

УДК 517.53

I. V. ANDRUSYAK, P. V. FILEVYCH

THE MINIMAL GROWTH OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS WITH GIVEN ZEROS ALONG UNBOUNDED SETS

I. V. Andrusyak, P. V. Filevych. *The minimal growth of entire functions with given zeros along unbounded sets*, Mat. Stud. **54** (2020), 146–153.

Let l be a continuous function on \mathbb{R} increasing to $+\infty$, and φ be a positive function on \mathbb{R} . We proved that the condition

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varphi(\ln[x])}{\ln x} > 0$$

is necessary and sufficient in order that for any complex sequence (ζ_n) with $n(r) \geq l(r)$, $r \geq r_0$, and every set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ which is unbounded from above there exists an entire function f having zeros only at the points ζ_n such that

$$\lim_{r \in E, r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M_f(r)}{\varphi(\ln n_\zeta(r)) \ln l^{-1}(n_\zeta(r))} = 0.$$

Here $n(r)$ is the counting function of (ζ_n) , and $M_f(r)$ is the maximum modulus of f .

1. Introduction. Let \mathcal{Z} be the class of all complex sequences

$$\zeta = (\zeta_n) \text{ such that } 0 < |\zeta_0| \leq |\zeta_1| \leq \dots \text{ and } \zeta_n \rightarrow \infty (n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

For every sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$, by \mathcal{E}_ζ we denote the class of all entire functions whose sequence of zeros, enumerated (counted with multiplicity) in non-decreasing order of their moduli, coincides with the sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$, and let

$$n_\zeta(r) = \sum_{|\zeta_n| \leq r} 1$$

be the counting function of this sequence.

Suppose that $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable set. As usual, the value $\int_{E \cap (1, +\infty)} d \ln r$ is called the *logarithmic measure* of the set E , and the value

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\ln r} \int_{E \cap (1, +\infty)} d \ln r$$

is called the *upper logarithmic density* of this set.

For an entire function f and every $r \geq 0$ we denote $M_f(r) = \max\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}$.

A. A. Goldberg ([1]) proved the following theorem.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D15, 30D20.

Keywords: entire function; maximum modulus; zeros; counting function.

doi:10.30970/ms.54.2.146-153

Theorem A. *Let $\delta > 2$. For any sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ satisfying the condition*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln n_\zeta(r)}{\ln r} > 0, \quad (1)$$

there exist an entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ and a set E of finite logarithmic measure such that outside the set E one has

$$\ln \ln M_f(r) = o(\ln^\delta n_\zeta(r)), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2)$$

In addition, A. A. Goldberg ([1]) showed that Theorem A is not valid in the case $\delta = 1$, and also posed the question of whether in this theorem the condition $\delta > 2$ can be replaced by the one $\delta > 1$. The negative answer to Goldberg's question was obtained by W. Bergweiler ([2]), who showed that Theorem A is not true anymore even in the case $\delta = 2$.

Theorem B ([2]). *Let $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$. There exists a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ satisfying the condition*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln n_\zeta(r)}{\ln r} = \alpha, \quad (3)$$

such that for any entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ along some set E_f of infinite logarithmic measure one has

$$\ln^2 n_\zeta(r) = o(\ln \ln M_f(r)), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty.$$

If we require the validity of relation (2) not outside a small set such as a set of finite logarithmic measure, but only along some increasing to $+\infty$ sequence of values r , this relation can also be valid in the case of $\delta = 2$.

Theorem C ([2]). *For any sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ that satisfies condition (1) and every unbounded from above set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ such that*

$$\lim_{r \in E, r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M_f(r)}{\ln^2 n_\zeta(r)} = 0. \quad (4)$$

The following statement shows that under conditions of Theorem C relation (4) is final in some sense.

Theorem D ([2]). *Suppose $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$ and ϕ is a function decreasing to 0 on \mathbb{R} . Then there exist a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ satisfying condition (3) and a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ of upper logarithmic density 1 such that for any function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ we have*

$$\lim_{r \in E, r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M_f(r)}{\ln^2 n_\zeta(r) \phi(\ln n_\zeta(r))} = +\infty.$$

Remark 1. W. Bergweiler [2] actually proved to some extent deeper results than Theorems A and B. Particularly, from results obtained in [2] it follows that a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ and an exceptional set E in Theorem A can be selected independently of the number $\delta > 2$. On the other hand, Theorem C shows that the set E_f in Theorem B is depends on f .

Theorems A and B describe the minimal growth of an entire function having given sequence of zeros ζ only in the case when the growth of the counting function $n_\zeta(r)$ for this sequence is bounded from below by some power function r^α . Analogs of Theorems A and B were obtained in [3] in the case when the growth of the function $n_\zeta(r)$ is bounded from below by a function of the form $\ln^\alpha r$. Theorems A and B were extended in [4] to the case when the restrictions mentioned above are of any possible kind.

By L we denote the class of all continuous on \mathbb{R} functions increasing to $+\infty$.

Theorem E ([4]). *Let $l \in L$. For any sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ satisfying the condition*

$$n_\zeta(r) \geq l(r) \quad (r \geq r_0), \quad (5)$$

there exist an entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ and a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ of finite logarithmic measure such that for every $\delta > 1$ outside the set E one has

$$\ln \ln M_f(r) = o(\ln^\delta n_\zeta(r) \ln l^{-1}(n_\zeta(r))), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Theorem F ([4]). *Let $l \in L$. There exists a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ that satisfies condition (5) such that $n_\zeta(r-0) = l(r)$ on an unbounded from above set of values r and for any entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ along some set E_f of infinite logarithmic measure one has*

$$\ln n_\zeta(r) \ln l^{-1}(n_\zeta(r)) = o(\ln \ln M_f(r)), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty.$$

The goal of our paper is generalizations of Theorems C and D for the case of any possible lower bound on the growth of the counting function $n_\zeta(r)$ for a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Theorem 1. *Let $l \in L$. Then for any sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ that satisfies condition (5), and for every unbounded from above set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ for which*

$$\liminf_{r \in E, r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M_f(r)}{\ln n_\zeta(r) \ln l^{-1}(n_\zeta(r))} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Theorem 2. *Let $l \in L$ and φ be a function which is positive on \mathbb{R} and such that*

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varphi(\ln[x])}{\ln x} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Then there exists a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that condition (5) holds and $n_\zeta(r-0) = l(r)$ on an unbounded from above set of values r , and also there exists a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ of upper logarithmic density 1 such that for any function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ one has

$$\lim_{r \in E, r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M_f(r)}{\varphi(\ln n_\zeta(r)) \ln l^{-1}(n_\zeta(r))} = +\infty. \quad (8)$$

Immediately from Theorems 1 and 2 we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let $l \in L$ and φ be a function which is positive on \mathbb{R} . Then the condition*

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varphi(\ln[x])}{\ln x} > 0$$

is necessary and sufficient in order that for any sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ that satisfies condition (5) and for every unbounded from above set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ there exists an entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ such that

$$\lim_{r \in E, r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M_f(r)}{\varphi(\ln n_\zeta(r)) \ln l^{-1}(n_\zeta(r))} = 0.$$

To prove Theorems 1 and 2, we need some auxiliary results which are formulated in the next section.

We have noted that the paper [1] also inspired other problems related to the description of the minimum growth of entire functions with given zeros. In particular, some of these problems were solved in papers [5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

2. Auxiliary results.

Lemma 1 ([4]). *For every sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ there exists a nonnegative sequence (λ_n) having the following properties:*

- (i) $\lambda_n \sim \ln n / \ln |\zeta_n|$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) for any sequence of nonnegative integers (p_n) such that $p_n \geq [\lambda_n]$, $n \geq n_0$, the product

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{z}{\zeta_n}, p_n\right) \tag{9}$$

defines an entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$, moreover,

$$\ln M_f(r) \leq G_f(r) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{|\zeta_n|}\right)^{p_n+1}. \tag{10}$$

Let f be an entire function, $r > 0$, and $c_p(r)$ be the p -th Fourier coefficient of the function $\ln |f(re^{i\theta})|$, that is,

$$c_p(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ip\theta} \ln |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Suppose that $f(0) \neq 0$ and

$$\ln f(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p z^p \tag{11}$$

near the point $z = 0$. Then, applying the Poisson-Jensen formula (see [10, p.16–17]), for every integer $p \geq 1$ we have

$$c_p(r) = \frac{1}{2} a_p r^p + \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{|\zeta_n| < r} \left(\left(\frac{r}{\zeta_n}\right)^p - \left(\frac{\bar{\zeta}_n}{r}\right)^p \right), \tag{12}$$

where ζ_n are zeros of the function f . Moreover, the following lemma is valid.

Lemma 2 ([2]). *For any entire function f and every integer $n \geq 1$ the inequality*

$$|c_n(r)| \leq \ln M_f(r) \quad (r > 0)$$

is satisfied.

3. Proof of Theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ is a fixed sequence such that $n_\zeta(r) \geq l(r)$, $r \geq r_0$, and $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ is a sequence whose existence for given ζ is asserted by Lemma 1. Then there exists a non-decreasing sequence of non-negative integers (q_n) such that $q_n \geq [\lambda_n]$ for $n \geq n_0$, and also $q_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $q_n = o(\ln n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us consider the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{q_{n+1}},$$

which is convergent for all $r \geq 0$, and for every $r \geq 0$ we put $n(r) = n_\zeta(r)$. We also put

$$m(r) = \min \left\{ k \geq n(r) + 2 : \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{q_{n+1}} \leq 1 \right\}, \quad \gamma(r) = \frac{\ln(m(r) - n(r))}{\ln |\zeta_{n(r)+1}| - \ln r}.$$

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a set unbounded from above. In this set we choose a sequence (r_k) increasing to $+\infty$ such that for every integer $k \geq 0$ the following inequality is valid:

$$n(r_{k+1}) > m(r_k), \quad q_{n(r_{k+1})} \geq \gamma(r_k) + 1.$$

For any integer $t \geq 0$ let us denote $p_t = \max\{\lceil \gamma(r_k) \rceil + 1, q_t\}$ if $t \in [n(r_k) + 1, m(r_k))$ for some $k \geq 0$, and put $p_t = q_t$ if $t \notin H$, where

$$H = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} [n(r_k) + 1, m(r_k)).$$

Note that $n(r_k) \notin H$ for every $k \geq 0$.

Let us prove that $p_t \leq q_{n(r_k)}$ for all $t \leq n(r_k)$ and $k \geq 0$. If $t \notin H$, we have $p_t = q_t \leq q_{n(r_k)}$, because the sequence (q_n) is non-decreasing. But if $t \in H$, then we have $t \in [n(r_j) + 1, m(r_j))$ for some $j < k$, and therefore either

$$p_t = \lceil \gamma(r_j) \rceil + 1 \leq \gamma(r_j) + 1 \leq q_{n(r_{j+1})} \leq q_{n(r_k)},$$

or $p_t = q_t \leq q_{n(r_k)}$ again.

It is also clear that $p_t \geq q_t$ for all $t \geq 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 1, product (9) defines an entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ for which inequality (10) is true. For each $k \geq 0$, we successively have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t \leq n(r_k)} \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_t|} \right)^{p_{t+1}} &\leq r_k^{q_{n(r_k)+1}} \sum_{t \leq n(r_k)} \left(\frac{1}{|\zeta_t|} \right)^{p_{t+1}} \leq r_k^{q_{n(r_k)+1}} G(1), \\ \sum_{n(r_k) < t < m(r_k)} \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_t|} \right)^{p_{t+1}} &\leq (m(r_k) - n(r_k)) \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_{n(r_k)+1}|} \right)^{\gamma(r_k)} = 1, \\ \sum_{t \geq m(r_k)} \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_t|} \right)^{p_{t+1}} &\leq \sum_{t \geq m(r_k)} \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_t|} \right)^{q_{t+1}} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying inequality (10), we obtain

$$\ln \ln M_f(r_k) \leq (1 + o(1)) q_{n(r_k)} \ln r_k = o(\ln n(r_k) \ln l^{-1}(n(r_k))), \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

It implies (6). □

Proof of Theorem 2. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $l(1) < 0$.

Let (δ_k) be any decreasing to 0 sequence of points in the interval $(0, 1)$. From condition (7) it follows the existence of an increasing sequence of integers (n_k) such that $n_0 = 0$ and

$$2r_k \leq r_{k+1}^{\delta_k}, \quad \ln m_k \geq (1 - \delta_k) \ln n_{k+1}, \quad (13)$$

$$\ln m_k \geq 2k \ln r_k, \quad \varepsilon_k \leq \delta_k, \quad \varepsilon_k \ln r_k \leq 1, \quad (14)$$

for every $k \geq 0$, where

$$\varepsilon_k = \left(\frac{\varphi(\ln n_{k+1})}{\ln n_{k+1}} \right)^{1/3}, \quad r_k = l^{-1}(n_k), \quad m_k = n_{k+1} - n_k \quad (k \geq 0).$$

Note that $r_0 > 1$ by inequality $l(1) < 0$.

For any $k \geq 0$ we also denote

$$p_k = \left[\frac{\ln m_k}{2 \ln r_k} \right] + 1.$$

Applying the first inequality in (14), we see that $p_k \rightarrow +\infty$, $k \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover,

$$\ln \frac{m_k}{4p_k r_k^{p_k}} = \ln m_k - p_k \ln r_k - \ln p_k - \ln 4 = \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1) \right) \ln m_k \rightarrow +\infty, \quad k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (15)$$

Construct the sequence ζ as following

$$\underbrace{r_0, \dots, r_0}_{m_0}, \underbrace{r_1, \dots, r_1}_{m_1}, \dots, \underbrace{r_k, \dots, r_k}_{m_k}, \dots$$

If $r \in [0, r_0)$, then $n_\zeta(r) = 0 = l(r_0) > l(r)$. But if $r \in [r_k, r_{k+1})$ for some $k \geq 0$, then we get

$$n_\zeta(r) = \sum_{j=0}^k m_j = n_{k+1} = l(r_{k+1}) > l(r).$$

Therefore, $n_\zeta(r) > l(r)$ for every $r \geq 0$. Moreover, $n_\zeta(r_k - 0) = l(r_k)$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Denote $s_k = r_{k+1}^{\delta_k}$, $k \geq 0$. Then, applying the second inequality in (13), we see that $r_k < s_k < r_{k+1}$ for every $k \geq 0$. Let $E = \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} (s_k, r_{k+1})$. For the set E we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\ln r} \int_{E \cap (1, r)} \frac{dt}{t} \geq \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\ln r_{k+1}} \int_{s_k}^{r_{k+1}} \frac{dt}{t} = \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \delta_k) = 1.$$

Thus, E is a set of upper logarithmic density 1.

We need to prove that for any function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ relation (8) is true.

Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$. Then in the disc $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r_0\}$ the function f has not zeros. Since $r_0 > 1$, we deduce that if we have (11) near the point $z = 0$, then the sequence (a_p) is bounded, that is, $C := \sup\{|a_p| : p \in \mathbb{N}\} < +\infty$.

Suppose $c_p(r)$ is the p -th Fourier coefficient of the function $\ln |f(re^{i\theta})|$. Using equality (12) and the first inequality in (13), which can be rewritten as $2r_k \leq s_k$, and, taking into

account that the sequence ζ is positive for all $k \geq 0$ and $p \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |c_p(s_k)| &\geq \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{\zeta_n < s_k} \left(\left(\frac{s_k}{\zeta_n} \right)^p - \left(\frac{\zeta_n}{s_k} \right)^p \right) - C s_k^p \geq \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{r_k \leq \zeta_n < s_k} \left(\left(\frac{s_k}{\zeta_n} \right)^p - \left(\frac{\zeta_n}{s_k} \right)^p \right) - C s_k^p = \\ &= \frac{m_k}{2p} \left(\left(\frac{s_k}{r_k} \right)^p - \left(\frac{r_k}{s_k} \right)^p \right) - C s_k^p = \frac{m_k}{2p} \left(\frac{s_k}{r_k} \right)^p \left(1 - \left(\frac{r_k}{s_k} \right)^{2p} \right) - C s_k^p \geq \\ &\geq \frac{m_k}{4p} \left(\frac{s_k}{r_k} \right)^p - C s_k^p \geq s_k^p \left(\frac{m_k}{4pr_k^p} - C \right). \end{aligned}$$

Further, from (15), it follows that $|c_{p_k}(s_k)| \geq s_k^{p_k}$, $k \geq k_0$.

Using this inequality together with (13) and (14), for all $r \in (s_k, r_{k+1})$ and $k \geq k_0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \ln M_f(r) &\geq \ln \ln M_f(s_k) \geq \ln |c_p(s_k)| \geq p_k \ln s_k \geq \\ &\geq \frac{\ln m_k}{2 \ln r_k} \delta_k \ln r_{k+1} \geq \frac{1 - \delta_k}{2} \ln n_{k+1} \frac{1}{\ln r_k} \delta_k \ln l^{-1}(n_{k+1}) \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1 - \delta_k}{2} \frac{\varphi(\ln n_{k+1})}{\varepsilon_k^3} \varepsilon_k \varepsilon_k \ln l^{-1}(n_{k+1}) = \frac{1 - \delta_k}{2\varepsilon_k} \varphi(\ln n_\zeta(r)) \ln l^{-1}(n_\zeta(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get (8). □

Remark 2. To characterize the growth of an entire function f , we can use besides $\ln M_f(r)$ its Nevanlinna characteristic function

$$T_f(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta, \quad r \geq 0.$$

We note that in Theorems 1, 2, and 3 the function $\ln M_f(r)$ can be replaced by the function $T_f(r)$. The validity of this replacement in relation (6) follows from the inequality $T_f(r) \leq \ln^+ M_f(r)$. To justify the possibility of replacing $\ln M_f(r)$ by $T_f(r)$ in relation (8), it is sufficient to repeat the proof of Theorem 2 using the inequality $|c_n(r)| \leq 2T_f(r)$ (see, for example, [10, p. 340]) instead of the inequality $|c_n(r)| \leq \ln M_f(r)$ from Lemma 2.

REFERENCES

1. A.A. Gol'dberg, *The representation of a meromorphic function in the form of a quotient of entire functions*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., **10** (1972), 13–17. (in Russian)
2. W. Bergweiler, *A question of Gol'dberg concerning entire functions with prescribed zeros*, J. Anal. Math., **63** (1994), 121–129.
3. I.V. Khyrivskiyi, *Minimal growth of entire function with given sequence of zeros*, Mat. Stud., **3** (1994), 49–52. (in Ukrainian)
4. I.V. Andrusyak, P.V. Filevych, *The minimal growth of entire function with given zeros*, Nauk. Visn. Chernivets'kogo Univ. Mat., **421** (2008), 13–19. (in Ukrainian)
5. W. Bergweiler, *Canonical products of infinite order*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **430** (1992), 85–107.
6. J. Miles, *On the growth of entire functions with zero sets having infinite exponent of convergence*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., **27** (2002), 69–90.

7. M.M. Sheremeta, *A remark to the construction of canonical products of minimal growth*, Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom., **11** (2004), №2, 243–248.
8. I.V. Andrusyak, P.V. Filevych, *The growth of an entire function with a given sequence of zeros*, Mat. Stud., **30** (2008), №2, 115–124.
9. I.V. Andrusyak, P.V. Filevych, *The growth of entire function with zero sets having integer-valued exponent of convergence*, Mat. Stud., **32** (2009), №1, 12–20. (in Ukrainian)
10. A.A. Gol'dberg, I.V. Ostrovskii, *Value distribution of meromorphic functions*, Moscow: Nauka, 1970. (in Russian)

Department of Mathematics
Lviv Polytechnic National University, Lviv, Ukraine
andrusyak.ivanna@gmail.com

Department of Computational Mathematics and Programming
Lviv Polytechnic National University, Lviv, Ukraine
p.v.filevych@gmail.com

Received 30.06.2020

Revised 24.10.2020