

УДК 517.555

A. I. BANDURA, T. M. SALO, O. B. SKASKIV

**NOTE ON COMPOSITION OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS AND BOUNDED  
L-INDEX IN DIRECTION**

A. I. Bandura, T. M. Salo, O. B. Skaskiv. *Note on composition of entire functions and bounded L-index in direction*, Mat. Stud. **55** (2021), 51–56.

We study the following question: “Let  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an entire function of bounded  $l$ -index,  $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  an be entire function,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $l: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a continuous function. What is a positive continuous function  $L: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and a direction  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  such that the composite function  $f(\Phi(z))$  has bounded  $L$ -index in the direction  $\mathbf{b}$ ?” In the present paper, early known result on boundedness of  $L$ -index in direction for the composition of entire functions  $f(\Phi(z))$  is modified. We remove a condition that a directional derivative of the inner function  $\Phi$  in a direction  $\mathbf{b}$  does not equal zero. We relax also the condition  $|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k \Phi(z)| \leq K |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^k$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , where  $K \geq 1$  is a constant and

$$\partial_{\mathbf{b}} F(z) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial z_j} b_j, \quad \partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k F(z) := \partial_{\mathbf{b}} (\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^{k-1} F(z)).$$

It is replaced by the condition  $|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k \Phi(z)| \leq K (l(\Phi(z)))^{1/(N(f,l)+1)} |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^k$ , where  $N(f, l)$  is the  $l$ -index of the function  $f$ . Under these conditions, the entire function  $f(\Phi(z))$  has bounded  $L$ -index in the direction  $\mathbf{b}$  with the function  $L(z) = \max \{1, |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|\} l(\Phi(z))$  satisfying an additional condition. The described result is an improvement of the previous one.

**1. Introduction.** The present paper is devoted to compositions of entire functions and theory of entire functions of bounded  $L$ -index in direction. We need some notations and definitions. Let  $L: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be any fixed continuous function. An entire function  $F(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , is called [1,7,8] a *function of bounded L-index in a direction*  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , if there exists  $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and every  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^m F(z)|}{m! L^m(z)} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k F(z)|}{k! L^k(z)} : 0 \leq k \leq m_0 \right\}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^0 F(z) := F(z), \quad \partial_{\mathbf{b}} F(z) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial z_j} b_j = \langle \mathbf{grad} F, \bar{\mathbf{b}} \rangle, \quad \partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k F(z) := \partial_{\mathbf{b}} (\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^{k-1} F(z)), \quad k \geq 2.$$

The least such integer  $m_0$  is called the  $L$ -index in the direction  $\mathbf{b}$  and is denoted by  $N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L)$ . In the case  $n = 1$  and  $\mathbf{b} = 1$  we obtain the definition of an entire function of one variable of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D20, 32A15, 32A17.

*Keywords*: entire function; bounded  $L$ -index in direction; composite function; bounded  $l$ -index.

doi:10.30970/ms.55.1.51-56

bounded  $l$ -index (see [11,14]) and  $N(F, L) := N_1(F, L)$ ; in the case  $n = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = 1$  and  $L(z) \equiv 1$  it is reduced to the definition of a function of bounded index, supposed by B. Lepson [12].

A detailed review of papers on compositions of functions and boundedness of index is presented in [2]. There is considered the following question: *Let  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an entire function of bounded  $l$ -index,  $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an entire function,  $l: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a continuous function. What are a positive continuous function  $L$  and a direction  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that the composite function  $f(\Phi(z))$  has bounded  $L$ -index in the direction  $\mathbf{b}$ ?* There is answer to the question as Theorem 1 from [2]. The similar questions are considered for analytic functions in the unit ball [4], for entire functions of bounded  $\mathbf{L}$ -index in joint variables [3], for entire and analytic functions of bounded  $l$ - $M$ -index [5], for analytic functions in  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D}$  [6].

Note that the positivity and continuity of the function  $L$  are weak restrictions. Therefore, we impose additional conditions by the function  $L$ .

For  $\eta > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and a positive continuous function  $L: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  we define

$$\lambda(\eta) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n} \sup_t \left\{ \frac{L(z + t\mathbf{b})}{L(z)} : |t| \leq \frac{\eta}{L(z)} \right\}.$$

By  $Q_{\mathbf{b}}^n$  we denote the class of functions  $L$  such that  $\lambda(\eta)$  is finite for any  $\eta > 0$ . We also use notation  $Q = Q_1^1$  for the class of positive continuous function  $l(z)$ , when  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = 1$ ,  $n = 1$ ,  $L \equiv l$ .

To prove the main theorem we need auxiliary proposition.

**Lemma 1** ([1,7]). *Let  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $L \in Q_{\mathbf{b}}^n$ . An entire function  $F(z)$  has bounded  $L$ -index in the direction  $\mathbf{b}$  if and only if there exist numbers  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $R > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that for each  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $|z| \geq R$ ,*

$$\frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^{p+1} F(z)|}{L^{p+1}(z)} \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k F(z)|}{L^k(z)} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\}. \quad (2)$$

There was obtained the following result

**Proposition 1** ([2]). *Let  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ,  $f$  be an entire function in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Phi$  be an entire function in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z) \neq 0$  and*

$$|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^j \Phi(z)| \leq K |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^j, \quad K \equiv \text{const} > 0, \quad (3)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and every  $j \leq p$ , where  $p$  is defined in (2).

Let  $l \in Q$ ,  $l(w) \geq 1$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $L \in Q_{\mathbf{b}}^n$ , where  $L(z) = |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)| l(\Phi(z))$ . The entire function  $f$  has bounded  $l$ -index if and only if  $F(z) = f(\Phi(z))$  has bounded  $L$ -index in the direction  $\mathbf{b}$ .

Note that the conditions for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$   $\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z) \neq 0$  and  $|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^j \Phi(z)| \leq K |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^j$ , in Proposition 1 are generated by the method of proof. In fact, we can remove it and prove more general proposition with some greater function  $L$ .

Our main result is following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $l \in Q$ ,  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an entire function of bounded  $l$ -index,  $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an entire function,  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Suppose that  $l(w) \geq 1$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $L \in Q_{\mathbf{b}}^n$  with*

$$L(z) = \max \{1, |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|\} l(\Phi(z)) \quad (4)$$

and for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N(f, l) + 1\}$  one has

$$|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k \Phi(z)| \leq K(l(\Phi(z)))^{1/(N(f, l)+1)} |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^k, \quad (5)$$

where  $K \geq 1$  is a constant. Then the entire function  $F(z) = f(\Phi(z))$  has bounded  $L$ -index in the direction  $\mathbf{b}$ .

Theorem 1 is new even in one-dimensional case, i.e. for entire functions of one variable having bounded  $l$ -index.

**2. Proof of main Theorem.** For  $n = 1$  Lemma 1 is Sheremeta's result [13]. W. K. Hayman [10] proved Lemma 1 for entire functions of bounded index. Analogs of the Hayman Theorem are also known for other classes of holomorphic functions of bounded index [9, 13, 14].

*Proof of Theorem 1.* In the proof of Proposition 1 in [2] there was established the following formula by the method of mathematical induction

$$\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k F(z) = f^{(k)}(\Phi(z)) (\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z))^k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f^{(j)}(\Phi(z)) Q_{j,k}(z), \quad (6)$$

where

$$Q_{j,k}(z) = \sum_{\substack{n_1+2n_2+\dots+kn_k=k \\ 0 \leq n_1 \leq j-1}} c_{j,k,n_1,\dots,n_k} (\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z))^{n_1} (\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^2 \Phi(z))^{n_2} \dots (\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k \Phi(z))^{n_k},$$

and  $c_{j,k,n_1,\dots,n_k}$  are some non-negative integer coefficients. There was also deduced that

$$f^{(k)}(\Phi(z)) = \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k F(z)}{(\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z))^k} + \frac{1}{(\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z))^{2k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \partial_{\mathbf{b}}^j \Phi(z) (\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z))^j Q_{j,k}^*(z), \quad (7)$$

where

$$Q_{j,k}^*(z) = \sum_{m_1+2m_2+\dots+km_k=2(k-j)} b_{j,k,m_1,\dots,m_k} (\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z))^{m_1} (\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^2 \Phi(z))^{m_2} \dots (\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k \Phi(z))^{m_k},$$

and  $b_{j,k,m_1,\dots,m_k}$  are some integer coefficients.

Let  $f$  be an entire function of bounded  $l$ -index. Denote  $L_0(z) = l(\Phi(z)) |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|$ . Taking into account (6) and (4), for  $k = p + 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^{p+1} F(z)|}{L_0^{p+1}(z)} &\leq \frac{|f^{(p+1)}(\Phi(z))|}{L_0^{p+1}(z)} |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^{p+1} + \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{|f^{(j)}(\Phi(z))| |Q_{j,p+1}(z)|}{L_0^{p+1}(z)} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{|f^{(p+1)}(\Phi(z))| |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^{p+1}}{l^{p+1}(\Phi(z)) |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^{p+1}} + \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{|f^{(j)}(\Phi(z))|}{l^j(\Phi(z))} \cdot \frac{|Q_{j,p+1}(z)| l^j(\Phi(z))}{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^{p+1} l^{p+1}(\Phi(z))}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By Lemma 1 inequality (2) is valid for  $n = 1$ ,  $F = f$ ,  $L = l$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = 1$  and  $p = N(f, l)$ .

$$(\forall \tau \in \mathbb{C}): \quad \frac{|f^{(p+1)}(\tau)|}{l^{p+1}(\tau)} \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}(\tau)|}{l^k(\tau)} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\}.$$

Applying to (8) this inequalities with  $\tau = \Phi(z)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^{p+1} F(z)|}{L_0^{p+1}(z)} &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}(\Phi(z))|}{l^k(\Phi(z))} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\} \left( C + \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{|Q_{j,p+1}(z)| l^{j-p-1}(\Phi(z))}{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^{p+1}} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}(\Phi(z))|}{l^k(\Phi(z))} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\} \left( C + \right. \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{\substack{n_1+2n_2+\dots+(p+1)n_{p+1}=p+1 \\ 0 \leq n_1 \leq j-1}} c_{j,p+1,n_1,\dots,n_{p+1}} \frac{|(\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z))^{n_1} (\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^2 \Phi(z))^{n_2} \dots (\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^{p+1} \Phi(z))^{n_{p+1}}|}{l^{p+1-j}(\Phi(z)) |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^{p+1}} \Big). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In view of condition (5) inequality (9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^{p+1} F(z)|}{L_0^{p+1}(z)} &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}(\Phi(z))|}{l^k(\Phi(z))} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\} \times \\ &\times \left( C + \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{\substack{n_1+2n_2+\dots+(p+1)n_{p+1}=p+1 \\ 0 \leq n_1 \leq j-1}} \frac{c_{j,p+1,n_1,\dots,n_{p+1}} K^{p+1} l(\Phi(z)) |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^{p+1}}{l^{p+1-j}(\Phi(z)) |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^{p+1}} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}(\Phi(z))|}{l^k(\Phi(z))} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\} \left( C + \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{\substack{n_1+2n_2+\dots+(p+1)n_{p+1}=p+1 \\ 0 \leq n_1 \leq j-1}} \frac{c_{j,p+1,n_1,\dots,n_{p+1}} K^{p+1}}{l^{p-j}(\Phi(z))} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We will use that  $l(\Phi(z)) \geq 1$ . Then from (10) it follows that

$$\frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^{p+1} F(z)|}{L_0^{p+1}(z)} \leq C_1 \max \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}(\Phi(z))|}{l^k(\Phi(z))} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$C_1 = C + K^{p+1} \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{\substack{n_1+2n_2+\dots+(p+1)n_{p+1}=p+1 \\ 0 \leq n_1 \leq j-1}} c_{j,p+1,n_1,\dots,n_{p+1}}.$$

Applying equality (7), we can estimate the fraction  $\frac{|f^{(k)}(\Phi(z))|}{l^k(\Phi(z))}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f^{(k)}(\Phi(z))|}{l^k(\Phi(z))} &\leq \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k F(z)|}{l^k(\Phi(z)) |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^k} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^j F(z)| |Q_{j,k}^*(z)|}{l^k(\Phi(z)) |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^{2k-j}} \leq \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^j \Phi(z)|}{l^j(\Phi(z)) |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^j} : 1 \leq j \leq k \right\} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{|Q_{j,k}^*(z)|}{l^{k-j}(\Phi(z)) |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^{2(k-j)}} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^j \Phi(z)|}{l^j(\Phi(z)) |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^j} : 1 \leq j \leq k \right\} \left( 1 + \right. \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{m_1+2m_2+\dots+km_k=2(k-j)} |b_{j,k,m_1,\dots,m_k}| \frac{|(\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z))^{m_1} (\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^2 \Phi(z))^{m_2} \dots (\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k \Phi(z))^{m_k}|}{l^{k-j}(\Phi(z)) |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^{2(k-j)}} \Big). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

From inequalities (5) and  $l(w) \geq 1$  it follows that  $|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^s \Phi(z)| \leq K l^{s/2}(\Phi(z)) |\partial_{\mathbf{b}} \Phi(z)|^s$ , because  $s/2 \geq 1/(N(f,l) + 1)$  for  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, N(f,l) + 1\}$ . Applying this inequality to (12), we

deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f^{(k)}(\Phi(z))|}{l^k(\Phi(z))} &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^j F(z)|}{l^j(\Phi(z))|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}\Phi(z)|^j} : 1 \leq j \leq k \right\} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{m_1+2m_2+\dots+km_k=2(k-j)} \times \right. \\ &\times |b_{j,k,m_1,\dots,m_k}| K^{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_k} \frac{(l(\Phi(z)))^{(m_1+2m_2+\dots+km_k)/2} |\partial_{\mathbf{b}}\Phi(z)|^{m_1+2m_2+\dots+km_k}}{l^{k-j}(\Phi(z))|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}\Phi(z)|^{2(k-j)}} \left. \right) \leq \\ &\leq C_2 \max \left\{ \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^j \Phi(z)|}{l^j(\Phi(z))|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}\Phi(z)|^j} : 1 \leq j \leq k \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{m_1+2m_2+\dots+km_k=2(k-j)} |b_{j,k,m_1,\dots,m_k}| K^{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_k}.$$

Then from inequality (11) we get

$$\frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^{p+1} F(z)|}{L_0^{p+1}(z)} \leq C_1 \max \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}(\Phi(z))|}{l^k(\Phi(z))} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\} \leq C_1 C_2 \max \left\{ \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^j F(z)|}{L_0^j(z)} : 0 \leq j \leq p \right\}, \quad (13)$$

$p = N(f, l)$ . The last inequality is proved for all  $z$  such that  $\partial_{\mathbf{b}}\Phi(z) \neq 0$ .

Remind that  $L(z) = l(\Phi(z)) \max\{1, |\partial_{\mathbf{b}}\Phi(z)|\}$ . Rewrite inequality (13) in the following form:

$$\frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^{p+1} F(z)|}{L^{p+1}(z)} \cdot \frac{L^{p+1}(z)}{L_0^{p+1}(z)} \leq C_1 C_2 \max \left\{ \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k F(z)|}{L^k(z)} \frac{L^k(z)}{L_0^k(z)} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^{p+1} F(z)|}{L^{p+1}(z)} &\leq C_1 C_2 \frac{L_0^{p+1}(z)}{L^{p+1}(z)} \max \left\{ \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k F(z)|}{L^k(z)} \frac{L^k(z)}{L_0^k(z)} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\} \leq \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 \frac{L_0^{p+1}(z)}{L^{p+1}(z)} \max \left\{ \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k F(z)|}{L^k(z)} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\} \max \left\{ \frac{L^k(z)}{L_0^k(z)} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\} = \\ &= C_1 C_2 \frac{(L_0(z)/L(z))^{p+1}}{\min_{0 \leq k \leq p} (L_0(z)/L(z))^k} \max \left\{ \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k F(z)|}{L^k(z)} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Let  $t_0 = t(z) = L_0(z)/L(z)$  and  $k_0 \leq p$  ( $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ) be such that  $(t_0)^{k_0} = \min_{0 \leq k \leq p} t_0^k$ . One should observe that  $t_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $p+1-k_0 \geq 1$ . Hence,  $\frac{t_0^{p+1}}{t_0^{k_0}} = t_0^{p+1-k_0} \leq t_0 \leq 1$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{(L_0(z)/L(z))^{p+1}}{\min_{0 \leq k \leq p} (L_0(z)/L(z))^k} = t_0^{p+1-k_0} \leq t_0 \leq 1.$$

Thus, from inequality (14) we get

$$\frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^{p+1} F(z)|}{L^{p+1}(z)} \leq C_1 C_2 \max \left\{ \frac{|\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k F(z)|}{L^k(z)} : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\} \quad (15)$$

for all  $z$  such that  $\partial_{\mathbf{b}}\Phi(z) \neq 0$ .

If  $\partial_{\mathbf{b}}\Phi(z) = 0$  then for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, N(f, \ell) + 1\}$  inequality (5) implies  $\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k\Phi(z) = 0$ . In view of (6) it means that for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, N(f, l) + 1\}$   $\partial_{\mathbf{b}}^k F(z) = 0$ . Thus, for the points  $z$  such that  $\partial_{\mathbf{b}}\Phi(z) = 0$  inequality (15) is also satisfied.

Therefore, by Lemma 1 this inequality means that the function  $F$  has bounded  $L$ -index in the direction  $\mathbf{b}$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The research of the first author was funded by the National Research Foundation of Ukraine, 2020.02/0025, 0120U103996.

## REFERENCES

1. A.I. Bandura, O.B. Skaskiv, *Entire functions of bounded  $L$ -index in direction*, Mat. Stud., **27** (2007), №1, 30–52. (in Ukrainian)
2. A. Bandura, *Composition of entire functions and bounded  $L$ -index in direction*, Mat. Stud., **47** (2017), №2, 179–184. doi: 10.15330/ms.47.2.179-184
3. A.I. Bandura, O.B. Skaskiv, *Boundedness of  $L$ -index for the composition of entire functions of several variables*, Ukr. Math. J., **70** (2019), №10, 1538–1549. doi: 10.1007/s11253-019-01589-9
4. A.I. Bandura, *Composition, product and sum of analytic functions of bounded  $L$ -index in direction in the unit ball*, Mat. Stud., **50** (2018), №2, 115–134. doi: 10.15330/ms.50.2.115-134
5. A.I. Bandura, M.M. Sheremeta, *Bounded  $l$ -index and  $l$ - $M$ -index and compositions of analytic functions*, Mat. Stud., **48** (2017), №2, 180–188. doi: 10.15330/ms.48.2.180-188
6. A.I. Bandura, O.B. Skaskiv, V.L. Tsvigun, *The functions of bounded  $L$ -index in the collection of variables analytic in  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C}$* , J. Math. Sci., **246** (2020), №2, 256–263. doi: 10.1007/s10958-020-04735-y
7. A. Bandura, O. Skaskiv, *Entire functions of several variables of bounded index*, Lviv: Publisher I. E. Chyzhykov, 2016, 128 p.
8. A. Bandura, O. Skaskiv, P. Filevych, *Properties of entire solutions of some linear PDE's*, J. Appl. Math. Comput. Mech., **16** (2017), №2, 17–28. doi:10.17512/jamcm.2017.2.02
9. A. Bandura, N. Petrechko, O. Skaskiv, *Maximum modulus in a disc of analytic functions of bounded  $L$ -index and an analogue of Hayman's theorem*, Mat. Bohemica, **143** (2018), №4, 339–354. doi: 10.21136/MB.2017.0110-16
10. W.K. Hayman, *Differential inequalities and local valency*, Pacific J. Math., **44** (1973), №1, 117–137.
11. A.D. Kuzyk, M.N. Sheremeta, *Entire functions of bounded  $l$ -distribution of values*, Mat. Zametki, **39** (1986), №1, 3–13. Engl. transl.: Math. Notes, **39** (1986), №1, 3–8. doi:10.1007/BF01647624
12. B. Lepson, *Differential equations of infinite order, hyperdirichlet series and entire functions of bounded index*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **11** (1968), 298–307.
13. M.N. Sheremeta, *Entire functions and Dirichlet series of bounded  $l$ -index*, Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ), **36** (1992), №9, 76–82.
14. M. Sheremeta, *Analytic functions of bounded index*, Lviv: VNTL Publishers, 1999, 141 p.

Ivano-Frankivsk National Technical University of Oil and Gas  
Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine  
andriykopanytsia@gmail.com

Lviv Politechnic National University  
Lviv, Ukraine  
tetyan.salo@gmail.com

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv  
Lviv, Ukraine  
olskask@gmail.com

Received 12.10.2020

Revised 23.02.2021