

УДК 517.98, 517.5

M. I. DMYTRYSHYN

APPROXIMATION BY INTERPOLATION SPECTRAL SUBSPACES OF OPERATORS WITH DISCRETE SPECTRUM

M. I. Dmytryshyn. *Approximation by interpolation spectral subspaces of operators with discrete spectrum*, Mat. Stud. **55** (2021), 162–170.

The paper describes approximation properties of interpolation spectral subspaces of an unbounded operator A with discrete spectrum $\sigma(A)$ in a Banach space \mathfrak{X} , as well as ones corresponding subspaces $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$ of analytic vectors relative to A . Some properties of subspaces $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$ are established, including the possibility of their identification with the interpolation subspaces obtained by the real method of interpolation. A relation between spectral subspaces and subspaces $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$ of analytic vectors of A is also established.

We prove the inequalities that provide a sharp estimate of errors for the best approximations by interpolation spectral subspaces, as well as the subspaces $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$. Such inequalities fully characterize the subspace of elements from \mathfrak{X} in relation to rapidity of approximations. The obtained estimates of spectral approximation errors are expressed in terms of the quasi-norms of the approximation spaces $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)$ associated with the given operator A . In this regard, the E -functional is used that plays a similar role as the module of smoothness in the function theory.

We use the so-called normalization factor to write the constants in the estimates of spectral approximation errors. This normalization factor is determined by the parameters τ and s of the approximation spaces $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)$ and has a special form in the case $\tau(1+s) = 2$.

Applications to spectral approximations of the regular elliptic operators with variable smooth coefficients in the space $L_q(\Omega)$ over an open bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and some self-adjoint ordinary elliptic differential operators in a bounded interval $\Omega = (a, b)$ are shown.

1. Introduction. Our purpose is to study the approximation properties of interpolation spectral subspaces relative to a given unbounded operator A with discrete spectrum $\sigma(A)$ in a Banach space \mathfrak{X} . We associate the spectral subspaces with the invariant subspaces $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$ of analytic vectors of A (see [4, 6]). Some necessary to us properties of these subspaces are given in Theorem 1. The relation between $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$ and spectral subspaces (see Theorem 2) is crucial to obtain a sharp error estimate for the best approximations in \mathfrak{X} .

To estimate the best approximation errors, we apply the approximation E -functional (more details in [2, 16]) and the special scale of approximation spaces $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)$ associated with A . We give the estimates of spectral approximation errors in terms of the quasi-norms of $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)$.

The essential in our approach is that the approximation spaces $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)$ can be identified with interpolation spaces obtained by the real method of interpolation.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 41A17, 47A58.

Keywords: spectral approximation; exact errors estimates; operator with discrete spectrum.

doi:10.30970/ms.55.2.162-170

Suppose that $(\mathfrak{X}_0, |\cdot|_{\mathfrak{X}_0})$ and $(\mathfrak{X}_1, |\cdot|_{\mathfrak{X}_1})$ are quasi-normed spaces, that form a compatible pair $(\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1)$ (see e.g. [2, 15]). To explain the K -method, for every compatible pair $(\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1)$ we define the K -functional by

$$K(t, x; \mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1) := \left\{ \inf \left(|x_0|_{\mathfrak{X}_0}^2 + t^2 |x_1|_{\mathfrak{X}_1}^2 \right)^{1/2} : x_0 \in \mathfrak{X}_0, x_1 \in \mathfrak{X}_1, x_0 + x_1 = x \right\}$$

for $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathfrak{X}_0 + \mathfrak{X}_1$. This definition is the same as in [15]. More usual way is to replace the 2-norm $(|x_0|_{\mathfrak{X}_0}^2 + t^2 |x_1|_{\mathfrak{X}_1}^2)^{1/2}$ by the 1-norm $|x_0|_{\mathfrak{X}_0} + t|x_1|_{\mathfrak{X}_1}$ in this definition, e.g. [2]. But it leads to the same interpolation spaces and equivalent norms. We also consider the functional $K_\infty(t, x; \mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1) = \inf_{x=x_0+x_1} \max(|x_0|_{\mathfrak{X}_0}, t|x_1|_{\mathfrak{X}_1})$.

Now let us define, for every compatible pair $(\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1)$, and for $0 < \theta < 1, 1 \leq r \leq \infty$,

$$(\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1)_{\theta, r} = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{X}_0 + \mathfrak{X}_1 : |x|_{(\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1)_{\theta, r}} < \infty \right\},$$

this interpolation space with the quasi-norm

$$|x|_{(\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1)_{\theta, r}} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty [t^{-\theta} K(t, x; \mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1)]^r dt/t \right)^{1/r}, & 1 \leq r < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{-\theta} K(t, x; \mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1), & r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Our preferred choice of the 2-norm will be, that is due to use of the so-called normalization factor

$$N_{\theta, r} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty t^{r(1-\theta)-1} (1+t^2)^{-r/2} dt \right)^{-1/r}, & 1 \leq r < \infty, \\ \theta^{-\theta/2} (1-\theta)^{-(1-\theta)/2}, & r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

This normalization is used in [3], with a focus on establishing when equivalence of norms is in fact equality of norms in the results of the interpolation theory. We use the normalization factor $N_{\theta, r}$ to write the constants in estimates of spectral approximation errors (Theorem 3). The established inequalities fully characterize the subspace of elements from \mathfrak{X} in relation to rapidity of approximations.

Note that exact estimates for approximation errors of spectral approximations for unbounded operators in Banach spaces, using the Besov-type quasi-norms and normalization factor $N'_{\theta, r} = [r\theta(1-\theta)]^{1/r}$ for $1 \leq r < \infty$ and $N'_{\theta, \infty} = 1$, are given in [9]. $N_{\theta, r}$ is also used in [5] to study the approximation problem by invariant subspaces of analytic vectors of positive operators in Banach spaces. The calculated constants in estimates are asymptotically exact in the sense that for a fixed θ ($0 < \theta < 1$) the following limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\theta r^2)^{1/r\theta} [N'_{\theta, r}]^{-1/\theta} = 1$ is valid. Actually, in this paper, we also have a view of the exact estimates in the same sense.

Note also that usage of $N_{\theta, r}$ permits to obtain the improved estimates for the spectral approximation errors. In particular, we get the constant $c_{1, \infty} = 1/2$ in the inequality (3) from [5, Theorem 2], while $c_{1, \infty} = 1$ in (1) from [9, Theorem 2].

The last section of this paper contains applications. Similarly to [9], we give new estimates of the spectral approximations errors for a regular elliptic operator in $L_q(\Omega)$ over an open bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and for some self-adjoint ordinary differential boundary-value problems.

Finally, note that the applications of analytic vectors to approximation problems can be found in [8, 10, 11, 14] and etc. As for exact constants in direct and inverse approximation theorems of the functions theory, see also [1, 17].

2. Subspaces of analytic vectors and spectral subspaces. Let $A: \mathfrak{D}^1(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a closed linear operator with a dense domain $\mathfrak{D}^1(A)$ in a Banach space $(\mathfrak{X}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{X}})$. We assume

that A has a discrete spectrum $\sigma(A)$, i.e., its resolvent $R(\lambda, A) = (\lambda - A)^{-1}$ has only isolated eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of finite multiplicities, which are poles with the limit at infinity. In particular, this guarantees the compactness of $R(\lambda, A)$ (see e.g. [13, p. 187]).

For any $\nu > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we put $x_{k,\nu} := (A/\nu)^k x$, $x \in \mathfrak{D}^\infty(A) := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathfrak{D}^k(A)$. Let $\{x_{k,\nu}^*\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ denotes the rearrangement of the elements by magnitude of the norms:

$$\|x_{0,\nu}^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \geq \|x_{1,\nu}^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \geq \dots \geq \|x_{k,\nu}^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \geq \dots$$

For $1 < q < \infty$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ the subspaces $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$ have the following form:

$$\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A) = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{X} : \|x\|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_{k-1,\nu}^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^p k^{\frac{p}{q}-1} \right)^{1/p}, & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_{k-1,\nu}^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}} k^{\frac{1}{q}}, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

If $q = p$ then $\mathcal{E}_{q,q}^\nu(A) := \mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)$ and $\|x\|_{\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)} = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \|x_{k,\nu}\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^q \right)^{1/q}$ in the case $1 \leq q < \infty$ (specified also for $q = \infty$).

Theorem 1. (a) If $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ then

$$(\mathcal{E}_1^\nu(A), \mathcal{E}_\infty^\nu(A))_{\theta,r} = \mathcal{E}_{1/(1-\theta),r}^\nu(A). \tag{1}$$

- (b) The contractive inclusion $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\mu(A)$ with $\mu > \nu$ holds.
- (c) The restriction $A|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)}$ is a bounded operator in $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$.
- (d) Every space $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$ is complete.

Proof. (a) As follows from [16, Remark 3.1],

$$K_\infty(t, x; \mathcal{E}_1^\nu(A), \mathcal{E}_\infty^\nu(A)) \leq K(t, x; \mathcal{E}_1^\nu(A), \mathcal{E}_\infty^\nu(A)) \leq \sqrt{2} K_\infty(t, x; \mathcal{E}_1^\nu(A), \mathcal{E}_\infty^\nu(A)). \tag{2}$$

Using [19, Theorem 1.18.3/1] and (2) for $1 \leq r < \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{(\mathcal{E}_1^\nu(A), \mathcal{E}_\infty^\nu(A))_{\theta,r}}^r &\sim \sum_{s=1}^\infty s^{-\theta r-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \|x_{k,\nu}^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \right)^r \geq \sum_{s=1}^\infty s^{(1-\theta)r-1} \|x_{s-1,\nu}^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^r, \\ &\sum_{s=1}^\infty s^{-\theta r-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \|x_{k,\nu}^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \right)^r \leq c \sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{(1-\theta)r-1} \|x_{k-1,\nu}^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^r. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get (1) for $1 \leq r < \infty$. In the case $r = \infty$, one obtains

$$\|x\|_{(\mathcal{E}_1^\nu(A), \mathcal{E}_\infty^\nu(A))_{\theta,\infty}} \sim \sup_s s^{-\theta} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \|x_{k,\nu}^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \sim \sup_s s^{1-\theta} \|x_{s-1,\nu}^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}}.$$

(b) For any $\mu > \nu$, we have

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\mu(A)} \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A),$$

that yields the contractive inclusion $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A) \supset \mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\mu(A)$.

(c) If $x \in \mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$\|Ax\|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)}^p = \nu^p \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (k+1)^{\frac{p}{q}-1} \|(A/\nu)^k x\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^p \leq \nu^p \|x\|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)}^p,$$

with the modification when $p = \infty$,

$$\|Ax\|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,\infty}^\nu(A)} = \nu \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (k+1)^{1/q} \|(A/\nu)^k x\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \leq \nu \|x\|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,\infty}^\nu(A)}.$$

It follows that the invariance and boundedness of $A|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$.

(d) By [7, Theorem 1(iv)] we have the completeness of $\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)$ for $q = 1, \infty$. Then the space $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$ is complete as an interpolation space according to (1). \square

Let $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda_j}(A) = \{x \in \mathfrak{D}^\infty(A) : (\lambda_j - A)^{r_j} x = 0\}$ be a spectral subspace, corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_j of multiplicity r_j and $\mathcal{R}^\nu(A)$ be the linear span in \mathfrak{X} of all spectral subspaces $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda_j}(A)$ such that $|\lambda_j| < \nu$. Next, let $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda_j}(A) = \{x \in \mathfrak{D}^\infty(A) : (\lambda_j - A)x = 0\}$ be a subspace of eigenvectors, corresponding to $\lambda_j \in \sigma(A)$ and $\mathcal{S}^\nu(A)$ be the linear span of all $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda_j}(A)$ such that $|\lambda_j| = \nu$, $\lambda_j \in \sigma(A)$. Denote $\mathcal{Q}^\nu(A) = \mathcal{R}^\nu(A) \oplus \mathcal{S}^\nu(A)$ and let us show the relation between the subspaces of analytic vectors and spectral subspaces.

Theorem 2. *The following equalities hold*

$$\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A) = \mathcal{R}^\nu(A), \quad \mathcal{E}_\infty^\nu(A) = \mathcal{Q}^\nu(A), \quad (3)$$

where $1 \leq q < \infty$. If $1 < q < \infty$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ then

$$\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A) = (\mathcal{R}^\nu(A), \mathcal{Q}^\nu(A))_{1-1/q, p}. \quad (4)$$

Proof. Each spectral subspace $\mathcal{R}^\nu(A)$ coincides with the range of Riesz projector $P_\nu = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_\gamma (\lambda - A)^{-1} d\lambda$, where γ is a closed contour, spanning all eigenvalues λ_j of A such that $|\lambda_j| < \nu$ [12, Theorem 5.14.3]. The spectral radius of $AP_\nu = A|_{\mathcal{R}^\nu(A)}$ is less than ν , i.e. $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|(AP_\nu)^k\|^{1/k} < \nu$. So,

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)}^q = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \|(A/\nu)^k x\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^q \leq \|x\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^q \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \|AP_\nu\|^{kq} / \nu^{kq} < \infty$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{R}^\nu(A)$. Thus, $\mathcal{R}^\nu(A) \subset \mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)$ for any $1 \leq q < \infty$.

On the other hand, for each $x \in \mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)$, we have $\|(\lambda - A)^{-1} x\|_{\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)} \leq \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}\| \|x\|_{\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)}$ and $(\lambda - A)^{-1}(\lambda - A)x = (\lambda - A)(\lambda - A)^{-1}x = x$ for all λ located on the resolvent set $\rho(A)$ of A . Hence, $(\lambda - A|_{\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)})^{-1}$ is the resolvent of $A|_{\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)}$ and $\rho(A) \subset \rho(A|_{\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)})$. So, the unit operator $I|_{\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)}$ on $\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)$ can be represented as $I|_{\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)} = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_\gamma (\lambda - A|_{\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)})^{-1} d\lambda$. It follows that $I|_{\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)} = P_\nu|_{\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A)}$ and the inclusion $\mathcal{E}_q^\nu(A) \subset \mathcal{R}^\nu(A)$ holds for any $1 \leq q < \infty$. So, the first equality (3) is valid.

Using [18, Lemma 1], we have $\mathcal{E}_\infty^\nu(A) \subset \bigoplus_{j: |\lambda_j| \leq \nu} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda_j}(A)$. Then it is sufficient to prove the equality

$$\mathcal{S}_{\lambda_j}(A) = \mathcal{E}_\infty^\nu(A) \cap \mathcal{R}_{\lambda_j}(A) \quad (5)$$

for indices j with $|\lambda_j| = \nu$. Assume that (5) is not true. Then there exist root vectors x_0, \dots, x_r , corresponding to λ_j , such that $|\lambda_j| = \nu$ and $x_r \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^\nu(A)$, $r \geq 1$. From the equality

$$A^k x_r = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{k}{i} \lambda_j^{k-i} x_{r-i}, \quad k \geq r,$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|A^k x_r\|_{\mathfrak{X}}}{\binom{k}{r} \nu^k} = \nu^{-r} \|x_0\|_{\mathfrak{X}}.$$

Since $x_0 \neq 0$, one has $\nu^{-r} \|x_0\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \neq 0$ and $x_r \notin \mathcal{E}_\infty^\nu(A)$. So, the equality (5) holds for all j such that $|\lambda_j| = \nu$, as well as the second equality (3) is valid.

The equality (4) directly follows from (1) and (3). □

3. Estimates of spectral approximation errors. We study in this section the case of spectral approximation, where the operator A has a discrete spectrum in a Banach space \mathfrak{X} .

Following [6], on the union $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A) = \bigcup_{\nu > 0} \mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$ we define the quasi-norm

$$|x|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A)} = \|x\|_{\mathfrak{X}} + \inf \{ \nu > 0 : x \in \mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A) \},$$

so that $|x + y|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A)} \leq |x|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A)} + |y|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A)}$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A)$.

For a pair indices $\{0 < s < \infty, 0 < \tau \leq \infty\}$ or $\{0 \leq s < \infty, \tau = \infty\}$, we assign the approximation spaces $\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A) = \{x \in \mathfrak{X} : |x|_{\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)} < \infty\}$, where

$$|x|_{\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty [t^s E(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})]^\tau dt/t \right)^{1/\tau}, & 0 < \tau < \infty, \\ \sup_{t > 0} t^s E(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}), & \tau = \infty, \end{cases}$$

and $E(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}) = \inf \{ \|x - x_0\|_{\mathfrak{X}} : x_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), |x_0|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A)} \leq t \}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

If $q = p$ then $\mathcal{E}_{q,q}(A) := \mathcal{E}_q(A)$ and we obtain the approximation spaces $\mathcal{B}_{q,q,\tau}^s(A) =: \mathcal{B}_{q,\tau}^s(A)$, which were considered in [7, 9].

Now let us define, for any $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $\nu > 0$,

$$\mathcal{D}_{q,p}^\nu(x, A) = \inf \left\{ \|x - x_0\|_{\mathfrak{X}} : x_0 \in (\mathcal{R}^\nu(A), \mathcal{Q}^\nu(A))_{1-1/q,p} \right\}$$

this is a best approximation of element x by root vectors of interpolation spectral subspace $(\mathcal{R}^\nu(A), \mathcal{Q}^\nu(A))_{1-1/q,p}$ relative to A .

Theorem 3. *The following estimate of spectral approximation errors holds*

$$\mathcal{D}_{q,p}^\nu(x, A) \leq c_{s,\tau} \nu^{-s} |x|_{\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A), \tag{6}$$

with $c_{s,\tau} = 2^{(1+s)/2} (\tau^2 (1+s))^{-1/\tau} N_{1/(1+s), \tau(1+s)}^{(1+s)}$ if $0 < \tau < \infty$ and $c_{s,\infty} = 1$.

In addition, if $\tau = 2/(1+s)$ then

$$\mathcal{D}_{q,p}^\nu(x, A) \leq c_s \nu^{-s} |x|_{\mathcal{B}_{q,p,2/(1+s)}^s(A)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}_{q,p,2/(1+s)}^s(A), \tag{7}$$

is achieved for $c_s = [((1+s)/\pi) \sin(\pi/(1+s))]^{(1+s)/2}$.

Proof. Integrating by parts, we similarly to [9] get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (v^{-\theta} K_\infty(v, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}))^r dv/v &= -\frac{1}{\theta r} \int_0^\infty K_\infty(v, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})^r dv^{-\theta r} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta r} \int_0^\infty v^{-\theta r} dK_\infty(v, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})^r = \frac{1}{\theta r} \int_0^\infty (t/E(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}))^{-\theta r} dt^r = \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta r^2} \int_0^\infty (t^s E(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}))^{\theta r} dt/t \quad \text{with } s = 1/\theta - 1. \end{aligned}$$

By [16, Remark 3.1], one obtains that

$$K_\infty(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}) \leq K(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}) \leq \sqrt{2} K_\infty(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}). \quad (8)$$

Using (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\theta r^2} |x|_{\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)}^{\theta r} &= \frac{1}{\theta r^2} \int_0^\infty (t^s E(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}))^{\theta r} dt/t = \int_0^\infty (v^{-\theta} K_\infty(v, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}))^r dv/v \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty (v^{-\theta} K(v, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}))^r dv/v = |x|_{(\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})_{\theta,r}}^r. \end{aligned}$$

From the right inequality (8) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |x|_{(\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})_{\theta,r}}^r &\leq 2^{r/2} \int_0^\infty (v^{-\theta} K_\infty(v, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}))^r dv/v = \\ &= 2^{r/2} \frac{1}{\theta r^2} \int_0^\infty (t^s E(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}))^{\theta r} dt/t = 2^{r/2} \frac{1}{\theta r^2} |x|_{\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)}^{\theta r}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, from the previous inequalities, we get

$$|x|_{(\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})_{\theta,r}}^r \leq 2^{r/2} (\theta r^2)^{-1} |x|_{\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)}^{\theta r} \leq 2^{r/2} |x|_{(\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})_{\theta,r}}^r \quad \text{with } \tau = \theta r. \quad (9)$$

Let us define the function $g(v/t) = (v/t)(1 + (v/t)^2)^{-1/2}$, $t, v > 0$. By integration of both sides of $g(v/t)K(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}) \leq K(v, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_0^\infty (v^{-\theta} g(v/t))^r \frac{dv}{v} \right)^{1/r} K(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}) \leq \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty (v^{-\theta} K(v, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}))^r \frac{dv}{v} \right)^{1/r} = |x|_{(\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})_{\theta,r}}, \quad \int_0^\infty (v^{-\theta} g(v/t))^r \frac{dv}{v} = (t^\theta N_{\theta,r})^{-r}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$K(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}) \leq t^\theta N_{\theta,r} |x|_{(\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})_{\theta,r}}. \quad (10)$$

We choose $t > 0$ according to [2, Lemma 7.1.2], so that

$$t^s E(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})^\theta \leq v^{-\theta} K_\infty(v, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}). \quad (11)$$

Taking into account (8), (10) and (11), we have

$$v^{1-\theta} E(v, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})^\theta \leq t^{-\theta} K_\infty(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}) \leq N_{\theta,r} |x|_{(\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})_{\theta,r}}.$$

Applying (9), we obtain $v^{1-\theta} E(v, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X})^\theta \leq \sqrt{2} (\theta r^2)^{-1/r} N_{\theta,r} |x|_{\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)}^\theta$.

So, if $1 \leq r < \infty$, $\tau = \theta r$ and $s = 1/\theta - 1$, one obtains that

$$E(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}) \leq c_{s,\tau} t^{-s} |x|_{\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A), \quad (12)$$

with $c_{s,\tau} = 2^{(1+s)/2} (\tau^2(1+s))^{-1/\tau} N_{1/(1+s),\tau(1+s)}^{(1+s)}$.

If $r = \infty$ then

$$E(t, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}) \leq t^{-s} |x|_{\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\infty}^s(A)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}_{q,p,\infty}^s(A). \quad (13)$$

Let us $r(x_0) = \inf \{ \nu > 0 : x_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A) \}$. If $|x_0|_{\mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A)} = r(x_0) + \|x_0\|_{\mathfrak{X}} < \mu$ then $r(x_0) < \mu - \|x_0\|_{\mathfrak{X}}$. Therefore, $x_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A)$ for all $\nu > 0$ such that $r(x_0) < \nu < \mu - \|x_0\|_{\mathfrak{X}}$.

By Theorem 1(b), we have $\mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A) \subset \mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\mu(A)$. It yields $x_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\mu(A)$. Hence, for any $\mu > 0$, the following inequality holds

$$\inf \{ \|x - x_0\|_{\mathfrak{X}} : x_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\mu(A) \} \leq E(\mu, x; \mathcal{E}_{q,p}(A), \mathfrak{X}), \quad x \in \mathfrak{X}. \quad (14)$$

By (12), (13) and (14), it follows that

$$\inf \{ \|x - x_0\|_{\mathfrak{X}} : x_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{q,p}^\nu(A) \} \leq c_{s,\tau} \nu^{-s} |x|_{\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A), \quad (15)$$

with $c_{s,\tau} = 2^{(1+s)/2} (\tau^2(1+s))^{-1/\tau} N_{1/(1+s),\tau(1+s)}^{(1+s)}$ if $0 < \tau < \infty$ and $c_{s,\infty} = 1$. Now, taking into account (4), from (15) we obtain (6).

By [15, Exercise B.5], we have $N_{\theta,2} = ((2/\pi) \sin(\pi\theta))^{1/2}$. So, if $\tau = 2/(1+s)$ the estimate (6) yields (7). \square

Remark 1. In the case $q = p$, we get the estimate

$$\inf \{ \|x - x_0\|_{\mathfrak{X}} : x_0 \in \mathcal{R}^\nu(A) \} \leq c_{s,\tau} \nu^{-s} |x|_{\mathcal{B}_{q,\tau}^s(A)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}_{q,\tau}^s(A),$$

with $c_{s,\tau} = 2^{(1+s)/2} (\tau^2(1+s))^{-1/\tau} N_{1/(1+s),\tau(1+s)}^{(1+s)}$ if $0 < \tau < \infty$ and $c_{s,\infty} = 1$.

If $q = p$ and $\tau = 2/(1+s)$ then

$$\inf \{ \|x - x_0\|_{\mathfrak{X}} : x_0 \in \mathcal{R}^\nu(A) \} \leq c_s \nu^{-s} |x|_{\mathcal{B}_{q,2/(1+s)}^s(A)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}_{q,2/(1+s)}^s(A),$$

with $c_s = [((1+s)/\pi) \sin(\pi/(1+s))]^{(1+s)/2}$.

4. Applications. In this section, we give the estimates of spectral approximation errors for some classes of elliptic differential operators.

Regular elliptic differential operators.

In the space $L_q(\Omega)$ ($1 < q < \infty$) over an open bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with infinitely smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, we consider the closed linear operator A with the domain $W_{q,A}^{2m}(\Omega) = \{u \in W_q^{2m}(\Omega) : b_j u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, j = 1, \dots, m\}$ via the regular elliptic system [19, Def. 5.2.1/4]

$$\begin{aligned} (Au)(\xi) &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} a_\alpha(\xi) D^\alpha u(\xi), \quad a_\alpha \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}), \quad \bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega, \\ (b_j u)(\xi) &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_j} b_{j,\alpha}(\xi) D^\alpha u(\xi), \quad b_{j,\alpha} \in C^\infty(\partial\Omega), \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that $0 \in \rho(A)$ for simplicity. It follows that A has a compact resolvent $R(\lambda, A)$ for any $\lambda \in \rho(A)$, as well as the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ is discrete and is independent on q [19, Sec. 5.4.4].

For $0 < s < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $1 \leq \tau \leq \infty$, we consider the subspace of the Besov space $B_{q,\tau}^s(\Omega)$, which is associated with A (see [19, Def. 4.2.1/1]),

$$B_{q,\tau,A}^s(\Omega) = \{u \in B_{q,\tau}^s(\Omega) : b_j A^k u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, j = 1, \dots, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}.$$

Theorem 4. *The following inequality holds,*

$$\mathcal{D}_{q,p}^\nu(u, A) \leq c_{s,\tau} \nu^{-s} |u|_{B_{q,\tau}^s(\Omega)}, \quad u \in B_{q,\tau,A}^s(\Omega), \quad (16)$$

with $c_{s,\tau} = 2^{(1+s)/2} (\tau^2(1+s))^{-1/\tau} N_{1/(1+s),\tau(1+s)}^{(1+s)}$ if $0 < \tau < \infty$ and $c_{s,\infty} = 1$.

If $\tau = 2/(1+s)$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_{q,p}^\nu(u, A) \leq c_s \nu^{-s} |u|_{B_{q,2/(1+s)}^s(\Omega)}, \quad u \in B_{q,2/(1+s),A}^s(\Omega), \quad (17)$$

with $c_s = [((1+s)/\pi) \sin(\pi/(1+s))]^{(1+s)/2}$.

Proof. Using (15) from [9, Theorem 3] and (1), one obtains for every p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) that

$$\mathcal{B}_{q,p,\tau}^s(A) = B_{q,\tau,A}^s(\Omega).$$

Thus, the inequalities (16) and (17) follow directly from (6) and (7). \square

Legendre differential operators.

In the space $L_2(\Omega)$, where $\Omega = (a, b)$, $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, we consider the Legendre differential operators

$$A_{m,l}u = (-1)^m \frac{d^m}{d\xi^m} \left(p^l(\xi) \frac{d^m u}{d\xi^m} \right), \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

with $\mathfrak{D}(A_{m,l}) = \{u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) : u^{(j)}(a) = u^{(j)}(b) = 0, j = 0, \dots, m-l-1\}$ for all indices $l = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$, and $\mathfrak{D}(A_{m,m}) = C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ (see [19, Def. 7.2.1]).

By [19, Theorem 7.4.1], $A_{m,l}$ has a closure $\bar{A}_{m,l}$ in $L_2(\Omega)$ with the domain $\mathfrak{D}(\bar{A}_{m,l}) = \{u \in W_2^{2m}(\Omega; p^{2l}) : u^{(j)}(a) = u^{(j)}(b) = 0, j = 0, \dots, m-l-1\}$ for $l = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$, and $\mathfrak{D}(\bar{A}_{m,m}) = W_2^{2m}(\Omega; p^{2m})$. In addition, $\bar{A}_{m,l}$ is the operator with discrete spectrum.

Theorem 5. *The following inequality holds*

$$\mathcal{D}_{q,p}^\nu(u, \bar{A}_{m,l}) \leq c_{s,\tau} \nu^{-s} |u|_{B_{2,\tau}^s(\Omega)}, \quad u \in \mathcal{B}_{2,p,\tau}^s(\bar{A}_{m,l}), \quad (18)$$

with $c_{s,\tau} = 2^{(1+s)/2} (\tau^2(1+s))^{-1/\tau} N_{1/(1+s),\tau(1+s)}^{(1+s)}$ if $0 < \tau < \infty$ and $c_{s,\infty} = 1$.

If $\tau = 2/(1+s)$ then

$$\mathcal{D}_{q,p}^\nu(u, \bar{A}_{m,l}) \leq c_s \nu^{-s} |u|_{B_{2,2/(1+s)}^s(\Omega)}, \quad u \in \mathcal{B}_{2,p,2/(1+s)}^s(\bar{A}_{m,l}), \quad (19)$$

with $c_s = [((1+s)/\pi) \sin(\pi/(1+s))]^{(1+s)/2}$.

Proof. Using (17), (18) from [9, Theorem 4] and (1), one obtains for every p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) that

$$\mathcal{B}_{2,p,\tau}^s(\bar{A}_{m,l}) = \left\{ u \in B_{2,\tau}^s(\Omega) : (\bar{A}_{m,l}^k u)^{(j)}(a) = (\bar{A}_{m,l}^k u)^{(j)}(b) = 0, \right. \\ \left. j = 0, \dots, m-l-1, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}$$

for $l = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$, and $\mathcal{B}_{2,p,\tau}^s(\bar{A}_{m,m}) = B_{2,\tau}^s(\Omega)$. It remains to apply Theorem 3. \square

REFERENCES

1. F.G. Abdullayev, V.V. Savchuk, A.L. Shidlich, P. Ozkartepe, *Exact constants in direct and inverse approximation theorems of functions of several variables in the spaces S^p* , *Filomat*, **33** (2019), №5, 1471–1484. doi:10.2298/FIL1905471A
2. J. Bergh, J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
3. S. Chandler-Wilde, D. Hewett, A. Moiola, *Interpolation of Hilbert and Sobolev spaces: Quantitative estimates and counterexamples*, *Mathematika*, **61** (2015), №2, 414–443. doi: 10.1112/S0025579314000278
4. M. Dmytryshyn, *Besov-Lorentz-type spaces and best approximations by exponential type vectors*, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, **9** (2015), 779–786. doi:10.12988/ijma.2015.5233
5. M. Dmytryshyn, *Approximation of positive operators by analytic vectors*, *Carpathian Math. Publ.*, **12** (2020), №2, 412–418. doi: 10.15330/cmp.12.2.412-418
6. M. Dmytryshyn, O. Lopushansky, *Lorentz type spaces of ultrasmooth vectors of closed operators*, *Carpathian Math. Publ.*, **1** (2009), №1, 8–14. (in Ukrainian)
7. M. Dmytryshyn, O. Lopushansky, *Bernstein-Jackson-type inequalities and Besov spaces associated with unbounded operators*, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2014** (2014), №105, 1–12. doi: 10.1186/1029-242X-2014-105
8. M. Dmytryshyn, O. Lopushansky, *Spectral approximations of strongly degenerate elliptic differential operators*, *Carpathian Math. Publ.*, **11** (2019), №1, 48–53. doi: 10.15330/cmp.11.1.48-53
9. M. Dmytryshyn, O. Lopushansky, *On Spectral approximations of unbounded operators*, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, **13** (2019), №8, 3659–3673. doi: 10.1007/s11785-019-00923-0
10. S. Giulini, *Bernstein and Jackson theorems for the Heisenberg group*, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **38** (1985), 241–254. doi: 10.1017/S1446788700023107
11. M.L. Gorbachuk, Ya.I. Hrushka, S.M. Torba, *Direct and inverse theorems in the theory of approximation by the Ritz method*, *Ukrainian Math. J.*, **57** (2005), №5, 751–764. doi: 10.1007/s11253-005-0225-4
12. E. Hille, R.S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semigroups*, Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, V.31, 1957.
13. T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1980.
14. O. Lopushansky, R. Thuczek-Pięciak, *Best approximations by increasing invariant subspaces of self-adjoint operators*, *Symmetry*, **12** (2020), №1918, 1–12. doi:10.3390/sym12111918
15. W. McLean, *Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
16. J. Peetre, G. Sparr, *Interpolation of normed abelian groups*, *Ann. Mat. Pura ed Appl.*, **92** (1972), №1, 217–262. doi: 10.1007/BF02417949
17. J. Prestin, V.V. Savchuk, A.L. Shidlich, *Direct and inverse theorems on the approximation of 2π -periodic functions by Taylor–Abel–Poisson operators*, *Ukrainian Math. J.*, **69** (2017), №5, 766–781. doi: 10.1007/s11253-017-1394-7
18. G.V. Radzievskii, *On the best approximations and rate of convergence of decompositions in the root vectors of an operator*, *Ukrainian Math. J.*, **49** (1997), №6, 844–864. doi: 10.1007/BF02513425
19. H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory. Function spaces. Differential operators*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1978.

Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University
 Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine
 marian.dmytryshyn@pnu.edu.ua

Received 03.01.2021