УДК 512.552.13

#### A. A. DMYTRUK, A. I. GATALEVYCH, M. I. KUCHMA

# STABLE RANGE CONDITIONS FOR ABELIAN AND DUO RINGS

A. A. Dmytruk, A. I. Gatalevych, M. I. Kuchma. Stable range conditions for abelian and duo rings, Mat. Stud. 57 (2022), 92–97.

The paper deals with the following question: when is the classical ring of quotients of a duo ring exist and idempotents in the classical ring of quotients  $Q_{Cl}(R)$  there are idempotents in R? We introduce the concepts of a ring of (von Neumann) regular range 1, a ring of semihereditary range 1, a ring of regular range 1. We find relationships between the introduced classes of rings and known ones for abelian and duo rings. We proved that semihereditary local duo ring is a ring of semihereditary range 1. Also it was proved that a regular local Bezout duo ring is a ring of stable range 2. In particular, the following Theorem 1 is proved: For an abelian ring R the following conditions are equivalent: 1. R is a ring of stable range 1; 2. R is a ring of von Neumann regular range 1.

The paper also introduces the concept of Gelfand element and a ring of Gelfand range 1 for the case of a duo ring. We proved that Hermite duo ring of Gelfand range 1 is an elementary divisor ring (Theorem 3).

Definition of stable range came to the ring theory from the K-theory and was very useful for solving some open problems and tasks. This definition was introduced by Bass [3]. Through last few decades a lot of authors, e.g. Ara [1], Chen [4], Goodearl [8], Lam [16], McGovern [13], Menal [15], Zabavsky [19] and many others, have been studying the influence of stable range on the properties of rings and their behavior in the solutions of different ring-theoretical problems. Moreover, there was noticed that rings with some properties have constraints on the stable range, and the nature of these properties can vary. From here, we can conclude that there are very close relationships between the stable range and other properties of rings. Now, the stable range is very popular and widely used in problems of diagonal reduction of matrices (e.g., McGovern [14], Zabavsky [19], and Zabavsky [20]). There are suggested some generalizations of this concept, among which is a notion of stable range. The similar problems were considered by Zabavsky in [21].

Throughout this article, all rings are assumed to be associative with unit and  $1 \neq 0$ . The set of nonzero divisors (also called regular elements) of R is denoted by R(R), the set of units by U(R), and the set of idempotents by B(R). The Jacobson radical of the ring R is denoted by J(R). The classical ring of quotients of the ring R is denoted by  $Q_{Cl}(R)$ .

A ring R is said to be *a duo ring* if every right or left one-sided ideal in R is two-sided. Such rings were investigated by E. Feller [6] and G. Thierrin [17] Trivial examples of duo rings are, of course, commutative rings and division rings. Nontrivial duo rings are not difficult to come by (e.g., any noncommutative special primary ring is duo, since the only right or

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 16U80.

*Keywords:* duo ring; abelian ring; stable range; elementary divisor ring. doi:10.30970/ms.57.1.92-97

left ideals are powers of the unique maximal ideal). In fact some interesting examples of duo rings have already occurred in the literature: M. Auslander and O. Goldman have shown in [2, p. 13] that there exist noncommutative maximal orders which are both duo rings and Noetherian domains. Further investigations of such rings have been carried out by G. Maury in [12].

Recall that a ring R is said to be a *right (left) Bezout ring* if every finitely-generated right (left) ideal is principle. The right and left Bezout ring is called a *Bezout ring* [10]. A ring R is said to be an *abelian ring* if for every idempotent  $e = e^2 \in R$  holds ae = ea for any element  $a \in R$ . In other words, any idempotent  $e = e^2$  of ring R is central.

We should notice that the class of abelian rings contains the class of right (left) duo rings. Indeed, let  $e = e^2 \in R$  and  $a \in R$ . Due to the definition of duo rings, we have the equality ea = a'e for some element  $a' \in R$ . Then we have the equality ea = (a'e)e = eae. For symmetry ae = eae. From here we obtain that ea = ae.

Also, the example of an abelian ring is any reduced ring. In [9], Corollary 2.2, it was shown that R is abelian if and only if eg = ge for all idempotents e and all units g of R. A direct sum of division rings is an example of abelian regular ring, which is not a division ring.

A row  $(a_1; a_2; \ldots; a_n)$  over a ring R is called unimodular if  $a_1R + a_2R \cdots + a_nR = R$ . If  $(a_1; a_2; \ldots; a_n)$  is a unimodular *n*-row over a ring R, then we say that  $(a_1; a_2; \ldots; a_n)$  is reducible if there exists (n-1)-row  $(b_1; b_2; \ldots; b_{n-1})$  such that the (n-1)-row  $(a_1+a_nb_1; a_2+a_nb_2; \ldots; a_{n-1}+a_nb_{n-1})$  is unimodular. A ring R is said to have a stable range n if n is the least positive integer such that every unimodular (n+1)-row is reducible.

A ring R is said to be a ring of an idempotent stable range 1 if for any  $a, b \in R$  such that Ra + Rb = R, there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that a + eb is a unit of R. An obvious example of a ring of idempotent regular range 1 is a ring of idempotent stable range 1, i.e. a commutative clean ring. An element a is called an *element of stable range 1* if for any element  $b \in R$  such that aR + bR = R, there exists  $t \in R$  such that (a + bt)R = R. An element a of a ring R is called an *element of almost stable range 1* if the quotient-ring R/aR is a ring of stable range 1. A duo ring in which every nonzero element is an element of almost stable range 1 is called a ring of almost stable range 1. An element a of a ring R is called a ring of almost stable range 1. An element a of a ring R is called a ring of almost stable range 1. An element a of a ring R is called a ring of almost stable range 1. An element a of a ring R is called a ring of almost stable range 1. An element a of a ring R is called a ring of almost stable range 1. An element a of a ring R is called a ring of almost stable range 1. An element a of a ring R is called a ring of almost stable range 1. An element a of a ring R is called a ring of almost stable range 1. An element a of a ring R is (von Neumann) regular element, if axa = a for some element  $x \in R$ .

Similar to article [21], we give the following definitions.

A ring R is said to have a von Neumann regular range 1 if for any  $a, b \in R$  such that aR + bR = R, there exists  $y \in R$  such that a + by is a (von Neumann) regular element of R.

The obvious example of a ring of von Neumann regular range 1 is a ring of stable range 1.

An element a of a ring R is called a *left (right) semihereditary element* if Ra(aR) is projective.

A ring R is said to have a *semihereditary range* 1 if for any  $a, b \in R$  such that aR+bR = R, there exists  $y \in R$  such that a + by is a semihereditary right element of R.

Obviously, an example of a ring of semihereditary range 1 is a ring of stable range 1 and a commutative semihereditary ring. A special place in the class of rings of semihereditary range 1 is taken by semihereditary local rings.

A duo ring R is called a *semihereditary (regular) local ring* if for any  $a, b \in R$  such that aR + bR = R, element a or element b is a semihereditary (regular) element of R.

A ring R is said to have regular range 1 if for any  $a, b \in R$  such that aR + bR = R, there exists  $y \in R$  such that a + by is a regular element of R.

Moreover, let us introduce some propositions about relationships between duo rings and other types of rings.

Due to Cohn [5], a ring R is called reversible if ab = 0 implies ba = 0 for  $a, b \in R$ . Reduced rings are clearly reversible.

# **Proposition 1.** Let R be a reversible Bezout duo ring. If $\varphi \in B(R)(Q_{Cl}(R))$ then $\varphi \in B(R)$ .

Proof. By Tuganbayev [18] the classical quotient ring exists. Let  $\varphi \in B(R)(Q_{Cl}(R))$  and  $\varphi = es^{-1}$ , where s is a regular element of R. Let  $eR + sR = \delta R$ , then  $e = e_0\delta$ ,  $s = s_0\delta$ , and  $eu + sv = \delta$  for some elements  $e_0, s_0, u, v \in R$ . Since s is a regular element,  $\delta$  is a regular element as a divisor of s. Since  $eu + sv = \delta$ , then  $\delta(e_0u + s_0v - 1) = 0$ . Since  $\delta \neq 0$  and  $\delta$  is a regular element of R, we have  $e_0u + s_0v - 1 = 0$ . Then  $es^{-1} = e_0s_0^{-1}$ , where  $e_0R + s_0R = R$ . Since  $e_0s_0^{-1} \in B(Q_{Cl}(R))$ , we have  $e_0s_0^{-1}e_0 = e_0$  and  $(e_0s_0^{-1} - 1)e_0 = 0$ . Since R is reversible,  $Q_{Cl}(R)$  is reversible by Theorem 2.6 from [11]. Now we obtain  $e_0(e_0s_0^{-1} - 1) = 0$ . Therefore,  $e_0^2s_0^{-1} = e_0$  and  $e_0^2 = e_0s_0$ 

Since  $e_0u + s_0v = 1$ , we have  $e_0^2u + e_0s_0v = e_0$  and  $s_0(e_0u + s_0v) = e_0$ . Note that all idempotents in duo rings are central. Hence  $e_0s_0^{-1} \in R$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let R be a Bezout duo ring and a is a (von Neumann) regular element of R. Then a = eu, where  $e \in B(R)$  and  $u \in U(R)$ .

*Proof.* Let axa = a. This implies axax = ax, i.e.  $e = ax \in B(R)$  and  $e \in aR$ . Since axa = a, we have ea = a, i.e.  $a \in eR$ , and aR = eR. Consider the element u = (1 - e) + a. Since u(1 - e) = 1 - e, we have uR + eR = R. We proved that eR = aR, then uR + aR = R. Since ue = ((1 - e) + a)e = ae = a, we deduce  $aR \subset uR$ . Obviously, the equality uR + aR = R and inclusion  $aR \subset uR$  in a duo ring is possible if  $u \in U(R)$ .

Then we have ue = a.

**Proposition 3.** Let R be a duo ring. Then a is a semihereditary element if and only if a = er, where  $e \in B(R)$  and  $r \in Re(R)$ .

Proof. Let  $\varphi R = \{x | xa = 0\}$  and  $\varphi \in B(R)$ . Since  $\varphi a = 0$ , we have  $(1 - \varphi)a = a$ . Let  $r = a - \varphi$  and rx = 0. Since  $ax = \varphi x$  and  $(1 - \varphi)a = a$ , we obtain  $(1 - \varphi)ax = \varphi x$  and  $(1 - \varphi)\varphi x = 0$ . Then  $\varphi x = 0$  and ax = 0. Since ax = 0, we have  $x \in \varphi R$ , i.e.  $x = x\varphi$ . Since  $x\varphi = 0$ , we get x = 0. Then we see that r is a regular element of R. In fact,  $r(1 - \varphi) = a(1 - \varphi) - \varphi(1 - \varphi) = a(1 - \varphi) = a$ , i.e.  $a = r(1 - \varphi)$ . Put  $1 - \varphi = e$ , we have a = re, where  $e \in B(R)$  and  $r \in Re(R)$ . Obviously,  $\{x | x(re) = 0\} = (1 - e)R$ .

## **Proposition 4.** A semihereditary local duo ring is a ring of semihereditary range 1.

*Proof.* Let R be a semihereditary local duo ring and aR + bR = R. If a is a semihereditary element, the representation  $a + b_0$  is as required. If a is not semihereditary, by the condition aR + (a + b)R = R, the element  $a + b_1$  is semihereditary.

#### **Proposition 5.** A regular local Bezout duo ring is a ring of stable range 2.

*Proof.* Let R be a regular local Bezout ring. Let a, b be nonzero elements of R. Since R is a duo Bezout ring, we have aR + bR = dR. Then we have au + bv = d,  $a = da_0$ ,  $b = db_0$  for some elements  $a_0, b_0, u, v \in R$ . Since  $d(a_0u + b_0v - 1) = 0$ , by the definition of a ring R, we see that either  $a_0u + b_0v$  or  $a_0u + b_0v - 1$  is a regular element of R. If  $a_0u + b_0v - 1$  is a regular element, by  $d(a_0u + b_0v - 1) = 0$  we have d = 0, i.e. a = b = 0 and this is

impossible. Let  $a_0u + b_0v = r$  be a regular element of R. Let  $a_0R + b_0R = \delta R$ . If  $\delta \notin U(R)$ , we have  $a_0x + b_0y = \delta$ ,  $a_0 = \delta a_1$ ,  $b_0 = \delta b_1$  for some elements  $a_1, b_1, x, y \in R$ . This implies  $\delta(a_1u + b_1v) = a_0u + b_0v = r$ . Since  $r \in \operatorname{Re}(R)$ , we deduce  $\delta \in \operatorname{Re}(R)$ .

This implies  $\delta(a_1x + b_1y - 1) = 0$  and, since  $\delta \neq 0$ , we have  $a_1x + b_1y - 1 = 0$ , i.e.  $a_1R + b_1R = R$ . Thus, we have  $a = d\delta a_1$ ,  $b = d\delta b_1$ ,  $a_1R + b_1R = R$ . By Kaplansky [10], R is an Hermite ring and by [15] we obtain that R is a ring of stable range 2.

And now we can state the type conditions for abelian rings.

**Theorem 1.** For an abelian ring R the following conditions are equivalent:

1. R is a ring of stable range 1;

2. R is a ring of von Neumann regular range 1.

Proof. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

Let aR + bR = R. Since R is a ring of von Neumann regular range 1, we have  $a + bx = eu(e^2 = e, u \in U(R))$ . Then ea + ebex = eu is invertible in eR. Also (1 - e)a = (1 - e)bx. Thus, the condition aR + bR = R implies that (1 - e)b is invertible in (1 - e)R. As a result, (1 - e)a + (1 - e)by is invertible in (1 - e)R for some  $y \in (1 - e)R$ .

If z = ex + y, then a + bz is invertible in R.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  Obvious.

**Theorem 2.** For an abelian ring R the following conditions are equivalent:

1. *R* is a ring of regular range 1;

2. R is a ring of semihereditary range 1.

*Proof.* Let aR + bR = R. Since R has semihereditary range 1, we have  $a + bx = er(e^2 = e, r)$  is not a zero divisor). Then ea + ebex = er is not a zero divisor in eR. By analogy with Theorem 1, (1 - e)b is invertible in (1 - e)R. As a result, (1 - e)a + (1 - e)by is not a zero divisor in (1 - e)R for some  $y \in R$ .

If z = ex + y, then a + bz is not a zero divisor in R.

Recall that matrix A admits diagonal reduction if there exists unimodular matrices P, Qsuch that  $PAQ = diag(d_1, d_2, ...)$ , where  $Rd_i \cap d_i R \supseteq Rd_{i+1}R$ . If every matrix over R admits diagonal reduction, we call R an elementary divisor ring ([10]).

We call R a right Hermite ring if every 1 by 2 matrix admits diagonal reduction; R is a left Hermite ring if 2 by 1 matrices admit diagonal reduction, and if both then R is an Hermite ring. [10]

Obviously, an elementary divisor ring is Hermite and it is easy to see that an Hermite ring is Bezout [10]. Examples that neither implication is revertible are provided by Gillmann and Henriksen in [7]. In the case of commutative rings there are many developments on this rings, while noncommutative rings are little investigated and fragmented. A general picture is far from its full description.

It is an open *problem*: when a ring of stable range 1 is an elementary divisor ring?

Next we describe a new class of noncommutative elementary divisor rings.

An element a of a duo ring R is said to be a *Gelfand element* if for any elements  $b, s \in R$ such that aR + bR + cR = R there exist such elements  $r, s \in R$  that a = rs, rR + bR = Rand rR + sR = R.

A duo ring R is said to be a ring of Gelfand range 1 if for any elements  $a, b \in R$  such that aR + bR = R there exists such element  $t \in R$  that a + bt is a Gelfand element of R.

**Theorem 3.** Let R be a Hermite duo ring of Gelfand range 1. Then R is an elementary divisor ring.

*Proof.* Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$  and aR + bR + cR = R. For the proof of our statement, according to [10], it is sufficient to show that matrix A admits diagonal reduction.

Let aR + cR = dR, i.e. au + cv = d for some elements  $u, v \in R$ . From the condition aR + bR + cR = R, it follows that bR + dR = R. Since R is a ring of Gelfand range 1, we obtain that b + (au + cv)t = k is a Gelfand element for some element t. Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t'u' & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ vt & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ k & c \end{pmatrix}$$

where t'u'a = t'au = aut. Then, obviously, aR + kR + cR = R and r is a Gelfand element.

Then k = rs, where rR + aR = R and sR + cR = R. Let  $p \in R$  be a such element that sp + cl = 1 for some element  $l \in R$ . Hence rsp + rcl = r and kp + cr'l = r for some element  $r' \in R$ . Denoting r'l = q, we obtain (kp + cq)R + aR = R. Suppose  $pR + qR = \delta R$ , i.e.  $p = p_1\delta$ ,  $q = q_1\delta$  and  $\delta = px + qy$ ,  $p_1R + q_1R = R$  for some elements  $x, y, p_1, q_1 \in R$ . Then from  $pR \subset p_1R$  and  $pR + cR = R \Rightarrow p_1R + cR = R$ , and from  $p_1R + q_1R = R \Rightarrow p_1R + (p_1k + q_1c)R = R$ .

Since  $pk + qc = \delta(p_1k + q_1c)$  and (pk + qc)R + aR = R, we obtain  $(p_1d + q_1c)R + aR = R$ . As well as  $p_1R + (p_1d + q_1c)R = R$ , finally we have  $p_1aR + (p_1k + q_1c)R = R$ . By using the ideas from [22] for duo rings, the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ k & c \end{pmatrix}$  admits diagonal reduction. Hence,

obviously, the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$  admits diagonal reduction.

The theorem is proved.

**Corollary 1** ([23], Th. 21). Let R be a commutative Bezout ring of stable range 2 and of Gelfand range 1. Then R is an elementary divisor ring.

*Proof.* A commutative Bezout ring of stable range 2 is a Hermite ring [19]. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

### REFERENCES

- 1. Ara P., Strongly pi-regular rings have stable range one, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124 (1996), 3293–3298.
- 2. Auslander M., Goldman O., Maximal orders, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 97 (1960), 1–24.
- 3. Bass H., K-theory and stable algebra, Publ. Math., 22 (1964), 5–60.
- 4. Chen H., Rings with stable range conditions, Comm. Algebra, 26 (1998), 3653–3668.
- 5. Cohn P.M., *Reversible rings*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **31** (1999), №6, 641–648.
- 6. Feller E.H., Properties of primary noncommutative rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 89 (1958), 79–91.
- Gillman I., Henriksen M., Rings of continuous functions in which every finitely generated ideal is principal, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 82 (1956), №2, 366–391.
- Goodearl K., Menal P., Stable range one for rings with many units, J. Pure Appl. Alg., 54 (1988), 261–287.

- 9. Han J., Lee Y., Park S., Semicentral idempotents in a ring, J. Korean Math Soc., 51 (2014), 463–72.
- 10. Kaplansky I., Elementary divisor rings and modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 66 (1949), 464–491.
- 11. Kim N.K., Lee Y., Extensions of reversible rings, J. Pure Appl. Alg., 185 (2003), 207–223.
- 12. Maury G., Characterisation des ordres maximaux, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 269 (1969), Ser. A, 993–996.
- McGovern W.W., Bezout rings with almost stable range 1 are elementary divisor rings, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra, 212 (2007), 340–348.
- 14. McGovern W.W., Neat rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 205 (2006), 243-265.
- 15. Menal P., Moncasi J., On regular rings with stable range 2, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra, 24 (1982), 25–40.
- 16. Lam T.Y., Quasi-duo rings and stable range descent, J. Pure Appl. Alg., 195 (2005), 243–259.
- 17. Thierrin G., On duo rings, Canad. Math. Bull., 3 (1960), 167–172.
- Tuganbayev A., Automorphism-extendable and endomorphism-extendable modules, Fundam. and Appl. Math., 21 (2016), №4, 175–248. (in Russian)
- Zabavsky B., Diagonalizability theorem for matrices over rings with finite stable range, Algebra Discrete Math., 1 (2005), 134–148.
- Zabavsky B., Diagonalization of matrices over ring with finite stable range, Visn. of Lviv. Univ., Ser. Mech. Math., 61 (2003), 206–211.
- Zabavsky B., Type conditions of stable range for identification of qualitative generalized classes of rings, Algebra Discrete Math., 26 (2018), №3, 140–148.
- Zabavsky B.V., Bokhonko V.V. A criterion of elementary divisor domain for distributive domains, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, 23 (2017), №1, 1–6.
- Zabavsky B.V., Gatalevych A.I. Diagonal reduction of matrices over commutative semihereditary Bezout rings, Comm. in Algebra, 47, (2019), 1785–1795.

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv Lviv, Ukraine tolikd1488@gmail.com gatalevych@ukr.net

Lviv Polytechnic National University Lviv, Ukraine markuchma@ukr.net

> Received 31.08.2021 Revised 29.03.2022