_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \ell_{0,n} y_1 & \dots & \ell_{0,n} y_n \end{vmatrix}, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots,$$

which after some transformations take the form

$$v_{0,q}(x, L_0) = \gamma_{1,q} \times \begin{vmatrix} y_1(x, \rho_{0,q}) & \dots & y_r(x, \rho_{0,q}) & \dots & y_n(x, \rho_{0,q}) \\ \omega_1(1 - e^{\omega_1 \rho_{0,q}}) & \dots & \omega_r(1 - e^{\omega_r \rho_{0,q}}) & \dots & \omega_n(1 - e^{\omega_n \rho_{0,q}}) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_1^{n-1}(1 - (-1)^n e^{\omega_1 \rho_{0,q}}) & \dots & \omega_r^{n-1}(1 - (-1)^n e^{\omega_r \rho_{0,q}}) & \dots & \omega_n^{n-1}(1 - (-1)^n e^{\omega_n \rho_{0,q}}) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

where $q = 1, 2, \dots$

Note, that we select the parameters $\gamma_{1,q}$ so that $\|v_{0,q}(x, L_0)\|_{L_2(0,1)} = 1$, $q = 1, 2, \dots$

Similarly, define eigenfunctions $v_{1,q}(x, L_0) \in L_{2,1}(0, 1)$:

$$v_{1,q}(x, L_0) := \gamma_{2,q} \begin{vmatrix} y_{n+1}(x, \rho_{1,q}) & \dots & y_{2n}(x, \rho_{1,q}) \\ \ell_{0,n+2} y_{n+1} & \dots & \ell_{0,n+2} y_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \ell_{0,2n} y_{n+1} & \dots & \ell_{0,2n} y_{2n} \end{vmatrix}, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (14)$$

where parameters $\gamma_{2,q}$ are selected so that equalities $\|v_{1,q}(x, L_0)\|_{L_2(0,1)} = 1$, $q = 1, 2, \dots$, are valid.

Thus, the system of eigenfunctions

$$V(L_0) := \{v_{s,q}(x, L_0) \in L_2(0, 1): s = 0, 1, q = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

of the self-adjoint operator L_0 is an orthonormal basis of space $L_2(0, 1)$.

The self-adjoint operator L_0 has only its eigenfunctions, each of which is defined by formulas (13) or (14).

Therefore, the integration of systems

$$V_s(L_0) := \{v_{s,q}(x, L_0) \in L_2(0, 1), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad s = 0, 1,$$

is an orthonormal basis of space $L_2(0, 1)$.

Considering the ratio $L_2(0, 1) = L_{2,0}(0, 1) \oplus L_{2,1}(0, 1)$ we obtain the following conclusions:

1. The systems of functions

$$V_s(L_0) := \{v_{s,q}(x, L_0) \in L_2(0, 1), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad s = 0, 1,$$

are orthonormal bases in $L_{2,0}(0, 1)$ and $L_{2,1}(0, 1)$, respectively.

2. $L_0: L_{2,s}(0, 1) \rightarrow L_{2,s}(0, 1)$, $s = 0, 1$.

Let us determine eigenfunctions (13), (14) by the relations

$$v_{0,q}(x, L_0) = \gamma_{1,q} \sum_{r=1}^n \Delta_{0,r}^0(\rho_{0,q}) y_r(x, \rho_{0,q}),$$

$$\Delta_{0,r}^0(\rho_{0,q}) :=$$

$$= \left\| \begin{array}{cccccc} \omega_1(1 - e^{\omega_1 \rho_{0,q}}) & \dots & \omega_{r-1}(1 - e^{\omega_{r-1} \rho_{0,q}}) & \omega_{r+1}(1 - e^{\omega_{r+1} \rho_{0,q}}) & \dots & \omega_n^1(1 - e^{\omega_n \rho_{0,q}}) \\ \omega_1^2(1 + e^{\omega_1 \rho_{0,q}}) & \dots & \omega_{r-1}^2(1 + e^{\omega_{r-1} \rho_{0,q}}) & \omega_{r+1}^2(1 + e^{\omega_{r+1} \rho_{0,q}}) & \dots & \omega_n^2(1 + e^{\omega_n \rho_{0,q}}) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_1^{n-1}(1 - (-1)^n e^{\omega_1 \rho_{0,q}}) & \dots & \omega_{r-1}^{n-1}(1 - (-1)^n e^{\omega_{r-1} \rho_{0,q}}) & \omega_{r+1}^{n-1}(1 - (-1)^n e^{\omega_{r+1} \rho_{0,q}}) & \dots & \omega_n^{n-1}(1 - (-1)^n e^{\omega_n \rho_{0,q}}) \end{array} \right\|,$$

$$v_{1,q}(x, L_0) = \gamma_{2,q} \sum_{r=1}^n \Delta_{1,r}^0(\rho_{1,q}) y_{n+r}(x, \rho_{1,q}),$$

$$\Delta_{1,r}^0(\rho_{1,q}) :=$$

$$= \left\| \begin{array}{cccccc} \omega_1(1 + e^{\omega_1 \rho_{1,q}}) & \dots & \omega_{r-1}(1 + e^{\omega_{r-1} \rho_{1,q}}) & \omega_{r+1}(1 + e^{\omega_{r+1} \rho_{1,q}}) & \dots & \omega_n^1(1 + e^{\omega_n \rho_{1,q}}) \\ \omega_1^2(1 - e^{\omega_1 \rho_{1,q}}) & \dots & \omega_{r-1}^2(1 - e^{\omega_{r-1} \rho_{1,q}}) & \omega_{r+1}^2(1 - e^{\omega_{r+1} \rho_{1,q}}) & \dots & \omega_n^2(1 - e^{\omega_n \rho_{1,q}}) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_1^{n-1}(1 + (-1)^n e^{\omega_1 \rho_{1,q}}) & \dots & \omega_{r-1}^{n-1}(1 + (-1)^n e^{\omega_{r-1} \rho_{1,q}}) & \omega_{r+1}^{n-1}(1 + (-1)^n e^{\omega_{r+1} \rho_{1,q}}) & \dots & \omega_n^{n-1}(1 + (-1)^n e^{\omega_n \rho_{1,q}}) \end{array} \right\|,$$

where $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $q = 1, 2, \dots$.

For equation (5) consider the self-adjoint problem with boundary conditions:

$$\ell_{1,p,j} y := y^{(j-1)}(0) - (-1)^j y^{(j-1)}(1) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (15)$$

$$\ell_{1,p,n+p} y := y^{(2n-p)}(0) - (-1)^p y^{(2n-p)}(1) = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\ell_{1,p,n+j} y := y^{(j-1)}(0) + (-1)^j y^{(j-1)}(1) = 0, \quad j \neq p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (17)$$

Let $L_{1,p}$ be the operator of problem (5), (16)–(17):

$$L_{1,p} y := (-1)^n y^{(2n)}(x), \quad y \in D(L_{1,p}),$$

$$D(L_{1,p}) := \{y \in W_2^{2n}(0, 1) : \ell_{1,p,s} y = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\}.$$

Substituting the general solution (12) of the equation (5) into boundary conditions (15)–(17) we obtain the equation

$$\Delta(\rho, L_{1,p}) = \Delta_0(\rho, L_{1,p}) \Delta_1(\rho, L_{1,p}) = 0$$

to determine the eigenvalues of the self-adjoint operator $L_{1,p}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\rho, L_{1,p}) &= \det[\ell_{1,p,s}y_r(x, \rho)]_{s,r=1}^{2n}, \quad \Delta_0(\rho, L_{1,p}) = \det[\ell_{1,p,s}y_r(x, \rho)]_{r,s=1}^n, \\ \Delta_1(\rho, L_{1,p}) &= \det[\ell_{1,p,n+s}y_{n+r}(x, \rho)]_{s,r=1}^n.\end{aligned}$$

The boundary conditions (15)–(17) are chosen so that the relations

$$\ell_{1,j} \in W_0^*, \quad \ell_{1,n+p} \in W_1^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

hold. Therefore $\Delta(\rho, L_{1,p}) = \Delta_0(\rho, L_{1,p})\Delta_1(\rho, L_{1,p})$.

Since boundary conditions (6) and (15) coincide, then

$$\Delta_0(\rho, L_0) \equiv \Delta_0(\rho, L_{1,1}) \equiv \dots \equiv \Delta_0(\rho, L_{1,n}).$$

Substituting functions $v_{0,q}(x, L_0)$ into boundary conditions (16)–(17), we conclude that $v_{0,q}(x, L_0) \in D(L_{1,p})$, $q = 1, 2, \dots$.

Therefore, the self-adjoint operators $L_0, L_{1,p}$, $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, have the same part of eigenvalues $\sigma_0(L_0) = \{\lambda_{0,q}(L_0), q = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and eigenfunctions $V_0(L_0)$, and systems $V_r(L_{1,p}) \subset L_{2,r}(0, 1)$ are orthonormal bases in $L_{2,r}(0, 1)$, $r = 0, 1$.

3. Nonlocal boundary problems. For equation (5) we consider the problem with boundary conditions:

$$\ell_{2,p,j}y := y^{(j-1)}(0) - (-1)^j y^{(j-1)}(1) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (18)$$

$$\ell_{2,p,n+p}y := y^{(2n-p)}(0) - (-1)^p y^{(2n-p)}(1) + b_p(y^{(2n-p)}(0) + (-1)^p y^{(2n-p)}(1)) = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\ell_{2,p,n+j}y := y^{(j-1)}(0) + (-1)^{j-1} y^{(j-1)}(1) = 0, \quad j \neq p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (20)$$

for $b_p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Let $L_{2,p}$ be the operator of problem (5), (18)–(20):

$$\begin{aligned}L_{2,p}y &:= (-1)^n y^{(2n)}(x), \quad y \in D(L_{2,p}), \\ D(L_{2,p}) &:= \{y \in W_2^{2n}(0, 1) : \ell_{2,p,s}y = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. *The eigenvalues of operators $L_{1,p}$ and $L_{2,p}$ coincide. The system of eigenfunctions $V(L_{2,p})$ of the operator $L_{2,p}$ is a Riesz basis of the space $L_2(0, 1)$.*

Proof. Let us consider the spectral problem (8), (18)–(20).

Substituting the general solution (9) of differential equation (10) into boundary conditions (18)–(20), we obtain the equation to determine the eigenvalues of the operator $L_{2,p}$:

$$\Delta(\rho, L_{2,p}) := \det[\ell_{2,p,r}y_k]_{r,k=\overline{1,2n}} = 0.$$

From (8), (10), (11), (18)–(20) we have equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_{2,p,n+p}y_{n+r}(x, \rho) &= \ell_{1,p,n+p}y_{n+r}(x, \rho), \quad \ell_{2,p,n+j}y_r(x, \rho) = \ell_{1,p,n+j}y_r(x, \rho) = 0, \quad p \neq j, \\ \ell_{2,p,j}y_{n+r}(x, \rho) &= 0, \quad p, j, r = 1, 2, \dots, n.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\Delta(\rho, L_{2,p}) = \Delta(\rho, L_{1,p})$, where

$$\Delta(\rho, L_{1,p}) = \Delta_0(\rho, L_{1,p})\Delta_1(\rho, L_{1,p}), \quad \Delta_0(\rho, L_{1,p}) = \det[\ell_{1,p,r}y_j]_{r,j=\overline{1,n}},$$

$$\Delta_1(\rho, L_{1,p}) = \det[\ell_{1,p,n+r}y_{n+j}]_{r,j=\overline{1,n}}.$$

Consequently, the operators $L_{1,p}$ and $L_{2,p}$ have the same eigenvalues $\lambda_{s,q}(L_{1,p}) = \lambda_{s,q}(L_{2,p})$, $s = 0, 1$, $q = 1, 2, \dots$, and the same system of eigenfunctions $V_1(L_0) \subset L_{2,1}(0, 1)$.

We construct the eigenfunctions $v_{0,q}(x, L_{2,p})$ of the operator $L_{2,p}$, that correspond to eigenvalues $\lambda_{0,q}(L_{1,p}) = \lambda_{0,q}(L_{2,p})$, $q = 1, 2, \dots$, respectively.

Consider the functions

$$y_{1,s}(x, \rho_{0,q}) := e^{\omega_s \rho_{0,q} x} - e^{\omega_s \rho_{0,q} (1-x)} \in L_{2,1}(0, 1), \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$y_{2,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}) := \sum_{s=1}^n \Delta_{p,s}(\rho_{0,q}) y_{1,s}(x, \rho_{0,q}), \quad (21)$$

where

$$\Delta_{p,s}(\rho_{0,q}) := \det[\ell_{2,p,n+j} y_{1,r}(x, \rho_{0,q})]_{j,r=\overline{1,n}}, \quad j \neq p, \quad r \neq s.$$

If we substitute functions (21) into boundary conditions (18)–(20), then we obtain

$$\ell_{2,p,j} y_{2,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}) = 0, \quad j \neq n+p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (22)$$

The eigenfunctions $v_{0,q}(x, L_{2,p})$ of the operator $L_{2,p}$ are defined by expression

$$v_{0,q}(x, L_{2,p}) := v_{0,q}(x, L_0) + \eta_{p,q} y_{2,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots \quad (23)$$

Substituting expression (23) into boundary conditions (19), we have

$$\eta_{p,q} = -(\ell_{2,p,n+p} y_{2,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}))^{-1} \ell_{2,p,n+p} v_{0,q}(x, L_0).$$

Let $y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}) := \eta_{p,q} y_{2,p}(x, \rho_{0,q})$ for $b_p = 1$. Then from the formula (23) we obtain

$$v_{0,q}(x, L_{2,p}) = v_{0,q}(x, L_0) + b_p y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore, the operator $L_{2,p}$ has the system of eigenfunctions

$$V(L_{2,p}) := \{v_{s,q}(x, L_{2,p}) \in L_2(0, 1), \quad s = 0, 1, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots\},$$

where

$$v_{1,q}(x, L_{2,p}) = v_{1,q}(x, L_0), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots \quad (24)$$

The conditions (18)–(20) are strongly regular by Birkhoff [26]. Thus, the system $V(L_{2,p})$ is a Riesz basis in the space $L_2(0, 1)$. \square

Remark 3. The Riesz basis is almost normalized system [16]. Therefore, taking into account the expression

$$\|v_{0,q}(x, L_{2,p})\|_{L_2(0,1)} = 1 + |b_p| \|y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q})\|_{L_2(0,1)} < +\infty,$$

we obtain the boundedness of number sequence

$$C_{0,p} < \|y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q})\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq C_{1,p} < +\infty, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots$$

4. Transformation operators. We choose arbitrary number sequence $\Theta := \{\theta_q\}_{q=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$ and consider the operator $B_{p,\Theta}$, defined in space $L_2(0,1)$, whose eigenvalues coincide with the eigenvalues of the operator L_0 and eigenfunctions are determined by the relations

$$v_{1,q}(x, B_{p,\Theta}) := v_{1,q}(x, L_0), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (25)$$

$$v_{0,q}(x, B_{p,\Theta}) := v_{0,q}(x, L_0) + \theta_q y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (26)$$

Let

$$R(B_{p,\Theta}) : L_2(0,1) \rightarrow L_2(0,1) \text{ and } R(B_{p,\Theta})v_{s,q}(x, L_0) := v_{s,q}(x, B_{p,\Theta}), \quad s = 0, 1, q = 1, 2, \dots$$

From the definition of the operator $R(B_{p,\Theta}) := E + S(B_{p,\Theta})$ we obtain

$$S(B_{p,\Theta}) : L_{2,0}(0,1) \rightarrow L_{2,1}(0,1), \quad S(B_{p,\Theta}) : L_{2,1}(0,1) \rightarrow 0, \quad S^2(B_{p,\Theta}) = 0.$$

Then the operator $R^{-1}(B_{p,\Theta}) = E - S(B_{p,\Theta})$ exists.

Lemma 2. For any sequence $\{\theta_q\}_{q=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$ the system of functions $V(B_{p,\Theta})$ is complete and minimal in $L_2(0,1)$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. We prove on the contrary that the system of functions $V(B_{p,\Theta})$ is total (complete) in the space $L_2(0,1)$.

Let a function $h = h_0 + h_1$, $h_s \in L_{2,s}(0,1)$, exist, that is orthogonal to all elements of the system $V(B_{p,\Theta})$. Taking into account, that the system (25) is an orthonormal basis of space $L_{2,1}(0,1)$, we obtain $h_1 \equiv 0$.

Therefore $h \in L_{2,0}(0,1)$.

Assuming the orthogonality of the function h to the elements of the system $V(B_{p,\Theta})$, we have equality

$$(h, v_{0,q}(x, B_{p,\Theta}))_{L_2(0,1)} = (h, v_{0,q}(x, L_0))_{L_2(0,1)} = 0, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots$$

Taking into account, that the system $V_0(L_0)$ is an orthonormal basis of space $L_{2,0}(0,1)$, we obtain $h \equiv 0$. \square

We consider the operators $L_{0,\Theta}$, $L_{p,\Theta}$ that are defined in space $L_2(0,1)$ and whose eigenfunctions and eigenvalues are determined by the relations

$$\lambda_{r,q}(L_{0,\Theta}) := \lambda_{r,q}(L_{p,\Theta}) := |\theta_q| \lambda_{r,q}(L_0), \quad r = 0, 1, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$v_{r,q}(x, L_{0,\Theta}) := v_{r,q}(x, L_0), \quad r = 0, 1, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$v_{r,q}(x, L_{p,\Theta}) := v_{r,q}(x, L_{2,p}), \quad r = 0, 1, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let

$$H(L_{p,\Theta}) := \{v \in L_2(0,1) : L_{p,\Theta}v \in L_2(0,1)\},$$

$$(u, v)_{H(L_{p,\Theta})} := (u, v)_{L_2(0,1)} + (L_{p,\Theta}u, L_{p,\Theta}v)_{L_2(0,1)}, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Taking into account Lemma 1, we obtain the inequality

$$\|L_{p,\Theta}v\|_{H(L_{0,\Theta})} \leq \| [L_{2,p}] \| \|v\|_{H(L_{0,\Theta})}, \quad v \in H(L_{0,\Theta}).$$

From the definition of functions $v_{0,q}(x, B_{p,\Theta})$ we obtain

$$v_{0,q}(x, B_{p,\Theta}) = b_p^{-1}(\theta_q v_{0,q}(x, L_{2,p}) + (1 - \theta_q)v_{0,q}(x, L_0)), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore, for any $h = \sum_{r=0}^1 \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} h_{r,q} v_{r,q}(x, L_0) \in H(L_{0,\Theta})$ we obtain the inequality

$$\|R(B_{p,\Theta})h\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 \leq 2b_p^{-2}((1 + \|[R(L_{2,p})]\|^2)\|h\|_{H(L_{0,\Theta})}^2 + 2\|h\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2) < \infty.$$

Consider the relation that defines the conjugate operator (see [14]):

$$(R(B_{p,\Theta})h, g)_{L_2(0,1)} = (h, R^*(B_{p,\Theta})g)_{L_2(0,1)}, \quad h \in D(R(B_{p,\Theta})), \quad g \in D(R^*(B_{p,\Theta})).$$

Therefore, the operator $(R^*(B_{p,\Theta}))^{-1} = E - S^*(B_{p,\Theta})$ exists and the system of functions

$$\begin{aligned} W(B_{p,\Theta}) &:= \{w_{r,q}(x, L_{p,\Theta}) \in L_2(0, 1) : \\ w_{r,q}(x, L_{p,\Theta}) &:= (E - S^*(B_{p,\Theta}))v_{r,q}(x, L_0), \quad r = 0, 1, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

is biorthogonal to the system $V(B_{p,\Theta})$. □

Lemma 3. *The system of functions $V(B_{p,\Theta})$ is a Riesz basis in $L_2(0, 1)$ if and only if the sequence $\{\theta_q\}_{q=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded.*

Proof. Necessity. If the system of functions $V(B_{p,\Theta})$ is a Riesz basis, then it is almost normalized.

From the contrary, if $|\theta_q| \rightarrow \infty$ for $q \rightarrow \infty$, then, taking into account (24)–(26) and Remark 3, we obtain

$$\|v_{0,q}(x, B_{p,\Theta})\|_{L_2(0,1)} = 1 + b_p^{-1}|\theta_q| \|y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q})\|_{L_2(0,1)} \rightarrow \infty, \quad q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Sufficiency. According to account (25), (26), for arbitrary function $h \in L_2(0, 1)$ we obtain the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^1 |(R^*(B_{p,\Theta})h, v_{s,q}(x, L_0))|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 &= \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^1 |(h, v_{s,q}(x, B_{p,\Theta}))|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 \cdot \\ &\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^1 |(h, v_{s,q}(x, B_{p,\Theta}))|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 \leq \|[B_{p,\Theta}]\|^2 \|h\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the operators $R^*(B_{p,\Theta})$ and $(R^*(B_{p,\Theta}))^{-1}: L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$ are bounded. Then the system of functions $W(B_{p,\Theta})$ and $V(B_{p,\Theta})$ is Riesz basis by definition (see [15]). □

The set of operators $B_{p,\Theta}$, eigenfunctions of which are determined by formulas (25), (26) is denoted by $\Gamma_p(L_0)$. The corresponding set of transformation operators $R(B_{p,\Theta}) = E + S(B_{p,\Theta})$, we denote by $\Phi_p(L_0)$.

We introduce the operation of multiplication of transformation operators on the set $\Phi_p(L_0)$:

$$R(B_{p,\Theta,1})R(B_{p,\Theta,2}) := E + S(B_{p,\Theta,1}) + S(B_{p,\Theta,2}) = R(B_{p,\Theta,2})R(B_{p,\Theta,1}), \quad R(B_{p,\Theta,s}) \in \Phi_p(L_0),$$

and the inverse operator $R^{-1}(B_{p,\Theta}) = E - S(B_{p,\Theta})$. Therefore, $\Phi_p(L_0)$ is an Abelian group with respect to multiplication.

Let us choose n sequences of real numbers $\{\theta_{p,q}\}_{q=1}^{\infty}$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$, that are denoted by Θ , and consider the operator B_{Θ} , whose eigenvalues coincide with the eigenvalues of the operator L_0 and whose eigenfunctions are determined by the relations:

$$v_{1,q}(x, B_{\Theta}) = v_{1,q}(x, L_0), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (27)$$

$$v_{0,q}(x, B_{\Theta}) = v_{0,q}(x, L_0) + \sum_{p=1}^n \theta_{p,q} y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (28)$$

We consider the transformation operator $R(B_{\Theta}) := E + S(B_{\Theta}): L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$,

$$R(B_{\Theta})v_{s,q}(x, L_0) := v_{s,q}(x, B_{\Theta}), \quad s = 0, 1, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots.$$

From the definition of the operator B_{Θ} we obtain that $B_{\Theta} = \prod_{p=1}^n B_{p,\Theta}$ and

$$S(B_{\Theta}): L_{2,0}(0, 1) \rightarrow L_{2,1}(0, 1), \quad L_{2,1}(0, 1) \rightarrow 0, \quad S^2(B_{\Theta}) = 0.$$

Therefore, the inverse operator $R^{-1}(B_{\Theta}) = E - S(B_{\Theta})$ exists.

Lemma 4. For arbitrary sequences $\{\theta_{p,q}\}_{q=1}^{\infty}$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the system of eigenfunctions $V(B_{\Theta})$ of the operator B_{Θ} is complete and minimal in the space $L_2(0, 1)$.

The system of eigenfunctions $V(B_{\Theta})$ is a Riesz basis in the space $L_2(0, 1)$ if and only if the all sequences $\{\theta_{p,q}\}_{q=1}^{\infty}$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are bounded.

Proof of Lemma 4 is similar to that of Lemma 3. □

The set of operators B_{Θ} whose eigenfunctions are defined by formulas (26), (27) are denoted by $\Gamma(L_0)$. We also denote the corresponding set of transformation operators by $\Phi(L_0)$.

Remark 4. On the set $\Phi(L_0)$ we can define a multiplication operation and prove that $\Phi(L_0)$ is an Abelian group.

5. Multipoint problems. For $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we consider the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions for equation (5):

$$\ell_{3,p,j}y := y^{(j-1)}(0) - (-1)^j y^{(j-1)}(1) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (29)$$

$$\ell_{3,p,n+p}y := y^{(p-1)}(0) + (-1)^p y^{(p-1)}(1) + \sum_{s=0}^{k_0} \sum_{m=0}^{k_p} b_{p,m,s} y^{(m)}(x_s) = 0, \quad (30)$$

$$\ell_{3,p,n+j}y := y^{(j-1)}(0) + (-1)^j y^{(j-1)}(1) = 0, \quad j \neq p, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (31)$$

Let $L_{3,p}$ be the operator of the problem (5), (29)–(31):

$$L_{3,p}y := (-1)^n y^{(2n)}(x), \quad y \in D(L_{3,p}),$$

$$D(L_{3,p}) := \{y \in W_2^{2n}(0, 1) : \ell_{3,p,s}y = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\}.$$

Lemma 5. Let Assumption P_1 hold for condition (30) for $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then the eigenvalues of the operators L_0 and $L_{3,p}$ coincide and the system of eigenfunctions $V(L_{3,p})$ of the operator $L_{3,p}$ is complete and minimal in space $L_2(0, 1)$.

If Assumptions P_1 – P_2 hold, then the system $V(L_{3,p})$ is a Riesz basis of the space $L_2(0, 1)$.

Proof. The isospectrality of the operators L_0 and $L_{3,p}$ is determined by substituting the general solution (12) of differential equation (9) into multipoint conditions (29), (31).

Resulting system of order $2n$ has the coefficient matrix that contains a minor of order n , all elements $\ell_{3,p,n+j}y_s(x, \rho_{0,q})$ of which are equal to zero for $j, s = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Then

$$\det [\ell_{3,p,j}y_r]_{j,r=\overline{1,2n}} = \det [\ell_{0,m}y_s]_{m,s=\overline{1,n}} \det [\ell_{0,n+m}y_{n+s}]_{m,s=\overline{1,n}}.$$

By direct substitution we can see that

$$v_{1,q}(x, L_0) \in D(L_{3,p}), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Therefore, $v_{1,q}(x, L_{3,p}) = v_{1,q}(x, L_0)$, $q = 1, 2, \dots$.

Taking into account the conditions (22), the eigenfunctions $v_{0,q}(x, L_{3,p})$ of the operator $L_{3,p}$ we define by formulas

$$v_{0,q}(x, L_{3,p}) := v_{0,q}(x, L_0) + \eta_{1,p,q}y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Substituting this expression into a multipoint condition (29), we obtain

$$\eta_{1,p,q} = -(\ell_{3,p,n+p}y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}))^{-1}\ell_{3,p,n+p}v_{0,q}(x, L_0), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots \quad (32)$$

Therefore, the transformation operator $R(L_{3,p}): L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$,

$$R(L_{3,p}): v_{r,q}(x, L_0) = v_{r,q}(x, L_{3,p}), \quad r = 0, 1, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots,$$

is an element of the set $\Phi_p(L_0)$.

Then, in view of Lemma 2, we obtain the completeness and the minimality of the system of functions $V(L_{3,p})$ in the space $L_2(0, 1)$.

In the case when Assumptions P_1 and P_3 hold, by direct calculations we establish boundedness of the sequences $\{\eta_{1,p,q}\}_{q=1}^{\infty}$.

Therefore, using Lemma 3, we obtain the second statement of Lemma 5. \square

We consider for equation (5) the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions (2), (4).

Let $L_4: L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$ be the operator of the problem (5), (2)–(4):

$$L_4y := (-1)^n y^{(2n)}(x), \quad y \in D(L_4), \quad D(L_4) := \{y \in W_2^{2n}(0, 1): \ell_s y = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\}.$$

Lemma 6. *Let Assumption P_1 hold. Then operator L_4 has complete and minimal system of eigenfunctions $V(L_4)$ in the space $L_2(0, 1)$.*

If Assumptions P_1, P_2 hold, then the system $V(L_4)$ is a Riesz basis of the space $L_2(0, 1)$.

Proof. The isospectrality of operators L_0 and L_4 is established by the considerations of Lemma 5. By direct substitution we can see that $v_{1,q}(x, L_0) \in D(L_4)$, $q = 1, 2, \dots$.

Therefore, $v_{1,q}(x, L_4) = v_{1,q}(x, L_0)$, $q = 1, 2, \dots$.

The eigenfunctions $v_{0,q}(x, L_4)$ of operator L_4 we define by formulas

$$v_{0,q}(x, L_4) := v_{0,q}(x, L_0) + \sum_{p=1}^n \eta_{1,p,q}y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Given conditions (28), we obtain equality (32) for the parameters $\eta_{1,p,q}$.

Therefore, the transformation operator $R(L_4): L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1) :$

$$R(L_4): v_{r,q}(x, L_0) = v_{r,q}(x, L_4), \quad r = 0, 1, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots,$$

is an element of the set $\Phi(L_0)$.

So, according to Lemma 4, we obtain that the system of eigenfunctions $V(L_4)$ is complete and minimal in space $L_2(0, 1)$.

If Assumptions P_1, P_2 hold, then transformation operators $R(L_{3,p})$ are bounded. Therefore, taking into account the equality $R(L_4) = \prod_{p=1}^n R(L_{3,p})$, we obtain that $R(L_4) \in [L_2(0, 1)]$.

Then, taking into account the second statement of Lemma 4, we find that system of eigenfunctions $V(L_4)$ is a Riesz basis in the space $L_2(0, 1)$. \square

6. Proof of the main results. *Proof of Theorem 1.* The isospectrality of the operators L_0 and L is established by the considerations of Lemma 6. By direct substitution we can see that $v_{1,q}(x, L_0) \in D(L)$.

Therefore,

$$v_{1,q}(x, L) = v_{1,q}(x, L_0), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let us consider the functions

$$y_4(x, \rho_{0,q}) := (2x - 1)v_{0,q}(x, L_0) \in L_{2,1}(0, 1), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots \quad (33)$$

The eigenfunctions $v_{0,q}(x, L)$ of the operator L are defined by formulas

$$v_{0,q}(x, L) := v_{0,q}(x, L_0) + c_q y_4(x, \rho_{0,q}) + \sum_{p=1}^n \eta_{2,p,q} y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots \quad (34)$$

From Assumption P_2 and relations (21), (33) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ly_4(x, \rho_{0,q}) &= \lambda_{0,q} y_4(x, \rho_{0,q}) + (-1)^n 4n v_{0,q}^{(2n-1)}(x, L_0), \\ L \sum_{p=1}^n \eta_{2,p,q} y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}) &= \lambda_{0,q} \sum_{p=1}^n \eta_{2,p,q} y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, substituting expression (34) into following equation

$$Lv_{0,q}(x, L) = \lambda_{0,q} v_{0,q}(x, L),$$

we obtain

$$2a_0 v_{0,q}^{(2n-1)}(x, L_0) = (-1)^n 4n c_q v_{0,q}^{(2n-1)}(x, L_0), \quad c_q = (-1)^{n-1} (2na_0)^{-1}, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots$$

Substituting expression (34) into boundary conditions (2), (4), we define the following parameters

$$\eta_{2,p,q} = \eta_{1,p,q} - c_q (\ell_{n+p} y_{3,p,q}(x, \rho_{0,q}))^{-1} \ell_{n+p} y_4(x, \rho_{0,q}), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots$$

We choose $n + 1$ sequences of real numbers $\{\tau_{p,q}\}_{q=1}^\infty, p = 0, 1, \dots, n$, that are denoted by T .

Consider the operator B_T , whose eigenvalues coincide with the eigenvalues of the operator L_0 , and whose eigenfunctions are determined by the relations

$$v_{1,q}(x, B_T) = v_{1,q}(x, L_0), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (35)$$

$$v_{0,q}(x, B_T) = v_{0,q}(x, L_0) + \tau_{0,q}y_4(x, \rho_{0,q}) + \sum_{p=1}^n \tau_{p,q}y_{3,p}(x, \rho_{0,q}), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let us consider the transformation operator $R(B_T) := E + S(B_T): L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$:

$$R(B_T)v_{s,q}(x, L_0) := v_{s,q}(x, B_T), \quad s = 0, 1, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots$$

From the definition of the operator B_T we obtain

$$S(B_T): L_{2,0}(0, 1) \rightarrow L_{2,1}(0, 1), \quad L_{2,1}(0, 1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, $S^2(B_T) = 0$ and the operator $R^{-1}(B_T) = E - S(B_T)$ exists.

Let $\Phi(B_T): L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$ belong to the set of transformation operators $R(B_T)$. On the set $\Phi(B_T)$ we can define the multiplication operation and prove that this set is an Abelian group.

Lemma 7. *For any sequences $\{\tau_{r,q}\}_{q=1}^{\infty}$, $r = 0, 1, \dots, n$, the system of eigenfunctions $V(B_T)$ of the operator B_T is complete and minimal in space $L_2(0, 1)$.*

If the sequences $\{\tau_{r,q}\}_{q=1}^{\infty}$, $r = 0, 1, \dots, n$, are bounded, then the system of eigenfunctions $V(B_T)$ is a Riesz basis in the space $L_2(0, 1)$.

Proof. As in Lemma 2, we prove that the system of eigenfunctions of the operator B_T is complete and minimal in space $L_2(0, 1)$.

Consider the operator B as a partial case of the operator B_T , when $\tau_{p,q} = 0$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$:

$$v_{1,q}(x, B) = v_{1,q}(x, L_0), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (36)$$

$$v_{0,q}(x, B) = v_{0,q}(x, L_0) + \tau_{0,q}y_4(x, \rho_{0,q}), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots \quad (37)$$

Taking into account the definition (33) of the function $y_4(x, \rho_{0,q})$ and orthonormality of the system $V_0(L_0)$ in the space $L_2(0, 1)$, we obtain the boundedness of transformation operator $R(B): L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$:

$$R(B): v_{r,q}(x, L_0) := v_{r,q}(x, B), \quad r = 0, 1, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots$$

Consider the relations $R(B_T) = R(B)R(B_{\Theta})$. If $|\tau_{s,q}| < C < \infty$, $s = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $q = 1, 2, \dots$, then using Lemma 7 we obtain $R(B)$, where $R(B_{\Theta}) \in [L_2(0, 1)]$. \square

Taking into account (34) we obtain that the transformation operator $R(L): L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$ is the element of group $\Phi(B_T)$. Then the statement of Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 7. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Substituting the expansions

$$f = \sum_{r=0}^1 \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} f_{r,q}v_{r,q}(x, L), \quad u = \sum_{r=0}^1 \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} u_{r,q}v_{r,q}(x, L),$$

into equation (1) we obtain that $u_{r,k} = \lambda_{r,k}^{-1}f_{r,k}$, $r = 0, 1$, $q = 1, 2, \dots$

Now let us show that $u \in W_2^{2n}(0, 1)$. Differentiating the function (36), (37), we obtain

$$(-1)^n \lambda_{1,k}^{-1} f_{1,k} v_{1,q}^{(2n)}(x, L) = f_{1,k} v_{1,q}^{(2n)}(x, L), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(-1)^n \lambda_{0,k}^{-1} f_{0,k} v_{0,q}^{(2n)}(x, L) = f_{0,k} (v_{0,q}^{(2n)}(x, L) + (-1)^{n-1} a_0 \lambda_{0,k}^{-1} v_{0,q}^{(2n-1)}(x, L)), \quad q = 1, 2, \dots$$

Taking into account (13) for some $C > 0$ we obtain the inequality

$$\lambda_{0,k}^{-1} \|v_{0,q}^{(2n-1)}(x, L)\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq Cq^{-1}, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore, for some $C_1 > 0$ we obtain the inequality $\|u\|_{W_2^{2n}(0,1)} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{L_2(0,1)}$. \square

REFERENCES

1. A. Ashyralyev, A.M. Sarsenbi, *Well-posedness of an elliptic equations with an involution*, Electr. J. Diff. Eq., **284** (2015), 1–8.
2. Ya.O. Baranetskij, P.I. Kalenyuk, L.I. Kolyasa, *Boundary-value problem for abstract differential equations with operator involution*, Bukov. Math. J., **4** (2016), №3-4, 22–29. (in Ukrainian)
3. Ya.O. Baranetskij, P.I. Kalenyuk, L.I. Kolyasa, M.I. Kopach, *The nonlocal problem for the differential-operator equation of the even order with the involution*, Carpathian Math. Publ., **9** (2017), №2, 109–119.
4. Ya.O. Baranetskij, P.I. Kalenyuk, L.I. Kolyasa, M.I. Kopach, *The nonlocal multipoint problem for an ordinary differential equations of even order with the involution*, Mat. Stud., **49** (2018), №1, 80–94.
5. Ya.O. Baranetskij, P.I. Kalenyuk, L.I. Kolyasa, *Spectral properties of nonself-adjoint nonlocal boundary-value problems for the operator of differentiation of even order*, Ukr. Mat. Zh., **70** (2018), №6, 851–865.
6. Ya.O. Baranetskij, I.Ya. Ivasiuk, P.I. Kalenyuk, A.V. Solomko, *The nonlocal boundary problem with perturbations of antiperiodicity conditions for the elliptic equation with constant coefficients*, Carpathian Math. Publ., **10** (2018), №2, 215–234.
7. Ya.O. Baranetskij, I.I. Demkiv, I.Ya. Ivasiuk, M.I. Kopach, *The nonlocal problem for the $2n$ order differential equations with unbounded operator coefficients and the involution*, Carpathian Math. Publ., **10** (2018), №1, 1–17.
8. Ya.O. Baranetskij, *Dirichlet problem for even differential equations with operator coefficients containing involution*, Precarpathian Bulletin of the NTSh, **46** (2018), 26–37. (in Ukrainian)
9. Ya.O. Baranetskij, P.I. Kalenyuk, *A nonlocal problem with multipoint perturbations of the boundary conditions of the Sturm-type for an ordinary differential equation of even order*, Mathematical methods and physicommechanical fields, **62** (2019), №1, 25–37. (in Ukrainian)
10. Ya.O. Baranetskij, P.I. Kalenyuk, M.I. Kopach, A.V. Solomko, *The nonlocal boundary value problem with perturbations of mixed boundary conditions for an elliptic equation with constant coefficients, I*, Carpathian Math. Publ., **11** (2019), №2, 228–239.
11. C.M. Bender, S. Boettcher, *Real spectra in non-Hermitian Hamiltonians having PT symmetry*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **80** (1998), 5243–5246.
12. C.M. Bender, *Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians*, Rep. Prog. Phys., **70** (2007), 947–1018.
13. Ju.M. Berezanskii, *Expansions in eigenfunctions of self-adjoint operators*, Hardcover, 1968: 809.
14. M.Sh. Burlutskaya, A.P. Khromov, *Initial-boundary value problems for first-order hyperbolic equations with involution*, Dokl. Math., **84** (2011), №3, 783–786.
15. A. Cabada, F.A.F. Tojo, *Existence results for a linear equation with reflection, non-constant coefficient and periodic boundary conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **412** (2014), №1, 529–546.
16. G. Freiling, *Irregular boundary value problems revisited*, Results Math., **62** (2012), №3-4, 265–294.
17. I.C. Gohberg, M.G. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonself-adjoint operators*, Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 1969, 378 p.
18. C.P. Gupta, *Two-point boundary value problems involving reflection of the argument*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **10** (1987), №2, 361–371.
19. G.M. Kessel'man, *Unconditional convergence of the eigenvalues expansions of some differential operators*, Izvestija Vysshih Uchebnyh Zavedenii. Matematika, **39** (1964), №92, 82–93. (in Russian)
20. M. Kirane, N. Al-Salti, *Inverse problems for a nonlocal wave equation with an involution perturbation*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **9** (2016), 1243–1251.
21. L.V. Kritskov, A.M. Sarsenbi, *Spectral properties of a nonlocal problem for the differential equation with involution*, Differ. Eq., **51** (2015), №8, 984–990.
22. V.P. Kurdyumov, *On Riesz bases of eigenfunction of 2-nd order differential operator with involution and integral boundary conditions*, Izv. Saratov Univ. (N.S.), Ser. Math. Mech. Inform., **15** (2015), №4, 392–405. (in Russian)
23. V.P. Mikhailov, *Riesz basis in $L_2[0, 1]$* , Doklady AN SSSR, **144** (1962), №5, 981–984.
24. A.M. Minkin, *Odd and even cases of Birkhoff-regularity*, Math. Nachr., **174** (1995), №1, 219–230.
25. E.I. Moiseev, V.E. Ambartsumyan, *On the basis property of the eigenfunctions of the Frankl problem with nonlocal evenness and oddness conditions of the second kind*, Dokl. Math., **432** (2010), №4, 451–455. (in Russian)

26. M.A. Naimark, *Linear differential operators.*, Frederick Ungar Publ. Co., New York, 1967.
27. D. O'Regan, *Existence results for differential equations with reflection of the argument*, J. Aust. Math. Soc., **57** (1994), №2, 237–260.
28. M.A. Sadybekov, A.M. Sarsenbi, *Mixed problem for a differential equation with involution under boundary conditions of general form*, AIP Conf. Proc., **1470** (2012).
29. A.A. Shkalikov, *On the basis problem of the eigenfunctions of an ordinary differential operator*, Rus. Math. Surveys, **34** (1979), №5, 249–250.
30. A.A. Shkalikov, *The completeness of eigenfunctions and associated functions of an ordinary differential operator with irregular-separated boundary conditions*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **10** (1976), №4, 305–316.
31. V.E. Vladykina, A.A. Shkalikov, *Spectral properties of ordinary differential operators with involution*, Doklady Mathematics, **99** (2019), №1, 5–10.
32. V.E. Vladykina, A.A. Shkalikov, *Regular ordinary differential operators with involution*, Math. Notes, **106** (2019), №5, 674–687.

Lviv Polytechnic National University
Lviv, Ukraine
baryarom@ukr.net
kalenyuk@lp.edu.ua

Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University
Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine
kopachm2009@gmail.com
ansolvas@gmail.com

Received 06.02.2020

Revised 20.08.2020