A. RATHOD

VALUE DISTRIBUTION OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH **RELATIVE** (k,n) VALIRON DEFECT ON ANNULI

A. Rathod. Value distribution of meromorphic functions with relative (k,n) Valiron defect on annuli, Mat. Stud. 57 (2022), 172–185.

In the paper, we study and compare relative (k, n) Valiron defect with the relative Nevanlinna defect for meromorphic function where k and n are both non negative integers on annuli. The results we proved are as follows

1. Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ and $\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta_0(a, f) + \delta_0(\infty, f) = 2$. Then

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{T_0(R, f^{(k)})}{T_0(R, f)} = (1+k) - k\delta_0(\infty, f).$$

2. Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ such that $m_0(r, f) = S(r, f)$. If a, b and c are three distinct complex numbers, then for any two positive integer $k \mbox{ and } n$

 $3_R \delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(a,f) + 2_R \delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(b,f) + 3_R \delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(c,f) + 5_R \Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(\infty,f) \le 5_R \Delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(\infty,f) + 5_R \Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(0,f).$ 3. Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ such that $m_0(r, f) = S(r, f)$. If a, b and c are three distinct complex numbers, then for any two positive integer k and n

 ${}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(0,f) + {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(c,f) \leq_{R}\Delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f) + {}_{2R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(0,f).$ 4. Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ such that $m_0(r, f) = S(r, f)$. If a and d are two distinct complex numbers, then for any two positive integer k and p with $0 \leq k \leq p$

 ${}_{R}\delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(d,f) + {}_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(p)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(a,f) \leq_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(p)}(0,f) + {}_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(0,f),$ where n is any positive integer.

5.Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$. Then for any two positive integers k and n,

 ${}_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(0,f) \ge_{R} \delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(0,f) + {}_{R}\delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(a,f) + {}_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(\infty,f),$ where a is any non zero complex number.

1. Introduction and basic notations in the Nevanlinna theory on annuli. The uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions is an interesting problem in the value distribution theory. In 2005, A. Ya. Khrystiyanyn and A. A. Kondratyuk have proposed Nevanlinna Theory for meromorphic functions on annuli (see [5,6]). In 2009, Cao and Yi [1] investigated the uniqueness of meromorphic functions sharing some values on annuli. On the characteristic function of derivative of f(z) with maximum deficiency sum has been studied by S. K. Singh, Kulkarni and A. Weitsman [18,19] and others have done lots of work in this area (2-4), (7-17)and [20–33]). After this work, it is natural to ask whether we study and compare relative

Keywords: Nevanlinna theory; Valiron defect; meromorphic function; the annuli.

doi:10.30970/ms.57.2.172-185

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D35.

(k, n) Valiron defect with the relative Nevanlinna defect for meromorphic function on annuli where k and n are both non negative integers.

Let f(z) be a meromorphic function on the annulus $\mathbb{A} = \left\{ z : \frac{1}{R_0} < |z| < R_0 \right\}$. We recall classical notations of Nevanlinna theory as follows

$$N(R,f) = \int_0^R \frac{n(t,f) - n(0,f)}{t} dt + n(0,f) \log R,$$

$$m(R,f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta, \quad T(R,f) = N(R,f) + m(R,f),$$

where $\log^+ x = \max\{\log x, 0\}$, and n(t, f) is the counting function of poles of the function f in $\{z : |z| \le t\}$. Here we show the notations of the Nevanlinna theory on annuli. Let

$$N_1(R,f) = \int_{\frac{1}{R}}^1 \frac{n_1(t,f)}{t} dt, \qquad N_2(R,f) = \int_1^R \frac{n_2(t,f)}{t} dt,$$
$$m_0(R,f) = m(R,f) + m\left(\frac{1}{R},f\right) - 2m(1,f), \qquad N_0(R,f) = N_1(R,f) + N_2(R,f),$$

where $n_1(t, f)$ and $n_2(t, f)$ are the counting functions of the poles of the function f in $\{z: t < |z| \le 1\}$ and $\{z: 1 < |z| \le t\}$, respectively. The Nevanlinna charecteristic of f on the annulus \mathbb{A} is defined [7] by

$$T_0(R, f) = m_0(r, f) + N_0(R, f).$$

Let f(z) be a non-constant meromorphic function on the annulus $\mathbb{A}(R_0) = \{z: 1/R_0 < |z| < R_0\}$, where $1 < R_0 < +\infty$. The function f is called [4] a transcedental or admissible meromorphic function on the annulus $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$ provided that

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \frac{T_0(R, f)}{\log R} = \infty, \quad 1 < R < R_0 = +\infty$$

or

$$\lim_{R \to R_0} \frac{T_0(R,f)}{-\log(R_0 - R)} = \infty, \quad 1 < R < R_0 < +\infty,$$

respectively.

Let f(z) be a non-constant meromorphic function on the annulus $\mathbb{A}(R_0) = \{z : 1/R_0 < |z| < R_0\}$, where $1 < R_0 < +\infty$. Then, the *order* of f(z) is defined by

$$\sigma(f) = \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{\log T_0(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

Let f(z) be a non-constant meromorphic function on the annulus $\mathbb{A}(R_0) = \{z: 1/R_0 < |z| < R_0\}$, where $1 < R_0 < +\infty$. Then, the value

$$\delta_0(a, f) = \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{m_0(r, \frac{1}{f-a})}{T_0(r, f)}$$

is called the *deficiency of the function* f(z) for the value a. For $a = \infty$, we set

$$\delta_0(\infty, f) = \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{m_0(r, f)}{T_0(r, f)} = 1 - \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0(r, f)}{T_0(r, f)}$$

If $\delta_0(a, f) > 0$, $a \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$, we call a is a deficient value of f(z).

Let f(z) be a non-constant meromorphic function on the annulus $\mathbb{A}(R_0) = \{z: 1/R_0 < |z| < R_0\}$, where $1 < R_0 < +\infty$. Then, the value

$$\Theta_0(a, f) = 1 - \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{\overline{N}_0(r, \frac{1}{f-a})}{T_0(r, f)} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_0(a, f) = \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) - \overline{N}_0(r, \frac{1}{f-a})}{T_0(r, f)}$$

is called the *reduced deficiency of the function* f(z) for the value a.

The order ρ_f of meromorphic function on the annulus $\mathbb{A}(R_0) = \{z: 1/R_0 < |z| < R_0\}$, where $1 < R_0 < +\infty$ is defined as follows

$$\rho_f = \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}$$

If $\rho_f < \infty$, then f is of finite order.

The Nevanlinna defect $\delta(a, f)$ and Valiron defect $\Delta(a, f)$ of a for meromorphic function are respectively defined on the annulus $\mathbb{A}(R_0) = \{z \colon 1/R_0 < |z| < R_0\}$, where $1 < R_0 < +\infty$ as follows

$$\delta_0(a, f) = \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{m_0(r, a, f)}{T_0(r, f)} = \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0(r, a, f)}{T_0(r, f)}$$

and

$$\Delta_0(a, f) = \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{m_0(r, a, f)}{T_0(r, f)} = \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0(r, a, f)}{T_0(r, f)}$$

The relative Nevanlinna defect of α for meromorphic function on the annulus $\mathbb{A}(R_0) = \{z: 1/R_0 < |z| < R_0\}$, where $1 < R_0 < +\infty$, with respect to $f^{(k)}$ is defined as follows

$${}_{R}\delta_{0}^{(k)}(a,f) = \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{m_{0}(r,a,f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r,f)} = \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{N_{0}(r,a,f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r,f)},$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

The relative (k,n) Nevanlinna defect of α for meromorphic function on the annulus $\mathbb{A}(R_0) = \{z: 1/R_0 < |z| < R_0\}$, where $1 < R_0 < +\infty$, with respect to $f^{(k)}$ for k = 1, 2, 3, ... and n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ... is defined as follows

$${}_{R}\delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(\alpha, f) = \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{m_{0}(r, \alpha, f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(n)})} = \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{N_{0}(r, \alpha, f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(n)})}$$

and the relative (k, n) Valiron defect of α for meromorphic function on the annulus $\mathbb{A}(R_0) = \{z: 1/R_0 < |z| < R_0\}$, where $1 < R_0 < +\infty$, with respect to $f^{(k)}$ for k = 1, 2, 3, ... and n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ... is defined as follows

$${}_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(\alpha, f) = \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{m_{0}(r, \alpha, f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(n)})} = \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{N_{0}(r, \alpha, f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(n)})}.$$

Next, we have

$$\overline{N_0}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) = \overline{N_1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \overline{N_2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) = \int_{\frac{1}{R}}^{1} \frac{\overline{n_1}\left(t,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{t} dt + \int_{1}^{R} \frac{\overline{n_2}\left(t,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{t} dt$$

in which each zero of the function f - a is counted only once.

Theorem A ([6], The First Fundamental Theorem). Let f(z) be a non-constant meromorphic function in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$. Then

$$T_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = T_0(r, f) + O(1)$$
 (1)

for any fixed $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem B ([7], Lemma on the Logarithmic Derivative). Let f(z) be a non-constant meromorphic function in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Then 1. In the case, $R_0 = +\infty$,

$$m_0\left(R, \frac{f'}{f}\right) = O\left(\log(RT_0(R, f))\right) \tag{2}$$

for $R \in (1, +\infty)$ except for the set Δ_R such that $\int_{\Delta_R} R^{\alpha-1} dR < +\infty$; 2. In the case, $R_0 < +\infty$,

$$m_0\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) = O\left(\log\left(\frac{T_0(R, f)}{R_0 - R}\right)\right) \tag{3}$$

for $R \in (1, R_0)$ except for the set Δ'_R such that $\int_{\Delta'_R} \frac{dR}{(R_0 - R^{\alpha - 1})} < +\infty$.

For any non-constant meromorphic function f(z) in the punctured plane, Khrystiyanyn and Kondrutyuk [6] proved that there are at most countably many deficient values of f(z), and

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{C}} \delta_0(a, f) + \delta_0(\infty, f) \le 2.$$

If equality holds in the above inequality, then we say that f(z) has maximal deficiency sum. Following Lemmas are required to prove our main results

Lemma 1. Let f(z) be a transcendental meromorphic function in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ and k is a positive integer. Then

$$(k-1)\overline{N}_0(r,f) \le (1+\varepsilon)N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + (1+\varepsilon)(N_0(r,f) - \overline{N}_0(r,f)) + S(r,f)$$

where ε is any fixed positive number.

Proof. Proof of Lemma 1.1 follows on similar lines as in Lemma (p.30, [16]).

Lemma 2. Let f(z) be a transcendental meromorphic function in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$. Then for each positive number ε and each positive integer k, we have

$$k\overline{N}_0(r,f) \le N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + N_0(r,f) + 2\varepsilon T_0(r,f^{(k)}) + S(r,f)$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$(k-1)\overline{N}_0(r,f) \le (1+\varepsilon)N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + (1+\varepsilon)(N_0(r,f) - \overline{N}_0(r,f)) + S\left(r,f\right).$$
(4)

Noting that

$$N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \le T_0(r, f^{(k)}) + O(1)$$
(5)

and

$$N_0(r, f) \le T_0(r, f^{(k)}).$$
(6)

Now equation (4) can be written as follows

$$(k-1)\overline{N}_0(r,f) \le N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + N_0(r,f) - \overline{N}_0(r,f) \le \\ \le \varepsilon \left[N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + N_0(r,f) - \overline{N}_0(r,f)\right] + S(r,f).$$

Therefore

$$k\overline{N}_0(r,f) \le N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + N_0(r,f) \le \varepsilon \left[N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + N_0(r,f)\right] + S(r,f).$$
(7)

From (5), (6) and (7), we get

$$k\overline{N}_0(r,f) \leq N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + N_0(r,f) + 2\varepsilon T_0\left(r,f^{(k)}\right) + S(r,f).$$

2. Main results. In this paper, we will prove following theorems

Theorem 1. Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ and

$$\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta_0(a, f) + \delta_0(\infty, f) = 2.$$

Then

$$\lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{T_0(r, f^{(k)})}{T_0(r, f)} = (1+k) - k\delta_0(\infty, f).$$

Proof. From [17], we have

$$T_{0}(r, f^{(k)}) = T_{0}\left(r, f\frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) \leq T_{0}(r, f) + T_{0}\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) + O(1) =$$

= $T_{0}(r, f) + m_{0}\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) + N_{0}\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) - 2m\left(1, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) + O(1) \leq$
 $\leq T_{0}(r, f) + k\overline{N}_{0}(r, f) + S(r, f).$ (8)

176

That implies

$$\frac{T_0(r, f^{(k)})}{T_0(r, f)} \le 1 + k - k + k \frac{\overline{N}_0(r, f)}{T_0(r, f)} + \frac{S(r, f)}{T_0(r, f)} \le (1 + k) - k \left(1 - \frac{\overline{N}_0(r, f)}{T_0(r, f)}\right) + \frac{S(r, f)}{T_0(r, f)}.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{R \to r_0} \frac{T_0(r, f^{(k)})}{T_0(r, f)} \leq (1+k) - k \left(1 - \lim_{R \to R_0} \frac{\overline{N}_0(r, f)}{T_0(r, f)} \right) + \lim_{R \to R_0} \frac{S(r, f)}{T_0(r, f)} \leq \leq 1 + k - k\delta_0(\infty, f).$$
(9)

f(z) has at most countably infinitely many deficient values and we denote them by a_i . For any positive p, Wu and Chen [15] prove the following inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a_i}\right) \le T_0(r, f^{(k)}) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f).$$
(10)

From (10) and Lemma 2, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} m_0 \left(r, \frac{1}{f(z) - a_i} \right) \le T_0(r, f^{(k)}) + N_0(r, f) - k \overline{N}_0(r, f) + \\ + 2\varepsilon T_0(r, f^{(k)}) + S(r, f).$$
(11)

By the first fundamental theorem on annuli and $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (11), we obtain

$$pT_0(r,f) \leq T_0(r,f^{(k)}) + \sum_{i=1}^p N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f(z)-a_i}\right) + N_0(r,f) -k\overline{N}_0(r,f) + S(r,f).$$
(12)

and hence

$$\frac{T_0(r, f^{(k)})}{T_0(r, f)} \ge \sum_{i=1}^p \delta_0(a_i, f) + (k-1)(1 - \delta_0(\infty, f)).$$

As p is arbitrary, we have

$$\lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{T_0(r, f^{(k)})}{T_0(r, f)} \ge (1+k) - k\delta_0(\infty, f).$$
(13)

Therefore, using equations (9) and (13), we have

$$\lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{T_0(r, f^{(k)})}{T_0(r, f)} = (1+k) - k\delta_0(\infty, f).$$
(14)

Hence (i) follows.

Theorem 2. Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ and $\sum_a \delta_0(a, f) = 1$ and $\delta_0(\infty, f) = 1$, then for a non-negative integer k,

$$\lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{T_0(r, f^{(k)})}{T_0(r, f)} = 1.$$

Proof. We omit the proof of Theorem 2.2 because it can be carried out in the similar lines of Theorem 1. $\hfill \Box$

Theorem 3. Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ and $\sum_a \delta_0(a, f) = 1$ and $\delta_0(\infty, f) = 1$, then for a non-negative integer k,

$$\lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{T_0(r, f^{(k)})}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} = 1.$$

Proof. We omit the proof of Theorem 3 because it can be carried out in the similar lines of Theorem 2. \Box

Theorem 4. Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ and $\sum_a \delta_0(a, f) = 1$ and $\delta_0(\infty, f) = 1$, then for any α ,

$$_{R}\delta_{0(n)}^{(k)} = \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{m_{0}(r, \alpha, f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(n)})}.$$

Proof. We have

$${}_{R}\delta_{0(n)}^{(k)} = 1 - \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{N_{0}(r, \alpha, f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(n)})} = 1 - \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{N_{0}(r, \alpha, f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(k)})} \lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{T_{0}(r, f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(n)})} =$$
$$= 1 - \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{N_{0}(r, \alpha, f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(k)})} = \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{m_{0}(r, \alpha, f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(k)})} =$$
$$= \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{m_{0}(r, \alpha, f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(n)})} \lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{T_{0}(r, f^{(n)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(k)})} = \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{m_{0}(r, \alpha, f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(n)})}.$$

Theorem 5. Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ and $\sum_a \delta_0(a, f) = 2$ and $\delta_0(\infty, f) = 1$, then for any α ,

$$_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)} = \lim_{r \to R_{0}} \frac{m_{0}(r, \alpha, f^{(k)})}{T_{0}(r, f^{(n)})}$$

Theorem 6. Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ such that $m_0(r, f) = S(r, f)$. If a, b and c are three distinct complex numbers, then for any two positive integer k and n

$$3_R \delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(a,f) + 2_R \delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(b,f) + 3_R \delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(c,f) + 5_R \Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(\infty,f) \le 5_R \Delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(\infty,f) + 5_R \Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(0,f).$$

Proof. For any positive integer k, let us consider the following identity

$$\frac{b-a}{f-a} = \left[\frac{f^{(k)}}{f-a}\left(\frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}} - \frac{f-b}{f^{(k)}}\right) - \frac{f-c}{f^{(k)}} \cdot \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} \cdot \frac{f^{(k)}}{f-a}\left(\frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}} - \frac{f-b}{f^{(k)}}\right)\right]\frac{f}{c}$$

Since

$$m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) \le m_0\left(r, \frac{b-a}{f-a}\right) + O(1)$$

and

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{f}{c}\right) \le m_0(r,f) + O(1).$$

From above identity, we get

$$m_0\left(r, \frac{b-a}{f-a}\right) \le m_0\left(r, \frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0\left(r, \frac{f-b}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0\left(r, \frac{f-c}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0\left(r, \frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0\left(r, \frac{f-b}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0\left(r, \frac{f}{c}\right) + S(r, f),$$

implies

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) \le 2m_0\left(r,\frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}}\right) + 2m_0\left(r,\frac{f-b}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0\left(r,\frac{f-c}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0(r,f) + S(r,f).$$

From above equation, we have

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) \le 2T_0\left(r,\frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}}\right) - 2N_0\left(r,\frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}}\right) + 2T_0\left(r,\frac{f-b}{f^{(k)}}\right) - 2N_0\left(r,\frac{f-b}{f^{(k)}}\right) + T_0\left(r,\frac{f-c}{f^{(k)}}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{f-c}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0(r,f) + S(r,f).$$
(15)

By first fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna for meromorphic function annuli and in view of Theorem 2, it follows from (15) that

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) \le 2T_0\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f-a}\right) - 2N_0\left(r,\frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}}\right) + 2T_0\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f-b}\right) - 2N_0\left(r,\frac{f-b}{f^{(k)}}\right) + T_0\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f-c}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{f-c}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0(r,f) + S(r,f).$$

Therefore,

$$m_{0}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) \leq \\ \leq 2\left(N_{0}\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f-a}\right) - N_{0}\left(r,\frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}}\right)\right) + 2\left(N_{0}\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f-b}\right) - N_{0}\left(r,\frac{f-b}{f^{(k)}}\right)\right) + \\ + N_{0}\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f-c}\right) - N_{0}\left(r,\frac{f-c}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_{0}(r,f) + S(r,f).$$
(16)

By Jensen formula for meromorphic function on annuli, we have

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) \le 2N\left(r,f^{(k)}\right) + 2N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) - 2N_0\left(r,f-a\right) - 2N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + 2N_0\left(r,f^{(k)}\right) + 2N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-b}\right) - 2N_0\left(r,f-b\right) - 2N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + N_0\left(r,f^{(k)}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-c}\right) - N_0\left(r,f-c\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0(r,f) + S(r,f).$$

Therefore

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) \le 5N_0\left(r,f^{(k)}\right) - 5N_0\left(r,f\right) - 5N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + 2N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) + 2N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-b}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-c}\right) + M_0(r,f) + S(r,f).$$
(17)

Applying the condition $m_0(r, f) = S(r, f)$, then from (17) we have

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) \le 5N_0\left(r,f^{(k)}\right) - 5N_0\left(r,f\right) - 5N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + 2N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) + 2N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-b}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-c}\right) + S(r,f).$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} \le 5 \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} - 5 \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, f\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} - 5 \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, f^{(n)}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} - 5 \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, f^{(n)}\right)}{T_0\left(r, f^{(n)}\right)} - 5 \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, f^{(n)}\right)}{T_0\left(r, f^{(n)$$

implies

$${}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(a,f) \leq 5[1 - {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f)] - 5[1 - {}_{R}\Delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f)] - 5[1 - {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(0,f)] + 2[1 - {}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(a,f)] + 2[1 - {}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(b,f)] + [1 - {}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(c,f)].$$

Hence

$$3_R \delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(a,f) + 2_R \delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(b,f) + 3_R \delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(c,f) + 5_R \Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(\infty,f) \le 5_R \Delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(\infty,f) + 5_R \Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(0,f).$$

Theorem 7. Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ such that $m_0(r, f) = S(r, f)$. If a, b and c are three distinct complex numbers, then for any two positive integer k and n

$${}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(0,f) + {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(c,f) \leq_{R}\Delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(0,f).$$

Proof. Let us consider the following identity

$$\frac{c}{f} = \left[\left(1 - \frac{f - c}{f^{(k)}} \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} \right) \left(\frac{f^{(k)}}{f - a} \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \right] (f - a).$$

Since

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le m_0\left(r,\frac{c}{f}\right) + O(1)$$

and

$$m_0(r, f - a) \le m_0(r, f) + O(1),$$

then from the above identity we have

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{c}{f}\right) \le m_0\left(r,\frac{f-c}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0\left(r,\frac{c}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0(r,f) + S(r,f).$$

Therefore

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le T_0\left(r,\frac{f-c}{f^{(k)}}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{f-c}{f^{(k)}}\right) + T_0\left(r,\frac{c}{f^{(k)}}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{c}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0(r,f) + S(r,f).$$
(18)

By Nevanlinna first fundamental theorem for meromorphic function on annuli, we have

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le T_0\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f-c}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{f-c}{f^{(k)}}\right) + T_0\left(r,f^{(k)}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{c}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0(r,f) + S(r,f).$$

Therefore

$$m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \le N_0\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f - c}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{f - c}{f^{(k)}}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + T_0\left(r, f^{(k)}\right) + m_0(r, f) + S(r, f).$$
(19)

By Jensen formula for meromorphic function on annuli and from (19) it follows that

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le N_0\left(r,f^{(k)}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-c}\right) - N_0\left(r,f-c\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + T_0\left(r,f^{(k)}\right) + m_0(r,f) + S(r,f).$$
(20)

Applying the condition $m_0(r, f) = S(r, f)$ and from (21) it follows that

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le N_0\left(r,f^{(k)}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-c}\right) - N_0\left(r,f-c\right) - 2N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + T_0\left(r,f^{(k)}\right) + S(r,f).$$

Implies

$$\lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} \leq \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} + \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{-c}}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} - \\
-\lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, f\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} - 2\lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} + \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{T_0\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})}.$$

Therefore

 ${}_{R} \delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(0,f) \leq [1 - {}_{R} \Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(\infty,f)] + [1 - {}_{R} \delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(c,f)] - [1 - {}_{R} \Delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(\infty,f)] - 2[1 - {}_{R} \Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(0,f)].$ Hence

$${}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(0,f) + {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(c,f) \leq_{R}\Delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f) + 2{}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(0,f).$$

A. RATHOD

Theorem 8. Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$ such that $m_0(r, f) = S(r, f)$. If a and d are two distinct complex numbers, then for any two positive integer k and p with $0 \leq k \leq p$

$${}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(d,f) + {}_{R}\Delta^{(p)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(a,f) \leq_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\Delta^{(p)}_{0(n)}(0,f) + {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(0,f),$$

where n is any positive integer.

Proof. Let us consider the following identity

$$\frac{1}{f-d} = \left[\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{f^{(k)}}{f-a} - \frac{f^{(k)}-a}{f^{(p)}} \cdot \frac{f^{(p)}}{f-a}\right)\left(\frac{f^{(k)}}{f-d}\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)\right](f-a).$$

Since $m(r, f - a) \leq m(r, f) + O(1)$ and from the above identity, we have

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le m_0\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}-a}{f^{(p)}}\right) + m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0(r,f) + S(r,f).$$
(21)

By Nevanlinna first fundamental theorem for meromorphic function on annuli and from (21), we have

$$m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le T_0\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}-a}{f^{(p)}}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}-a}{f^{(p)}}\right) + T_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Therefore

$$m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le T_0\left(r, \frac{f^{(p)}}{f^{(k)}-a}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}-a}{f^{(p)}}\right) + T_0\left(r, f^{(k)}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

By Lemma of Logarithmic derivative for meromorphic function on annuli, we have

$$m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le N_0\left(r, \frac{f^{(p)}}{f^{(k)}-a}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}-a}{f^{(p)}}\right) + T_0\left(r, f^{(k)}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

By Jenson formula for meromorphic function on annuli, we have

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le N_0\left(r,f^{(p)}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}-a}\right) - N_0\left(r,f^{(k)}-a\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(p)}}\right) + T_0\left(r,f^{(k)}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m_0(r,f) + S(r,f).$$
(22)

Applying the condition $m_0(r, f) = S(r, f)$ and from (), we have

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le N_0\left(r,f^{(p)}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}-a}\right) - N_0\left(r,f^{(k)}-a\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(p)}}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(p)}}\right) - N_0\left(r$$

$$+T_0(r, f^{(k)}) - N_0(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) + S(r, f).$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f-d}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} \leq \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, f^{(p)}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} + \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-a}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} \leq \\
\leq -\lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} - \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(p)}}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} \leq -\lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} + \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{T_0\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})}$$

Implies

$${}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(d,f) \leq \left[1 - {}_{R}\Delta^{(p)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f)\right] - \left[1 - {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f)\right] - \left[1 - {}_{R}\Delta^{(p)}_{0(n)}(0,f)\right] - \left[1 - {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(0,f)\right] + \left[1 - {}_{R}\delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(a,f)\right] + 1.$$

Hence

$${}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(d,f) + {}_{R}\Delta^{(p)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(a,f) \leq_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\Delta^{(p)}_{0(n)}(0,f) + {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(0,f).$$

Theorem 9. Let f(z) be a transcendental or admissible meromorphic function of finite order in $\mathbb{A}(R_0)$, where $1 < R_0 \leq +\infty$. Then for any two positive integers k and n,

$${}_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(0,f) \ge_{R} \delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(0,f) + {}_{R}\delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(a,f) + {}_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(\infty,f),$$

where a is any non zero complex number.

Proof. Let us consider the following inequality

$$\frac{a}{f} = 1 - \frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}} \cdot \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}.$$

Since

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le m_0\left(r,\frac{a}{f}\right) + O(1).$$

From the above identity, we have

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le m_0\left(r,\frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r,f) \tag{23}$$

By Nevanlinna first fundamental theorem for meromorphic function on annuli and from (24), we have

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le T_0\left(r,\frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r,f),$$

implies

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le T_0\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f-a}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r,f).$$

Therefore

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le N_0\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f-a}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{f-a}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r,f).$$

$$(24)$$

By Jensen formula for meromorphic function on annuli and from (), we have

$$m_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le N_0\left(r,f^{(k)}\right) + N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) - N_0\left(r,f-a\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r,f)$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{m_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} \leq \\
\leq \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} - \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, f\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} - \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})} + \lim_{r \to R_0} \frac{N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{-a}}\right)}{T_0(r, f^{(n)})}.$$

Therefore

$${}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(0,f) \leq \left[1 - {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f)\right] - \left[1 - {}_{R}\Delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f)\right] - 1 - {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(0,f) + 1 - {}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(a,f).$$

Hence ${}_{R}\Delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(0,f) \geq_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(0,f) + {}_{R}\delta^{(0)}_{0(n)}(a,f) + {}_{R}\Delta^{(k)}_{0(n)}(\infty,f).$

Remark. The sign \geq in Theorem 9 cannot be replaced by >. This we can see by following example.

Example. Let $f(z) = \exp z$. Then $_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(\infty, f) =_{R} \Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(\infty, f) =_{R} \Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(0, f) = 1$ and $_{R}\delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(\infty, f) =_{R} \delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(\infty, f) = 1$. So $_{R}\delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(a, f) = 0$. Then

$${}_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(\infty,f) + {}_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(0,f) = 2 = {}_{R}\delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(0,f) + {}_{R}\delta_{0(n)}^{(0)}(a,f) + {}_{R}\Delta_{0(n)}^{(k)}(\infty,f).$$

REFERENCES

- T.B. Cao, H.X. Yi, H.Y. Xu, On the multiple values and uniqueness of meromorphic functions on annuli, Compute. Math. Appl., 58 (2009), 1457–1465.
- Y.X. Chen, Z.J. Wu, Exceptional values of meromorphic functions and of their derivatives on annuli, Ann. Polon. Math., 105 (2012), 154–165.
- A. Fernandez, On the value distribution of meromorphic function in the punctured plane, Mat. Stud., 34 (2010), 136–144.
- 4. W.K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1964.
- A.Ya. Khrystiyanyn, A.A. Kondratyuk, On the Nevanlinna theory for meromorphic functions on annuli. I, Mat. Stud., 23 (2005), №1, 19–30.
- A.Ya. Khrystiyanyn, A.A. Kondratyuk, On the Nevanlinna Theory for meromorphic functions on annuli. II, Mat. Stud., 24 (2005), 57–68.
- A.A. Kondratyuk, I. Laine, Meromorphic functions in multiply connected domains, Ilpo Laine (ed.), Fourier Series Methods in Complex Analysis, Report Series 10, Department of Mathematics, University of Joensuu, 2006, 9–11.

- R. Korhonen, Nevanlinna theory in an annulus, value distribution theory and related topics, Adv. Complex Anal. Appl., 3 (2004), 547–554.
- H.Y. Xu, Z.X. Xuan, The uniqueness of analytic functions on annuli sharing some values, Abstract and Applied analysis, 2012 (2012), Article ID 896596, 13 p.
- 10. C.C. Yang, H.X. Yi, Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions, Science Press, 1995; Kluwer, 2003.
- M.L. Fang, A note on a result of Singh and Kulkarni, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 23 (2000), 285–288.
- Zhaojun Wu, Sheng'an Chen, Characteristic function and deficiency of meromorphic function in punctured plane, Acta Math. Sc., 35B (2015), №3, 673–680.
- S.K. Singh, V.N. Kulkarni, Characteristic function of meromorphic function and its derivative, Ann. Polon. Math., 28 (1973), 123–133.
- I. Lahiri, Milloux theorem and deficiency of vector valued meromorphic functions, J. Indian Math. Soc., 55 (1990), 253–250.
- Z. Wu, Y. Chen, Milloux inequality of meromorphic functions on annuli, J. of Math. Inequalities, 7 (2013), №4, 577–586.
- G. Frank, G. Weissenborn, Rational deficient functions of meromorphic functions, Bull. London Math. Soc., 18 (1986), 29–33.
- 17. R. Dyavanal, A. Rathod, On the value distribution of meromorphic functions on annuli, Indian J. Math. and Math. Sc., **12** (2016), 203–217.
- S.K. Singh, V.N. Kulkarni, Characteristic function of a meromorphic function and its derivative, Ann. Polon. Math., 28 (1973), 123–133.
- A. Weitsman, Meromorphic functions with maximal deficiency sum and a conjecture of F. Nevanlinna, Acta Math., 123 (1969), 115–139.
- 20. A. Rathod, Several uniqueness theorems for algebroid functions, J. Anal, doi: 10.1007/s41478-0041-x.
- A. Rathod, The multiple values of algebroid functions and uniqueness on annuli, Konuralp J. Math., 5 (2017), 216–227.
- 22. A. Rathod, Nevanlinna's five-value theorem for algebroid functions, Ufa Math. J., 10 (2018), 127–132.
- A. Rathod, Nevanlinna's five-value theorem for derivatives of algebroid functions on annuli, Tamkang J. Math., 49 (2018), 129–142.
- S.S. Bhoosnurmath, R.S. Dyavanal, M. Barki, A. Rathod, Value distribution for n'th difference operator of meromorphic functions with maximal deficiency sum, J. Anal., 27 (2019), 797–811.
- A. Rathod, Characteristic function and deficiency of algebroid functions on annuli, Ufa Math. J., 11 (2019), 121–132.
- A. Rathod, Value distribution of a algebroid function and its linear combination of derivatives on annuli, Electronic J. Math. anal. appl., 8 (2020), 129–142.
- 27. A. Rathod, Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions on annuli, Ufa Math. J., 12(2020), 115–121.
- A. Rathod, Exceptional values of algebroid functions on annuli, J. Anal., 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s41478-020-00251-z.
- A. Rathod, S.H. Naveenkumar, On the uniqueness and value distribution of entire functions with their derivatives, Math. Combin. Book Ser., 2 (2020), 33–42.
- A. Rathod, S.H. Naveenkumar, Uniqueness of algebroid functions in connection to Nevanlinna's fivevalue theorem, Jnanabha, 50 (2020), №2, 160–166.
- A. Rathod, The shared set and uniqueness of meromorphic functions in an angular domain, Tbilisi Math. J., 14 (2021), №3, 95–109.
- A. Rathod, Uniqueness and value sharing of meromorphic functions on annuli, Malaya J. Mat., 9 (2021), №1, 1071–1079.
- A. Rathod, The shared set and uniqueness of algebroid functions on annuli, Malaya J. Mat., 9 (2021), №1, 1047–1056.

Department of Mathematics, KLE Society's G I Bagewadi Arts Science and Commerce College, Nipani, Karnataka, India ashokmrmaths@gmail.com