

N. U. REHMAN, H. M. ALNOGHASHI

 **$\mathcal{T}$ -COMMUTING GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS ON IDEALS AND SEMI-PRIME IDEAL-II**

N. U. Rehman, H. M. Alnoghshi.  *$\mathcal{T}$ -Commuting Generalized Derivations on Ideals and Semi-Prime Ideal-II*, Mat. Stud. **57** (2022), 98–110.

The study's primary purpose is to investigate the  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$  structure of a quotient ring, where  $\mathcal{A}$  is an arbitrary ring and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a semi-prime ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In more details, we look at the differential identities in a semi-prime ideal of an arbitrary ring using  $\mathcal{T}$ -commuting generalized derivation. The article proves a number of statements. A characteristic representative of these assertions is, for example, the following Theorem 3: Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a ring with  $\mathcal{I}$  a semi-prime ideal and  $\mathcal{J}$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions: 1)  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm [a, \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{I}$ , 2)  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm a \circ \psi(b) \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\psi$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -commuting on  $\mathcal{I}$ .

Furthermore, examples are provided to demonstrate that the constraints placed on the hypothesis of the various theorems were not unnecessary.

**1. Introduction.** Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{A}$  will represent an associative ring not necessarily to be commutative with center  $Z(\mathcal{A})$ . The symbols  $a \circ b$  and  $[a, b]$ , where  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , stand for the anti-commutator  $ab + ba$  and commutator  $ab - ba$  respectively. An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is said to be a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{A}$  and  $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , whenever  $a\mathcal{A}b \subseteq \mathcal{I}$  implies  $a \in \mathcal{I}$  or  $b \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a prime ring if  $\mathcal{I} = 0$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a semi-prime ideal if  $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{A}$  and  $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $a\mathcal{A}a \subseteq \mathcal{I}$  implies  $a \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a semi-prime ring if  $\mathcal{I} = 0$  is a semi-prime ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . For any  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  and a ring  $\mathcal{D}$ , a map  $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is called a  $\mathcal{S}$ -commuting map on  $\mathcal{D}$  if  $[f(a), a] \in \mathcal{S} \forall a \in \mathcal{D}$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{S} = \{0\}$ , then  $f$  is called a commuting map on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Note that every commuting map is a  $\mathcal{S}$ -commuting map (put  $\{0\} = \mathcal{S}$ ). But converse is not true in general (let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a set of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that it has no zero and  $[f(a), a] \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $f$  is a  $\mathcal{S}$ -commuting map, but it is not a commuting map. An additive map  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is called a derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\psi(ab) = \psi(a)b + a\psi(b)$  holds  $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . An additive map  $\lambda : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  associated with a derivation  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is called a generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\lambda(ab) = \lambda(a)b + a\psi(b)$  holds  $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .

During last three decades, many authors have proved a significant amount of results on suitably constrained additive mappings such as automorphisms, derivations, skew derivations etc. acting on appropriate subsets of prime and semi-prime rings. Posner [14] was the first to study centralizing derivation, demonstrating that a prime ring  $\mathcal{A}$  that admits a non-zero centralizing derivation is commutative. Bell and Martindale [4] discovered that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a semi-prime ring and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a non-zero left ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  has a non-zero central ideal if it admits a non-zero derivation  $\psi$  such that  $\psi(\mathcal{I}) = 0$  and centralizing on  $\mathcal{I}$ . Mayne [7] shown that centralizing automorphisms had a similar effect.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16N60, 16W20, 16W25.

*Keywords*: semi-prime ideal; generalized derivations; commutativity.

doi:10.30970/ms.57.1.98-110

A number of authors have extended Posner and Mayne's theorems in various ways. In the year 1988 [6] Lanski generalizes the result of Posner by considering a derivation  $\psi$  such that  $[\psi(x), x] \in Z(\mathcal{A})$  for all  $x$  in a nonzero Lie ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hongan [5] proved that if a 2-torsion free semiprime ring  $A$  admits a derivation  $\psi$  such that  $\psi([a, u]) \pm [a, u] \in Z(\mathcal{A})$  for all  $a, u \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative. In [3] Ashraf and Rehman prove that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a 2-torsion free prime ring and  $L$  a nonzero Lie ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $u^2 \in L$  for all  $u \in L$  and  $\psi$  a derivation which satisfies  $\psi(u \circ v) - u \circ v$  for all  $u, v \in L$ , then  $L \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$ . Later, Quadri [15] has extended the mentioned result by considering a generalized derivation  $\lambda$  acting on a nonzero ideal  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and without 2-torsion freeness hypothesis. Further, in [16] Dhara et al. showed that, a prime ring  $\mathcal{A}$  must be commutative if it admits two generalized derivations  $\lambda, \Theta$  associated with derivations  $\psi$  and  $\xi$  respectively and satisfies the properties  $\Lambda(x)\Theta(y) \pm \Theta(xy) \pm yx \in Z(\mathcal{A})$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{T}$ , where  $\mathcal{T}$  is a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . For more details of such studies we refer the readers to [2], [8], [10], [13], [17], [18] and references therein. One may observe that the main focus of these studies is to indicate how the global structure of a ring is often tightly connected with the behavior of such additive mappings defined on it.

In order to extend the standard theory of "derivations in rings" recently, Almahdi [1] et al. initiated the study of derivations of an arbitrary ring  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying some  $\mathcal{T}$ -valued conditions, where  $\mathcal{T}$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Specifically, they improved the well-known Posner's Second Theorem as follows: *If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a prime ideal of a ring  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\psi$  a derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $[[\psi(x), x], y] \in \mathcal{T} \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\psi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  or  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}$  is a commutative ring.* Further Mamouni et al. [10] investigated many  $\mathcal{T}$ -valued differential identities such as: (i)  $[\psi_1(x), \psi_2(y)] \in \mathcal{T}$ , (ii)  $\psi_1(x) \circ \psi_2(y) \in \mathcal{T}$ , (iii)  $[\psi_1(x), y] + [x, \psi_2(y)] \in \mathcal{T}$ , (iv)  $[\psi_1(x), y] + [x, \psi_2(y)] - [x, y] \in \mathcal{T}$ , (v)  $[\psi_1(x), y] + [x, \psi_2(y)] - [y, \psi_1(x)] \in \mathcal{T}$  for all  $x, y$  in a prime ring  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  are the derivations of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The authors also examined some particular cases of these identities in semi-prime rings. In the successive paper Mamouni et al. [12] extended this theory to the class of generalized derivations and obtained the commutativity of the quotient rings. Some further developments have also been appeared in the direction, for instance see [20]. Very recently, Idrissi and Oukhtite [9] introduced the study of  $I$ -centralizing and  $I$ -commuting mappings in rings, where  $I$  is a nonzero ideal of a ring  $R$ . They proved the following: *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a prime ideal of a ring  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda$  be a generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  associated with a derivation  $\psi$ . If  $\lambda$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -centralizing, then  $\psi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  or  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}$  is a commutative integral domain.* Apart from this, the authors have proved many commutativity theorem in  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}$  and finally discussed some applications of their results.

**Lemma 1** ([4]). *Suppose  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal of a semi-prime ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  admits a non-zero derivation  $\psi$  such that  $[a, \psi(a)] = 0 \forall a \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a non-zero central ideal.*

**Lemma 2** ([1], Lemma 2.1). *Suppose  $\mathcal{T}$  is a prime ideal of a ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  admits a derivation  $\psi$  such that  $[a, \psi(a)] \in \mathcal{T} \forall a \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\psi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  or  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}$  is commutative.*

**2. The main results.** Since every prime ideal is semi-prime but the converse is not true in general, therefore, in view of the above discussion it seems appropriate to examine identities involving derivations in semi-prime ideals rather. Our purpose in this paper is to examine some  $\mathcal{T}$ -valued differential identities, where  $\mathcal{T}$  is a semi-prime ideal of a ring  $\mathcal{A}$  and then observe the structural properties of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We will undertake a novel investigation in this study that is both an extension and a generalization of current literature findings. We will use generalized derivation to look at the differential identities in a semi-prime ideal of an arbitrary ring.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal of a ring  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{T}$  a semi-prime ideal. If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions

1.  $[\lambda(a), \psi(b)] \pm ba \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
2.  $[\lambda(a), \psi(b)] \pm ab \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\psi$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -commuting on  $\mathcal{I}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Assume that

$$[\lambda(a), \psi(b)] \pm ba \in \mathcal{T} \quad (1)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Replacing  $a$  by  $at$  in (1), where  $t \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $[\lambda(at), \psi(b)] \pm bat \in \mathcal{T}$ . This implies that  $[\lambda(a)t + a\psi(t), \psi(b)] \pm bat \in \mathcal{T}$  that is  $[\lambda(a)t, \psi(b)] + [a\psi(t), \psi(b)] \pm bat \in \mathcal{T}$ . Hence,

$$([\lambda(a), \psi(b)] \pm ba)t + \lambda(a)[t, \psi(b)] + [a\psi(t), \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}. \quad (2)$$

Since  $[\lambda(a), \psi(b)] \pm ba \in \mathcal{T}$  ( from (1) ) and since  $t \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we get  $([\lambda(a), \psi(b)] \pm ba)t \in \mathcal{T}$ . Subtracting the last relation from (2), we have

$$\lambda(a)[t, \psi(b)] + [a\psi(t), \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}.$$

This implies that

$$\lambda(a)[t, \psi(b)] + [a, \psi(b)]\psi(t) + a[\psi(t), \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T} \quad (3)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $t \in \mathcal{A}$ . Substituting  $ua$  for  $a$  in (3), where  $u \in \mathcal{A}$ , we get  $\lambda(ua)[t, \psi(b)] + [ua, \psi(b)]\psi(t) + ua[\psi(t), \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}$  this implies that

$$\lambda(ua)[t, \psi(b)] + u[a, \psi(b)]\psi(t) + [u, \psi(b)]a\psi(t) + ua[\psi(t), \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}.$$

By using the definition of  $\lambda$  in the last expression, we get

$$(\lambda(u)a + u\psi(a))[t, \psi(b)] + u[a, \psi(b)]\psi(t) + [u, \psi(b)]a\psi(t) + ua[\psi(t), \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T} \quad (4)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $u, t \in \mathcal{A}$ . Left multiplying (3) by  $u$ , we obtain

$$u\lambda(a)[t, \psi(b)] + ua[\psi(t), \psi(b)] + u[a, \psi(b)]\psi(t) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (5)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $u, t \in \mathcal{A}$ . Comparing (4) and (5), this gives

$$(\lambda(u)a + u\psi(a) - u\lambda(a))[t, \psi(b)] + [u, \psi(b)]a\psi(t) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (6)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $u, t \in \mathcal{A}$ . Putting  $t = \lambda(s)$  and  $u = \lambda(c)$  in (6), where  $s, c \in \mathcal{I}$ , we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))[\lambda(s), \psi(b)] \\ & + [\lambda(c), \psi(b)]a\psi(\lambda(s)) \in \mathcal{T}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Replacing  $a$  by  $s$  in (1) and then left multiplying it by  $(\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))$ , we get

$$(\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))[\lambda(s), \psi(b)] \quad (8)$$

$$\pm(\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))bs \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Replacing  $a$  by  $c$  in (1) and then right multiplying it by  $a\psi(\lambda(s))$ , we have

$$[\lambda(c), \psi(b)]a\psi(\lambda(s)) \pm bc(a\psi(\lambda(s))) \in \mathcal{T}. \quad (9)$$

Comparing (7), (8) and (9), we find that

$$\mp(\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))bs \mp bc(a\psi(\lambda(s))) \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Hence

$$(\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))bs + bc(a\psi(\lambda(s))) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (10)$$

$\forall a, b, c, s \in \mathcal{S}$ . Writing  $rb$  instead of  $b$  in (10) where  $r \in \mathcal{A}$ , we get

$$(\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))rbs + rbc(a\psi(\lambda(s))) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (11)$$

$\forall a, b, c, s \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $r \in \mathcal{A}$ . Left multiplying (10) by  $r$  this gives

$$r(\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))bs + rbc(a\psi(\lambda(s))) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (12)$$

$\forall a, b, c, s \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $r \in \mathcal{A}$ . Comparing (11) and (12), we have

$$[\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a), r]bs \in \mathcal{T} \quad (13)$$

$\forall a, b, c, s \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $r \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replacing  $b$  by  $kb$  in (13), where  $k \in \mathcal{A}$ , we get

$$[\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a), r]kbs \in \mathcal{T} \quad (14)$$

$\forall a, b, c, s \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $r, k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Taking  $a$  by  $ak$  in (13), we have

$$[\lambda(\lambda(c))ak + \lambda(c)\psi(ak) - \lambda(c)\lambda(ak), r]bs \in \mathcal{T}$$

that is

$$[(\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))k, r]bs \in \mathcal{T}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & [\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a), r]kbs \\ & + (\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))[k, r]bs \in \mathcal{T}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (14) in the last relation, we have

$$(\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))[k, r]bs \in \mathcal{T}$$

$\forall a, b, c, s \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $r, k \in \mathcal{A}$ . Putting  $k$  by  $t$  and  $r$  by  $\psi(b)$  in the last expression, where  $t \in \mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that

$$(\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))[t, \psi(b)]bs \in \mathcal{T}. \quad (15)$$

Taking  $u$  by  $\lambda(c)$  in (6)

$$(\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))[t, \psi(b)] + [\lambda(c), \psi(b)]a\psi(t) \in \mathcal{T}$$

$\forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $t \in \mathcal{A}$ . Right multiplying the last relation by  $bs$ , we get

$$(\lambda(\lambda(c))a + \lambda(c)\psi(a) - \lambda(c)\lambda(a))[t, \psi(b)]bs + [\lambda(c), \psi(b)]a\psi(t)bs \in \mathcal{I}$$

$\forall a, b, c, s \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $t \in \mathcal{A}$ . By using (15) in the last expression, we have

$$[\lambda(c), \psi(b)]a\psi(t)bs \in \mathcal{I} \quad (16)$$

$\forall a, b, c, s \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $t \in \mathcal{A}$ . Putting  $a$  by  $c$  in (1) and then right multiplying (1) by  $a\psi(t)bs$ , we see that  $[\lambda(c), \psi(b)]a\psi(t)bs \pm bca\psi(t)bs \in \mathcal{I}$ . By using (16) in the last expression, we get  $\pm bca\psi(t)bs \in \mathcal{I}$  and so  $bca\psi(t)bs \in \mathcal{I}$ . Taking  $c$  by  $s$  in the last relation, we find that  $bsa\psi(t)bs \in \mathcal{I}$ . Left multiplying the last expression by  $\psi(t)$ , we get  $(\psi(t)bs)a(\psi(t)bs) \in \mathcal{I}$  and so  $\psi(t)bs \in \mathcal{I}$ . Putting  $b$  by  $b\psi(s)$  in the last relation and then right multiplying the last expression by  $\psi(s)$  and then subtracting one of them from the other, we have  $\psi(t)b[\psi(s), s] \in \mathcal{I}$ . Replacing  $t$  by  $s$  in the last relation, we get  $\psi(s)b[\psi(s), s] \in \mathcal{I}$ . Taking  $b$  by  $sb$  in the last expression and then left multiplying the last relation by  $s$  and then subtracting one of them from the other, we obtain  $[\psi(s), s]b[\psi(s), s] \in \mathcal{I}$  and so  $[\psi(s), s] \in \mathcal{I}$   $\forall s \in \mathcal{I}$ .

(2) We obtain the desired result by employing the same approaches as in the proof of (1).  $\square$

By using Lemma 2 and Theorem 1, we easily get the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{I}$  is a prime ideal of a ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions*

1.  $[\lambda(a), \psi(b)] \pm ba \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
2.  $[\lambda(a), \psi(b)] \pm ab \in \mathcal{I}$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\psi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$  or  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$  is commutative.

$\mathcal{A}$  has a non-zero central ideal, according to Lemma 1 and Theorem 1. As a result, we arrive to the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal of a semi-prime ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions*

1.  $[\lambda(a), \psi(b)] \pm ba = 0$ ,
2.  $[\lambda(a), \psi(b)] \pm ab = 0$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a non-zero central ideal.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal of a ring  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{I}$  a semi-prime ideal. If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions*

1.  $\lambda(ab) - \lambda(b)\lambda(a) \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
2.  $\lambda(ab) - \lambda(a)\lambda(b) \in \mathcal{I}$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\psi$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -commuting on  $\mathcal{I}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Assume that

$$\lambda(ab) - \lambda(b)\lambda(a) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (17)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . By using the definition of  $\lambda$  in (17), we obtain

$$\lambda(a)b + a\psi(b) - \lambda(b)\lambda(a) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (18)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Writing  $ab$  instead of  $a$  in (18), we have  $\lambda(ab)b + ab\psi(b) - \lambda(b)\lambda(ab) \in \mathcal{T}$  hence  $\lambda(ab)b + ab\psi(b) - \lambda(b)\lambda(a)b - \lambda(b)a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$  that is

$$(\lambda(ab) - \lambda(b)\lambda(a))b + ab\psi(b) - \lambda(b)a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (19)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Right multiplying (17) by  $b$ , we get

$$(\lambda(ab) - \lambda(b)\lambda(a))b \in \mathcal{T} \quad (20)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Subtracting (20) from (19), we see that

$$ab\psi(b) - \lambda(b)a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (21)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Replacing  $a$  by  $\lambda(t)a$  in (21), where  $t \in \mathcal{A}$ , we get

$$\lambda(t)ab\psi(b) - \lambda(b)\lambda(t)a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (22)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $t \in \mathcal{A}$ . Left multiplying (21) by  $\lambda(t)$ , we obtain

$$\lambda(t)ab\psi(b) - \lambda(t)\lambda(b)a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (23)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $t \in \mathcal{A}$ . Comparing (22) and (23), this gives  $(\lambda(b)\lambda(t) - \lambda(t)\lambda(b))a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Putting  $t$  by  $c$  in lat relation, where  $c \in \mathcal{I}$ , we get

$$(\lambda(b)\lambda(c) - \lambda(c)\lambda(b))a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (24)$$

$\forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{I}$ . Replacing  $a$  by  $c$  in (17) and then right multiplying (17) by  $a\psi(b)$ , we find that

$$(\lambda(cb) - \lambda(b)\lambda(c))a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (25)$$

$\forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{I}$ . Taking  $b$  by  $c$  and  $a$  by  $b$  in (17) and then right multiplying (17) by  $a\psi(b)$ , we see that

$$(\lambda(bc) - \lambda(c)\lambda(b))a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (26)$$

$\forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{I}$ . Subtracting (25) from (26), we get

$$(\lambda(b)\lambda(c) - \lambda(c)\lambda(b))a\psi(b) + (\lambda(bc) - \lambda(cb))a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}.$$

By using (24) in the last expression, we have

$$(\lambda(bc) - \lambda(cb))a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Hence,  $(\lambda(bc - cb))a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$  that is

$$\lambda([b, c])a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (27)$$

$\forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{S}$ . Putting  $a$  by  $ba$  in (27), we conclude

$$\lambda([b, c])ba\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (28)$$

$\forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{S}$ . Substituting  $cb$  for  $c$  in (27), we have  $\lambda([b, cb])a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ . This implies that  $\lambda([b, c]b)a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Hence,  $\lambda([b, c])ba\psi(b) + [b, c]\psi(b)a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ . By using (28) in the last relation, we get  $[b, c]\psi(b)a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Taking  $a$  by  $a[b, c]$  in the last expression, we get  $[b, c]\psi(b)a[b, c]\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$  and so  $[b, c]\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Writing  $tc$  instead of  $c$  in the last relation and using it, where  $t \in \mathcal{A}$ , we obtain  $[b, t]c\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Putting  $t = \psi(b)$  in the last expression, we see that

$$[b, \psi(b)]c\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (29)$$

$\forall b, c \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $t \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replacing  $c$  by  $cb$  in (29) and then right multiplying (29) by  $b$  and then subtracting one of them from the other, we have  $[b, \psi(b)]c[b, \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}$  and so  $[b, \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}$ .

(2) Assume that

$$\lambda(ab) - \lambda(a)\lambda(b) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (30)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{S}$ . By using the definition of  $\lambda$  in (30), we obtain  $\lambda(a)b + a\psi(b) - \lambda(a)\lambda(b) \in \mathcal{T}$  that is

$$\lambda(a)(b - \lambda(b)) + a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (31)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{S}$ . Substituting  $bc$  for  $b$  in (31), where  $c \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have  $\lambda(a)(bc - \lambda(bc)) + a\psi(bc) \in \mathcal{T}$ . By using the definitions of  $\lambda$  and  $\psi$  in the last relation, we get  $\lambda(a)(bc - \lambda(b)c - b\psi(c)) + a\psi(b)c + ab\psi(c) \in \mathcal{T}$ . That is  $(\lambda(a)(b - \lambda(b)) + a\psi(b))c - \lambda(a)b\psi(c) + ab\psi(c) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Right multiplying 31) by  $c$  then using it in the last expression, we obtain  $-\lambda(a)b\psi(c) + ab\psi(c) \in \mathcal{T}$  and so  $\lambda(a)b\psi(c) - ab\psi(c) \in \mathcal{T}$  that is

$$(\lambda(a) - a)b\psi(c) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (32)$$

$\forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{S}$ . Writing  $ub$  instead of  $b$  in (32), where  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ , we get

$$(\lambda(a) - a)ub\psi(c) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (33)$$

$\forall a, b, c, u \in \mathcal{S}$ . Putting  $a$  by  $au$  in (32), where  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have  $(\lambda(au) - au)b\psi(c) \in \mathcal{T}$ . This implies that  $(\lambda(a)u + a\psi(u) - au)b\psi(c) \in \mathcal{T}$  that is  $(\lambda(a) - a)ub\psi(c) + a\psi(u)b\psi(c) \in \mathcal{T}$ . By using (33) in the last relation, we obtain  $a\psi(u)b\psi(c) \in \mathcal{T} \forall a, b, c, u \in \mathcal{S}$ . Taking  $u$  by  $c$  in the last expression, we see that  $a\psi(c)b\psi(c) \in \mathcal{T} \forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{S}$ . Replacing  $b$  by  $ba$  in the last relation, we find that  $(a\psi(c))b(a\psi(c)) \in \mathcal{T}$  and so  $a\psi(c) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Putting  $a$  by  $ac$  in the last expression and then right multiplying the last relation by  $c$  and then subtracting one of them from the other, we get  $a[\psi(c), c] \in \mathcal{T}$ . Left multiplying the last expression by  $[\psi(c), c]$ , we have  $[\psi(c), c]a[\psi(c), c] \in \mathcal{T}$  and so  $[\psi(c), c] \in \mathcal{T} \forall c \in \mathcal{S}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** Suppose  $\mathcal{T}$  is a prime ideal of a ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions

1.  $\lambda(ab) - \lambda(a)\lambda(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
2.  $\lambda(ab) - \lambda(b)\lambda(a) \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\psi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  or  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}$  is commutative.

**Corollary 4.** Suppose  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal of a semi-prime ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions

1.  $\lambda(ab) = \lambda(a)\lambda(b)$ ,
2.  $\lambda(ab) = \lambda(b)\lambda(a)$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a non-zero central ideal.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a ring with  $\mathcal{T}$  a semi-prime ideal and  $\mathcal{I}$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions

1.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm [a, \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
2.  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm a \circ \psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\psi$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -commuting on  $\mathcal{I}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Assume that

$$\lambda([a, b]) \pm [a, \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T} \tag{34}$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Putting  $b = a$  in (34), we have  $[a, \psi(a)] \in \mathcal{T}$ .

(2) Assume that

$$\lambda(a \circ b) \pm a \circ \psi(b) \in \mathcal{T} \tag{35}$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Writing  $ab$  for  $a$  by in (35), we have  $\lambda(ab \circ b) \pm ab \circ \psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ . This implies that  $\lambda((a \circ b)b) \pm ab \circ \psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$  that is  $\lambda((a \circ b)b) \pm (a \circ \psi(b))b \pm a[b, \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}$ . By using definition of  $\lambda$  in the last relation, we get  $\lambda(a \circ b)b + (a \circ b)\psi(b) \pm (a \circ \psi(b))b \pm a[b, \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}$ . That is  $(\lambda(a \circ b) \pm a \circ \psi(b))b + (a \circ b)\psi(b) \pm a[b, \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}$ . Right multiplying (35) by  $b$  then using it in the last expression, we obtain

$$(a \circ b)\psi(b) \pm a[b, \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T} \tag{36}$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Substituting  $\psi(b)a$  for  $a$  in (36), we see that  $(\psi(b)a \circ b)\psi(b) \pm \psi(b)a[b, \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}$ . This implies that  $\psi(b)(a \circ b)\psi(b) - [\psi(b), b]a\psi(b) \pm \psi(b)a[b, \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}$ . Hence,  $\psi(b)((a \circ b)\psi(b) \pm a[b, \psi(b)]) - [\psi(b), b]a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Left multiplying (36) by  $\psi(b)$  and then using it in the last relation, we find that  $-[\psi(b), b]a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$  and so  $[\psi(b), b]a\psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Putting  $a$  by  $ab$  in the last expression and then right multiplying the last relation by  $b$  and then subtracting one of them from the other, we get  $[\psi(b), b]a[\psi(b), b] \in \mathcal{T}$  and so  $[\psi(b), b] \in \mathcal{T}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a ring and  $\mathcal{T}$  a prime ideal. If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions

1.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm [a, \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
2.  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm a \circ \psi(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\psi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  or  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}$  is commutative.

**Corollary 6.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a semi-prime ring and  $\mathcal{I}$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions

1.  $\lambda([a, b]) = \pm[a, \psi(b)]$ ,



$$2. \lambda(a \circ b) = \pm a \circ \psi(b),$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a non-zero central ideal.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a ring with  $\mathcal{T}$  a semi-prime ideal and  $\mathcal{I}$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions

$$1. \lambda([a, b]) \pm \lambda(b)a \in \mathcal{T},$$

$$2. \lambda([a, b]) \pm \lambda(a)b \in \mathcal{T},$$

$$3. \lambda(a \circ b) \pm \lambda(b)a \in \mathcal{T},$$

$$4. \lambda(a \circ b) \pm \lambda(a)b \in \mathcal{T},$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\psi$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -commuting on  $\mathcal{I}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Assume that

$$\lambda([a, b]) \pm \lambda(b)a \in \mathcal{T} \quad (37)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Replacing  $b$  by  $ba$  in (37), we find that  $\lambda([a, ba]) \pm \lambda(ba)a \in \mathcal{T}$ . This implies that  $\lambda([a, b]a) \pm \lambda(ba)a \in \mathcal{T}$ . By using the definition of  $\lambda$  in the last expression, we get  $\lambda([a, b]a) + [a, b]\psi(a) \pm \lambda(b)a^2 \pm b\psi(a)a \in \mathcal{T}$  that is  $(\lambda([a, b]) \pm \lambda(b)a)a + [a, b]\psi(a) \pm b\psi(a)a \in \mathcal{T}$ . Right multiplying (37) by  $a$  and then using it in the last relation, we have

$$[a, b]\psi(a) \pm b\psi(a)a \in \mathcal{T} \quad (38)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Taking  $b$  by  $\psi(a)b$  in (38), we get  $[a, \psi(a)b]\psi(a) \pm \psi(a)b\psi(a)a \in \mathcal{T}$  that is

$$\psi(a)[a, b]\psi(a) + [a, \psi(a)]b\psi(a) \pm \psi(a)b\psi(a)a \in \mathcal{T}.$$

This implies that  $\psi(a)([a, b]\psi(a) \pm b\psi(a)a) + [a, \psi(a)]b\psi(a) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Left multiplying (38) by  $\psi(a)$  and then using it in the last expression, we see that

$$[a, \psi(a)]b\psi(a) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (39)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Putting  $b$  by  $ba$  in (39) and then right multiplying (39) by  $a$  and then subtracting one of them from the other, we find that  $[a, \psi(a)]b[a, \psi(a)] \in \mathcal{T}$  and so  $[a, \psi(a)] \in \mathcal{T}$ .

(2) We acquire the appropriate outcome by continuing along the same lines with the necessary changes.

(3) Assume that

$$\lambda(a \circ b) \pm \lambda(b)a \in \mathcal{T} \quad (40)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Substituting  $ba$  for  $b$  in (40), we have  $\lambda(a \circ ba) \pm \lambda(ba)a \in \mathcal{T}$  that is  $\lambda((a \circ b)a) \pm \lambda(ba)a \in \mathcal{T}$ . By using definition of  $\lambda$  in the last relation, we get  $\lambda(a \circ b)a + (a \circ b)\psi(a) \pm \lambda(b)a^2 \pm b\psi(a)a \in \mathcal{T}$ . Hence  $(\lambda(a \circ b) \pm \lambda(b)a)a + (a \circ b)\psi(a) \pm b\psi(a)a \in \mathcal{T}$ . Right multiplying (40) by  $a$  and then using it in the last expression, we obtain

$$(a \circ b)\psi(a) \pm b\psi(a)a \in \mathcal{T} \quad (41)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Taking  $b$  by  $\psi(a)b$  in (41), we get  $(a \circ \psi(a)b)\psi(a) \pm \psi(a)b\psi(a)a \in \mathcal{T}$  that is  $\psi(a)(a \circ b)\psi(a) + [a, \psi(a)]b\psi(a) \pm \psi(a)b\psi(a)a \in \mathcal{T}$ . Hence,  $\psi(a)((a \circ b)\psi(a) \pm b\psi(a)a) + [a, \psi(a)]b\psi(a) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Left multiplying (41) by  $\psi(a)$  and then using it in the last relation, we see that  $[a, \psi(a)]b\psi(a) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Now, the same as in (39), we get  $[a, \psi(a)] \in \mathcal{T}$ .

(4) The same as in (3). □

**Corollary 7.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a ring and  $\mathcal{T}$  a prime ideal. If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions

1.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm \lambda(b)a \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
2.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm \lambda(a)b \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
3.  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm \lambda(b)a \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
4.  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm \lambda(a)b \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\psi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  or  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}$  is commutative.

**Corollary 8.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a semi-prime ring and  $\mathcal{I}$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions

1.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm \lambda(b)a = 0$ ,
2.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm \lambda(a)b = 0$ ,
3.  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm \lambda(b)a = 0$ ,
4.  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm \lambda(a)b = 0$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a non-zero central ideal.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a ring with  $\mathcal{T}$  a semi-prime ideal and  $\mathcal{I}$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions

1.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm ab \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
2.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm ba \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
3.  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm ab \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
4.  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm ba \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\psi$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -commuting on  $\mathcal{I}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Assume that

$$\lambda([a, b]) \pm ab \in \mathcal{T} \tag{42}$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Writing  $ba$  instead of  $b$  in (42),  $\lambda([a, ba]) \pm aba \in \mathcal{T}$ . This implies that  $\lambda([a, b]a) \pm aba \in \mathcal{T}$ . Hence,  $\lambda([a, b])a + [a, b]\psi(a) \pm aba \in \mathcal{T}$ . That is  $(\lambda([a, b]) \pm ab)a + [a, b]\psi(a) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Right multiplying (42) by  $a$  then using it in the last expression, we get

$$[a, b]\psi(a) \in \mathcal{T} \tag{43}$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Substituting  $\psi(a)b$  for  $b$  in (43), we get  $[a, \psi(a)b]\psi(a) \in \mathcal{T}$  that is  $\psi(a)[a, b]\psi(a) + [a, \psi(a)]b\psi(a) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Left multiplying (43) by  $\psi(a)$  then using it in the last relation, we obtain  $[a, \psi(a)]b\psi(a) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Now, the same as in (39), we get  $[a, \psi(a)] \in \mathcal{T}$ .

(2) Assume that

$$\lambda([a, b]) \pm ba \in \mathcal{T} \tag{44}$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Substituting  $ba$  for  $b$  in (44), we obtain  $\lambda([a, ba]) \pm ba^2 \in \mathcal{T}$  that is  $\lambda([a, b]a) \pm ba^2 \in \mathcal{T}$ . Hence,  $\lambda([a, b])a + [a, b]\psi(a) \pm ba^2 \in \mathcal{T}$ . This implies that  $(\lambda([a, b]) \pm ba)a + [a, b]\psi(a) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Right multiplying (44) by  $a$  and then using it in the last expression, we obtain  $[a, b]\psi(a) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Now, the same as in (43), we get  $[a, \psi(a)] \in \mathcal{T}$ .

(3) Assume that

$$\lambda(a \circ b) \pm ab \in \mathcal{I} \quad (45)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Writing  $ba$  instead of  $b$  in (45), we get  $\lambda(a \circ ba) \pm aba \in \mathcal{I}$  that is  $\lambda((a \circ b)a) \pm aba \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence,  $\lambda(a \circ b)a + (a \circ b)\psi(a) \pm aba \in \mathcal{I}$ . This implies that  $(\lambda(a \circ b) \pm ab)a + (a \circ b)\psi(a) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Right multiplying (45) by  $a$  and then using it in the last relation, we get

$$(a \circ b)\psi(a) \in \mathcal{I} \quad (46)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Substituting  $\psi(a)b$  for  $b$  in (46), we obtain  $(a \circ \psi(a)b)\psi(a) \in \mathcal{I}$  that is  $\psi(a)(a \circ b)\psi(a) + [a, \psi(a)]b\psi(a) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Left multiplying (46) by  $\psi(a)$  then using it in the last expression, we obtain  $[a, \psi(a)]b\psi(a) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Now, the same as in (39), we get  $[a, \psi(a)] \in \mathcal{I}$ .

(4) Assume that

$$\lambda(a \circ b) \pm ba \in \mathcal{I} \quad (47)$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Replacing  $b$  by  $ba$  in (47), we obtain  $\lambda(a \circ ba) \pm ba^2 \in \mathcal{I}$  that is  $\lambda((a \circ b)a) \pm ba^2 \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence,  $\lambda(a \circ b)a + (a \circ b)\psi(a) \pm ba^2 \in \mathcal{I}$ . This implies that  $(\lambda(a \circ b) \pm ba)a + (a \circ b)\psi(a) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Right multiplying (47) by  $a$  then using it in the last relation, we see that  $(a \circ b)\psi(a) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Now, the same as in (46), we get  $[a, \psi(a)] \in \mathcal{I}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a ring with  $\mathcal{I}$  a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions*

1.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm ab \in \mathcal{I} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
2.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm ba \in \mathcal{I} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
3.  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm ab \in \mathcal{I} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
4.  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm ba \in \mathcal{I} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

then  $\psi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$  or  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$  is commutative.

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a semi-prime ring and  $\mathcal{I}$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions*

1.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm ab = 0 \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
2.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm ba = 0 \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
3.  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm ab = 0 \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
4.  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm ba = 0 \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ ,

then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a non-zero central ideal.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a ring with  $\mathcal{I}$  a semi-prime ideal and  $\mathcal{J}$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions*

1.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm (a \circ b) \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
2.  $\lambda([a, b]) \in \mathcal{I}$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ , then  $\psi$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -commuting on  $\mathcal{J}$ .

*proof.* (1) Assume that

$$\lambda([a, b]) \pm (a \circ b) \in \mathcal{T} \tag{48}$$

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Substituting  $ba$  for  $b$  in (48), we obtain  $\lambda([a, ba]) \pm (a \circ ba) \in \mathcal{T}$  that is  $\lambda([a, b]a) \pm (a \circ b)a \in \mathcal{T}$ . Hence,  $\lambda([a, b])a + [a, b]\psi(a) \pm (a \circ b)a \in \mathcal{T}$ . This implies that  $(\lambda([a, b]) \pm (a \circ b))a + [a, b]\psi(a) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Right multiplying (48) by  $a$  and then using it in the last expression, we get  $[a, b]\psi(a) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Now, the same as in (43), we get  $[a, \psi(a)] \in \mathcal{T}$ .

(2) the proof is follows as (1). □

**Corollary 11.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a ring and  $\mathcal{T}$  a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions*

1.  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm (a \circ b) \in \mathcal{T}$ ,
2.  $\lambda([a, b]) \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\psi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  or  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}$  is commutative.

**Corollary 12.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a semi-prime ring and  $\mathcal{T}$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(\lambda, \psi)$  is a non-zero generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the derivation satisfies any one of the conditions*

1.  $\lambda([a, b]) = \pm(a \circ b)$ ,
2.  $\lambda([a, b]) = 0$ ,

$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a non-zero central ideal.

Now we present an example which prove that the primeness of above corollaries is essential.

**Example 1.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ . Define additive

maps  $\lambda$  and  $\psi$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  as follows:

$$\lambda = \psi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Here, } \lambda \text{ is a non-zero generalized derivation associated}$$

with a derivation  $\psi$ . The fact that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  implies that  $\mathcal{T}$  is not

a prime ideal. Also, we have  $\psi(\mathcal{A}) \not\subseteq \mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}$  is not commutative. Here, we see that  $(\lambda, \psi)$  satisfies the following conditions: (i)  $\lambda(ab) \pm \lambda(b)\lambda(a) \in \mathcal{T}$ , (ii)  $\lambda(ab) \pm \lambda(a)\lambda(b) \in \mathcal{T}$ , (iii)  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm [a, \psi(b)] \in \mathcal{T}$ , (iv)  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm (a \circ \psi(b)) \in \mathcal{T}$ , (v)  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm \lambda(b)a \in \mathcal{T}$ , (vi)  $\lambda([a, b]) \pm (\lambda(a)b) \in \mathcal{T}$ , (vii)  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm \lambda(b)a \in \mathcal{T}$ , (viii)  $\lambda(a \circ b) \pm \lambda(a)b \in \mathcal{T}$ , and (ix)  $\lambda([a, b]) \in \mathcal{T} \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . The hypothesis of primeness in the various corollaries is not superfluous.

**Conclusion.** In this article, the main focus has been to develop the relationship between the structure of the semiprime ring  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}$  and the behavior of generalized derivations defined on  $\mathcal{A}$  that satisfy certain  $\mathcal{T}$  valued identities over  $\mathcal{A}$ . Further an investigation, the  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{T}$  structure of quotient ring, where  $\mathcal{A}$  is an arbitrary ring and  $\mathcal{T}$  is a semiprime ideal on some additive mappings defined on  $\mathcal{A}$  and some applications of their results.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are greatly indebted to the referee for his/her constructive comments and suggestions, which improves the quality of the paper. For the first author, this research is supported by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR-HRDG), India, Grant No. 25(0306)/20/EMR-II.

## REFERENCES

1. F.A.A. Almahdi, A. Mamouni, M. Tamekkante, *A generalization of Posner's theorem on derivations in rings*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **51** (2020), №1, 187–194.
2. M. Ashraf, A. Ali, S. Ali, *Some commutativity theorems for rings with generalized derivations*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., **31** (2007), 415–421.
3. M. Ashraf, N. Rehman, *On commutativity of rings with derivations*, Results Math., **42** (2002), №1–2, 3–8.
4. H.E. Bell, W.S. Martindale III, *Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings*, Canad. Math. Bull., **30** (1987), №1, 92–101.
5. M. Hongan, *A note on semiprime rings with derivations*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **20** (1997), №2, 413–415.
6. C. Lanski, *Differential identities, Lie ideals and Posner's theorems*, Pacific J. Math., **134** (1988), №2, 275–297.
7. J. Mayne, *Centralizing automorphisms of prime rings*, Canad. Math. Bull., **19** (1976), 113–115.
8. H.El Mir, A. Mamouni, L. Oukhtite, *Commutativity with algebraic identities involving prime ideals*, Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society, **35**, №3, (2020), 723–731.
9. M.A. Idrissi, L. Oukhtite, *Structure of a quotient ring  $R/P$  with generalized derivations acting on prime ideal  $P$  and some applications*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. doi: 10.1007/s13226-021-00173-x
10. A. Mamouni, B. Nejjar, L. Oukhtite, *Differential identities on prime rings with involution*, J. Algebra & Appl., **17** (2018), №9, 11 p.
11. A. Mamouni, L. Oukhtite, M., Zerra, *On derivations involving prime ideals and commutativity in rings*, São Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences, **14** (2020), 675–688.
12. A. Mamouni, L. Oukhtite, M. Zerra, *Prime ideals and generalized derivations with central values on rings*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2. doi: 10.1007/s12215-020-00578-3
13. H. Nabel, *Ring subsets that be center-like subsets*, J. Algebra Appl., **17** (2018), №3, 8 p.
14. E.C. Posner, *Derivations in prime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **8** (1957), №6, 1093–1100.
15. M.A. Quadri, M.S. Khan, N. Rehman, *Generalized derivations and commutativity of prime rings*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **34** (2003), №9, 1393–1396.
16. S.K. Tiwari, R.K. Sharma, B. Dhara, *Identities related to generalized derivation on ideal in prime rings*, Beitr. Algebra Geom., **57** (2016), №4, 809–821.
17. N. Rehman, M.A. Raza, *On  $m$ -commuting mappings with skew derivations in prime rings*, St. Petersburg Math. J., **27** (2016), 641–650.
18. N. Rehman, *On Lie ideals and automorphisms in prime rings*, Math. Notes, **107** (2020), №1, 140–144.
19. N. Rehman, E.K. Söğütçü, H.M. Alnohashi, *A generalization of Posner's theorem on generalized derivations in rings*, preprint.
20. N. Rehman, M. Hongan, H.M. Alnohashi, *On generalized derivations involving prime ideals*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2. doi: 10.1007/s12215-021-00639-1

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University  
 Aligarh-202002, India  
 rehman100@gmail.com  
 nu.rehman.mm@amu.ac.in  
 halnoghashi@gmail.com

Received 18.12.2021

Revised 22.03.2022