

УДК 517.98, 517.5

N. S. SUSHCHYK, V. M. DEGNERYYS

## FACTORISATION OF ORTHOGONAL PROJECTORS

N. S. Sushchik, V. M. Degnerys. *Factorisation of orthogonal projectors*, Mat. Stud. **55** (2021), 181–187.

We study the problem of a special factorisation of an orthogonal projector  $P$  acting in the Hilbert space  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\dim \ker P < \infty$ . In particular, we prove that the orthogonal projector  $P$  admits a special factorisation in the form  $P = VV^*$ , where  $V$  is an isometric upper-triangular operator in the Banach algebra of all linear continuous operators in  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, we give an explicit formula for the operator  $V$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $H := L_2(\mathbb{R})$  be a Hilbert space with the standard norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and the inner product  $(\cdot | \cdot)$ , and let  $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(H)$  be the Banach algebra of all linear continuous operators in  $H$ . Let us fix in the algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  the continuous chain of orthoprojectors  $\mathfrak{E} := \{E(\xi)\}_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}$ , where  $E(\xi)$  is the multiplication operator on the characteristic function of the interval  $(-\infty, \xi)$ .

An operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  is called an upper-triangular operator with respect to the chain  $\mathfrak{E}$  if for every  $E \in \mathfrak{E}$  the subspace  $EH$  is an invariant subspace of  $A$ , i.e.,

$$E^\perp AE = 0, \quad E \in \mathfrak{E} \quad (E^\perp := I - E).$$

Similarly, an operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  is called a lower-triangular operator with respect to the chain  $\mathfrak{E}$  if for every  $E \in \mathfrak{E}$  the subspace  $E^\perp H$  is an invariant subspace of  $A$ , i.e.,

$$EAE^\perp = 0, \quad E \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^+ &:= \{B \in \mathcal{B} : \forall E \in \mathfrak{E} \quad E^\perp BE = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{B}^- &:= \{B \in \mathcal{B} : \forall E \in \mathfrak{E} \quad EBE^\perp = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{B}^+$  and  $\mathcal{B}^-$  are closed subalgebras in the algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . It is easy to see that if  $A \in \mathcal{B}^+$ , then the adjoint operator  $A^*$  belongs to the algebra  $\mathcal{B}^-$ .

**Definition 1.** We say that an operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  admits *UL-factorisation* if there exist  $A_+ \in \mathcal{B}^+$ ,  $A_- \in \mathcal{B}^-$  such that  $A = A_+A_-$ .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47A68, 47A46.

*Keywords*: special factorisation; orthogonal projector.

doi:10.30970/ms.55.2.181-187

Definition 1 is wider than usually accepted in the mathematical literature since it does not assume invertibility of  $A$  (see, e.g., [1]). We only know the paper [2], where the factorisation problem was studied for nonnegative non-invertible operators.

In the case when  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  is a nonnegative self-adjoint operator, we consider a special factorisation.

**Definition 2.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $A \geq 0$ . We say that  $A$  admits a special factorisation if there exists  $A_+ \in \mathcal{B}^+$  such that  $A = A_+A_+^*$ .

In the present paper, we study the following problem.

**Problem 1.** Does every orthogonal projector  $P \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\dim \ker P < \infty$  admit a special factorisation in the form  $P = VV^*$ , where  $V$  is an isometric operator in  $\mathcal{B}^+$ ?

It follows from the results of Larson [3] that not every uniformly positive operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  admits a factorisation  $A = BB^*$ , where  $B \in \mathcal{B}^+$ . In the case when an operator is non-invertible the problem of its special factorisation is much more difficult. In the mentioned work [2], a special factorisation of an orthogonal projector  $P$  with  $\dim \ker P < \infty$  was considered in the Hilbert space  $L_2(0, 1)$  with chain of orthoprojectors  $\{\tilde{E}(\xi) \mid \xi \in [0, 1]\}$ , where  $\tilde{E}(\xi)$  is the multiplication operator on the characteristic function of the interval  $[0, \xi]$ . In [2], it was proved that an orthogonal projector  $P$  with  $\dim \ker P < \infty$  admits a special factorisation if the additional condition

$$\forall \xi \in [0, 1] \quad \dim \tilde{E}(\xi) \ker P = \dim \ker P$$

holds.

The main result of this paper gives an explicit formula for an isometric operator  $V \in \mathcal{B}^+$  such that  $VV^* = P$  for an orthogonal projector  $P \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\dim \ker P < \infty$ .

**2. Factorisation of an orthogonal projector.** Denote by  $G$  the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with the standard inner product

$$(x \mid y)_G := \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \bar{y}_j, \quad x = (x_j)_{j=1}^n, \quad y = (y_j)_{j=1}^n.$$

Let  $P$  be an orthogonal projector in  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\dim \ker P = n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$  be an orthonormal basis in the space  $\ker P$ .

Let us consider the function  $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G'$  ( $G'$  is the dual space to  $G$ ) that is defined by the formula

$$\Phi(t)c = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \varphi_j(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad c = (c_j)_{j=1}^n \in G.$$

It is easy to see that  $\Phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, G')$ . Denote by  $\Phi^*(t)$  the operator that is adjoint to  $\Phi(t)$ . It acts from  $\mathbb{C}$  into  $G$  by the formula

$$\Phi^*(t)c = c(\overline{\varphi_1(t)}, \dots, \overline{\varphi_n(t)}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We also define the function

$$A(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x \Phi^*(t)\Phi(t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1}$$

which plays an important role in the study. Clearly, this function is absolutely continuous, and  $A'(x) = \Phi^*(x)\Phi(x)$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover,  $A^*(x) = A(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$A(x_1) \leq A(x_2) \quad \text{for } x_1 \leq x_2. \quad (2)$$

Let us consider the subspaces

$$F(x) := \ker A(x), \quad G(x) := \text{ran } A(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $G(x) \oplus F(x) = G$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , it follows by (2) that

$$F(x_1) \supset F(x_2), \quad G(x_1) \subset G(x_2) \quad \text{for } x_1 \leq x_2.$$

It is easy to check that the function

$$\rho(x) := \dim F(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is nonincreasing, left-continuous, and piecewise-constant. We denote by  $(\xi_k)_{k=1}^m$  a strictly increasing sequence of all points of discontinuity of the function  $\rho$ , and let

$$\Delta_s := \begin{cases} (-\infty, \xi_1), & \text{if } s = 1; \\ (\xi_{s-1}, \xi_s), & \text{if } 1 < s \leq m; \\ (\xi_m, +\infty), & \text{if } s = m + 1. \end{cases}$$

Put  $F_k := F(\xi_k)$ ,  $G_k := G(\xi_k)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . It follows from the above that

$$F(x) = F_k, \quad G(x) = G_k, \quad x \in \Delta_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m,$$

and, moreover,  $F(x) = \{0\} =: F_{m+1}$ ,  $G(x) = G =: G_{m+1}$ ,  $x \in \Delta_{m+1}$ . Thus the equalities

$$\text{ran } A(x) = G_k, \quad x \in \Delta_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m + 1, \quad (3)$$

hold.

**Lemma 1.** *For an arbitrary  $x \in \Delta_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, m + 1$ ) the operator*

$$A_k(x) := A(x)|_{G_k}$$

*is invertible in  $G_k$ . Moreover, the function  $x \mapsto A_k^{-1}(x)$  is continuous on  $\Delta_k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \Delta_k$ . Since the operator  $A(x)$  is self-adjoint, it follows from (3) that  $A$  maps  $G_k$  onto itself. Hence the operator  $A_k(x)$  is invertible. As already mentioned, the function  $x \rightarrow A(x)$  is continuous. Thus the function  $\Delta_k \ni x \rightarrow A_k(x)$  is continuous, too. Taking into account that the operators  $A_k(x)$ ,  $x \in \Delta_k$ , are invertible, we obtain that the functions  $x \mapsto A_k^{-1}(x)$  are continuous on  $\Delta_k$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.** Denote by  $A^\flat: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$  the function acting by the formula

$$A^\flat(x) := A_k^{-1}(x) \oplus O_k, \quad x \in \Delta_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m + 1, \quad (4)$$

where  $O_k$  is a null-operator in  $F_k$ .

**Remark 1.** It follows from Lemma 1 that the function  $x \mapsto A^b(x)$  is continuous on every interval  $\Delta_j$ , and its points of discontinuity can only be points  $\xi_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Moreover, in view of Lemma 1 and (1), we have for almost every  $x \in \Delta_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, m+1$ ) the equality

$$A(x)A^b(x)\Phi^*(x) = \Phi^*(x).$$

The main result of this paper is:

**Theorem 1.** *The formula*

$$(Vf)(x) := f(x) - \int_x^\infty \Phi(x)A^b(t)\Phi^*(t)f(t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in H, \quad (5)$$

*defines an isometric upper-triangular operator such that  $VV^* = P$ .*

The proof of Theorem 1 will be divided into parts presented below as lemmas. Denote by  $C_0$  the set of all continuous functions  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with compact support not intersecting the set  $\{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^m$ . Note that the set  $C_0$  is everywhere dense in  $H$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Assume that the operator  $V$  is introduced by the formula (5) and  $U = I - V$ . For an arbitrary  $f \in C_0$  the equality*

$$\|Uf\|^2 = (Uf | f) + (f | Uf)$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C_0$ . Taking into account Remark 1, we conclude that the vector-valued function

$$h(t) := A^b(t)\Phi^*(t)f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

is square integrable and has a compact support; as a result, it is integrable on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence the function

$$x \rightarrow \int_x^\infty h(t)dt$$

is continuous and bounded on the whole line. Thus, since the function  $x \mapsto \|\Phi(x)\|$  belongs to  $H$ , we obtain that  $Uf \in H$  and

$$(Uf)(x) = \int_x^\infty \Phi(x)h(t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows from the last formula that

$$|(Uf)(x)|^2 = \int_x^\infty \int_x^\infty (\Phi^*(x)\Phi(x)h(t) | h(\xi))_G dt d\xi.$$

Let us calculate the integral

$$J := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(Uf)(x)|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_x^\infty \int_x^\infty (\Phi^*(x)\Phi(x)h(t) | h(\xi))_G dt d\xi dx.$$

We see that  $J = J_1 + J_2$ , where

$$J_1 := \iiint_{x \leq t \leq \xi} (\Phi^*(x)\Phi(x)h(t) | h(\xi))_G dx dt d\xi,$$

$$J_2 := \iiint_{x \leq \xi \leq t} (\Phi^*(x)\Phi(x)h(t) | h(\xi))_G dx dt d\xi.$$

Integrating over the variable  $x$  the integrals  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ , and taking into account the definition of the operator  $A(x)$ , we get that

$$J_1 = \iint_{t \leq \xi} (A(t)h(t) | h(\xi))_G dt d\xi,$$

$$J_2 = \iint_{\xi \leq t} (A(\xi)h(t) | h(\xi))_G dt d\xi = \iint_{\xi \leq t} (h(t) | A(\xi)h(\xi))_G dt d\xi.$$

Note that, for almost every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$A(t)h(t) = A(t)A^\flat(t)\Phi^*(t)f(t) = \Phi^*(t)f(t).$$

Thus

$$J_1 = \iint_{t \leq \xi} (\Phi^*(t)f(t) | h(\xi))_G dt d\xi = \iint_{t \leq \xi} (f(t) | \Phi(t)h(\xi))_G dt d\xi = (f | Uf).$$

Similarly, we obtain that

$$J_2 = \iint_{\xi \leq t} (h(t) | \Phi^*(\xi)f(\xi))_G dt d\xi = \iint_{\xi \leq t} (\Phi(\xi)h(t) | f(\xi))_G dt d\xi = (Uf | f).$$

Therefore,  $J = (Uf | f) + (f | Uf)$  as claimed.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *The operator  $V$  that is defined by the formula (5) is an isometric upper-triangular operator.*

*Proof.* According to Lemma 2, we get that for an arbitrary  $f \in C_0$

$$\|Vf\|^2 = (f - Uf | f - Uf) = \|f\|^2 + \|Uf\|^2 - (Uf | f) - (f | Uf) = \|f\|^2.$$

Since the set  $C_0$  is everywhere dense in  $H$ , we have that the operator  $V$  is continuously extended to an isometric operator on the whole space  $H$ . Obviously, the extended operator acts by the formula (5) and it is upper-triangular.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *For every  $g \in C_0$  the equality*

$$\|U^*g\|^2 = (U^*g | g) + (g | U^*g) - \|P^\perp g\|^2 \quad (P^\perp := I - P)$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* Using elementary calculations, we get that the adjoint to  $U$  operator acts on functions  $g \in C_0$  by the formula

$$(U^*g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \Phi(x)A^\flat(x)\Phi^*(t)g(t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus for an arbitrary  $g \in C_0$

$$|(U^*g)(x)|^2 = \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \Phi(x)A^\flat(x)\Phi^*(t)g(t)dt \right|^2 = (A^\flat(x)\Phi^*(x)\Phi(x)A^\flat(x)r(x) | r(x))_G,$$

where

$$r(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x \Phi^*(t)g(t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Taking into account (4), it is easy to check that for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(A^b(x))' = -A^b(x)A'(x)A^b(x) = -A^b(x)\Phi^*(x)\Phi(x)A^b(x).$$

Thus

$$|(U^*g)(x)|^2 = -((A^b(x))'r(x) | r(x))_G = - \int_{-\infty}^x \int_{-\infty}^x ((A^b(x))'\Phi^*(t)g(t) | \Phi^*(\xi)g(\xi))_G dt d\xi.$$

Using this fact, we get

$$\|U^*g\|^2 = J := - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^x \int_{-\infty}^x ((A^b(x))'\Phi^*(t)g(t) | \Phi^*(\xi)g(\xi))_G dt d\xi dx.$$

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 2, we rewrite the integral  $J$  as a sum of the integrals

$$J_1 := - \iiint_{\xi \leq t \leq x} (A^b(x))'\Phi^*(t)g(t) | \Phi^*(\xi)g(\xi))_G dt d\xi dx,$$

$$J_2 := - \iiint_{t \leq \xi \leq x} (A^b(x))'\Phi^*(t)g(t) | \Phi^*(\xi)g(\xi))_G dt d\xi dx.$$

Integrating over the variable  $x$  in both integrals and using that

$$\int_t^{+\infty} (A^b(x))' dx = A^b(+\infty) - A^b(t) = I_G - A^b(t),$$

( $I_G$  is the identity operator in  $G$ ), we obtain that

$$J_1 = \iint_{\xi \leq t} (A^b(t)\Phi^*(t)g(t) | \Phi^*(\xi)g(\xi))_G dt d\xi - \iint_{\xi \leq t} (\Phi^*(t)g(t) | \Phi^*(\xi)g(\xi))_G dt d\xi =$$

$$= (Ug | g) - \iint_{\xi \leq t} (\Phi^*(t)g(t) | \Phi^*(\xi)g(\xi))_G dt d\xi;$$

$$J_2 = \iint_{t \leq \xi} (A^b(\xi)\Phi^*(t)g(t) | \Phi^*(\xi)g(\xi))_G dt d\xi - \iint_{t \leq \xi} (\Phi^*(t)g(t) | \Phi^*(\xi)g(\xi))_G dt d\xi =$$

$$= (U^*g | g) - \iint_{t \leq \xi} (\Phi^*(t)g(t) | \Phi^*(\xi)g(\xi))_G dt d\xi.$$

It thus follows that

$$J = J_1 + J_2 = (g | U^*g) + (U^*g | g) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Phi^*(t)g(t) | \Phi^*(\xi)g(\xi))_G dt d\xi.$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Phi^*(t)g(t) | \Phi^*(\xi)g(\xi))_G dt d\xi = \sum_{j=1}^n |(g | \varphi_j)|^2 = \|P^\perp g\|^2,$$

we have  $J = (g | U^*g) + (U^*g | g) - \|P^\perp g\|^2$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 1.* It follows from Lemma 3 that for an arbitrary  $g \in C_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|V^*g\|^2 &= ((I - U)^*g | (I - U)^*g) = \|g\|^2 + \|U^*g\|^2 - (U^*g | g) - (g | U^*g) = \\ &= \|g\|^2 - \|P^\perp g\|^2 = \|Pg\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $(VV^*g | g) = (Pg | g)$ . Therefore, we get the equality  $VV^* = P$ . In view of Corollary 1, the operator  $V$  is isometric and upper-triangular. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The authors express their gratitude to Dr. Ya. V. Mykytyuk for the formulation of the problem and thank him for his ideas to solve it.

## REFERENCES

1. I. Gohberg, M. Krein, *Theory of Volterra operators in Hilbert space and its applications*, Nauka Publ., Moscow, 1967 (in Russian); Engl. transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Math. Monographs, V.24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1970.
2. S. Albeverio, R. Hryniv, Ya. Mykytyuk, *Factorisation of non-negative Fredholm operators and inverse spectral problems for Bessel operators*, Integr. equ. oper. theory, **64** (2009), 301–323.
3. D.R. Larson, *Nest algebras and similarity transformations*, Ann of Math. (2), **121** (1985), №2, 409–427.

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv  
Lviv, Ukraine  
n.sushchuk@gmail.com

Peeklogic  
Lviv, Ukraine  
dehnerysvitalii@gmail.com

*Received 14.02.2021*